Cancer Surgery

Editorial Open Access

3D Bioprinting for Surgical Planning in Cancer

Solene Lafargue*

Department of Oncology, University of Sydney, Australia

Abstract

3D bioprinting technology has emerged as a transformative tool in cancer surgery, enabling more precise, personalized surgical planning. By creating accurate, patient-specific tumor models, 3D bioprinting enhances the ability to visualize tumor morphology, assess complex anatomical structures, and simulate surgical procedures before operating on the patient. This article discusses the integration of 3D bioprinting into cancer surgery, highlighting its potential to improve preoperative planning, guide tumor resection, and personalize treatment. By using bioprinted models, surgeons can better understand the tumor's relationship with surrounding tissues, optimize surgical approaches, and reduce the risk of complications. The article also reviews the challenges, current applications, and future prospects of 3D bioprinting in cancer surgery.

Keywords: 3D bioprinting; Cancer surgery; Tumor modeling; Personalized treatment; Surgical planning

Introduction

Cancer surgery is a critical aspect of cancer treatment, requiring high precision to maximize tumor resection while minimizing damage to surrounding healthy tissues. Traditional surgical planning relies heavily on imaging modalities like CT scans, MRI, and ultrasound. However, these two-dimensional imaging techniques can sometimes be insufficient for visualizing the full complexity of a tumor's relationship with adjacent organs and structures. 3D bioprinting offers an innovative solution by creating three-dimensional, patient-specific tumor models that can be used for detailed preoperative planning and intraoperative guidance. These bioprinted models not only replicate the size and shape of the tumor but also incorporate the tumor's microenvironment, providing a more realistic representation for surgeons to plan and rehearse complex procedures. With this advanced technology, surgical planning can be tailored to the unique features of each patient, leading to improved outcomes and reduced complications [1][2].

Principles of 3D Bioprinting

3D bioprinting involves the layer-by-layer deposition of biomaterials, cells, and growth factors to build complex three-dimensional structures that mimic biological tissues. The process starts with creating a digital 3D model of the tumor and surrounding tissues using medical imaging data, such as CT or MRI scans. This digital model is then translated into a bioprintable format, and the printing process begins, often using bioinks that include living cells, hydrogels, and scaffolds that allow for tissue growth. The final printed model can accurately replicate the geometry, mechanical properties, and cellular composition of the tumor and adjacent organs. This technology is advantageous in replicating tumors that are difficult to visualize or resect due to their location or involvement with critical structures, offering the possibility of more precise, individualized treatment plans [3][4].

Applications in Surgical Planning

The primary application of 3D bioprinting in cancer surgery is its ability to create accurate, patient-specific models for surgical planning. For example, in cases of complex tumors located near vital organs or blood vessels, a 3D-printed model allows the surgical team to rehearse the procedure in advance, optimizing the approach and identifying potential challenges before the actual surgery. Surgeons can practice resecting the tumor, identifying the tumor's borders, and determining the best method for excision, which leads to greater surgical confidence and precision. Furthermore, 3D bioprinted models can be used to simulate the effects of various surgical approaches, helping surgeons make informed decisions about the best course of action for each patient. The ability to visualize and manipulate a physical model of the tumor enhances spatial awareness, which is particularly important in complex oncological surgeries such as pancreatic, liver, or head and neck cancer resections [5][6].

Personalized Treatment and Tumor Heterogeneity

One of the key advantages of 3D bioprinting in cancer surgery is its potential to address tumor heterogeneity. Cancerous tumors often exhibit variations in their cellular makeup, which can influence treatment responses and the likelihood of metastasis. Traditional imaging cannot always detect these variations, but 3D bioprinted models can incorporate different cell types and simulate the tumor's microenvironment, allowing for a more comprehensive analysis of its biology. Surgeons and oncologists can use these models to assess how the tumor interacts with surrounding tissues, how it responds to different types of treatments, and how it might evolve during surgery. By using 3D bioprinting to create these models, surgical teams can not only plan the resection with greater precision but also optimize adjuvant therapies such as chemotherapy or immunotherapy, tailoring treatment to the individual characteristics of the tumor [7][8].

Enhancing Education and Training

Another valuable application of 3D bioprinting is in medical education and training. Surgeons can use bioprinted tumor models to enhance their skills and techniques, particularly in complex or rare cases. By practicing on realistic, patient-specific models, surgeons can become more proficient in performing difficult procedures, improving their ability to navigate challenging anatomical structures and resect

***Corresponding author:** Solène Lafargue, Department of Oncology, University of Sydney, Australia, E-mail Id: laf_sol21@yahoo.com

Received: 02-Nov-2024, Manuscript No: cns-25-157851, **Editor assigned:** 04-Nov-2024, Pre QC No: cns-25-157851 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 18-Nov-2024, QC No: cns-25-157851, **Revised:** 25-Nov-2024, Manuscript No: cns-25-157851 (R), **Published:** 30-Nov-2024, DOI: 10.4172/2573-542X.1000134

Citation: Solène L (2024) 3D Bioprinting for Surgical Planning in Cancer. Cancer Surg, 9: 134.

tumors without causing unnecessary harm to surrounding tissues. Furthermore, 3D bioprinting can be used in the training of oncologists and surgical teams to visualize and better understand tumor morphology, the interaction between tumors and adjacent tissues, and the nuances of surgical procedures. This hands-on approach to education offers the opportunity for repetitive practice without the risks associated with live patients, leading to improved outcomes for future surgeries [9].

Challenges and Limitations

While 3D bioprinting holds great promise in revolutionizing cancer surgery, several challenges need to be addressed before it can become standard practice. One of the primary limitations is the complexity of the bioprinting process itself. Creating highly accurate and functional tumor models requires advanced technology, specialized materials, and skilled personnel. The cost of 3D bioprinting can also be prohibitive, making it less accessible in resource-limited settings. Additionally, the quality and reproducibility of the printed models can vary, and achieving the exact replication of tumor microenvironments in a printed form is still a work in progress. The integration of 3D bioprinted models into clinical workflows also requires significant adjustments, including standardized protocols for model creation, validation, and use in surgical planning [10].

Future Directions and Potential

The future of 3D bioprinting in cancer surgery is promising, with ongoing research focused on overcoming the current limitations and expanding its applications. Advances in bioinks, printing technology, and tumor modeling are likely to improve the precision, cost-effectiveness, and accessibility of 3D bioprinting. One exciting area of development is the integration of bioprinted tumor models with real-time intraoperative navigation systems. By combining 3D printing with augmented reality (AR) or virtual reality (VR) technologies, surgeons could receive real-time feedback during surgery, enhancing their ability to navigate the tumor and surrounding tissues with greater accuracy. Furthermore, bioprinting has the potential to create functional tissue models that could be used for drug testing and personalized treatment planning, allowing oncologists to test different therapeutic strategies on a 3D-printed replica of the patient's tumor before treatment begins. This approach could ultimately lead to more personalized, targeted treatments that improve patient outcomes and reduce side effects.

Conclusion

3D bioprinting represents a groundbreaking advancement in cancer surgery, offering a new approach to personalized treatment and surgical planning. By providing surgeons with accurate, patient-specific models, 3D bioprinting enhances preoperative preparation, allows for better visualization of tumor anatomy, and enables the optimization of surgical strategies. While challenges remain in terms of cost, technology, and integration into clinical practice, the potential benefits of 3D bioprinting in cancer surgery are significant. With further advancements and broader adoption, this technology could significantly improve surgical outcomes, reduce recurrence rates, and pave the way for more personalized cancer care.

References

1. Fewins J, Simpson CB, Miller FR (2003) Complications of thyroid and parathyroid surgery. Otolaryngol Clin North Am 36: 189-206.
2. Shemen LJ, Strong EW (1989) Complications after total thyroidectomy. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 10: 472-475.
3. Fewins J, Simpson CB, Miller FR (2003) Complications of thyroid and parathyroid surgery. Otolaryngol Clin North Am 36: 189-206.
4. Lin DT, Patel SG, Shaha AR (2002) Incidence of inadvertent parathyroid removal during thyroidectomy. Laryngoscope 112: 608-611.
5. Shah JP, Patel SG (2003) Head and neck surgery and oncology. 3rd edn St.Louis (MO): Mosby.
6. Henry JF, Audiffret J, Denizot A (1988) The nonrecurrent inferior laryngeal nerve: review of 33 cases, including two on the left side. Surgery 104: 977-984.
7. Noshiro H, Shimizu S, Nagai E, Ohuchida K, Tanaka M, et al. (2003) Laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy for early gastric cancer: is it beneficial for patients of heavier weight? Ann Surg 238: 680-685.
8. Muller PE, Jakoby R, Heinert G (2001) Surgery for recurrent goitre: its complications and their risk factors. Eur J Surg 167: 816-821.
9. Randolph GW, Kamani D (2006) The importance of preoperative laryngoscopy in patients undergoing thyroidectomy: voice, vocal cord function, and the preoperative detection of invasive thyroid malignancy. Surgery 139: 357-362.
10. Grillo HC, Zannini P (1986) Resectional management of airway invasion by thyroid carcinoma. Ann Thorac Surg 42: 287-298.