Peripheral Neuropathy Following Spinal Anaesthesia after DHS Fixation Surgery for Inter-trochanteric Fracture Femur
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Abstract

Background: Proximal femoral fractures present unique challenges for anaesthetic department involving the peri-operative care of large numbers of older patients with significant co-morbidities. The majority (95%) of hip fractures occur in patients over the age of 60. 75% occurring in females. More than 98% of fractures are repaired surgically, for the purposes of analgesia and early rehabilitation. Peripheral neuropathy following spinal anaesthesia is a rare complication following lower limb surgery.

Purpose: To ensure proper safety measures while giving spinal anaesthesia to the patients. Multiple attempts and faulty technique may lead to direct trauma to the spinal cord or nerve root.

Material and Methods: 79 yrs old female presented to OPD with complaints of pain over left hip and unable to walk. X-ray showed IT fracture femur. Patient was admitted, investigated and fitness was taken. DHS fixation surgery was performed under spinal anaesthesia. Post-operatively patient developed DVT of the operated leg and neuropathy of the contra-lateral leg.

Outcome: Though rare, peripheral neuropathy is a complication of spinal anaesthesia. Damage to nerve roots can occur due to faulty technique or repeated attempts for spinal anaesthesia. Prolonged hypotension following spinal anaesthesia may cause spinal cord ischemia or thrombosis of the anterior spinal artery leading to flaccid paraplegia.

Conclusion: Continuous passive physiotherapy of the lower limbs helps in complete recovery of the neuropathy but at a slow rate.
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Introduction

Regional anaesthesia is a preferred anaesthesia in cases of lower limb fractures. Spinal anaesthesia is widely used for cases of Inter-trochanteric fracture of femur. Incidence of neurologic complication is reported to be higher with spinal than for epidural anaesthesia [1]. Various causes of neurologic complications have been documented in the literature, as chemical myelitis, injury to the cord, or preexisting neurological lesion [2]. Regional anaesthesia is well known for complications. Major neurological sequelae after central blockade although rare but can be devastating for the patient and the anaesthetist. Postoperative thromboembolism is a potentially lethal complication. Its diagnosis may be difficult, as the classic clinical signs and symptoms are often absent, making a high index of suspicion imperative for diagnosis. We report one such case of Inter-trochanteric fracture femur operated with DHS fixation surgery under spinal anaesthesia, post-operatively developed neuropathy of the contra-lateral limb and DVT of the ipsilateral limb.

Case Presentation

79 yrs old female presented to OPD with complaints of pain over left hip and unable to walk. X-ray (Figure 1) showed IT fracture femur. Patient was admitted, investigated and fitness was taken. Surgery was planned and accordingly spinal anaesthesia was given. X-ray LS spine (Figure 2). While giving spinal anaesthesia, 25 gauge spinal needle and lignocaine 2% was used. Since there was no free flow of cerebrospinal fluid, multiple attempts were taken and patient felt severe pain and electric shock like sensation at the time of insertion of the needle, which she reported immediately. Patient was placed on fracture table and DHS fixation surgery was performed which lasted for 65 mins. Post-operatively patient developed DVT of the operated leg and neuropathy (loss of movement but intact sensation) of the contra-lateral leg with normal bladder and bowel sensations. Low molecular weight Heparin was started for DVT along with elastic stocking to the limb.
Figure 1: Pre-op X-ray of left hip showing IT fracture.

Figure 2: X-ray LS spine.

Doppler was done to assess the vascular status of the limb (Figure 3) and MRI was done to assess the cause for peripheral neuropathy (Figures 4 and 5). Passive physiotherapy was started. But patient died with due course of time and we lost the follow-up.

Figure 3: Colour Doppler of the left lower limb.

Figure 4: Report of MRI of lumbar spine.

Discussion

Regional anaesthesia is well known for complications. Major neurological sequelae after central blockade although rare but can be devastating for the patient and the anaesthetist. Post spinal anaesthetic sequelae manifesting as peripheral nerve symptoms such as motor weakness, hypoesthesia, paresthesia and nerve root involvement resulting in peripheral neuropathy have been linked to the anaesthetic [3]. Also, features such as numbness, tingling, heaviness or burning
sensations have been noted in patients after spinal anaesthesia with any local anaesthetic [4].

Neurological complications directly related to spinal anaesthesia may be caused by trauma, ischemia, infection or neurotoxicity. Though controversial, but local anesthetic solutions administered in clinical concentrations do not cause nerve damage, prolonged exposure and/or high concentrations of local anaesthetic solutions at the spinal roots have been shown to result in permanent neurological deficit [5,6] (Figure 5).

Figure 5: Film of MRI lumbar spine.

Prolonged hypotension following spinal anaesthesia has been reported in most cases to cause spinal cord ischemia or thrombosis of the anterior spinal artery [7]. Flaccid paraplegia due to anterior spinal artery thrombosis has been reported. Pre-existing neurologic lesion may also present with paraplegia following spinal anaesthesia [7]. Direct trauma to the spinal cord or nerve root may be due to multiple attempts, faulty technique and severe pain and electric shock like sensation felt by the patient at the time of insertion of the needle [8].

Thromboembolism is the most frequent serious complication following major orthopaedic surgery. Without prophylaxis, deep venous thrombosis (DVT) develops in approximately 50% of patients who undergo surgery.

The neurologic deficits following SA could be due to direct trauma by the needle or spinal cord ischemia due to either hypotension or vasoconstrictors used along with the local anesthetic agents [9,10]. Severe neurologic complications resulting from spinal anaesthesia are rarely reported [11,12]. Our patient developed motor and sensory impairment in left lower limb after SA with corresponding changes in spinal MRI. The mechanisms responsible for the early onset of neurologic symptoms could be due to direct needle injury of the spinal cord and injection of the local anesthetic into the cord parenchyma, leading to immune-mediated changes and myelitis. Prognosis of these patients is gradual motor recovery over a period of days to months due to the resolution of edema. Unfortunately, the spinthaloatic impairment may persist and in few cases leads to severe spontaneous pain over the affected segment.

Conclusion

Thorough pre-operative history and examination with proper knowledge of anatomy of spinal and epidermal space is of utmost importance before undertaking management of any case for anaesthesia. Use of appropriate anaesthetic agent in proper dose and concentration should also be considered.

We suggest that during administration of spinal anaesthesia meticulous attention should be given to avoid direct needle trauma and intra-neural injection of local anaesthetics which is the most common cause of neurological sequelae.

Clinical Message

Neurological complications following lower limb surgeries under spinal anaesthesia are rare. In the case of paraesthesia during insertion of the needle, probability of needle trauma should be considered. In the case if any neurological complication is suspected prompt neurological intervention should be encouraged as patient is our utmost priority.
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