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Abstract
The purpose of this research was to develop a generalized adaptive 3D body model the posture of which could be adapted to different positions in order to perform a virtual prototyping of garments for people with limited body abilities. The digital data of a tested person’s body was acquired using the GOM Atos scanning system. Careful surface reconstruction was performed in order to provide an adequate mesh for further posture adaptation. The experimental part of this article presents the usages of a variety of graphic programs in order to provide an adaptive 3D body model through kinematic skeleton construction. The mesh-deformation during posture adaptation was improved using advanced tools of applied software packages. The usefulness of the gained 3D body model was determined by calculating the differences between the real and digital 3D body model measurements.
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Introduction
The utilities of three-dimensional body models, also called avatars or humanoids, are unlimited just as human activities are unlimited. The book "Virtual Humans" clearly demonstrates the scope of their applicability from virtual presenters for Television (TV) and World Wide Web (WEB), to virtual assistants for training in the case of emergency, virtual workers in industrial applications, virtual actors in computer-generated movies to virtual characters for the garment industry [1]. The complexity of today's garment industry has led to unit advanced computer-aided (CAD) technologies and 3D graphic software for assisting designers' creativities, reducing garments' manufacturing costs and more importantly to serve customers' needs and increase their satisfaction percentage [2]. Recently, an obvious trend in garment manufacturing processes has become epitomized by individualized garment production supported by CAD systems for garment pattern construction [3-6] and their appearances on parametric body models in standing positions, the body dimensions of which could be adjusted to specific customers' body measurements. It refers to garment virtual prototyping based on a made-to-measure concept for ensuring garments' pattern adaptation by taking individual requirements for a garment's style and functionality into consideration [7]. It is well-known that the use of 3D CAD systems for garment pattern design has decreased the production of real garments' prototypes by 20% and the amount of manufacturing waste [8]. A major issue regarding garment virtual prototyping is when evaluating a garment's fit appearance and the fabric's draping behavior on virtual body models are limited to a standing posture with standard body shape characteristics. These body shapes may be adaptable for healthy individual body measurements but useless if there is a need to construct individualized garments for people with physical disabilities.

Based on the United Nation's definition, persons with physical disabilities include those who have long-term physical, mental, intellectual, or sensory impairments, which during interactions with various barriers may hinder their full and effective participation in society on an equal basis with others [9]. In our presented contribution we focused on people with limited body abilities, caused by several forms of injuries, diseases, and amputations. This group of people within the world's population have different kinds of limitations and health conditions and therefore these people with limited body abilities are sensitive about their garments and general appearances. Therefore, greater attention should be focused on constructing special needs garments, a category of functional garments for persons with physical limitations, whose body shapes, sizes, mobility or dexterity are significantly different from people with average body shapes [10]. While the application field of 3D body models is unlimited and improvements and progressions are focused on imitating real humans’ behavior and appearance within virtual environments, there is need to observe an adaptive 3D body model and thereby adaptive garment prototyping for improving the qualities of life of this special group of people.

To date several techniques for human body modeling have been devoted to automatic modifications resulting in models of different shapes with the help of example models [11,12]. One researcher developed a method for fitting high-resolution template meshes to detailed human body range scans from the CEASAR dataset. In order to find a consistent shape representation of non-structured data, this researcher observed two surface-matching algorithms for creating a shape model that could generate different kinds of body shapes in different poses but with limitations to the skinning framework, showing a non-linear relation to the proportion of the body at the joint angles [13]. The parameterization approach synthesizes sample models to a new body model according to the input sizing dimensions. Along with synthesizing the system is characterized by feature wireframes of scanned human bodies for enabling garment design [14]. In addition, there are several methods for fitting deformable body models to body shapes, as parameters to ensure a variety of body shapes [15,16]. Other methods have used data-driven models where the variation of human body shapes was based on a training set of 3D body shapes.
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prosthetics and orthotics. Due to higher resolution features and higher requirements for preparing the scanning process, the scanning systems ATOS I and ATOS Triple Scan predominate among other tested scanners when scanning a plaster cast among other tested scanners, while the tested hand scanner Artec MH showed a better alternative for a direct scanning of a patient's body parts [22].

In order to increase the interest of people with limited body abilities within the garment manufacturing process, the aim of this study was to develop a generalized adaptive 3D body model within the following activities:

- Scanning the surface of a test person's body in standing and sitting positions.
- Reconstruction of observed polygonal meshed surfaces.
- Kinematic skeleton construction inside a watertight polygonal mesh in a standing position.
- Adjusting the 3D body model from a standing to a sitting position.
- Comparing the real body measures with a 3D body model's measures in standing-, sitting- and adjusted sitting positions.

Experimental

Scanning of a human body with a GOM Atos II 400 3D optical scanner

In the field of computer graphics for human body modeling, scanning technology represents an effective technique for obtaining the polygonal meshed surface of a human body in order to avoid manual sculpting techniques of meshed surfaces. In this research, the surface of a human body in both standing and sitting positions was obtained by using a three-dimensional laser scanner.

The GOM Atos™ II 400 [23], is installed at the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering in Maribor and used for different research purposes. Figure 1 shows a schematic representation of a GOM Atos scanning system, which operates using a triangulation principle [20,21], for converting the obtained point clouds to three-dimensional surfaces. Its configuration includes the following technical features: measuring volume of 1200 × 960 × 960 mm³ (L × W × H), measuring point distance of 0.94 mm, 6 mm projector lens and 8 mm camera lens.

The GOM Atos scanning system uses white light for obtaining polygonal meshed surfaces, when different fringe patterns, recorded by two cameras, are projected onto the scanned object – in our case on a human body through the sensor units. Garment pattern construction of a tight suit was established for observing accurate shape information from a tested person's body. Generally, such a scanning method is an accepted procedure for scanning human bodies which does not particularly differ from scanning human bodies wearing underwear. The digital body information of a scanned human body was obtained in three steps. First, the measuring system was calibrated with a calibration cross, (Figure 2a) to ensure the system's dimensional consistency. Next, the test person was scanned in both standing and sitting postures from different heights and angles, while each measurement generated up to 4 million data points. In order to avoid incorrect alignment of individual scans in a sitting posture, a frame with hand rails was used to improve the static position of a human body. The frame was marked with reference points or circular markers in order to improve the process of alignment (Figure 2b). Self-adhesive markers had a defined geometry (white Circle on a black background) (Figure 2c), and served...
as connection points for the individual measurements to provide their transformation into a common coordinate system. Therefore, we finally used the Atos V6.0.2-6 software, where each scan was polygonized into one independent mesh in order to perform further fit registration (Figure 3).

Scanned surface processing

The polygonal meshed surfaces, obtained by general 3D laser scanners, represent in many cases starting points for further computer modelling and visualization of physical objects. In order to ensure the body model's appropriate fitting by taking into account the real subjects, we obtained an optimal surface description through pre-processing and post-processing procedures, which undoubtedly stood out as the more significant and challenging tasks in obtaining the digital model from the physical object [24]. Table 1 clearly demonstrates a short description of applied programs and indicates the applied tools for performing surface-processing procedures.

The pre-processing procedure was carried out by using the GOM Inspect program. GOM Inspect is a 3D inspection and mesh processing software for three dimensional analysis of 3D point clouds, obtained from white light scanners, laser scanners, CT's and other sources. The program is independently tested and certified by national measurement laboratories, such as German PTB (Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt) and American NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) [25]. We performed the noise reduction through cleansing and removing those points which did not correspond to the body surface, like the digital data of a chair from the point data set shown in Figure 4a. The resulting holes are shown in Figure 4b, which were repaired manually through modeling and adding new surfaces, Figure 4. The obstructed areas were repaired as also were the scanner had failed to capture any data, especially at the thigh- and calf areas. Consequently, the tool “Mesh Bridge” was used to reduce mesh errors.

In addition, pre-processed polygonal meshes usually need higher refinements and the surface was reconstructed through a post-processing procedure which was also done manually. In addition, graphic programs like MeshLab and Blender were used to correct imperfections and errors on the surface. Meshlab is an open source, portable and extensible system for the processing and editing of unstructured 3D triangular meshes, providing a set of tools for editing, cleaning, healing, inspecting, rendering and converting of this kind of meshes. The system is based on the VCG Library (The Visualization and Computer Graphics Library) developed at the Visual Computing Lab of ISTI-CR (Istituto di Scienza e Tecnologie dell’ Informazione) in Italy [26]. During the first step we created a new average mesh over the existing scan by using the tool “Select All-Filter Selection” from the program MeshLab. Afterwards, the surface was reconstructed using the tool “Poisson”, Figure 6.

Partially reconstructed polygonal meshes were imported in the form of .stl files into the Graphic Program Blender for smoothing the remaining irregularities on the meshed surface. The tool "Sculpt Mode-Smooth" was used to provide an entirely watertight mesh (Figure 7).

Although it was necessary to reconstruct the mesh at some areas, especially at the region after removing the scanned data of a chair, the corrections do not affect the actual shape of the body. It is evident that the body shape of the reconstructed 3D body model in Table 1: Applied programs and tools for surface reconstruction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applied program</th>
<th>Features Description</th>
<th>Applied Tools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOM Inspect</td>
<td>3D inspection and mesh processing software for editing 3D point clouds, containing evaluation and editing tools for an extensive analysis of parts and components.</td>
<td>“Delete Neighborhood”, “Mesh Bridge”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeshLab</td>
<td>3D mesh processing software for editing unstructured 3D triangular meshes, containing editing filters for cleaning meshes, remeshing and mesh inspections.</td>
<td>“Select All-Filter Selection”, “Poisson”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blender 2.71</td>
<td>Open source 3D graphics and animation software, enabling 3D modeling, rigging, sculpting, rendering and interactive creation of 3D models.</td>
<td>“Sculpt Mode-Smooth”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Aligned individual scans in a global coordinate system using Atos V6.0.2 software.

Figure 4: Mesh errors after removing the digital data of a chair by using the tool “Delete Neighborhood”.

Figure 5: Applying the “Mesh Bridge” tool for surface modeling.

Table 1: Applied programs and tools for surface reconstruction.
through the kinematic skeleton construction of 19 bones and 15 joints [27]. Pants- and T-shirt patterns construction was carried out in order to simulate a garment’s behavior and virtual fitting using the 3D body model in a sitting position. Its accuracy was tested by measuring the virtual body measurements and comparing them with a real person’s body measurements in a sitting position. An additional indicator when calculating surface areas in square centimeters was used after adapting a 3D body model to images taken from two different angles. Differences between the body measurements were encountered at the hips, and under the knee and calf circumferences. Even then there were no larger differences between the silhouettes extracted from the images, the visual assessment displayed clear differences between the extracted silhouettes. In order to provide a generalized adaptive 3D body model, which should imitate the real human's behavior and ensure accurate anthropometric measurement of those three-dimensional body measurements in a sitting position, the kinematic skeleton construction inside the observed polygonal mesh was improved by taking specific anatomical landmarks into consideration. Anthropometry, as one of the methods within anthropology, studies human body measurements and the proportions between different parts of the body [28] beginning with accurate placements of anatomical landmarks or feature point on the human body's surface that indicate the locations of body organs or components [1]. In order to ensure accurate anthropometric measurements of the three-dimensional body measurements, we learned from the H-Anim standard [29] and used the H-Anim feature point’s definition as a basic guide line for constructing the kinematic skeleton. In regard to the skeleton construction inside the watertight mesh of a scanned body in a standing position, we used the program Blender 2.68. Blender 2.68 is open-source 3D graphic software, as maintained by the Blender foundation [30] which assists designers with similar functions and animation tools as commercial software. Its suitability for creating virtual humans with realistic human animations was proved during the study [31] where the researcher extended the Blender's functionality for computer vision applications. In our contribution, we built an articulated 3D body model consisting of a polygonal mesh and a kinematic skeleton. The observed polygonal mesh of vertices, edges, and faces or polygons, describes the highly complex geometric structure of a scanned human. Each polygon is defined by 3 or more vertices with x, y, and z coordinates within a 3D space. For the skeleton construction we imported the 3D body model in a standing posture in the form of a .stl file into the program Blender. The “Armature Modifier” was used to construct a hierarchical skeleton of 20 bones and 15 joints (Figure 10).

In Blender the term “Armature” refers to an object that deforms a mesh model and it borrows many ideas from real life skeletons. In
To select the "Lumbar Division" bone was added by extruding its "Parent bone," which parents it to the constructed bones automatically (Figure 13).

For example, all the bones of the spinal cord, from the "Lumbar Division" bone to the "Cervical Division" bone, have their rotational centers at their parent bones. This means that the "Cervical Division" bone is the child of the lower bone, called the "Thoracic Division" bone, which is further the child of the "Lumbar Division" bone, which is further the child of the "Sacrum Humanoid Root" base-bone. This means if we rotate or move the lower bone, its child will also be affected. However, if we rotate or move a child bone, its parent bone will not be affected.

Further, the head or the tail of each particular bone represents the location of an anatomical feature, the position of which has to be located by visual assessment of extreme feature points from the side view of the body and by taking specific anthropometric rules into consideration. The anatomical feature points are summarized and defined in Table 2.
addition, we also tested the accuracy of a generalized adaptive 3D body model, developed from the reconstructed 3D body model in a standing position. An investigation of anthropometric measurements was carried out in order to test the 3D body model's anthropometry in comparison with the real human's body measurements. Great importance was placed upon the adaptive 3D body model and its anthropometric behavior during posture adaptation. Therefore, we investigated 11 body measurements, which are defined in the standard ISO 8559 for garment behavior during posture adaptation. Therefore, we investigated 11 body measurements, which are defined in the standard ISO 8559 for garment construction and anthropometric surveys [32]. The definitions and locations of the body measurements are described in Table 3.

Traditional body measuring and virtual extraction of body measurements were adequately performed by taking important body landmarks into consideration. After measuring the human body in both standing and sitting postures, we performed three-dimensional body measurements' analysis, using the Rhinoceros 3D software program. Rhinoceros is 3D NURBS (Non-Uniform Rational B-Splines) curve, surface and solid modeler for Windows. It represents the primary modeling tool for designers of free-form physical shapes whose primary bone locations in a standing position are summarized in Table 2 with a given "Parent bone" relationship.

The Blender also supports an "Inverse Kinematics" tool for enabling advanced animation and rendering. The "Bone Constrain" modifier was used to set the position of the last bone within the bone-chain, where other bones are positioned automatically. In order to connect the mesh to the skeleton, we applied the tool "Rotation and Scale" and then created a "Parent-Child relationship" between the mesh and the skeleton using the tool "With automatic weights". After the mentioned procedure, the prepared 3D body model was ready to perform posture animation for different poses.

3D body measurement analyses

The objective of the research work was to test the accuracies and reliabilities of the 3D body models in both standing and sitting positions, as obtained by the scanning system GOM Atos II 400. In addition, we also tested the accuracy of a generalized adaptive 3D body measuring and virtual extraction of body measurements were adequately performed by taking important body landmarks into consideration. After measuring the human body in both standing and sitting postures, we performed three-dimensional body measurements' analysis, using the Rhinoceros 3D software program. Rhinoceros is 3D NURBS (Non-Uniform Rational B-Splines) curve, surface and solid modeler for Windows. It represents the primary modeling tool for designers of free-form physical shapes whose primary bone locations in a standing position are summarized in Table 2 with a given "Parent bone" relationship.

The Blender also supports an "Inverse Kinematics" tool for enabling advanced animation and rendering. The "Bone Constrain" modifier was used to set the position of the last bone within the bone-chain, where other bones are positioned automatically. In order to connect the mesh to the skeleton, we applied the tool "Rotation and Scale" and then created a "Parent-Child relationship" between the mesh and the skeleton using the tool "With automatic weights". After the mentioned procedure, the prepared 3D body model was ready to perform posture animation for different poses.

3D body measurement analyses

The objective of the research work was to test the accuracies and reliabilities of the 3D body models in both standing and sitting positions, as obtained by the scanning system GOM Atos II 400. In addition, we also tested the accuracy of a generalized adaptive 3D body model, developed from the reconstructed 3D body model in a standing position. An investigation of anthropometric measurements was carried out in order to test the 3D body model's anthropometry in comparison with the real human's body measurements. Great importance was placed upon the adaptive 3D body model and its anthropometric behavior during posture adaptation. Therefore, we investigated 11 body measurements, which are defined in the standard ISO 8559 for garment construction and anthropometric surveys [32]. The definitions and locations of the body measurements are described in Table 3.

Traditional body measuring and virtual extraction of body measurements were adequately performed by taking important body landmarks into consideration. After measuring the human body in both standing and sitting postures, we performed three-dimensional body measurements' analysis, using the Rhinoceros 3D software program. Rhinoceros is 3D NURBS (Non-Uniform Rational B-Splines) curve, surface and solid modeler for Windows. It represents the primary modeling tool for designers of free-form physical shapes whose primary bone locations in a standing position are summarized in Table 2 with a given "Parent bone" relationship.

The Blender also supports an "Inverse Kinematics" tool for enabling advanced animation and rendering. The "Bone Constrain" modifier was used to set the position of the last bone within the bone-chain, where other bones are positioned automatically. In order to connect the mesh to the skeleton, we applied the tool "Rotation and Scale" and then created a "Parent-Child relationship" between the mesh and the skeleton using the tool "With automatic weights". After the mentioned procedure, the prepared 3D body model was ready to perform posture animation for different poses.

3D body measurement analyses

The objective of the research work was to test the accuracies and reliabilities of the 3D body models in both standing and sitting positions, as obtained by the scanning system GOM Atos II 400. In addition, we also tested the accuracy of a generalized adaptive 3D body model, developed from the reconstructed 3D body model in a standing position. An investigation of anthropometric measurements was carried out in order to test the 3D body model's anthropometry in comparison with the real human's body measurements. Great importance was placed upon the adaptive 3D body model and its anthropometric behavior during posture adaptation. Therefore, we investigated 11 body measurements, which are defined in the standard ISO 8559 for garment construction and anthropometric surveys [32]. The definitions and locations of the body measurements are described in Table 3.

Traditional body measuring and virtual extraction of body measurements were adequately performed by taking important body landmarks into consideration. After measuring the human body in both standing and sitting postures, we performed three-dimensional body measurements' analysis, using the Rhinoceros 3D software program. Rhinoceros is 3D NURBS (Non-Uniform Rational B-Splines) curve, surface and solid modeler for Windows. It represents the primary modeling tool for designers of free-form physical shapes whose primary
goal is to design a human experience. It offers virtual measuring tools that provide accurate information about the objects [33]. In our study we used different analysis commands like the “Length” command for measuring different circumferences and lengths/distances of a 3D body model. By creating several rectangular planar surfaces at landmark locations of the body, we intersected meshes in order to extract the 3D body circumferences. Figure 14 shows the 3D body models, imported in the form of .stl files into the modeler for extracting the body measurements.

Results and Discussion

For achieving applicable 3D body models in both standing and sitting positions, we performed several activities within the course of this research: digitizing the surface of a tested person’s body, using the optical scanning system GOM Atos II 3D, accurate surface reconstruction procedures for obtaining an optimal surface description of a scanned body and developing a generalized adaptive 3D body model for the purpose of posture adaptation.

3D body scanning and reconstructions of body models

The scanning procedure was performed to digitize the entire body for each particular position. Difficulties did occur at overlapped regions which were difficult to capture, for example at regions belong to the armpits, knees and crotch. Therefore, careful surface processing was required for improving the surface descriptions of the meshes, which refers to section 4.2. Figure 15 shows the resulted 3D body models, scanned in both standing and sitting positions, imported into the program Rhinoceros 3D to determine 3D body measurements.

Design of a kinematic skeleton

For the purpose of this study we developed a kinematic skeleton consisting of 20 bones and 15 joints. The skeleton can be used for creating the digital 3D body models representing those people with limited body abilities. It was firstly evident that the mesh did not deform adequately and proportionally. Although each vertex group of the mesh were attached to the skeleton bones previously, difficulties regarding mesh stretching appeared, for example, at the vertex groups between the upper arms and the torso area, Figure 16. Blender’s “Weight modifier” was used to increase the bone influence on the corresponding mesh-vertices and finally their influence on body measurements during posture adaptation.

We altered the effect of the bones on vertices using a method called “Weight Painting”. This method sustains a large amount of weight information in a very intuitive way. It was also used for rigging the meshes, where the vertex groups define the relative bone influence on the mesh. The selected mesh is shown slightly shaded with a rainbow color spectrum, where each color visualizes the weights associated to each vertex within the active vertex group. The weight is literally painted on the mesh surface by using a set of “Weight brushes”, where the weights are visualized by a cold-hot color system [34]. By marking a bone in the “Weight modifier”, the influence of a bone on the corresponding vertices can be concluded through the color-gradients. Areas with low influence are displayed in blue colors at cold areas, with weights close to the rate 0.0. Areas with high influence are displayed in red colors as hot areas, with weights up to the rate 1.0. For example, the bone “Sacrum_HumanoidRoot” in default at the crotch area exhibits low influence on the corresponding vertices with a blue-green color-spectrum and weights’ ratings from 0.1 to 0.3, Figures 17a and 17b show an average influence of the left forearm on the vertices at the elbow area. A green color-spectrum depicts weights ranging up to 0.5, while a red color-spectrum shows high influence on the vertices of the left forearm. Areas with low influence are displayed in blue colors at cold areas, with weights close to the rate 0.0. Areas with high influence are displayed in red colors as hot areas, with weights up to the rate 1.0. For example, the bone “Sacrum_HumanoidRoot” in default at the crotch area exhibits low influence on the corresponding vertices with a blue-green color-spectrum and weights’ ratings from 0.1 to 0.3, Figures 17a and 17b show an average influence of the left forearm on the vertices at the elbow area. A green color-spectrum depicts weights ranging up to 0.5, while a red color-spectrum shows high influence on the vertices of the left forearm. Vertices of the mesh at the torso area depict also a blue-green color-spectrum, when marking the “Thoracic Division” bone to display its influence on the correspondent vertices (Figure 17c).

In order to improve an adequate mesh deformation we chose different weights of the vertices to affect them regarding a particular bone. Their influence ranged from 0.5 to over 0.8 to avoid the robustness of mesh-deforming and the resulting difficulties of mesh-stretching and its sharp bending. Figure 18a shows the difficulties of mesh stretching at the breast circumference. Therefore, we altered the effect of the “Thoracic Division” bone on the related vertex group by using a weight influence of 0.8 and acquired a slight mesh deformation in Figure 18b. The same procedure remained ineffective for the mesh at the right side shown in Figure 18c. Namely, the mesh in this area...
was not credible for the real surface of the body, caused by the complex unification of individual scans into a global co-ordinate system and further incomplete surface reconstruction in this area.

Towards a generalized adaptive 3D body model

During the course of this research we developed a generalized adaptive 3D body model based on the previously designed kinematic skeleton. The purpose of an adaptive 3D body model is to enable a reliable and fast adaptation to the body measurements and posture specificities of real persons – people with limited body abilities. For this reason, some further adaptations of the developed body model were needed.

In order to avoid sharp bending of the mesh at the waist circumference, we increased the influence of the “Thoracic Division” and “Lumbar Division” bones to 0.5 weight in order to create a gently mesh-deformation after adapting the 3D body model to different positions (Figure 19).

Figure 20 displays the altered effect of the “Left Thigh” bone on the related vertex group at this area. We used weight influences ranging from 0.6 to 0.8 to create a smooth mesh-deformation and consequently to improve the thigh circumference measurement.

Analysis of the differences of body measurements caused by posture change

One of the goals of this study was to test the 3D body model’s accuracy regarding both standing and sitting positions, and the suitability of the developed 3D body model the posture adaptation of which had been improved by upgrading the kinematic skeleton structure inside the watertight mesh of a 3D body model in a standing position. The adapted 3D body model in a sitting position, which is equal to the sitting posture of a tested person during the scanning procedure, is shown in Figure 21.

We compared the body measurements of a real person with the corresponding 3D digitized body model and determined their differences in square centimeters and percentages. In general, the 3D
body model exhibited primarily increased circumferences and body-lengths. The measured differences between standing posture bodies (Table 4) ranged from -0.2% to 1.21%. Hips' circumferences showed the greatest difference, namely 1.18cm (1.21%), while calf circumferences showed the lowest differences, decreasing for 0.51cm (-0.2%) due to difficult processes of alignment for individually observed meshes into one independent mesh when observing 3D body meshes using the GoM Atos optical scanning system. These were followed by breasts, under the breasts, and waist circumferences which showed differences of not even 0.5cm. For body lengths we obtained the best result for the length at cervical to breast point, with a difference for not even 0.2cm, followed by back waist length with a difference of 0.19cm and outside leg length with a difference of 0.3cm.

Three-dimensional body measurement differences of a 3D body model in a sitting position shown in Table 5 is ranged from -0.43% to 2.03%. Even in this case, hips-circumference showed the greatest difference of 1.89cm (2.03%), while the calf circumference decreased by 1.1cm (-0.43%), as a result of aligning individual scans into one global coordinate system. We obtained slightly increased results for breast and waist circumference than in the previous case but without 0.5cm to achieve. Total crotch length differed by 1.17cm (0.85%) due to difficult scanning of overlapped regions and further surface reconstruction. Back waist length showed the best result, namely a difference of 0.21cm, followed by outside leg length with a difference of 0.21cm when comparing the two types of measurements.

The differences in the 3D skeletal body model's measurements (Table 6), ranged from -0.73% to 0.78%. It was evident that the waist circumference had decreased by 0.99cm (0.73%) while the hip

### Table 4: Body measurements' definitions and measuring locations [32].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Horizontal measurements</th>
<th>Body measurements' definitions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breast circumference</td>
<td>The maximum horizontal circumference measured during normal breathing with the subject standing upright and the tape-measure passed over the shoulder blades (scapulae), under the armpits (axillae), and across the breast nipples.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under breast circumference</td>
<td>The horizontal girth of the body just below the breasts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference</td>
<td>The circumference of the natural waistline between the top of the hip bones (iliac crests) and the lower ribs, measured with the subject breathing normally and standing upright.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hips circumference</td>
<td>The horizontal circumference measured round the buttocks at the level of the greatest latero trochanteric projections, with the subject standing upright.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thigh circumference</td>
<td>The horizontal circumference measured without constriction, at the highest thigh position, with the subject standing upright.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee circumference</td>
<td>The circumference of the knee measured with the subject standing upright and the upper border of the tape-measure at the tibia level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calf circumference</td>
<td>The maximum circumference of the calf measured with the subject standing upright, legs slightly apart, and with the body mass equally distributed on both legs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper arm circumference</td>
<td>The maximum circumference of the upper arm at lowest scye level, measured with the subject standing upright with arms hanging naturally.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical measurements</td>
<td>Body measurements' definitions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front waist length</td>
<td>The distance from the neck shoulder point, over the nipple, then vertically straight to the front waist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical to breast point</td>
<td>The distance from the 7th cervical vertebra, round the base of the neck, to the nipple.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total crotch length</td>
<td>The distance, measured using the tape-measure, from the center of the natural waist level at the front of the body, over the crotch, to the center of the waist level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back waist length (Cervical to waist)</td>
<td>The distance, measured using the tape-measure, from the 7th cervical vertebra, following the contour of the spinal column, to the waist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm length (shoulder to wrist)</td>
<td>The distance, measured using the tape-measure, from the armscye/shoulder line intersection (acromion) to the elbow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside leg length</td>
<td>The distance from the waist to the ground measured using the tape-measure following the contour of the hip, then vertically down.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5: Differences in body measurements at a standing position.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body measures</th>
<th>Test person/standing</th>
<th>3D body model/standing</th>
<th>Difference between body measurements (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breasts circ.</td>
<td>88.50</td>
<td>88.78</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under breasts circ.</td>
<td>79.80</td>
<td>80.28</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circ.</td>
<td>73.20</td>
<td>73.51</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hips circ.</td>
<td>102.20</td>
<td>103.38</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thigh circ.</td>
<td>61.30</td>
<td>61.62</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee circ.</td>
<td>41.30</td>
<td>41.65</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calf circ.</td>
<td>39.29</td>
<td>38.78</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper arm circ.</td>
<td>27.76</td>
<td>29.20</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front waist Length</td>
<td>46.50</td>
<td>46.95</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical to Breast point</td>
<td>26.50</td>
<td>26.95</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total crotch length</td>
<td>72.60</td>
<td>73.22</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back waist length</td>
<td>41.76</td>
<td>41.95</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm length</td>
<td>57.00</td>
<td>57.60</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside leg length</td>
<td>103.80</td>
<td>104.10</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In order to test the suitability of the generalized adaptive 3D body model developed from the reconstructed 3D body model in a standing position, descriptive statistics of real human’s measurements in comparison with 3D body model’s measurements were analyzed to determine significant differences between the observed measurements by F- and t- test (Table 7).

Analysis of the difference between real human’s measurements in comparison with 3D body model’s measurements using tests, such as F-test and t-test, revealed a significant difference in hips circumference in comparison with 3D body model’s measurements using tests, such as F-test and t-test (Table 7).

It can be concluded that the improved body measurements of a generalized adaptive 3D body model resulted from the upgrading its kinematic skeleton and the use of virtual mesh-deformation modeling tools like Blender’s “Mesh modifier” tool. It is possible to adapt the
observed 3D body model to different positions while sustaining body measurements as in real-life.

It is well-known that people with limited body abilities achieve greater results in sports and in other social activities. In sport especially they compete professionally at the highest levels at international competitions supported by organizations like the International Wheelchair and Amputee Sports Federation (IWAS) in the United Kingdom [35] or the Association for disability sports in Slovenia [36]. In order to provide comfortable and aesthetic sportswear for this group of people of the world’s population, there is a demand for supplying garments virtually prototyped using a general 3D body model for non-standard body shape characteristics, adaptable for different bodies’ positions?

Further, the efficiency of mesh-deforming was determined after adapting a 3D body model to images of people with limited body abilities occupying positions while playing sports games. Figure 22 shows an adapted 3D body model to a female athlete with amputated legs during wheelchair racing, which represents one of the more exciting Paralympics disciplines [37]. The 3D body model was completely adapted to the desired position. Incorrect mesh-deforming occurred in the elbow area and could not be corrected with the “Mesh modifier” tool.

Figure 23 shows a female player during wheelchair tennis at the Paralympics Games of the year 2012 [38]. Again, the 3D body model was completely adapted to the desired position but again with incorrect mesh-deformation occurring in the elbow area. These problems can be solved by manual correction procedures with the majority of 3D modelers.

Figures 24a and 24b show two additional examples of adapting the generalized 3D body model to different positions, for example to the paraplegic female basketball player [39], (Figure 24a) or even to the athlete with an amputated leg [40], (Figure 24b).

It is evident, that in spite of carefully carried out scanning and mesh processing procedures, difficulties from incorrect mesh-deformations remained during posture adaptation. Some of them could be corrected with the “Mesh modifier” tool through altering the effect of the bones on the correspondence mesh vertices. Other difficulties remain less consistent with real humans’ movements, especially in the elbow area, where the bone of the kinematic skeleton was imprecisely attached to the feature points. Additional adjustments to kinematic skeleton constructions at exact feature points’ areas are therefore necessary.

In order to show the usefulness of the observed 3D body models, we performed garments’ pattern designing using two different systems for this purpose, namely Marvelous designer 3 and Optitex PDS. The observed 3D body model in a standing position was imported into a form of .dae file (Collada file) and then into the Marvelous designer program for performing tights and tunic pattern designing (Figure 25a). The Optitex Pattern Design System was used to construct T-Shirt and trouser patterns. The generalized adaptive 3D body model was imported in the form of a .stl (Stereo Litography file) to simulate garments’ appearances (Figure 25b).

It is evident that in spite of carefully carried out scanning and mesh processing procedures, difficulties of incorrect mesh-deformations remained during posture adaptations. Some of them could be corrected using the “Mesh modifier” tool through altering the effects of the bones on the correspondence mesh vertices. Other difficulties remain less consistent to real humans’ movements, especially in the elbow area, where the bone of the kinematic skeleton was imprecisely attached to the feature points. Additional adjustments of a kinematic skeleton construction at exact feature points’ areas are therefore necessary.
Conclusions

We have described an approach for developing a generalized adaptive 3D body model, suitable for people with limited body abilities, the positions of which could be adapted to different positions. An adequate mesh of a tested person's body in both standing and sitting positions was achieved using a general-purpose scanner GOM Atos II 400. Through pre-processing and post-processing procedures, the mesh was reconstructed using several graphic programs, such as GOM Inspect, MeshLab and Blender. Kinematic skeleton construction was carried out in order to provide posture adaptations to different positions. Further, incorrect mesh-deformations were improved through altering the effect of the bones on the corresponding mesh-vertices by using Blender's "Mesh modifier" tool. While the differences in body measurements between real persons and the adaptive 3D body model in a sitting posture confirmed the best results, consequently the "Mesh modifier" tool was ineffective when correcting mesh imperfections in the elbow areas, after adapting the 3D body model to images of people with limited body abilities. Due to the high densities of the observed polygonal meshed surfaces, their processing is difficult to approximate regarding real humans' behavior/movements. Future work will be focused on developing a meshed 3D body model, with lower densities of polygons in order to facilitate their processing for the purpose of posture adaptation. In the future, our research will focus on 3D body model parametrization to ensure 3D body model's fitting to body shapes, taken from the images. In this way, it will be possible to design appropriate parametric 3D body models, which will be digitized reflections of real persons with all individual characteristics required for virtual prototyping and virtual fitting of garments.

Within the course of this research we have developed a generalized adaptive 3D body model based on the previously designed kinematic skeleton. This developed generalized adaptive 3D body model will be used for a reliable and fast adaptation to the body measurements and posture specifics of real persons – people with limited body abilities. Adapted body models, maximally personalized to mimic the real persons, will be imported into commercial CAD/PDS program packages and further tested for efficient garment prototyping and visualizations of two types of garments: special sports clothing and elegant dresses for people with limited body abilities. Both garment types are needed and desired by this special group of people. Our intention is to help them reach this goal.
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