

Open Access

Immunotherapy in Advanced Solid Tumour

Amit Badola*

Department of Medical Oncology, DIT University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

Abstract

With the evolving understanding of the interaction between tumor and the immune system, novel therapies with sophisticated mechanisms of action are establishing themselves as the standards of care. In human cancer, the immune system plays a double edged sword with both protecting against tumor development as well as promoting tumor growth. In recent times innumerable practice-changing clinical studies have being reported on immunotherapy. In this review article, we highlight the recently approved immunotherapy and chemotherapy combination in adjuvant and 1st line metastatic setting in various solid tumors except renal cell carcinoma and melanoma.

Keywords: Gastroesophageal; Chemoradiotherapy; Pembrolizumab; Nivolumab

Introduction

Immunotherapy in unrespectable and metastatic oesophageal cancer

Keynote 590 trial compared pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone as first-line treatment in advanced oesophageal cancer and Sievert type 1 gastro-esophageal junction cancer with PD-L1 CPS of 10 or more in terms of both overall and progression-free survival. Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy was superior to placebo plus chemotherapy with overall survival (median 13.9 months *vs.* 8.8 months; hazard ratio 0.57 (95% CI 0.43–0.75; p<0.0001. Also in term of progression-free survival Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy was superior to placebo plus chemotherapy (7.5 months *vs.* 5.5 months; 0.51 (0.41–0.65; p<0.0001 [1-4].

Literature Review

Immunotherapy in metastatic/unrespectable head and neck cancer (excluding Nasophaynx)

In Keynote 048 trial Pembrolizumab alone improved overall survival versus Cetuximab with chemotherapy in the CPS of 20 or more population (median 14.9 months vs. 10.7 months, Hazard Ratio (HR) 0.61 (95% CI 0.45-0.83), p=0.0007) and CPS of 1 or more population (12.3 vs. 10.3,0.78 (0.64-0.96), p=0.0086) and was noninferior in the total population (11.6 vs. 10.7,0.85 (0.71-1.03)). Pembrolizumab with chemotherapy had better overall survival compared with Cetuximab and chemotherapy in the total population (13.0 months vs. 10.7 months, HR 0.77 (95% CI 0.63–0.93), p=0.0034) at the second interim analysis and in the CPS of 20 or more population (14.7 vs. 11.0,0.60 (0.45-0.82), p=0.0004) and CPS of 1 or more population (13.6 vs. 10.4,0.65 (0.53-0.80), p<0.0001) at final analysis. However neither pembrolizumab alone nor pembrolizumab with chemotherapy improved progression free survival at the second interim analysis. Based on result of Keynote 048 trial Pembrolizumab with chemotherapy is first line treatment in recurrent, unrespectable or metastatic head and neck tumor. Pembrolizumab also improved overall survival compared to chemotherapy in patients having CPS>1 [5]. NCCN recommends Single agent pembrolizumab (category 1) for CPS>20. Consolidation Immunotherapy in Stage III Non-small cell carcinoma lung

Regardless of PDL-1 expression, consolidation Durvalumab after concurrent chemo radiotherapy (the 'PACIFIC regimen') is the standard of care in patients with unrespectable Stage III NSCLC whose disease had not progressed after platinum based cCRT. Updated Median OS and PFS in Durvalumab *vs.* placebo arm was 47.5 *vs.* 29.1 months (stratified HR 0.72, 95% CI 0.59–0.89) and 16.9 *vs.* 5.6 months (stratified HR 0.55, 95% CI 0.45–0.68) respectively. The 60-month OS rates were 42.9% and 33.4% and 60 months PFS rates were 33.1% and 19.0% with Durvalumab and placebo, respectively. These updated survival analyses, showed continue sustained OS and PFS benefit with the PACIFIC regimen [6].

Non driver mutation advanced NSCLC

By phase III KEYNOTE-024 study, Pembrolizumab alone significantly improved progression-free survival and Overall Survival (OS) compared with platinum-based chemotherapy in patients with previously untreated advanced non–small-cell carcinoma PDL 1>50%. Median OS was 30.0 months (95% CI, 18.3 months to not reached) with Pembrolizumab and 14.2 months (95% CI, 9.8 to 19.0 months) with chemotherapy (hazard ratio, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.47 to 0.86). Pembrolizumab had less Grade 3 to 5 adverse events compared with chemotherapy (31.2% *vs.* 53.3%, respectively) [7]. After keynote 189 and keynote 407 trial Pembrolizumab with chemotherapy combination became standard of treatment in non-driver mutation NSCLC regardless of PDL 1 expression.

*Corresponding author: Amit Badola, Department of Medical Oncology, DIT University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India ; E-mail: dramitoncology@gmail.com

Received: 08-Apr-2022, Manuscript No. JCMP-22-59890; Editor assigned: 11-Apr-2022, PreQC No. AOT-22-60181(PQ); Reviewed: 25-Apr-2022, QC No. AOT-22-60181; Revised: 06-Jun-2022, Manuscript No. AOT-22-60181(R); Published: 13-Jun-2022, DOI: 10.4172/AOT-1000188

Citation: Badola A (2022) Immunotherapy in Advanced Solid Tumour. J Oncol Res Treat 7:188.

Copyright: © 2022 Badola A. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Discussion

Extensive stage small lung cancer

Immunotherapy has also demonstrated clinical activity in Extensive-Stage SCLC (ES-SCLC) wherein most patients with Small-Cell Lung Cancer (SCLC) fall at presentation, and for whom the prognosis remains poor.

In IMpower 133 trial, Atezolizumab was combined with chemotherapy. At a median follow-up of 13.9 months, the median overall survival was 12.3 months in the atezolizumab group and 10.3 months in the placebo group (hazard ratio for death, 0.70; 95% Confidence Interval (CI), 0.54 to 0.91; P=0.007). The median progression-free survival was 5.2 months and 4.3 months, respectively (hazard ratio for disease progression or death, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.62 to 0.96; P=0.02). The addition of atezolizumab to chemotherapy in the first-line treatment of extensive stage small cell lung cancer led to significantly longer overall survival and progression free survival than chemotherapy alone [8].

The CASPIAN trial assessed Durvalumab, with or without Tremelimumab, in combination with platinum topside in treatment naive patients with ES-SCLC. Durvalumab plus platinum topside was associated with a significant improvement in overall survival, with a hazard ratio of 0.73 (95% CI 0.59–0.91; p=0.0047); median overall survival of 13.0 months (95% CI 11.5–14.8) in the Durvalumab plus platinum topside group versus 10.3 months (9.3–11.2) in the platinum topside group.

First-line Durvalumab plus platinum topside significantly improved overall survival in patients with ES-SCLC [9].

Triple negative early carcinoma breast

At the first interim analysis of keynote 522 trial, the percentage of patients with a pathological complete response was 64.8% (95% Confidence Interval (CI), 59.9 to 69.5) in the Pembrolizumab chemotherapy group and 51.2% (95% CI, 44.1 to 58.3) in the placebo-chemotherapy group (estimated treatment difference, 13.6 percentage points; 95% CI, 5.4 to 21.8; P<0.001). After a median follow-up of 15.5 months, disease progression that precluded definitive surgery, had local or distant recurrence or a second primary tumor, or died from any cause was observed in 58 of 784 patients (7.4%) in the

| Table 1 | : Justify | about | clinical | trial | and | its | outcomes. |
|---------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|-----|-----|-----------|
|---------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|-----|-----|-----------|

Pembrolizumab chemotherapy group and 46 of 390 patients (11.8%) in the placebo chemotherapy group had (hazard ratio, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.93). Among patients with early triple-negative breast cancer, Pembrolizumab plus neoadjuvant chemotherapy receiving patients had significantly higher percentage with a pathological complete response than those who received placebo plus neo-adjuvant chemotherapy [10].

Metastatic triple negative carcinoma breast

Atezolizumab received approval in March 2019 based on data from the phase 3 IM passion130 trial which demonstrated a statistically significant benefit to progression-free survival with the exploratory regimen vs. placebo/chemotherapy (HR, 0.60; 95% CI, 0.48-0.77; P<. 0001), however, results published in 2021 indicated that the trial failed to meet the primary end point of PFS superiority in the frontline treatment of patients with PD-L1 positivity (HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.60-1.12; P=.20). Also, there was observed no difference in survival advantage in the PD-L1-positive (HR 1.11, 95% CI 0.76-1.64) nor the intention to treat population [11]. After this the indication for Atezolizumab in combination with nab-paclitaxel chemotherapy as treatment for patients with Triple-Negative Breast Cancer (TNBC) with tumors expressing PD-L1 was withdrawn by FDA in 2021. Role of immunotherapy is limited to recurrent, unrespectable or metastatic in carcinoma breast patients having MSI high or tumor mutation burden >10 mutt/mob [12].

Hepatocellular carcinoma

IMbrave150 trial included patients with unrespectable hepatocellular carcinoma who had not previously received systemic treatment. The hazard ratio for death with Atezolizumab-Bevacizumab as compared with Sorafenib was 0.58 (95% Confidence Interval (CI), 0.42 to 0.79; P<0.001). Overall survival at 12 months was 67.2% (95% CI, 61.3 to 73.1) with Atezolizumab-Bevacizumab and 54.6% (95% CI, 45.2 to 64.0) with Sorafenib. Median progression free survival was 6.8 months (95% CI, 5.7 to 8.3) and 4.3 months (95% CI, 4.0 to 5.6) in the respective groups (hazard ratio for disease progression or death, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.47 to 0.76; P<0.001). In patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma, Atezolizumab combined with Bevacizumab resulted in better overall and progression free survival outcomes than Sorafenib (Table 1).

| Clinical trial | | Main Objective | DFS/PFS | OS | outcome |
|--------------------|--|----------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| Esophagus, GE junc | tion and Gastric cancer | | | | |
| CheckMate 649 | Adjuvant Nivolumab <i>vs</i> . | | 22.4 months | - | Adjuvant Nivolumab became part of treatment |
| (stage II& III) | Placebo | | 11 months | | |
| | | | 7.7 months | 14.4 months | |
| CheckMate 649 | Nivolumab+ chemotherapy vs. Chemotherapy | | 6.0 months | 11.1 months | Nivolumab + chemotherapy approved in 1 st line treatment in advanced gastric and GE junction tumour oesophageal adenocarcinoma CPS >5 |
| Keynote 590 | Pembrolizumab+ | | 7.5 months | 5.5 months | |
| | chemotherapy vs. Chemotherapy | | 13.9 months | 8.8 months | Pembrolizumab+ chemotherapy approved in 1 st line treatment in |

Page 3 of 4

| | | | | | unresected and metastatic esophagea cancer CPS>10 |
|----------------------------|----------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| Head and Neck | | | | | |
| Keynote 048 | | Pembrolizumab vs Cetuximab +chemotherapy | HR 0:99 95% CI 0.75-1.29 (p=0.4562) | 14∙9 months (CPS >20) 10.7 months | pembrolizumab +chemotherapy became vs. 1 st choice Recurrent, unresectable o metastatic head and nect tumour. pembrolizumal alone in patient with CPS >20 |
| | | Pembrolizumab +chemotherapy vs Cetuximab+ chemotherapy | HR 0·73 95% CI 0.55-0.97 p=0.0162 | 14·7 months (CPS >20) 11.0 months | |
| Lung (NSCLC) | | | | | |
| PACIFIC trial | | Durvalumab vs Placebo | . 10.3 months | 47.5 months | consolidation durvalumab became standard of treatment in stage III NSCLC whose disease had no progressed after cCRT |
| | | | 6 months | 29.1 months | |
| Lung (advanced NSCLC) | | | | | |
| Keynote 024 trial | | Pembrolizumab vs chemotherapy | 16.9 months | 30 months (PDL-1 >50%) | Pembrolizumab improved OS and PFS in advanced untreated NSCLC PDL1>50% without driver mutation |
| | | | 5.6 months | 14.2 months | |
| Extensive stage small cell | carcinoma lung | | | | |
| Impower 133 trial | | atezolizumab | . 5.2 months | 12.3 months | atezolizumab added |
| | | chemotherapy vs. chemotherapy | 4.3 months 10.3 months | | with chemotherapy improved OS and PFS in 1 st line extensive stage small cell lung cancer regardless of PDL1 expression |
| CASPIAN trial | | durvalumab+ | - 13 months | | durvalumab added |
| | | chemotherapy vs chemotherapy | - | 10.3 months | with chemotherapy improved OS in 1 st line extensive stage small cell lung cancer |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma | | | | 1 | |
| Imbrave 150 trial | | atezolizumab+Bev | 6.8 months | 67.2% at 12 months | atezolizumab with |
| | | vs. sorafenib | 4.3 months | 54.6% at 12 months | bevacizumab improved OS and PFS in hepatocellular carcinoma regardless of PDL1 expression |

Conclusion

Immunotherapies have revolutionized the treatment standards in solid tumours. They have provided meaningful survival improvements in patients with poor-prognosis cancers and for certain cancer types in which therapeutic options were limited. Many aspects of their use, including optimal combinations, sequencing, duration, and clinical setting, remain to be clarified. On-going clinical trials will serve to further increase their role, but careful consideration must be given to finding the safest, most individualized, and most cost-effective way to integrate them into the core of cancer care.

Reference

- Esfahani K, Roudaia L, Buhlaiga NA, Del Rincon SV, Papneja N, et al. (2020) A review of cancer immunotherapy: from the past, to the present, to the future. Curr Oncol 27:87-97.
- 2. Kelly RJ, Lockhart AC, Jonker DJ, Melichar B, Andre T, et al. CheckMate 577: A randomized, double-blind, phase 3 study of nivolumab (Nivo) or placebo in patients (Pts) with resected lower esophageal (E) or gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) cancer.

- Sun JM, Shen L, Shah MA, Enzinger P, Adenis A, et al. (2021) Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for firstline treatment of advanced oesophageal cancer (KEYNOTE-590): a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study. Lancet 28:759-71.
- Janjigian YY, Shitara K, Moehler M, Garrido M, Salman P, et al. (2021) First-line nivolumab plus chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for advanced gastric, gastro-oesophageal junction, and oesophageal adenocarcinoma (CheckMate 649): a randomised, openlabel, phase 3 trial. Lancet 3:27-40.
- Burtness B, Harrington KJ, Greil R, Soulières D, Tahara M, et al. (2018) KEYNOTE-048: Phase III study of first-line pembrolizumab (P) for recurrent/metastatic head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (R/M HNSCC). Ann Oncol 1;29:729.
- Gray JE, Villegas A, Daniel D, Vicente D, Murakami S, et al. (2020) Three-year overall survival with durvalumab after chemoradiotherapy in stage III NSCLC—update from PACIFIC. J Thorac Oncol 15:288-93.
- Reck M, Rodriguez-Abreu D, Robinson A, Hui R, Csoszi T, et al. Updated analysis of KEYNOTE-024: pembrolizumab versus platinum-based chemotherapy for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer with PD-L1 tumor proportion score of 50% or greater. J Clin Oncol 37:537-546.
- Reck M, Liu SV, Mansfield AS, Mok TS, Scherpereel A, et al. (2019) IMpower133: Updated overall survival (OS) analysis of first-line

(1L) atezolizumab (atezo)+ carboplatin+ etoposide in extensive-stage SCLC (ES-SCLC). Ann Oncol 30:710-711.

- Goldman JW, Dvorkin M, Chen Y, Reinmuth N, Hotta K, et al. (2021) Durvalumab, with or without tremelimumab, plus platinum–etoposide versus platinum–etoposide alone in first-line treatment of extensivestage small-cell lung cancer (CASPIAN): updated results from a randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 3 trial. Clinical Trial 22:51-65.
- Schmid P, Cortés J, Dent R, Pusztai L, McArthur HL, et al. (2019) KEYNOTE-522: Phase III study of pembrolizumab (pembro)+ chemotherapy (chemo) vs placebo (pbo)+ chemo as neoadjuvant treatment, followed by pembro vs pbo as adjuvant treatment for early triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC). Ann Oncol:853-854.
- Schmid P, Adams S, Rugo HS, Schneeweiss A, Barrios CH, et al. (2019) IMpassion130: updated overall survival (OS) from a global, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, Phase III study of atezolizumab (atezo)+ nab-paclitaxel (nP) in previously untreated locally advanced or metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (mTNBC). J Clin Oncol 37: 1003-1003.
- 12. Cheng AL, Qin S, Ikeda M, Galle P, Ducreux M, et al. (2019) IMbrave150: efficacy and safety results from a ph III study evaluating atezolizumab (atezo)+ bevacizumab (bev) vs sorafenib (Sor) as first treatment (tx) for patients (pts) with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Ann Oncol 30:186-187.