Efficacy, Safety, and Patient Satisfaction with a Combined Acne Treatment Regimen for the Treatment of Acne Vulgaris in Adolescent Student Athletes
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Abstract

Background: Cleansing, medicating, moisturizing, and photoprotection comprise a complete acne vulgaris (AV) management regimen. However, complete topical AV treatment regimen investigations in athletes are scarce.

Objective: Adapalene and benzoyl peroxide (A/BPO) gel, 0.1%/2.5% (Epiduo® Gel) is indicated for the topical treatment of AV in patients 9 years of age and older. This open-label, single-center study evaluated a regimen to cleanse, medicate, moisturize, and protect the skin comprised of A/BPO gel, Cetaphil® DermaControl™ Foam Wash and Cetaphil® DermaControl™ Moisturizer SPF 30 in student athletes (referred to as the completed Regimen).

Methods: Student athletes 12 to 18 years of age with mild to moderate acne who were actively participating in 1 of 14 Texas University Interscholastic League sanctioned athletic activities followed the completed Regimen for 8 weeks (N=28). Lesion counts, satisfaction, cutaneous irritation, adverse events, and adherence were assessed.

Results: After 8 weeks, lesion counts were significantly reduced compared to baseline. Good tolerability and high satisfaction were observed. Most athletes experienced no cutaneous irritation. All adverse events were mild or moderate, and the most common related adverse events were dry skin, skin exfoliation, and erythema. Most athletes exhibited exceptionally high compliance and adherence.

Conclusions: The completed Regimen is efficacious, safe, well tolerated, and helps optimize AV treatment outcomes in athletes.
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Introduction

Acne vulgaris (AV) is a multifactorial chronic inflammatory skin condition affecting nearly all individuals between the ages of 15 and 17 and 85% between the ages of 12 and 24 [1]. AV is characterized by abnormal keratinization of the pilosebaceous epithelium, increased sebum production, Propionibacterium acnes proliferation, and inflammation. The long term dermatologic consequences of AV can adversely affect quality of life, self-esteem, and social relationships. These negative effects can be alleviated by early implementation of an effective treatment regimen [2].

Athletes may be especially vulnerable to AV due to increased sweating, contact with sporting equipment, and use of sunscreens that promote the blockage of skin pores (comedogenic) [3-6]. Prevention is a primary recommendation; however, it can be difficult for student athletes to maintain a daily skincare regimen due to academic and athletic schedules. Prompt treatment minimizes disruptions in athletic participation and long term residual effects (such as scarring) [4]. Adolescents are particularly vulnerable to the negative psychological consequences of AV [7-11], but few adolescents seek AV treatment [12]. Poor skin health education and misinformation may contribute to the reluctance to seek treatment. Athletes, in particular, may avoid even topical therapies as both they and their clinicians may perceive high potential for side effects that could deleteriously affect activity and performance. AV treatment adherence is frequently low [13-16], and insufficient physician evaluated or patient perceived clinical improvement and overly complex treatment regimens contribute to non-adherence [13-16]. In contrast, increased initial disease severity, clinical improvement, satisfaction, and education were associated with increased adherence.

Because systemic AV treatments, such as oral antibiotics and isotretinoin, have been associated with increased risk of injury, topical therapy should be the first choice in the athlete [17-19]. Unfortunately, topical therapies are frequently associated with tolerability reactions [20,21]. Therefore, an ideal treatment regimen must: 1) Cleanse without irritating the skin or removing the constitutive lipids that help maintain the epidermal barrier and skin hydration; 2) Medicate, reducing lesion counts without inducing excessive tolerability reactions; 3) Moisturize, alleviating irritation and protecting the skin from water loss without promoting comedone formation; and 4) Protect the skin from ultraviolet light exposure, especially the skin of individuals photosensitized by AV treatments [22-26].

A daily AV management regimen comprised of adapalene and benzoyl peroxide (A/BPO) gel, 0.1%/2.5% (Epiduo® Gel), Cetaphil® DermaControl™ Foam Wash, and Cetaphil® DermaControl™ Moisturizer SPF 30 (referred to as the completed Regimen) was designed to synergistically support AV management, provide strong photoprotection, and promote the natural structure and function of the skin.
Methods

Study design

This was an 8-week, open-label, multi-center study of an AV treatment regimen for adolescent athletes with a clinical diagnosis of mild or moderate acne that examined changes in lesion counts, subject satisfaction, and adherence. Athletes were treated with commercially packaged A/BPO gel in conjunction with Cetaphil® DermaControl™ Foam Wash and Cetaphil® DermaControl™ Moisturizer SPF 30 (all products were supplied by Galderma Laboratories L.P., Fort Worth, TX). Athletes were instructed to use the A/BPO gel (once daily) in conjunction with the foam wash (at least twice daily) and moisturizer with sunscreen (once daily and additionally 15 minutes prior to participation in outdoor sports if more than 2 hours elapsed since morning application). Every attempt was made to keep any allowed concomitant therapy dosing and regimen constant during the study. The first subject was enrolled on August 20, 2014, and the last subject complete the study on December 21, 2014.

Subject eligibility

Enrolled athletes were aged 12 to 18 and actively participating in 1 of 14 Texas University Interscholastic League sanctioned athletic activities (Baseball, Basketball, Cross Country, Football, Golf, Soccer, Softball, Swimming, Diving, Team Tennis, Tennis, Track and Field, Volleyball, and Wrestling). Eligible athletes were included if they were diagnosed with AV, deemed eligible for A/BPO treatment, had a minimum of 20 but not more than 50 inflammatory (papules and pustules) facial lesions (excluding the nose) and had a minimum of 30 but not more than 100 non-inflammatory (open comedones and closed comedones) facial lesions (excluding the nose). The baseline and screening visit could occur on the same day. Athletes were evaluated at screening and 4 scheduled study visits. During the screening visit, topics relating to the conduct of the study were explained to each subject, subject demographic and medical history information were collected, and lesion counts were performed. Athletes completed a baseline questionnaire at visit 1 and end of study satisfaction and adherence questionnaires at visit 4.

End of study satisfaction questionnaire

The 15-question end of study subject satisfaction questionnaire was verbally administered by study staff. For athletes less than 16 years old, the questionnaire was administered to the subject in the presence of a parent or legal guardian who could also answer the questions. The recorded response was the best response based on the combined parent/subject feedback.

Adherence questionnaire

The end of study, 3-item adherence questionnaire was verbally administered by study staff. A parent or legal guardian was present for athletes less than 16 years old. Parent and subject feedback was combined, and a single best response was recorded.

Safety Measurements

Safety assessments were performed for all athletes throughout the course of the study, and all subject or investigator reported adverse events (AEs) were recorded at each study visit. Cutaneous irritation assessments of erythema, scaling, dryness, and stinging/burning were assessed by a board-certified dermatologist on a 4-point scale (none, mild, moderate, and severe) at baseline and each post-baseline visit.

Other assessments

of the epidermal barrier [21,22,24,27,28]. A/BPO gel is a fixed dose combination of adapalene 0.1% and benzoyl peroxide 2.5% that provides significantly greater AV treatment efficacy than either product alone, with a similar safety profile to adapalene monotherapy [29-32]. A subgroup analysis of 3 A/BPO studies in adolescents between 12 and 17 years of age confirmed that A/BPO gel 0.1%/2.5% had superior efficacy to either monotherapy [32]. The cleansing component, Cetaphil® DermaControl™ Foam Wash, is a mild and nonirritating foaming skin cleanser specifically designed for acne prone skin and previously demonstrated to be safe and well-tolerated [21,33]. The moisturizing and sunscreen component, Cetaphil® DermaControl™ Moisturizer SPF 30, has also been demonstrated to be well-tolerated and non-comedogenic [21,33].

We investigated this 3-component regimen designed to provide nonirritating gentle skin cleansing, support a healthy epidermal barrier, and provide UV protection among athletes. We report the efficacy, safety, subject satisfaction, and adherence in an open-label, single-center study of this target population.
Treatment compliance for the study treatment regimen was based on questioning the subject at each visit and collecting study product weights prior to dispensation and at end of study. Athletes were photographed at visits 1 through 4/exit.

Statistical analysis

For all efficacy variables, descriptive statistics were computed based on the nature of the variable (continuous or categorical). Categorical variables were summarized by frequency and percentage for each response category (N, %). Continuous variables were summarized using means, medians, minimum, maximum, and standard deviations for the data collected at each visit and the changes and percent changes from baseline at each post baseline visit when appropriate. Last observation carried forward was used to impute missing efficacy data. The change and percent change from baseline in lesion counts was analyzed by t tests and signed rank tests for the mean and median, respectively.

Safety endpoints included adverse events and cutaneous irritation assessments. No statistical testing was conducted on the safety parameters.

Results

Demographics and disposition

Twenty-eight athletes were enrolled and 25 completed the study. One athlete withdrew due to an adverse event, 1 requested to be discontinued, and 1 was lost to follow up. All 28 athletes were included in the safety and intent-to-treat populations. One or more protocol deviations were recorded for 12 athletes during the study, including 1 athlete with an exclusion violation, 3 athletes who were dosing noncompliant and 9 athletes who had off-schedule visits. Subject disposition is depicted in Table 1.

Most enrolled athletes were men (78.6%), White (82.1%), and non-Hispanic or Latino (71.4%). Athletes ranged from 12 to 18 years of age, with a mean age of 15.0 years. Most athletes had Fitzpatrick Skin Types II-IV (82.2%). Study demographics and baseline characteristics of enrolled athletes are depicted in Table 2.

Football (39.3%), soccer (25.0%), baseball (17.9%), basketball (17.9%), and track and field (14.3%) were the most common sports (Figure 1). Sporting equipment that covered all or part of the face was reported as worn by 57.1% of athletes, and 89.3% of athletes reported that it was important or very important to apply sunscreen outdoors. However, at study exit, more than 60% agreed or strongly agreed that they would continue using the regimen themselves.

Lesion counts

The mean total lesion count at baseline was 90.5 (range, 62 to 128 lesions), with a mean of 32.8 inflammatory lesions (range, 21 to 47 inflammatory lesions) and a mean of 57.6 non-inflammatory lesions (range, 34 to 97 non-inflammatory lesions) (Table 3). Lesion count reduction was observed as early as week 2, progressing to a significant reduction in total (29.6%), inflammatory (35.7%), and non-inflammatory (27.1%) lesion counts at week 8 (P<0.001) (Figures 2 and 3).

Subject satisfaction questionnaire results

Most athletes agreed or strongly agreed with all questions in the end of study subject satisfaction questionnaire, including overall satisfaction with the regimen (Figure 4A and 4B). The athletes felt that the regimen was easy to use and reported a positive difference in skin appearance and acne.

A notable shift in subject attitudes was observed at study exit regarding the acne specific moisturizer and sunscreen elements of the regimen (Figure 4B). At baseline, only 10.7% of athletes indicated that they had used any acne specific facial moisturizer within 30 days and 78.6% reported no facial moisturizer use at all. At baseline, only 28.6% of athletes felt that it was important or very important to use a facial moisturizer with sunscreen (Figure 4C). Furthermore, only 17.8% of athletes thought it was important or very important to apply sunscreen before engaging in sports activities at the baseline visit, and 85.7% responded that they did not regularly apply sunscreen before going outdoors. However, at study exit, more than 60% agreed or strongly agreed that an acne specific SPF moisturizer was personally important (Figure 4D). The majority of athletes at study exit responded that they would recommend the regimen to others, including others in their sport, and that they would continue using the regimen themselves.

Safety and intent-to-treat populations. One or more protocol deviations were recorded for 12 athletes during the study, including 1 athlete with an exclusion violation, 3 athletes who were dosing noncompliant and 9 athletes who had off-schedule visits. Subject disposition is depicted in Table 1.

Safety endpoints included adverse events and cutaneous irritation assessments. No statistical testing was conducted on the safety parameters.

Results

Demographics and disposition

Twenty-eight athletes were enrolled and 25 completed the study. One athlete withdrew due to an adverse event, 1 requested to be discontinued, and 1 was lost to follow up. All 28 athletes were included in the safety and intent-to-treat populations. One or more protocol deviations were recorded for 12 athletes during the study, including 1 athlete with an exclusion violation, 3 athletes who were dosing noncompliant and 9 athletes who had off-schedule visits. Subject disposition is depicted in Table 1.

Most enrolled athletes were men (78.6%), White (82.1%), and non-Hispanic or Latino (71.4%). Athletes ranged from 12 to 18 years of age, with a mean age of 15.0 years. Most athletes had Fitzpatrick Skin Types II-IV (82.2%). Study demographics and baseline characteristics of enrolled athletes are depicted in Table 2.

Football (39.3%), soccer (25.0%), baseball (17.9%), basketball (17.9%), and track and field (14.3%) were the most common sports (Figure 1). Sporting equipment that covered all or part of the face was reported as worn by 57.1% of athletes, and 89.3% of athletes reported that they participated in outdoor sports.

Lesion counts

The mean total lesion count at baseline was 90.5 (range, 62 to 128 lesions), with a mean of 32.8 inflammatory lesions (range, 21 to 47 inflammatory lesions) and a mean of 57.6 non-inflammatory lesions (range, 34 to 97 non-inflammatory lesions) (Table 3). Lesion count reduction was observed as early as week 2, progressing to a significant reduction in total (29.6%), inflammatory (35.7%), and non-inflammatory (27.1%) lesion counts at week 8 (P<0.001) (Figures 2 and 3).

Subject satisfaction questionnaire results

Most athletes agreed or strongly agreed with all questions in the end of study subject satisfaction questionnaire, including overall satisfaction with the regimen (Figure 4A and 4B). The athletes felt that the regimen was easy to use and reported a positive difference in skin appearance and acne.

A notable shift in subject attitudes was observed at study exit regarding the acne specific moisturizer and sunscreen elements of the regimen (Figure 4B). At baseline, only 10.7% of athletes indicated that they had used any acne specific facial moisturizer within 30 days and 78.6% reported no facial moisturizer use at all. At baseline, only 28.6% of athletes felt that it was important or very important to use a facial moisturizer with sunscreen (Figure 4C). Furthermore, only 17.8% of athletes thought it was important or very important to apply sunscreen before engaging in sports activities at the baseline visit, and 85.7% responded that they did not regularly apply sunscreen before going outdoors. However, at study exit, more than 60% agreed or strongly agreed that an acne specific SPF moisturizer was personally important (Figure 4D). The majority of athletes at study exit responded that they would recommend the regimen to others, including others in their sport, and that they would continue using the regimen themselves.

Compliance and adherence assessment

As evaluated by the study questionnaires and post study product weights, most athletes demonstrated remarkably good adherence and compliance to the study protocol and regimen. Athletes were 89.3%
Figure 1: Sports subgroup analysis. Athletes were asked what sport(s) they currently played. Simultaneous participation in more than 1 sport was permitted.

Table 3: Baseline disease characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease Characteristic</th>
<th>Lesion Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Lesions</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 90.5 (15.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range (min, max)</td>
<td>62.0, 128.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory Lesions</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 32.8 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range (min, max)</td>
<td>21.0, 47.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noninflammatory Lesions</td>
<td>Mean (SD): 57.6 (13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range (min, max)</td>
<td>34.0, 97.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

dosing compliant with the overall CoMMPlete regimen, and only 3 athletes were found to be non-dosing compliant. Athletes were 100% compliant with foam wash usage, 100% compliant with SPF moisturizer usage, 96.4% compliant with SPF moisturizer reaplication, and 92.9% compliant with A/BPO gel usage. Adherence questionnaire results were similarly favorable. Most athletes were able to correctly identify all study products, and over 90% were able to correctly identify the correct prescription product by the brand name (Epiduo Gel). Over 90% reported using A/BPO gel and SPF moisturizer at least once per day as directed, and over 85% used the facial wash at least twice per day as directed.

Tolerability

The CoMMPlete regimen was safe and well tolerated by participants. Most athletes reported no scaling, dryness, or stinging and burning. Eighteen athletes experienced 31 AEs, most of which were mild in severity. Nineteen AEs were considered related to regimen use, including erythema, dry skin, skin exfoliation, skin burning sensation, application site pain, and sunburn (Table 4). One subject discontinued the regimen due to an AE that was considered related to the regimen (skin burning sensation). This AE resolved with no residual effects after discontinuation. No serious AEs were reported during the study. A slight increase in athletes experiencing mild to moderate erythema, scaling, dryness, and stinging and burning was reported by investigators at week 2. By week 8, scaling, dryness, and stinging and burning were similar to baseline (Figure 5).

Discussion

A successful AV treatment regimen must effectively cleanse, medicate, moisturize, and protect the skin; however, subject satisfaction and adherence are equally important determinants of treatment success. Athletes face a number of specific skin care challenges that may increase the difficulty of treating AV, including extensive sunlight, heat, physical contact between participants, and occlusive and abrasive equipment. These factors may be the reason why the baseline lesion counts were higher in the athletes enrolled in the current study than in past investigations of non-targeted subjects [27]. Therefore, the selection of a gentle skin care regimen that incorporates a sunscreen component is especially important for successful AV treatment in athletes. This report describes the successful treatment of AV in athletes...
using the CoMMPlete regimen. This safe and well-tolerated regimen significantly reduced end of study total lesion counts, and athletes using the regimen exhibited exceptional satisfaction and adherence.

When compared with a population of non-athletes from a similar previous investigation, the athletes in the current study were much less likely to feel that a facial moisturizer with sunscreen was an important part of their daily self-care routine at baseline (17.8% current vs. 34.2% previous) [27]. Most participants indicated that they had used no sunscreen, acne specific face wash, or moisturizer within 30 days (Figure 3). Furthermore, the athletes placed a lower priority on skin care despite exhibiting higher baseline mean total lesion counts than was seen in the previous study (90.5 vs. 76.7) [27].

While the exit questionnaire responses indicated that athletes placed less importance on moisturizer with sunscreen (60.7% current vs. 70.2% previous), the more than three-fold difference between the baseline and exit attitudes of the student athletes suggests that the CoMMPlete regimen had a meaningful positive impact on perceived quality of life. Most athletes agreed or strongly agreed with all end of study satisfaction questions. The remarkable shift in subject attitudes strongly suggests that the baseline apathy of the athletes may have been at least partially attributable to a lack of skin care knowledge. The end of study results indicate that this population actually cares very strongly about the health and appearance of their skin if they are given access to an easy to use and well-tolerated skin care regimen.

Underscoring the positive change in attitudes, the student athletes exhibited exceptional adherence to the foam wash and SPF moisturizer, and subject satisfaction and adherence in the current study were better than is typically seen for topical AV therapies [13]. Compliance ranged between 90 and 100% for each component of the regimen, and the majority of the athletes reported excellent adherence in the exit questionnaire. Satisfaction may be among the most important predictors of adherence in global assessments of AV therapy, and most athletes reported a perceived improvement in acne and the general health and appearance of their skin. Additionally, athletes reported that the regimen was a good lifestyle fit, and that the treatments were easy to use [13]. Furthermore, the majority of athletes responded that they intended to continue using the regimen and would recommend it to their peers. These results suggest that increased education and access to an easy to use, nonirritating regimen could contribute to meaningful skin health and AV treatment improvements for student athletes.

This was a single-center, single-arm, open-label investigation with a relatively small sample size. Because the athletes enrolled in this study chose to participate in this investigation, they may have had a higher

**Figure 4:** Subject satisfaction and skin care attitudes.
level of motivation and study adherence than would be seen in the general population. Most athletes enrolled in this study were men, and future investigations should attempt to recruit a higher proportion of women. Future studies should also attempt to widen the distribution and variety of sports included in the investigation, and these studies should be conducted with larger sample sizes recruited from multiple geographically distinct populations of varying ages.

In conclusion, the CoMMPlete Regimen may be an excellent AV treatment regimen for athletes aged 12 to 18. Athletes exhibited significant end of study improvement in total lesion counts, a very low incidence of adverse effects, and unusually high levels of adherence and satisfaction.
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