Journal of Bioterrorism & Biodefense

Research Article Open Access

New Biosensing Technology for Better COVID-19 and Future Pandemic Diagnoses

Joshua Wiedermann*

Department of Biomedical Engineering, School of Medicine, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, 518060, China

Abstract

Individual tools play significant places in the fight against COVID- 19 and other afflictions. Being tests, similar as RT- qPCR, have limitations including long assay time, low outturn, shy perceptivity, and sour portability. Arising biosensing technologies hold the pledge to develop tests that are rapid-fire, largely sensitive, and suitable for point-of- care testing, which could significantly grease the testing of COVID- 19. Despite that, practical operations of similar biosensors in afflictions have yet to be achieved. In this review, we consolidate the recently developed individual tools for COVID- 19 using arising biosensing technologies and bandy their operation pledge. In particular, we present nucleic acid tests and antibody tests of COVID- 19 grounded on both conventional and arising biosensing styles. We also give perspectives on the being challenges and implicit results.

Keywords: COVID- 19SARS- CoV- 2 Pathogen detection Biosensors Point- of- care testing

Introduction

Coronavirus complaint 2019 (COVID- 19) is an ongoing epidemic which poses extreme challenges to public health and global frugality, there have been further than 23 million verified cases with0.8 million deaths worldwide(1), and profitable conditioning have been significantly intruded due to mitigation measures. Combating COVID-19, as well as unborn afflictions, is an important task The pathogen of COVID- 19 was linked as a new coronavirus, named severe acute respiratory pattern coronavirus 2(SARS- CoV- 2), which is a positive-sense single- stranded RNA contagion enclosed by an envelope.

Its genome consists of about 29 thousand nucleotides incorporating ORF1ab, S, E, M, and N genes, among other genes. The envelope of SARS- CoV- 2 is substantially composed of three types of proteins, videlicet shaft(S), envelope(E), and membrane(M) proteins(3). A fourth protein, named nucleocapsid (N) protein, forms complexes with the genomic RNA. The contagion is primarily transmitted through respiratory driblets. As SARS- CoV- 2 patches enter respiratory tracts, S proteins on the contagion envelope bind to angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE2) receptor on host epithelial cells, initiating the contagion infection and replication and leading to pneumonia symptoms similar as fever, cough, fatigue, and briefness of Though COVID- 19 is less murderous compared to severe acute respiratory pattern(SARS) and Middle East respiratory pattern(MERS), the contagion [1-3].

In addition, significant figures of asymptomatic apre-symptomatic carriers of SARS- CoV- 2 have been observed, and there have been presumption that these carriers can exfoliate making the contagion spreading veritably delicate to contain. Diagnostics helps identify infected cases for timely insulation and treatment and therefore plays an important part in the operation of contagious conditions. In the case of COVID- 19, effective and accurate contagion discovery is especially important. Originally, given its high contagiousness and rapid-fire spread, quick and accurate identification of SARS- CoV- 2 carriers is extremely important, taking sensitive tests which can be offered in high volume. Secondly, it's decreasingly believed that COVID- 19 won't vanish within a time. Tore-open the frugality and renew normal life safely, it's important to have contagion and antibody tests to keep track of infections and impunity. Two types of tests have been developed for the diagnostics of COVID- 19, videlicet nucleic acid test and antibody test. After original contagion infection, cases typically witness an incubation period of 4 – 5 days on average, when contagion replicates and viral cargo increases. Viral cargo generally reaches a peak in the alternate week after symptom onsets, before it gradationally winds down.

Given the quick response of viral cargo to contagion infection, test of the viral nucleic acid has been the primary means for the evidence of infection. In discrepancy, antibody cargo shows a important slower response. It was reported that on average, IGEM and Ig didn't reach a sensible position until 13 days after symptom onset still; antibody in the serum stays at a fairly high position indeed after recovery. Thus, antibody test has been specified for the identification of infection history and being impunity. The nucleic acid test of COVID- 19 has been generally grounded on quantitative rear- recap polymerase chain response(RT- qPCR), which detects the presence of contagion during the process of amplifying certain genes of the contagion. Despite its wide use, RT- qPCR has a many limitations. Originally, the opinion of early- phase infection, where the viral cargo is veritably lowis typically ineffective, leading to false negatives, the testing outturn needs to be bettered given the rapid-fire increase in suspected cases before the wind is smoothed [4,5].

Discussion

Thirdly, RT- qPCR cannot be fluently acclimated for point- of- care testing. In lower developed areas, where life is impacted the most by the epidemic, testing laboratories are typically lacking, limiting the testing capability and timely case identification. In addition, in settings similar as customs, resorting to laboratories for testing is impracticable. In both scripts, it's preferable to have the testing performed on-point without being transferred to central laboratory. Immaculately,

***Corresponding author:** Joshua Wiedermann, Department of Biomedical Engineering, School of Medicine, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, 518060, China. E-mail: Joshua.wiedermann32@gmail.com

Received: 3-Jan-2023, Manuscript No: jbtbd-23-85666, **Editor assigned:** 06-Jan-2023, PreQC No: jbtbd-23-85666 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 20-Jan-2023, QC No: jbtbd-23-85666, **Revised:** 23-Jan-2023, Manuscript No: jbtbd-23-85666 (R) **Published:** 30-Jan-2023, DOI: 10.4172/2157-2526.1000319

Citation: Wiedermann J (2023) New Biosensing Technology for Better COVID-19 and Future Pandemic Diagnoses. J Bioterr Biodef, 14: 319.

similar tests should bear minimum mortal intervention for biosafety enterprises and induce results in several twinkles. Biosensing is an exploration field that has seen rapid-fire development in the once decade. Using advances in micro fabrication, nanotechnology, and new biotechnology, experimenters have developed biosensors with bettered perceptivity, particularity, testing speed, and cost- effectivity. As similar, arising biosensing technologies hold great pledge to develop tests that could potentially address the limitations of being tests. Indeed, in face of COVID- 19 epidemic, several individual tests grounded on arising biosensing technologies have been snappily developed, enabling sensitive, high- outturn, and point- of- care testing.

Available individual tools for COVID-19 have been epitomized in a many reviews, including those concentrated on polymerase chain responds molecular opinion, FDA-approved tests micro- and Nano systems among others nonetheless, reviews fastening on the biosensing perspective have been similar reviews would place biosensing exploration in a epidemic environment and give reflections on how biosensing technologies can more help infection tests in afflictions. Then, we consolidate the recently developed individual tools for COVID-19 using arising biosensing technologies and bandy their operation pledge. We first present COVID-19 tests, including both nucleic acid tests and antibody tests, grounded on conventional styles and bandy their limitations, before we present the lately developed tests grounded on arising biosensors. We further give commentary on the advantages of arising biosensing technologies as well as the issues that need to be answered to make them more useful in afflictions. We fantasize the rapid-fire advances in biosensing technology will effectively help the battle against COVID-19 and unborn epidemic outbreak [6-8].

Conventional nucleic acid test Quantitative rear- recap polymerase chain response (RT- qPCR) is presently the routine system used for the discovery of SARS- CoV- 2. Compactly, samples are collected from cases through nasopharyngeal tar, before the contagion RNA is uprooted from the medium. Contagion RNA is also reverse transcribed into reciprocal DNA, which is in turn amplified through PCR and detected using fluorescent colorings or labeled inquiry styles. In the PCR process, specifically designed manuals are used to insure that only chosen genes are amplified. Therefore, manual design is critical to achieve high perceptivity andspecificity.A. A many manual and inquiry designs have been developed, substantially targeting ORF1ab gene, N gene, or E gene. For illustration Proposed and validated the test tackle targeting RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (Dry) gene in ORF1ab and E gene. Their test results showed a limit of discovery of3.9 clones/ response for the E gene assay and3.6 clones/ response for the Dry assay when using in vitro transcribed RNA identical to SARS- CoV- 2 target sequences. Manuals and examinations targeting different corridor of the genes have also been tested and recommended by other exploration

Laboratories ((33), (34), (35)), and several RT-qPCR test accoutrements have entered Emergency Use Authorization(EUA) from the US Food and Drug Administration(FDA) and are commercially available. The two assays generated same results on 102 out of 103 samples, showing an agreement of 99. Still, Ct values from Cepheid assays were slightly lower, which was presumably due to the difference in manual sequences. RT-qPCR detects nucleic acid sequences anyhow of the infectivity of the contagion. As similar, recovered cases continued to show positive RT-qPCR results, indeed though replication-competent contagion wasn't insulated(,38). This finding was conceivably attributed to that RT-qPCR detected inactive contagion remaining in the cases. In the current form of RT-qPCR test for COVID-19, instance is typically collected by nasopharyngeal tar, which requires well-trained labor force for dependable slice.

Specimen collection with compromised quality has been supposed as an implicit source of false negative test results. In addition, the high- volume consumption of hearties and transportation medium has brought about force chain issues. To address this problem, a many styles have been reported. Results showed that dry hearties supported the contagion discovery at the endpoint of RT-qPCR and the perceptivity wasn't mainly compromised. Given the specialized difficulty in carrying nasopharyngeal tar instance, the possibility of using indispensable instance has been explored. Experimenters reported the discovery of contagion using slaver instance with high perceptivity and high agreement in testing outgrowth with the established test protocols, suggesting that slaver could be a dependable instance for case confirmation Co infection with microorganisms other than SARS- CoV-2 has probably contributed to the morbidity and mortality of COVID-19. To descry SARS- CoV-2 while contemporaneously assessing the background microbiome, Nano pore sequencing was used to perform met genomic analysis.

Results linked the confection with other microorganisms similar as Fuso bacterium period notice and mortal betaherpesvirus 5 among cases. Though in terms of opinion, the perceptivity isn't as good as RT-qPCR, this system can potentially be used to guide confection treatment and examiner viral elaboration. A Nano pore sequencing grounded protocol for the molecular opinion of COVID-19 was latterly published by Oxford Nano pore TechnogiesInc. And have been extensively espoused in the United Kingdom. In addition, a many other sequencing- grounded SARS-CoV-2 discovery and surveillance styles have been reported developed a protocol grounded on Sanger sequencing (76). Compactly, instance was directly added to PCR master blend without the step of RNA birth, before being amplified and sequenced. Using frame- shifted shaft- in as the instance, it was shown that a limit of discovery similar to RT- qPCR was achieved [9,10].

Conclusion

Clinical laboratories and that each instrument can handle up to 3840 samples per day, this system could be a important supplementary test a whole genome sequencing system, named Pathogen- acquainted Low- cost Assembly &Re-sequencing, for rapid-fire, low- cost, and largely sensitive SARS-CoV-2 opinion. The assay showed a limit of discovery of 86 genome coequals per milliliter, with a cost of 30/ case and turn- around time of 24 prisoner RNA-intermediated oligonucleotide Annealing Selection and Ligation with coming generation DNA sequencing 0(cRASL-seq) for targeted, multiplexed contagion discovery. In cRASL-seq, a biotinylated prisoner inquiry and two ligation examinations were espoused. Upon hybridization with target RNA, ligation examinations are ligated, before the targets were captured and amended through biotin. Ligation examinations were also sequenced, enabling the identification of target contagion RNA. Combined with sample barcoding, the assay showed high scalability and extremely low per- sample cost. In another study developed a targeted sequencing system, named V-seq, using densely tiled rear recap manuals across SARS- CoV-2 genome Especially designed heaters at the end were incorporated to minimize miss- matching with on-viral RNA and increase particularity. This protocol could be completed within 5 h with a cost of only$ 6 per sample.

Acknowledgement

None

Conflict of Interest

None

References

1. Reddy V R, Singh S K, Anbumozhi V (2016) Food Supply Chain Disruption Due to Natural Disasters: Entities, Risks, and Strategies for Resilience. ERIA Discussion Paper.
2. Manzini R, Accorsi R (2013) The new conceptual framework for food supply chain assessment. J Food Eng 115: 251-263.
3. Davis K F, Downs S, Gephart J A (2021) Towards food supply chain resilience to environmental shocks. Nature Food 2: 54-65.
4. Tukamuhabwa B R, Stevenson, Busby J, Zorzini M (2015) Supply chain resilience: definition, review and theoretical foundations for further study. Int J Prod Res 53: 5592-5623.
5. Stone J, Rahimifard S (2018) Resilience in agri-food supply chains: a critical analysis of the literature and synthesis of a novel framework. Supply Chain Manag Int J 22: 207-238.
6. Singh C S, Soni G, Badhotiya G K (2010) Performance indicators for supply chain resilience: review and conceptual framework. J Indust Eng Int 15: 105-117.
7. Chen S , Brahma S, Mackay J, Cao C, Aliakbarian B (2020) The role of smart packaging system in food supply chain. J Food Sci 85: 517-525.
8. Barrangou R, Notebaart A R (2019) CRISPR-Directed Microbiome Manipulation across the Food Supply Chain. Trends Microbiol 27: 489-496.
9. Bernstad A K, Canovas A, Rogerio V (2017) Consideration of food wastage along the supply chain in lifecycle assessments: A mini-review based on the case of tomatoes. Waste Manag Res 35: 29-39.
10. Cullen T, Hatch J, Martin W, Higgins J W, Sheppard R (2015) Food Literacy: Definition and Framework for Action. Can J Diet Pract Res 76: 140-145.