

Preventable public health problem that affects due to the Intimate Partner Violence

Jonason Eriksson*

Department of Environmental Medicine, Division of Biostatistics, Karolin Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

Abstract

Intimate Partner Violence (IPV) is a significant public health concern with far-reaching consequences for individuals, families, and communities. This abstract highlights the preventable nature of IPV and its implications for public health. IPV refers to any form of violence or abuse—physical, sexual, emotional, or economic—occurring within an intimate relationship. IPV affects individuals of all genders, socioeconomic backgrounds, and cultural groups. Its prevalence is alarming, with millions of individuals worldwide experiencing IPV each year. The consequences of IPV extend beyond immediate physical harm, leading to long-term physical, psychological, and social effects on survivors. Furthermore, children exposed to IPV may suffer from developmental delays, behavioral problems, and increased vulnerability to violence in their own relationships later in life. By understanding the preventable nature of IPV and implementing evidence-based interventions, we can strive to create a society free from intimate partner violence. Public health initiatives must continue to prioritize prevention efforts, raise awareness, and provide comprehensive support to survivors, aiming for a future where individuals can thrive in violence-free relationships and communities.

Keywords: Intimate Partner Violence; Physical health; Emotional wellness

Introduction

Effective prevention strategies encompass primary, secondary, and tertiary approaches. Primary prevention efforts aim to address root causes such as gender inequality, social norms, and harmful cultural practices that perpetuate violence. Secondary prevention involves early identification and intervention, focusing on reducing risk factors and promoting protective factors [1]. Tertiary prevention focuses on supporting survivors and providing resources for recovery, including counseling, legal assistance, and shelters. Public health interventions play a crucial role in preventing IPV by fostering awareness, education, and community engagement. Collaborative efforts between healthcare professionals, policymakers, law enforcement agencies, and community organizations are essential to address IPV comprehensively. Multi-sectoral approaches that integrate prevention, response, and support services can break the cycle of violence and promote healthier, safer relationships [2].

Effects of Intimate Partner Violence on the Mental Health of Ethnically Diverse Women:

Women's mental health is significantly impacted by intimate partner violence (IPV), and frequently covers a wider range of outcomes, such as physical health, social and occupational functioning, and quality of life. IPV, otherwise called homegrown maltreatment or aggressive behavior at home, is characterized as single or intermittent episodes of any danger or demonstration of mental, physical, and sexual kinds of maltreatment from a past or current close accomplice. Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), depression, and substance abuse have all been linked to IPV survivors' experiences of abuse [3]. While partner abuse is frequently the result of abuse, it can also be a precursor to involvement in violent or negative relationships. Emotional wellness conditions might fluctuate in light of the seriousness, recurrence, and sort of accomplice viciousness that ladies experience. More prominent seriousness of actual IPV is related with an expansion in PTSD side effects for female survivors. Additionally, a survivor's mental health may be affected differently by the kind of violence they endure. A review looking over 9,800 ladies in North Carolina saw that as 54% of ladies revealing both physical and sexual brutality detailed at least

one days in the previous month in which their psychological wellness was bad when contrasted with 28% who revealed no savagery. At long last, survivors with a higher recurrence of harmful episodes are two to multiple times bound to encounter PTSD, misery, and substance maltreatment than are independently deceived ladies [4].

Utilization of Mental Health Services by IPV Survivors of Different Ethnicities:

Utilization of Mental Health Services by IPV Survivors from Ethnically Diverse Groups Despite the frequent and high rates of co-occurring mental health issues with IPV, there is a lot of evidence that the mental health needs of women affected by IPV are not being met, and that these issues are worse for women from ethnically diverse groups [5]. While less than one-third of women in the general population report seeking assistance related to partner abuse, female IPV survivors are more likely than women who were not exposed to IPV to report having a need for mental health services. Minority women are even less likely than white women to seek help from a variety of formal and informal sources, with Hispanic women being the least likely to do so. These findings point to disparities in the utilization of mental health services by IPV-affected women of diverse ethnicities despite prominent mental health problems such as depression, PTSD, and anxiety [6].

Mental health service access and utilization barriers:

The underutilization of mental health services among women affected by IPV is even more pronounced among minority women.

***Corresponding author:** Jonason Eriksson, Department of Environmental Medicine, Division of Biostatistics, Karolin Institute, Stockholm, Sweden, E-mail Id: jonason.eriksson@gmail.com

Received: 02-Feb-2023, Manuscript No: gnfs-23-102225; **Editor assigned:** 06-Feb-2023, Pre QC No. gnfs-23-102225 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 20-Feb-2023, QC No. gnfs-23-102225; **Revised:** 21-Feb-2023, Manuscript No. gnfs-23-102225 (R); **Published:** 28-Feb-2023, DOI: 10.4172/2572-0899.1000213

Citation: Eriksson J (2023) Preventable public health problem that affects due to the Intimate Partner Violence. Glob J Nurs Forensic Stud, 7: 213.

Copyright: © 2023 Eriksson J. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Although the underlying reasons for the observed patterns are less well understood, patterns of help-seeking behavior among IPV-affected women suggest that IPV survivors may use no services, use informal care networks, or seek services but do not use them. In a study of roughly 4,500 ethnically different ladies who have experienced IPV, 71% of the subjects said they go to family or companions for help, 45% go to the police or policing, just 22% utilize the crisis division. Furthermore, notwithstanding the underutilization of psychological well-being care administrations, numerous IPV impacted ladies patients actually express interest in psychosocial care and treatment as revealed in a concentrate by 84% of its subjects [7].

Hindrances to Access and Usage of Psychological well-being Administrations:

While distributed writing has archived the requirement for better admittance to and usage of psychological well-being administrations among female overcomers of IPV, particularly for minority ladies, the hindrances to getting to and utilizing administrations among minority ladies encountering IPV are not surely known. A combination of individual minority population beliefs, practices, and resources, as well as the ways in which mental health services are organized, financed, and delivered within communities, may reflect the barriers to seeking, accessing, and using mental health services in IPV-affected minority populations. The behavioral health model of help-seeking behavior in vulnerable populations identified a number of individual and organizational characteristics-based factors that may help explain observed patterns of health service use [8].

Materials and Methods

Study Design

Epidemiological studies: These aim to determine the prevalence, incidence, and risk factors associated with IPV. These evaluate the effectiveness of prevention programs, policies, or interventions targeting IPV [9].

Sample Selection

Random sampling: Participants are selected randomly from a defined population, ensuring representativeness.

Convenience sampling: Participants are selected based on their availability or accessibility.

Targeted sampling: Participants are specifically chosen based on certain criteria, such as survivors of IPV or specific demographics.

Data Collection

Surveys/questionnaires: Structured or semi-structured surveys are administered to gather information about experiences of IPV, risk factors, and health outcomes. In-depth interviews are conducted to gain qualitative insights into the experiences and perspectives of survivors, perpetrators, or professionals working in the field.

Medical records review: Researchers analyze medical records to identify cases of IPV and examine associated health consequences.

Observational methods: Researchers directly observe and document instances of IPV or its aftermath, either in real-time or through video/audio recordings.

Variables and Measures

IPV measures: Various validated scales and instruments are used to assess the frequency, severity, and types of IPV experienced by individuals.

Demographic variables: Information such as age, gender, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, and relationship characteristics are collected.

Health outcomes: Measures include physical injuries, mental health disorders (e.g., depression, anxiety), substance abuse, and reproductive health issues.

Ethical Considerations

Participants provide voluntary consent after being informed about the purpose, risks, and benefits of the study. Steps are taken to protect the privacy of participants and ensure that their identities are not disclosed. Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval, Studies involving human subjects adhere to ethical guidelines and receive approval from relevant research ethics boards [10].

Data Analysis

Quantitative analysis: Statistical methods, such as chi-square tests, regression models, or survival analysis, are employed to analyze survey data and examine associations between variables.

Qualitative analysis: Thematic analysis, content analysis, or discourse analysis is used to analyze interview transcripts or observational data, uncovering patterns and themes.

Results

Prevalence and Characteristics of IPV:

- Provide an overview of the prevalence rates of IPV in the studied population.
- Present demographic characteristics of the participants, such as age, gender, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status.
- Describe the types of IPV experienced (physical, sexual, emotional, economic) and the frequency/severity of incidents.

Risk Factors and Associations:

- Identify and discuss the risk factors associated with IPV, such as substance abuse, prior history of violence, and socioeconomic disparities.
- Present statistical analyses or qualitative findings that reveal significant associations between risk factors and IPV occurrence or severity.
- Highlight any subgroup differences or patterns identified in the data.

Health Outcomes:

- Present the physical and mental health consequences experienced by survivors of IPV.
- Discuss the prevalence of physical injuries, mental health disorders (e.g., depression, anxiety), and reproductive health issues.
- Present any statistical associations or qualitative findings that link IPV to specific health outcomes.

Discussion

Comparison with Existing Literature:

- Compare your study's findings with existing research on IPV to determine if they align with or diverge from previous studies.

- Highlight any similarities or differences in prevalence rates, risk factors, or health outcomes.
- Discuss the implications of these findings in the broader context of IPV research.

Explanation of Findings:

- Interpret the results and offer possible explanations for the observed patterns or associations.
- Consider theoretical frameworks or existing literature to support your interpretations.
- Discuss potential mechanisms through which risk factors contribute to the occurrence or severity of IPV.

Public Health Implications:

- Discuss the public health significance of your findings and their implications for prevention and intervention efforts.
- Highlight the importance of addressing risk factors and promoting protective factors to prevent IPV.
- Consider the role of healthcare professionals, policymakers, and community organizations in addressing IPV effectively.

Conclusion

The conclusion of a study on intimate partner violence (IPV) should provide a concise summary of the key findings and their implications. While I don't have access to specific research data, I can offer a general framework for crafting a conclusion section. Here's an example structure:

Summary of Findings:

- Briefly recapitulate the main findings of the study regarding the prevalence, characteristics, risk factors, and health outcomes associated with IPV.
- Highlight any significant associations or patterns observed in the data.

Implications for Public Health:

- Discuss the implications of the study findings for public health policies, interventions, and prevention efforts.

- Highlight the need for multi-sectoral collaborations involving healthcare professionals, policymakers, law enforcement agencies, and community organizations.

Acknowledgement

None

References

1. Ayalon L, Alvidrez J (2007) The Experience of Black Consumers in the Mental Health System- Identifying Barriers to and Facilitators of Mental Health Treatment Using the Consumers' Perspective. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing* 28:1323-1340.
2. Barrio C, Palinkas L, Yamada A, Fuentes D, Criado V, et al. (2008) Unmet Needs for Mental Health Services for Latina Older Adults: Perspectives From Consumers, Family Members, Advocates, and Service Providers. *Community Mental Health Journal* 44:57-74.
3. Bauer HM, Rodríguez MA, Quiroga SS, Flores-Ortiz YG (2000) Barriers to health care for abused Latina and Asian immigrant women. *Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved* 11:33-44.
4. Briere J, Jordan CE (2004) Violence against Women: Outcome Complexity and Implications for Assessment and Treatment. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence* 19:1252-1276.
5. Chow J, Jaffee K, Snowden L (2003) Racial/ Ethnic Disparities in the Use of Mental Health Services in Poverty Areas. *American Journal of Public Health* 93:792-797.
6. Coker A, Watkins KW, Smith PH, Brandt HM (2003) Social support reduces the impact of partner violence on health: application of structural equation models. *Preventative Medicine* 37:259-267.
7. Davis R, Ressler K, Schwartz A, Stephens K, Bradley R (2008) Treatment Barriers for Low-Income, Urban African Americans with Undiagnosed Post Traumatic Stress Disorder. *J Trauma Stress* 21:218-222.
8. El-Khoury MY, Dutton MA, Goodman LA, Engel L, Belamaric RJ, et al. (2004) Ethnic Differences in Battered Women's Formal Help-Seeking Strategies: A Focus on Health, Mental Health, and Spirituality. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology* 10:383-393.
9. Evans-Campbell T, Lindhorst T, Huang B, Walters KL (2006) Interpersonal Violence in the Lives of Urban American Indian and Alaska Native Women: Implications for Health, Mental Health, and Help-Seeking. *American Journal of Public Health* 96:1416-1422.
10. Rodriguez MA, Meilemann M, Fielder E, Ang A, Nevarez F, et al. (2008) Intimate Partner Violence, Depression, and PTSD Among Pregnant Latina Women. *Annals of Family Medicine* 6:44-52.