If Photoinhibition of Soybean Photosystem II Enhances the Hypersensitive Response, It is Not Solely Due to Blockage of Electron Transfer Flow at D1
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Abstract

Previous studies have suggested that photoinhibition, through inactivation of photosystem II (PSII), could be beneficial to plants during defense to pathogens through enhanced reactive oxygen production, especially during the hypersensitive response (HR). In this study, we addressed this question by focusing on a possible role of turnover and inhibition of the PSII subunit D1, in defense to the compatible and incompatible strains of the bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae in soybean leaves. Expression of the D1 encoding gene, psbA, as well as 14 other chloroplast encoded genes, was down regulated in response to P. syringae. This down regulation is consistent with reduced production of PSII components leading to increased photoinhibition of existing photocenters, and is also consistent with multiple studies showing a concerted down regulation of nuclear-encoded chloroplast genes during pathogen attack. However, although expression of the psbA transcript was reduced in response to pathogen within 8 hours of inoculation, the level of the psbA product, the D1 protein, showed no significant changes via western blots, and did not show any signs of degradation. Additionally, infiltrating leaves with the D1 inhibiting herbicide bentazon (competitive inhibitor of Q₉ binding to D1, stopping photosynthesis by blocking electron transfer from Q₉ to Q₂) together with P. syringae inoculation, showed that D1 inhibition did not enhance defense as expected (if photoinhibition enhanced defense), but actually rendered the host slightly more susceptible. The results reflect two possibilities. One is that PSII inhibition by blockage of electron flow through D1 of PSII, does not enhance resistance to P. syringae. The second possibility supported by the data is that the mechanism of photoinhibition during pathogen defense is not due solely to the blockage of electron flow, but through other means of stimulating photoinhibition, such as an inefficient degradation, removal, and replacement of damaged D1 from the PSII complex.
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Introduction

Under natural outdoor growing conditions, light levels are constantly fluctuating day-to-day, and hour-by-hour. Plants need to have mechanisms to deal with these constant changes. For example, when plants are exposed to excess light that surpasses the ability of the photosystems to utilize the absorbed energy, the photo protective mechanisms such as non-photochemical quenching, the water-water cycle, and photorepiration come into play to prevent damage [1,2]. However, often these protective measures are not sufficient to protect plant tissue from the excess light, resulting in light-induced photoinhibition which leads to production of reaction oxygen species (ROS) at damage proteins, membranes, and other cellular components [1-3]. The primary target of photodamage is the photosystem II multi-protein complex (PSII), of which D1 is the main component affected. As D1 is highly labile, it is continually damaged throughout the day, and therefore must be constantly replaced through subsequent degradation, removal, and de novo synthesis to maintain the functional PSII, and minimize damaging levels of ROS. Much of the repair occurs during the night, resetting PSII to be back to full functional capacity by the next morning.

The recent review by Nath et al. [4] details the complex processes that are believed to take place in the repair of photodamaged PSII and D1 subunit, involving cooperation from multiple chloroplast proteases [5]. Evidence suggests that damaged PSII is first phosphorylated, and that this phosphorylation leads the damaged PSII to leave the grana stacks and migrate into the stroma lamellae, where PSII is subsequently dephosphorylated and partially disassembled prior to the D1 subunit being acted upon sequentially by a several FtsH and Deg proteases. After breaking the 32 kDa D1 protein into 9 and 23 kDa peptides, it is replaced by de novo translation of the psbA transcript, which encodes D1. ROS, such as singlet oxygen, superoxide, and hydrogen peroxide, are believed do the initial damage to D1, and paradoxically, ROS have also been proposed to inhibit the de novo synthesis of the D1 protein at the translation step [6-8].

Studies in our lab and others have suggested a possible beneficial role for D1 lability in biotic stresses. Plant defense against pathogens and photoinhibition was measurable as a decrease in PSII operating efficiency in soybean within 8 hours of being inoculated with HR-inducing Pseudomonas syringae [9]. Research focused on a D1 degrading FtsH protease and disease resistance in tobacco, supports the possible link between levels of D1 protein and defense [10]. An FtsH gene homolog was found to display decreased transcription levels before the appearance of necrotic lesions in the HR induced by Tobacco Mosaic Virus (TMV) in tobacco. Furthermore, transgenic tobacco with an over-expressed FtsH was more susceptible to TMV, whereas transgenic tobacco with reduced FtsH expression was more resistant to the disease. It was also found that a nuclear-encoded FtsH gene was reduced at the transcript level in soybeans undergoing HR [9]. The accumulated evidence supports that decreased amounts
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Materials and Methods

Plant material, inoculation and chlorophyll measurements

Soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merril cv Williams 82, RPGI dominant] plants were grown in a chamber at 22°C under a 16 hour light cycle with approximate light intensity of 200-250 μmol photons/m²/sec as measured by a LI-COR (Lincoln, NE, USA) light meter (LI-250) and quantum sensor (LI-190SA). Fully developed unifoliate leaves were vacuum infiltrated as described [9]. Poorly infiltrated leaves were tagged and not used. Plants were placed back in the growth chamber immediately after infiltration. Bacterial inocula consisted of the strain P. syringae pv. glycinea Race 4 with or without the avirulence gene avrB at a concentration of approximately 2 × 10⁸ colony-forming units (CFU) ml⁻¹ in water. Control leaves were infiltrated with water. For D1 and transcript level assays, infiltrated plants were collected at 2, 8 and 24 hours post inoculation (hpi) or 2, 4, 8 hours hpi. In the study on the effect of bentazon on defense against this bacterial pathogen, sodium bentazon (a generous gift from BASF) was dissolved into the infiltration solution. For the effect of bentazon on defense against this bacterial pathogen, an enhanced ROS burst is present during the HR response to incompatible pathogen isolates, but absent in compatible interactions [11,12]. Speculation that the D1 protein may be affected by pathogen infection directly or indirectly, has also been heightened by the results of several studies showing that secreted pathogen effectors can enter the chloroplast and/or interact with chloroplast components [13,14].

In this study, we were interested in several aspects of D1 under disease stress: the transcript level, the total protein level, and the effect of a D1-interfering herbicide on soybean response to a compatible (virulent) and incompatible (avirulent) strains of P. syringae. This study addresses three hypotheses. Firstly, the de novo biosynthesis of D1 protein may be reduced during the HR, enhancing generation of the HR associated oxidative burst. Thirdly, a D1 interfering herbicide would enhance disease resistance by increasing the generation of an oxidative burst.

Transcript expression of chloroplast encoded genes

Total RNA was extracted and cleaned as described [9]. Random hexamer primers (Invitrogen, CA, USA) were used to prime the synthesis of cDNA from total RNA. Firstly, 3 μg total clean RNA was incubated with RNase Inactive Fast (Invitrogen, CA, USA) in a total 10 μl reaction at room temperature for 15 minutes to remove DNA, followed by incubation at 65°C for 10 min to inactivate DNase I. Secondly, the DNA-free RNA was incubated with random hexamers and dNTPs (Bioline, MA, USA) at 65°C for 5 min, then chilled on ice for at least 1 minute. The reaction was subjected to reverse transcription using Superscript II First-Strand Synthesis System for RT-PCR (Invitrogen, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA was removed from synthesized cDNA using RNase H (Invitrogen, CA, USA). The following qRT-PCR was conducted essentially as described previously [15]. The primers for the target gene (Supplemental Table 1) were designed based on the chloroplast gene sequences obtained from NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/viewer.fcgi?db=nucleotide&val=83595723) using Primer 3.0 software [16]. The expression of a soybean β-actin gene (GenBank XM_005351518.2) was used as the internal standard to normalize the small difference in template amounts. The qRT-PCR data were analyzed using the relative quantification 2⁻∆∆CT method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001) and fold change was transformed into log, ratio. Three biological and two technical replicates were conducted on every comparison between treatment and control. Standard error was calculated based on the three biological replicates.

Thylakoid membrane protein isolation

Soybean thylakoid membrane proteins were isolated essentially as described previously [17]. Unifoliate leaves from six plants (12 leaves total) were de-veined with a straight-edged razor, and pooled before being homogenized in a Warning Blender at high speed for 30 s in 100 ml grinding buffer containing 50 mM MES-KOH (Sigma, MO, USA) (pH 6.5), 0.3 M NaCl (Fisher Scientific, PA, USA), 10 mM KCl (Fisher Scientific, PA, USA), 2 mM EDTA (Fisher Scientific, PA, USA), 1 mm EGTA (Sigma, MO, USA), 0.2% (w/v) fatty-acid-free BSA (Sigma, MO, USA), and 10 mM ascorbate (Sigma, MO, USA). The homogenate was then filtered through 16 layers of cheesecloth, and the filtrate was centrifuged for 2 min at 2400 × g. The resulting pellet was suspended with a soft paintbrush in 15 ml of a low osmotic strength buffer containing 5 mM MES-KOH (pH 6.5), 50 mM sorbitol, 10 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, and 10 mM ascorbate to promote the removal of adhering stromal proteins. The sample was centrifuged for 15 s and then filtered through a Kimwip immobilized on a 50 ml tube with a rubber band. The filtrate was then centrifuged for 4 min in 2500 × g. The final thylakoid pellet was suspended in 0.5 mL of a solution containing 5 mM MES-KOH (pH 6.5), 0.4 M sorbitol, 10 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, and 10 mM ascorbate. All manipulations were performed at approximately 4°C. The thylakoid extracts were stored at -80°C until they were solubilized for polypeptide analysis.

Protein quantification

Bradford reagent (Sigma, MO, USA) was used to quantify total protein following a 96-well plate assay protocol. Protein standards were prepared with BSA with a range from 0-1.0 mg/ml with the protein buffer containing 5 mM MES-KOH (pH 6.5), 0.4 M sorbitol, 10 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, and 10 mM ascorbate. Sample proteins were diluted at 1:10 with the protein buffer. Five microliters of each sample or BSA standard was mixed with 250 µl Bradford reagent (Sigma, MO, USA) and the endpoint data of absorbance at A595 was collected and analyzed with Gen 5.0 software (BioTek Instruments, VT, USA) and the endpoint data of absorbance at A595 was collected and analyzed with Gen 5.0 software (BioTek Instruments, VT, USA).

SDS-PAGE and gel transfer

Protein separation by SDS-PAGE was performed essentially as described previously [18]. Equal amounts of thylakoid membrane protein samples were mixed with Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-rad, CA, USA) with beta-mecaptoethanol (Sigma, MO, USA) at 1:1 ratio and heated at 70°C for 10 minutes prior to running on a 10-20% gradient SDS-PAGE Ready Gel (Bio-rad, CA, USA) in a Mini-PROTEAN 3 Cell vertical electrophoresis apparatus (Bio-rad, CA, USA). Ten μg protein samples were equally loaded (Supplemental Figure 1). Kaleidoscope pre-stained protein standards (Bio-Rad, CA, USA) were used to...
estimate molecular weights. Samples were run in SDS-PAGE for 35 minutes at 200 volts before electro-blotted onto an Immobilon-P PVDF membrane (Millipore, MA, USA) in a Mini Tank using a Bio-Rad Transblot Cell (Bio-Rad, CA, USA) operated at constant current (200 mA) for 3 h with cooling according to manufacturer protocol.

Western blotting
Electro-blotted PVDF membrane was blocked with 8% BSA (Sigma, MO, USA) with agitation at 4°C overnight, followed by incubation with primary antibody (1:5000) for 1.5 h. Polyclonal D1 antibodies were designed and raised against a synthetic oligopeptides produced by Gene Script, NJ, USA. Titration experiment with serial 2-fold dilutions of proteins was conducted and 10 µg protein was determined as the ideal input amount for western blotting (Supplemental Figure 2). PVDF membrane was washed five minutes thrice with TTBS buffer (Tris-buffered saline with 0.05% Tween-20 (Sigma, MO, USA) and then incubated with secondary antibody, conjugated alkaline phosphatase (Sigma, MO, USA), diluted 1:100,000. The immunodetection was obtained by using BCIP/NBT liquid substrate system (Sigma, MO, USA).

Total phenolic assay
Total phenolic assay was performed as described in publications [18]. Basically, the equal length of main vein from leaf sample of similar size was collected and stored at -80°C. Frozen tissue was moved into a 2 ml screw cap tube with 0.75 ml 95% methanol and three tungsten carbide beads. The tissue was homogenized in a similar size was collected and stored at -80°C. Frozen tissue was obtained by using BCIP/NBT liquid substrate system (Sigma, MO, USA).

Western blotting
Electro-blotted PVDF membrane was blocked with 8% BSA (Sigma, MO, USA) with agitation at 4°C overnight, followed by incubation with primary antibody (1:5000) for 1.5 h. Polyclonal D1 antibodies were designed and raised against a synthetic oligopeptides produced by Gene Script, NJ, USA. Titration experiment with serial 2-fold dilutions of proteins was conducted and 10 µg protein was determined as the ideal input amount for western blotting (Supplemental Figure 2). PVDF membrane was washed five minutes thrice with TTBS buffer (Tris-buffered saline with 0.05% Tween-20 (Sigma, MO, USA) and then incubated with secondary antibody, conjugated alkaline phosphatase (Sigma, MO, USA), diluted 1:100,000. The immunodetection was obtained by using BCIP/NBT liquid substrate system (Sigma, MO, USA).

Results

Effect of P. syringae compatible and incompatible infection on expression of chloroplast encoded genes

Fifteen chloroplast genes were chosen for qRT-PCR analysis to monitor their transcript level in soybean during both the compatible and incompatible interactions induced by P. syringae at 2, 4 and 8 hpi. The 15 genes were representatives of important components involved in photosynthetic electron transportation and ATP synthesis in photosystems, including the gene encoding PSII subunit D1, psbA. Generally, all the 15 genes decreased at all-time points, had up a 2-fold reduction in the compatible interaction, and up to a 5-fold reduction in the incompatible interactions, compared to unoinoculated controls (Figure 1). The amplitude of transcript suppression increased with time and peaked at T8, and the differential expression changes were more dramatic in the incompatible interaction (HR) than in the compatible interaction for all genes tested.

Although chloroplast transcripts are highly abundant, we could detect expression changes using qRT-PCR. The great abundance of chloroplast RNA was reflected in our qRT-PCR study, as the Ct values were as low as 15 while most genomic genes have Cts around 25 or more. The chloroplast genes encoding the D1 and D2 subunits of PSII (psbA and psbD, respectively) decreased across all time points in both compatible and incompatible reactions and the log2 transformed fold changes reached the highest at 8 hpi, with -2.8 and -2.26 respectively in incompatible, but only -0.56 and -0.23 in compatible interaction. Similar modulation occurred for the other three PSIIs components monitored: psbC encoding CP43 chlorophyll binding apoprotein, psbH encoding phosphophoryaprotein, psbZ encoding small protein Z, and psbK encoding small protein K; all showing a greater reduction in HR. Other chloroplast encoded genes investigated included: psaA and psaB encoding the reaction center proteins for PSI, PSI assembly protein Ycf3, two genes encoding ATP synthase subunits, cytochrome b6 and cytochrome f, cytochrome b6/f complex subunit IV and large RUBISCO subunit. The transcript expression levels of all these genes displayed similar down-regulated trends as the PSIIs associated genes, with the greatest reduction at 8 hpi during the incompatible HR interaction.

D1 protein level dynamics during pathogen infection

Antibodies to D1 were raised to determine the level of this protein by western blotting. We visually analyzed published predicted folding models for the D1 protein (Supplemental Figure 1) and identified two exposed regions that were predicted to be antigenic. Synthetic peptides from these regions were used to produce antibody ‘A’ to the AB loop (PVDDIGIREPVSG) near the N-terminus, and antibody ‘B’ to the DE loop (RETTENESANEGYRFGQEEE) near the C-terminus. Regardless of antibody used, western blots revealed similar detection capabilities; therefore antibody ‘A’ was chosen for use in the assays presented. A BLASTp search for possible cross reacting proteins to antibody ‘A’, hit only D1 with 100% identity to PVDDIGIREPVSG, and hit multiple other proteins matching between six to 10 of the 13 residues Although this antibody produced two bands on the western blot, we believe both are the intact form of D1, and not cross hybridization, as previous researchers have shown that it is common to see two bands for intact D1 [19,20]. Moreover, if the lower band is cut out and re-ran, both bands appear again on the new gel, indicating that the lower band is a conformer of the intact 32 kDa protein [19].

Western blotting was performed to monitor the total D1 protein accumulation from thylakoids. Thylakoid membrane proteins were
Figure 1: Chloroplast gene expression data measured by qRT-PCR. Open and closed bars represent compatible and incompatible interactions provoked by virulent and avirulent bacteria respectively. Expression levels are given as log2 transformed fold change, inoculated samples versus uninoculated controls. QRT-PCR data represent the average values obtained from three independent biological replicates. Error bars represent the standard errors of the three replicates.
The effect of enhanced PSII inhibition on defense response to P. syringae

To directly test if PSII inhibition caused by the disruption of electron flow affects defense to pathogens, the D1 interfering herbicide bentazon (competitive inhibitor of Qb binding to D1) was used. To find a concentration of bentazon that would inhibit PSII enough to be measurable, but not likely to kill the host tissue, 0.3, 0.8, and 1.2 mM bentazon was vacuum infiltrated into soybean leaves, and photosynthesis was monitored by measuring PSII efficiency by determining fluorescence levels related to Fv/Fm at 2, 4, and 8 hours post infiltration (Figure 3). Bentazon at the concentration of 0.3 mM showed no measurable effect on PSII inhibition, whereas 1.2 mM bentazon had a too strong of an effect that continued during the 8 hour time course. Infiltration of 0.8 mM bentazon gave the transient response desired, as it reduced PSII efficiency by nearly 70% by 2 hpt, and then the leaf tissue fully recovered by 8 hpt (soybean are able to detoxify bentazon). The 0.8 mM levels measurably inhibited PSII for at least 4 hours, and did not lead to any visible damage to plants, as no chlorosis or necrosis appeared after treatment (Supplemental Figure 4 and 5). Additionally, when testing if bentazon had any direct toxic effect on growth of the pathogen in culture, incubation of P. syringae in liquid medium in the presence of 0.8 mM bentazon had little to no effect on the growth of the bacterial cultures as determined by optical density of overnight cultures that started at the same cell density (OD_{vmax}=0.26 for avirulent bacteria, OD_{vmax}=0.27 for avirulent bacteria with 0.8 mM bentazon, and OD_{vmax}=0.22 for virulent bacteria and OD_{vmax}=0.21 for virulent bacteria with 0.8 mM bentazon). Therefore, experiments to study effects of co-infiltration of P. syringae and the D1-interfering herbicide, utilized bentazon at 0.8 mM.

To determine if inhibition of electron flow at D1 would relieve or aggravate disease resistance to P. syringae, we took visual observations of the chlorosis and necrosis development in leaf tissue during the first 48 hours after co-infiltration with bentazon. No visible chlorosis or necrosis was observed in leaves treated with virulent strain of the pathogen of 2 × 10^{7} CFU ml^{-1}, nor with straight 0.8 mM bentazon at 24 hpi; however, co-infiltration of bentazon and the virulent pathogen together led to significant formation of chlorosis, and necrosis (Supplemental Figure 4). The chlorosis and necrosis was also seen in leaves treated with the avirulent pathogen, but addition of bentazon did not cause any apparent additive effect on lesion development (Supplemental Figure 5). However, addition of bentazon did cause accumulation of large patches of dark pigment forming along the main veins of leaves (Supplemental Figure 5) and this effect was not seen in leaves treated with virulent pathogen (Supplemental Figure 4).

We suspected that the dark pigment along main veins in leaves treated with bentazon and the incompatible P. syringae carrying avrB, was due to phenolic production, as phenolics are known to be produced in leaf epidermis to absorb UV light under high-light stress. Therefore, total phenolic assays were conducted to investigate phenolic accumulation in the main veins of leaves treated with bentazon or bacteria, or a combination of both. In order to be consistent with the bacterial growth study, a lower concentration (2 × 10^{7} CFU ml^{-1}) of bacteria was used for infection. The results (Figure 4) showed: (1) by 24 hpt, total phenolic content in the leaves from different treatments were similar and did not change over time; (2) by 48 hpt, total phenolic content in leaves infiltrated with bentazon or compatible P. syringae or combination of both, remained the same; (3) by 48 hpt, total phenolic content in leaves infiltrated with incompatible P. syringae, or a combination of bentazon and this strain, was significantly increased; (4) by 48 hpt, total phenolic content in leaves infiltrated with a combination of bentazon and incompatible P. syringae was significantly higher than in leaves infiltrated with incompatible bacteria only.

Addition of 0.8 mM bentazon to induce PSII inhibition in a P. syringae inoculation, enhanced disease symptoms induced by the virulent bacterial strain, and enhanced phenolic production when added to an incompatible strain. But the question remained as to whether or not the symptoms were due to enhanced defense, or enhanced virulence. To determine the difference, and to directly test if inhibition of electron transfer through PSII could enhance defense, we conducted bacterial growth experiments to examine the effect of bentazon on bacterial multiplication within soybean leaves. The bacterial growth curves (Figure 5) displayed: (1) as expected, bacteria growth in leaves infiltrated with incompatible P. syringae carrying avrB,
bacterial multiplication was lower than in leaves infiltrated with the compatible strain (lacks avrB) across the time course; (2) surprisingly, bentazon imposed a beneficial effect on bacterial multiplication in leaves infiltrated with compatible strain across the time course; (3) by day 3, bentazon imposed a beneficial effect on bacterial multiplication in leaves infiltrated with incompatible bacteria as well.

Discussion

Although ROS can be generated by numerous reactions and from different sources within a plant [21], recent evidence has been accumulating in support of a possible connection between photosynthesis inactivation, ROS and defense response, specifically, that photoinhibition is playing a role in the large secondary oxidative burst that is seen in the HR defense response. However, precise mechanisms linking these events are still obscure. In this report, several studies were conducted to determine if enhanced D1 degradation, and/or the reduction of electron flow through D1, aides in defense to P. syringae.

A general reduction of nearly 100 nuclear-encoded photosynthetic-related genes was reported to occur within 8 hpi, which is prior to symptom development [9]. Additionally, chlorophyll fluorescence measurements of soybean leaves undergoing HR revealed a reduction of φPSII and Fv/Fm, indicating reduced maximum quantum yield of photosynthetic electron transport and inhibition of the PSI reaction center in the absence of necrosis in the treated leaves at 8 hpi [9]. Others have also reported on reduced photosynthesis activity, especially photosynthetic electron transport, when plants were invaded by pathogens or attacked by insects [22,23]. The research on photoinhibition in plant cells treated with an HR elicitor [24], and the observed enhanced defense to viral infection in ftsH mutants [10], also hinted at a benefit to decreasing photosynthetic activity during plant defense. Based on these reports, and on the fact that D1 is very labile to oxidative stress, we hypothesized that plants might benefit by down regulating the expression and replacement of D1 (and resulting reduction in electron flow) as a means of rapidly enhancing ROS production through excess light energy that is unable to be properly dissipated through the photosystem centers. Such a mechanism would contribute to the ROS oxidative burst and enhance defense responses, in addition to reducing photosynthesis in the infected site yielding less sugar, which would also restrict the growth of invading pathogen.

Genes encoding the proteins that make up photosynthetic components of the chloroplast are split between chloroplast and nuclear locations, and this current study, in combination with our previous microarray study [9], revealed that both chloroplast and nuclear encoded photosynthesis genes, including the genes phbA (D1) and ftsH, tended to be down regulated in response to P. syringae infection. Although the gene expression analysis in this current study showed a down regulation of psbA, its gene product, D1, was shown to remain at a relatively stable level, and to not be degraded, in all the treatment and controls, as determined by western blotting. This discrepancy between transcript level and protein level has been noted before for other chloroplast genes, as differential expressed chloroplast proteins are mainly regulated at the posttranscriptional and translational level in mature chloroplasts [25].

In order to determine if there is a role for D1 in defense, a D1-interfering herbicide, bentazon, was utilized to inhibit D1 function, and plants subsequently monitored for changes in defense performance. Bentazon is in the benzothiadiazoles class of herbicides, and has the same mode of action as atrazine: competitive inhibition of quinone B (Qb) binding to D1 [26]. The proper binding of Qb to D1 is critical to the ability of Qb to accept electrons from Qb. The herbicides atrazine and bentazon block the ability of D1 to properly associate with Qb, thereby nullifying electron transfer and PSI activity. In the presence of light and blocked electron transfer, oxidative damage is accelerated and cell death rapidly ensues.

Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements showed that the effect of 0.8 mM bentazon was to inhibit PSI by 70% during the initial 2 hours post infiltration, and to relax inhibition by 8 hours; hence the inhibitory effect on PSI was quick and transient under these experimental conditions. If an initial transient inhibition of PSI was an important factor for initiation of the ROS burst and HR, we would have expected enhanced resistance to both virulent and avirulent pathogen strains by inhibiting PSI 70% within 2 hpi. In contrast to this expectation, the application of bentazon had a slightly positive effect on pathogen population growth within the tissue (bentazon did not have an effect on bacterial growth in nutrient culture medium). While this result is not well understood, similar results have been reported, where treatment of another D1 inhibiting herbicide, DCMU, increased viral load in the infected leaves in tobacco with either dominant NN resistant or recessive nn genotypes [27]. There are a few possible explanations. Firstly, P. syringae requires partial stimulation of cell death pathways to establish disease [28]. Bentazon may slightly stimulate these cell death pathways to the point of helping the bacteria, but not to the point of accelerating defenses and restricting pathogen population growth. Secondly, photosynthesis inhibition caused by bentazon at a very early

![Figure 4: Total phenolic assay on leaves infiltrated with bentacon, bacteria, or bentazon and bacteria. The value is average of three replicates and the error bar represents standard error. Avr: P. syringae with avrB gene. Vir: P. syringae without avrB gene. Bacterial inoculum was at 2 × 10^6 CFU ml^-1.](image)

![Figure 5: Bacterial growth on leaves infiltrated with bentazon or bacteria or bentazon and bacteria. The value is log10 transformed. The value is the average of three replicates and the error bar represents standard error. Avr: P. syringae with avrB gene. Vir: P. syringae without avrB gene. Control: Water. Bacterial inoculum was at 2 × 10^6 CFU ml^-1.](image)
time point might lead to decreased production of energy (ATP) and reducing power (NADPH) needed for rapid synthesis and activity of many new defense-related proteins. Thirdly, as many defense compounds are dependent on biochemical pathways in the chloroplast, Bentazon may have indirectly reduced defense-related molecular building blocks, such as those needed to feed the phenylpropanoid pathway [15,29], leading to compromised defense against pathogens. Fourthly, bentazon is found to inhibit expression of several apoptosis-related genes in soybean [15], which may slow impeded programmed cell death in leaves undergoing HR. Any of these effects would benefit bacterial multiplication since the robustness of the defense response has been shown to be key in providing resistance in the HR [9,30]. Another possible outcome of the addition of bentazon at the initial inoculation phase, especially for the incompatible interaction, was that a heightened production of ROS might have occurred as expected for this herbicide, but instead of enhancing the defense pathways, it might have instead heightened the production of antioxidants, such as the production of phenolics like hydroxyquininonic acids, flavonoids, anthocyanins, tannins and lignins. These phenolic compounds are considered to be strong antioxidants in plants and are often induced in tissue undergoing oxidative stress, especially in response to singlet oxygen and superoxide, both of which are produced in photooxidation [4,31,32]. This possibility might explain why the total phenolics assay revealed that the leaves coinfilitrated with bentazon and the incompatible pathogen accumulated more phenolics than compatible pathogen infected leaves, and could explain why the plants endured greater pathogen numbers during the infection periods when bentazon was added to the inoculum, as the anti-oxidants could have dampened the effectiveness of the ROS to trigger maximal defenses. Therefore, bentazon treatment might have diverted phenolic substrates away from the phenylpropanoid pathway and the antimicrobial isoflavonones, but instead towards other phenolics that might be more suited for UV absorption [15,29]. If this were to be the case, then the fact that bentazon alone did not impose a noticeable effect on phenolic accumulation, suggests that the phenolics production was primed by the herbicide, but only surpassed a threshold level needed to induce the high level of these anti-oxidants once the incompatible pathogen was also present in high enough numbers to activate the HR.

In summary, this study showed that P. syringae infection decreased transcript levels of phsA but did not affect steady state D1 protein levels. D1 interfering bentazon aggravated disease symptoms and benefited bacterial growth in leaves infected with virulent bacteria. Bentazon also benefited bacterial growth in leaves infected with avirulent bacteria but did not aggravate disease symptoms. Meanwhile, bentazon provoked more accumulation of phenolics along the main veins when co-infiliated into leaves with avirulent bacteria. Taken together, the studies do not clearly support the hypothesis that the plants enhance ROS production and the HR solely via blocking D1 function or enhanced degradation. However, the data is consistent with enhanced ROS being generated by a lack of D1 repair occurring during the HR (i.e., D1 could be continually damaged, but is not being degraded/removed/replaced). Future experiments that could enhance this study are needed. For one, in vivo pulse labeling would reveal more information about any de novo synthesis of D1 and degradation of D1 protein under stress. It would be informative to analyze global gene expression in soybean leaves coinfilitrated with both bentazon and P. syringae to ascertain possible explanation for the enhanced production of phenolics, and a chemical analysis of these phenolics to determine more precisely what classes of phenolics are being produced, as well as to possibly shine light as to why there is such a strong and rapid down regulation of transcription of so many photosynthetic genes hours after inoculation with an HR-inducing microbe. Additionally, as phosphorylation has been shown to be required for D1 turnover [4] it would be interesting to determine phosphorylation changes of PSI1 components during HR.
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