

A Brief Note on Nutritional epidemiological studies and its Science

Kristopher Stedingk*

Department of Pediatrics, Lund University, Sweden

Abstract

Nutritional epidemiology is a relatively new field of medical research that studies the relationship between nutrition and health. It is a young discipline in epidemiology that is continuing to grow in relevance to current health concerns. Diet and physical activity are difficult to measure accurately, which may partially explain why nutrition has received less attention in epidemiology than other risk factors for disease. Nutritional epidemiology uses knowledge from nutritional science to aid in the understanding of human nutrition and the explanation of basic underlying mechanisms. Nutrition The development of dietary recommendations, including those tailored specifically for the prevention of certain diseases, conditions, and cancers, is influenced by these studies' findings, which have an impact on public health. Western researchers say that nutritional epidemiology should be a core part of training for all health and social service professionals because of its growing importance and past successes in improving public health. However, they also say that nutritional epidemiological studies don't always come up with reliable results because they rely on the role that diet plays in health and disease, which is known as an exposure that is prone to a lot of measurement error.

Keywords: Nutrition; Vitamins; Experimental investigations

Introduction

In the 1980s, nutritional epidemiology emerged as a subdiscipline of epidemiology before developing into a core discipline. It examines how nutritional exposures contribute to the development of ill health. The core of nutritional epidemiology is the assessment of these exposures and the investigation of the relationship between exposure and outcome [1]. Early in the twentieth century, nutritional epidemiology became more well-established because of the understanding of how nutrients and vitamins affect deficiency and disease. Later in the twentieth century, it gained further significance when the role of exposure in chronic disease became well-known. Since then, the application of information from nutritional epidemiology has led to significant scientific and social breakthroughs. The reliability of the data was made possible by advancements in the measurement techniques used to measure dietary exposures [2]. Since models of causation now include genetic risk factors, nutritional epidemiology has become increasingly multidisciplinary [3].

Nutritional epidemiology and nutritional science are two fields that share knowledge about the interactions of nutrients, food consumption, and the human body. An understanding of the principles of nutritional sciences is required to understand nutritional epidemiology. The two fields explore diet-disease relationships to provide preventative measures for the public. Research in nutritional science also provides the basis for food regulations and dietary guidelines. Knowledge from nutritional science has raised societal awareness about links between food consumption and wellbeing [4].

Material and Methods

The foundation for nutrition-related discoveries is provided by nutritional epidemiological studies. These studies provide a comprehensive view of the manner in which diet affects or maintains health and wellbeing in individuals and populations. They focus on the aetiology of chronic disease and reveal the relationship between nutrition and health. Nutritional epidemiological study designs are required to establish a definitive relationship between diet and disease in order to develop interventions and policies that will be implemented for the health of the public. There are observational and experimental investigations that have applicable study designs that fall under them, including ecological, cross-sectional, cohort, case control, clinical, and

community trails [5]. The ability to reliably and accurately measure exposures is subject to measurement errors and variation.

Experimental studies can provide stronger evidence for the effect of exposure on outcome, which would otherwise be considered unethical in an observational study because exposure could be harmful. However, observational studies are simpler to carry out and more cost-effective. In contrast, in observational studies, exposures are observed only with no intervention. In nutritional epidemiology, Experimental studies may be used to draw causal conclusions between dietary exposures and health outcomes; however, for some diet-disease relations, there are ethical considerations [6]. Therefore, nutritional policy and procedure decisions are guided by findings from a combination of sources to ensure accuracy, reliability, and validity. The measure of exposure is dependent on the question and study design. It can be measured on individuals or populations in the past or present. The measure of exposure can be objectively or subjectively. The effect of these exposures is measured as outcomes. In nutritional epidemiological studies, the outcome is commonly referred to as the disease state or the anthropometric or physiological state under either continuous or discrete variables [7].

Result

This refers to factors such as food, including nutrients and non-nutrients, and the social environment. It is essential to address the factors that affect food supply, including quality, quantity, and balance, as well as the factors that affect food after consumption [8]. This is the objective of nutritional epidemiological research, which is to provide scientific evidence to support an understanding of the role of nutrition on the causes and prevention of ill health. The first step in epidemiological research is to come up with a specific, doable, and relevant goal for the

*Corresponding author: Kristopher Stedingk, Department of Pediatrics, Lund University, Sweden, E-mail: kristopher45@gmail.com

Received: 01-Dec-2022, Manuscript No: ECR-22-83558; **Editor assigned:** 05-Dec-2022, PreQC No: ECR-22-83558 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 19-Dec-2022, QC No: ECR-22-83558; **Revised:** 22-Dec-2022, Manuscript No: ECR-22-83558 (R); **Published:** 30-Dec-2022, DOI: 10.4172/2161-1165.1000476

Citation: Stedingk K (2022) A Brief Note on Nutritional epidemiological studies and its Science. *Epidemiol Sci*, 12: 476.

Copyright: © 2022 Stedingk K. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

study as well as a target population. The second step is to choose and use the right method to measure exposure and outcome, then do a lot of analysis [9]. To ensure the reliability of the relationship evaluated, exposure and the desired outcome are measured. The results of studies will be used to improve health care if they are well-designed, have a solid foundation, detailed methodology, and are guided by ethical principles. Knowledge of previous and current literature is required for each step.

Discussion

In the past, nutritional epidemiology had an impact that changed social, physical, and financial conditions. The observational findings enabled health interventions such as the fortification of foods and limits/bans on certain substances from food. These implemented changes have since enhanced human health and wellbeing through prevention and improvement. Nutritional epidemiological findings guide dietary recommendations, including the prevention of certain diseases and cancers. They play a role in policies on diet and health because the works are published based on grounding evidence [10]. The nutritional support for some cancer patients provides relief from side effects, improves response to therapy, and reduces the risk of cancer recurrence, all of which improve the quality of life for cancer patients. Progressive effects have also been seen on a variety of infectious diseases, chronic diseases, and congenital malformations, ultimately increasing the burden on the healthcare system and striving for optimal function. Research suggests that its impact has been promising specifically on cancer patients.

An observational-individual study known as a case-control study is defined by the outcome (measures outcomes in the present and establishes past exposure). It includes two gatherings controls and cases (unhealthy), both which have two medicines; exposed and unexposed. Case-control studies can be used to study rare diseases over long periods of time; however, they are limited to examining a single outcome and are subject to bias if selected control groups are not representative of the population, resulting in misleading results.

Conclusion

An observational-individual study known as a cross-sectional study measures exposure and outcome in the present. Cross-sectional studies offer advantages such as the capacity to measure multiple outcomes and exposures and, as it assess the burden of disease in a specific population, in the planning and allocation of health resources. Cross-sectional studies provide a snapshot of the frequency of disease in a population at a given point in time when examining the relationship between

disease and diet. However, population responses heavily influence the outcome measurement. Responder bias and, consequently, unreliable results are caused by non-response. Dietary the study of disease transmission is the logical premise whereupon general wellbeing sustenance is built. Healthful the study of disease transmission intends to convey information on the most proficient method to adapt to an irregularity between supplements that causes ailment, for example, frailty, goiter squandering and hindering. Measurement is necessary to comprehend the relationship between diet and disease in order to comprehend the characteristics of exposures. In relation to public health recommendations, the value of findings increases when it is possible to link exposure to steps in the causal pathway.

References

1. Heywood VH (2011) Ethnopharmacology, food production, nutrition and biodiversity conservation: towards a sustainable future for indigenous peoples. *J Ethnopharmacology* 137:1-15.
2. Martin SL, Cakmak S, Hebborn CA, Avramescu ML, Tremblay N (2012) Climate change and future temperature-related mortality in 15 Canadian cities. *Int J Biometeorol* 56:605-19.
3. Morris GP, Reis S, Beck SA, Fleming LE, Adger WN, et al. (2017) Scoping the proximal and distal dimensions of climate change on health and wellbeing. *Environ Health* 16(Suppl 1):116.
4. Barrett B, Charles JW, Temte JL (2015) Climate change, human health, and epidemiological transition. *Prev Med* 70:69-75.
5. Graber DR, Jones WJ, Johnson JA (1995) Human and ecosystem health: the environment-agriculture connection in developing countries. *J Agromedicine* 2:47-64.
6. Agnew A, Fulford AJC, Mwanje MT, Gachuhi K, Gutsmann V, et al. (1996) Age-dependent reduction of schistosome fecundity in *Schistosoma haematobium* but not *Schistosoma mansoni* infections in humans. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 55: 338-343?
7. Flint M, Patterson-Kane JC, Limpus CJ, Mills PC (2010) Health surveillance of stranded green turtles in Southern Queensland, Australia (2006-2009): an epidemiological analysis of causes of disease and mortality. *EcoHealth* 7:135-145.
8. Aguirre AA, Spraker TR, Balazs GH, Zimmerman B (1998) Spirorchidiasis and fibropapillomatosis in green turtles from the Hawaiian Islands. *J Wildl Dis* 34: 91-98.
9. Aiken HM, Hayward CJ, Crosbie P, Watts M, Nowak BF (2008) Serological evidence of an antibody response in farmed southern bluefin tuna naturally infected with the blood fluke. *Cardicola Forsteri* *Fish Shellfish Immunol* 25: 66-75.
10. Chapman PA, Owen H, Flint M, Traub RJ, Cribb TH, et al. (2016) Molecular characterization of coccidia associated with an epizootic in green sea turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) in south east Queensland, Australia. *PLoS One* 11: e0149962.