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Abstract

Epizootic lymphangitis (EL) is a debilitating equine disease that in its classical form is characterized by chronic discharging cutaneous nodules. The disease has been reported to be endemic in Ethiopia, a country where equids provide a vital utility and source of income to many people in resource-poor settings. This study was conducted in Bahir Dar town, Northwest Ethiopia to investigate the prevalence, risk factors and bodily distribution of lesions of EL in cart-mules. To this effect, both clinical and microscopic examinations were made on a total of 402 mules and an overall prevalence of 32.84% (132/402) was recorded. The mules had nodular, ulcerative pyogranulomatous lesions following the lymphatic lines of the legs (79.6%), on their neck (9.85%), around the inguinal area (6.82%), on their back (2.27%) and on their perineum (1.52%). None of the risk factors considered (sex, body condition score, use of harness, and presence of wound prior to the disease) were noted to be significantly associated with the presence of EL on mules (p>0.05). Moreover, 34% of the cart-mule owners were reported not to allow mules affected with EL off-work even for a single day. In conclusion, the present study has revealed a high prevalence of EL in mules and it is the second work in Ethiopia disproving the assumption that mules are resistant to the disease. Thus, early detection of the disease and treatment with effective drugs and restriction of movement of affected animals is recommended to prevent the spread of the disease to unaffected equids. Also, future studies are required to find out the factors predisposing mules to EL.
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Introduction

Epizootic lymphangitis (EL) is a contagious, chronic disease of horses, mules and donkeys characterized clinically by a spreading, suppurative, ulcerating pyogranulomatous dermatitis and lymphangitis. It is caused by dimorphic fungus currently known as Histoplasma capsulatum var. farciminosum [1,2]. Transmission is by wound infection or blood sucking insects [3].

Clinically EL has been described to occur in four forms: ocular, cutaneous, respiratory, and asymptomatic carriers. However, the cutaneous form is the most common [4]. Mixed clinical presentations can occur and may reflect different stages of disease progression. Chronic disease results in progressive lameness and severe debilitation which may be a result of multi-systemic involvement [5].

Epizootic lymphangitis is endemic in west, north, and northeast Africa, the Middle East, India, and the Far East. The disease is currently prevalent in Ethiopia, particularly it is endemic in humid and hot areas located at an altitude of 1500 to 2300 m.a.s.l [6]. Depending on the region, the prevalence of EL in horses varied from 18.8 to 26.2% [6-10]. However all of these studies focused on horses only and didn't give attention to mules, which are equally valuable animals as horses for the rural communities. There is only a single study of EL in mules in Ethiopia [11], which to the authors' knowledge, is the only field study of the disease in mules globally. Thus, this study was initiated to bridge the information gap about the disease in mules both locally and globally. The study was aimed to estimate the prevalence of EL in cart-pulling mules, identify the potential risk factors and assess the bodily distribution of lesions.

Materials and Methods

Description of study area

The study was conducted in Bahir Dar town, Northwest of Ethiopia. The town is located at about 554 km away from Addis Ababa along the upper Blue Nile river basin. The area has an altitude ranging from 1600-1800 m.a.s.l. [12], receives an annual rainfall of 1500 mm and experiences a lower and higher average temperature of 10°C and 30°C, respectively. The major livestock population of the area consists of 7,839 cattle, 23,463 Goats and sheep, 12,528 equine and 62,012 poultry. The major farming system of the area is mixed crop livestock system [13].

Study population and sampling

The study populations were cart pulling mules found in Bahir Dar town. After identification of the gathering points of cart-mules (flour mills, construction sites, market places, cart stations), all animals found in the town (n=402) were included in the study. Cart stations were visited twice in a day, in the morning and afternoon, to examine the two mules that were used to pull a single cart in shifts. To avoid re-sampling, maximum effort was made to identify each mule by color, owner's name and cart number.
Clinical examination of mules

The disease was tentatively diagnosed by clinical examination including visual inspection and palpation for the characteristic lesions (presence of nodules and/or ulcers). Each mule was thoroughly examined for one or other forms of the disease with special emphasis on the cutaneous form. During clinical examination, sex, body condition score, working status, number of mules owned by the cart owner/driver and bodily distribution of EL lesions were recorded. The body condition score (BCS) of the mules was assessed and categorized as “poor”, “medium” and “good” according to Svendsen [14].

Microscopic examination

Clinically positive horses (horses with either nodules or ulcers of EP) were subjected to further laboratory examination. Depending on the size and consistency of the presented skin and subcutaneous nodules or proliferative lesions, fine needle aspiration (FNA) was performed using a standard syringe (5 ml) and needle (22 and 23-gauge) with aspiration technique. Briefly, after disinfecting the area (preferably intact nodules) with alcohol swab, the needle was inserted into the nodule and then redirected, while continuously applying negative pressure. The material collected was then transferred to a clean glass microscope slide and then blood smearing technique was used for smear preparation. The smears were allowed to dry quickly at room temperature. Dried smears were wrapped with clean and dry paper and transported to the Bahar Dar regional veterinary lab and examined for one or other forms of the disease with special emphasis on the cutaneous form. During clinical examination, sex, body condition score, working status, number of mules owned by the cart owner/driver and bodily distribution of EL lesions were recorded. The body condition score (BCS) of the mules was assessed and categorized as “poor”, “medium” and “good” according to Svendsen [14].

Data management and analysis

The data collected during the study period was recorded into Microsoft Excel spreadsheet and encoded. Statistical analysis was carried out using STATA software version 11 (STATA corp., College Station, TX). The association between the prevalence of epizootic lymphangitis and the hypothesized risk factors was analyzed by $\chi^2$-independent test. In all the cases, 95% confidence level was set and $p$-value <0.05 was taken as significant.

Results

Out of the total of 402 cart mules examined, 132 (32.84%) were found to have epizootic lymphangitis. The prevalence of EL did not have a significant association ($p>0.05$) with any of the variables considered as risk factors (sex, BCS, use of harness, and presence of wound before the development of EL) (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>No of mules examined</th>
<th>No of EP cases</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>25.3-43.1</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>26.9-37.7</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>21.9-35.5</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>28.5-44.2</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Prevalence of epizootic lymphangitis in cart-mules and association with different risk factors. EP=Epizootic lymphangitis.

The characteristic lesion of EL (nodular, ulcerative pyogranulomatous which undergo alternating eruption and granulation) were found distributed on different parts of the body. However, the lesions were detected more frequently on the fore and hind legs following the lymphatic lines (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body parts</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perineum</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inguinal area</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck region</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphatic lines of the legs</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>79.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Bodily distribution of epizootic lymphangitis lesions in the affected mules.

During the course of the study the cart-mules owners/drivers were asked if they give rest for mules affected with EL. Accordingly, about 52% of the cart owners indicated that they allowed mules to get rest for one day, 10% for two days and 4% for three or more days per week following the development of the characteristic lesion of the disease. However, 34% of the cart owners responded that they didn't allow the sick mule to get rest even for a single day. Moreover, 23 respondents mentioned that they abandoned chronically affected mules outdoors for scavengers because of lack of promising treatment and offensive odor arising from the lesion.

Discussion

There is paucity of information on the prevalence of EL in mules both locally and globally. Perhaps this may be due to the fact that mules are considered resistant or less susceptible to the disease [17]. However, observation of a high prevalence (32.8%) of EL in mules in the current study suggests that the disease is very important in this species that needs due attention. Also, this is the second field study in Ethiopia that disproved the assumption that mules are resistant to the disease.
There is only a single EL study in mules in the country [11] in which a prevalence of 21% reported in cart-mules in Bako and Ejaji towns, Western Ethiopia. The prevalence documented in the current study is considerably higher than the previous report. This variation between the two studies could be attributed to differences in the climatic condition, season of the study and level of attention given for the disease. The current finding is also higher than average prevalence reports in horses from different parts of Ethiopia which range from 18.8% to 26.2% [6,7,10,18]. Regarding to the distribution of EL in Ethiopia, previous researchers concluded that the disease is endemic in hot, humid areas with an altitude ranging from 1500 to 2300 m.a.s.l and few or no cases were detected in dry and windy areas, and in very cold climate areas of the country [6]. Furthermore, it has been reported that the prevalence of the disease is significantly associated with average annual temperature, altitude, tick infestation and horse population in an area, but not with mean annual rainfall [6,11]. Gilbert [19] stated that warm and moist environmental conditions allow the organism to survive in the soil for months.

In the present study, the characteristic lesions of EL were detected more frequently on the front and hind limbs following the lymphatic lines, and less frequently on the neck, inguinal, back and perineum areas in that order. Frequent exposure to injury through chaffing of legs to each other and trauma caused by harnessing may act as predisposing factor. The widely used harnesses, which have rigid and rough edges, increase the friction and wounding of the body of horses or mules [6,20]. The clinical presentation and distribution of EL observed in the current study is in agreement with previous reports in horses and mules [4,7,10,11,21,22].

The current study indicated that a considerable proportion (34%) of cart-mule owners do not let mules affected with EL off work. This condition may weaken the immunity of the animals and worsen the severity of the lesions. Moreover, the practice of abandoning chronically infected animals outdoor by some of the cart-mule owners may lead to spread of the disease to unaffected mules and horses through direct contact and flies.

In this study, none of the risk factors considered were found to have a significant effect on the prevalence of the disease. Nonetheless, large-scale epidemiological cohort studies are required to provide further evidence on these.

Conclusion

The present study has revealed a high prevalence of EL in cart-mules. Carts are a means of survival for a significant number of families in the area and are probably the only means of living for most. Moreover, they provide the only affordable transportation service for goods to and from construction sites, flour mills and market places. With this high prevalence, it is clear that the disease can have a devastating impact on the income of poor families due to morbidity and mortality of abandoned mules. Thus, early detection of the disease and treatment with the available drugs, and restriction of movement of positive cases is recommended. Furthermore, the use of less traumatic harness and raising the awareness of equine owners towards the disease should be considered. Also, future studies are required to find out the factors predisposing mules to EL.
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