Journal of Clinical Diabetes

Ronald, J Clin Diabetes 2023, 7:5

Short Communication Open Access

Exercise Training and Endothelial Function in Diabetes Patients

Dora Ronald*

Department of Endocrinology and Diabetes, Lyceum-Northwestern University, Dagupan, Philippines

Abstract

Exercise training is considered a foundation in the operation of type 2 diabetes, which is associated with impaired endothelial function. Still, the association of exercise training with endothelial function in type 2 diabetes cases has not been fully understood. This meta-analysis aimed to probe their associations with focus on exercise types. Exercise training, in particular aerobic and combined exercise, improves endothelial function in type 2 diabetes cases, but such an improvement appears to be weakened compared with non-diabetics. The endothelium, a monolayer of cells that provides a physical barricade between vessel lumen and vascular wall, is essential in maintaining vascular homeostasis, a process which is recognized to be primarily modulated *via* its release of a list of brokers that regulate blood coagulation and vascular tone. Endothelial dysfunction is appertained to the condition where the endothelium loses its physiological parcels but shows a tendency towards vasoconstriction, pro-thrombotic, and pro-inflammatory countries. In addition to being a well-recognized precursor of atherosclerosis, endothelial dysfunction has also been considered a pathophysiological hallmark characterized by type 2 diabetes.

Keywords: Exercise; Diabetic patients; Type 2 diabetes; Pathophysiological; Endothelium

Introduction

This originates in the validation that endothelial dysfunction is constantly observed in cases with type 2 diabetes and predicts the trouble of incident type 2 diabetes. On the other hand, endothelial dysfunction is recognized to be an initiating and important factor in the development and progression of diabetes related micro vascular and macro vascular complications [1]. Since exercise training is a pivotal element in the operation of type 2 diabetes and given that endothelial dysfunction might be a remedial target for diabetes, there is a growing interest in exploring the influence of exercise training on endothelial function in cases with type 2 diabetes. Still, available studies on this content have shown inconsistent and inconclusive findings [2]. Some Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs) have indicated that exercise training improves endothelial function, while others noted that it may not. Also, ultimate of these studies had small sample sizes ranging from 13 to 39. Noteworthy, Montero and associates conducted a meta-analysis with enhanced statistical power in 2013 pointing out that in cases with type 2 diabetes exercises training increased Flux interceded Dilation (FMD) a non-invasive but the most considerably used approach for endothelial function assessment but their conclusion was predicated on five RCTs from four papers [3].

While farther combined RCTs were published. The authors did not assess the influences of different exercise training types (e.g. aerobic, resistance or combined training) on endothelial function, nor explored the implicit speakers (e.g. glycemic control, blood pressure or cardiorespiratory fitness) in predicting the changes in endothelial function related to exercise training, possibly because of the limited number of studies available at that time [4,5]. Therefore, we conducted this meta-analysis by incorporating the bottommost validation with a primary focus on the impacts of exercise training and exercise types on endothelial function assessed by FMD in cases with type 2 diabetes as well as on the exploration of their implicit speakers. Also, since endothelial function is evidentially crippled in cases with type 2 diabetes compared with non-diabetic controls, our secondary end was to assess whether the presence of type 2 diabetes would depress the improvement in endothelial function in response to exercise training a regular literature quest for applicable studies published in English was conducted in the databases of PubMed, the Cochrane central register of controlled trials and web of science from their nascences to January 12th, 2018.

Description

In addition, the reference lists of applicable papers, reviews and meta analyses were manually checked for other suitable studies. The words or terms used for searching were linked with “endothelial function”, “diabetes” and “exercise training for studies reporting standard crimes, 95 Confidence Intervals (CIs) or interquartile ranges, the standard diversions were attained using the styles described in Cochrane handbook for regular reviews or reported previously. For studies including two different exercise training interventions, the control group was resoluteness into two groups with lower sample sizes, aiming to give nicely independent comparisons and to overcome the unit of analysis error. Post intervention FMD values were primarily chosen for analysis in general, but only the change scores from birth were named for assessing the impact of the actuality of type 2 diabetes on endothelial function in response to exercise training, which is because the birth FMD results were not analogous between type 2 diabetes cases and non-diabetes controls. The Weighted Mean Differences (WMDs) with 95 CIs were calculated using an arbitrary

***Corresponding author:** Dora Ronald, Department of Endocrinology and Diabetes, Lyceum-Northwestern University, Dagupan, Philippines; E-mail: dora.ronald23@gmail.com

Received: 27-February-2023, Manuscript No. JCDS-23-90241; **Editor assigned:** 01-March-2023, PreQC No. JCDS-23-90241 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 15-March-2023, QC No. JCDS-23-90241; **Revised:** 02-May-2023, Manuscript No. JCDS-23-90241 (R); **Published:** 09-May-2023, DOI: 10.4172/JCDS.1000192

Citation: Ronald D (2023) Exercise Training and Endothelial Function in Diabetes Patients. J Clin Diabetes 7: 192.

goods model, which seems to more regard for between study diversity and could give farther conservative results than a fixed goods model.

The diversity was estimated using the I^2 statistic, with the value >50 reflective of significant diversity. Group analysis was conducted to probe the impact of exercise types on endothelial function and meta regression analyses were accepted to assess the influence of case and intervention characteristics in moderating changes in endothelial function. Perceptivity analyses were performed to assess the robustness of the findings by confining the analyses to studies using exercise training as the sole intervention, reporting no or only minor changes in medicine use during the intervention periods or employing the intention to treat analysis. Publication bias was estimated using the Begg's and Egger's tests, with the $P<0.10$ reflective of significance. All the analyses were conducted using STATA software. A 2 sided $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant unless additional indicated.

Our meta-analysis revealed that exercise training, in particular aerobic and combined aerobic and resistance exercise, significantly bettered endothelial function in cases with type 2 diabetes, as indicated by increased FMD and this manner sounded to be independent of changes in traditional cardio metabolic markers including BMI, blood pressure, glycemic control or cardiorespiratory fitness in relation to exercise training. Still, our meta-analysis did not give respectable validation that high intensity interval aerobic exercise was superior to moderate intensity continuous aerobic exercise in perfecting endothelial function. Noteworthy, the increases in FMD in response to exercise training in type 2 diabetics were lower than that in non-diabetics, indicating that the presence of diabetes may weaken the exercise training goods on endothelial function. In addition, the largest increase in FMD observed in combined exercise suggests that this mixed form might be superior to aerobic or resistance exercise in perfecting endothelial function predicated on our group analyses across exercise types with indirect comparisons. Still, it's noteworthy that they may not control for energy expenditure or training duration in every section, and that there was only a single study with a small sample size that explored the influence of resistance exercise on endothelial function, which might affect the issues of interest (e.g. may underestimate the goods of resistance exercise). Future studies are in need to determine which exercise type might be the swish bone in adding FMD using head to head designs with the energy expenditure and/or training time matched for each section across different exercise types.

In recent times Ramos and associates reported that high intensity interval aerobic exercise, which acts in a time saving manner, produces a lower positive influence on endothelial function versus moderate intensity continuous aerobic exercise in a mixed adult population. Still, our meta-analysis in cases with type 2 diabetes did not give respectable validation in support of this notion, which might be largely attributable to the differences in the target populations as well as the small number of studies included. Also, Ramos and associates directed out that the improvement in endothelial function associated with high intensity interval aerobic exercise over moderate intensity continuous exercise might be owing to its superiority in adding cardiorespiratory fitness, perfecting glycemic control and lowering blood pressure, suggesting an implicit positive relation between endothelial function and cardio metabolic markers. Yet our meta regression analyses, predicated on the pars of party characteristics for each study did not support such a supposition, which could be also substantiated by the findings from the individual study by Gibbs and associates and the cross sectional observation.

Conclusion

It seems likely that the benefits of exercise training on endothelial function are independent of advancements in cardio metabolic health among cases with type 2 diabetes, which, albeit, still requires further examinations using the individual party data. In summary, this meta-analysis indicates that exercise training, especially aerobic or combined aerobic and resistance exercise, improves endothelial function in cases with type 2 diabetes. Such an improvement is likely to be independent of changes in traditional cardio metabolic markers associated with exercise training, but appears to be weakened compared with a non-diabetes state. Especially, despite a larger effect that was seen with combined exercise in perfecting endothelial function compared with aerobic or resistance exercise alone, studies did not have specifications on the controls for energy expenditure or training time for every section. Future studies with longer intervention durations are demanded to sort out the optimal exercise type to meliorate pathological conditions of endothelial dysfunction in cases with type 2 diabetes using head to head designs with the energy expenditure and/or training time matched for each section across different exercise types.

References

1. Rauramaa R, Hassinen M (2011) Exercise training and endothelial function. Curr Cardiovasc Risk Rep 5: 323-330.
2. Qiu S, Cai X, Yin H, Sun Z, Zugel M, et al. (2018) Exercise training and endothelial function in patients with type 2 diabetes: A meta-analysis. Cardiovasc Diabetol 17: 1-2.
3. Maiorana A, O'Driscoll G, Cheetham C, Dembo L, Stanton K, et al. (2001) The effect of combined aerobic and resistance exercise training on vascular function in type 2 diabetes. J Am Coll Cardiol 38: 860-866.
4. Clarkson P, Montgomery HE, Mullen MJ, Donald AE, Powe AJ, et al. (1999) Exercise training enhances endothelial function in young men. J Am Coll Cardiol 33: 1379-1385.
5. Seeger JP, Thijssen DH, Noordam K, Cranen ME, Hopman MT, et al. (2011) Exercise training improves physical fitness and vascular function in children with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab 13: 382-384.