

## Detection and Molecular Monitoring of Minimal Residual Disease in Chronic Myelogenous Leukemia

C. Cameron Yin\*

Department of Hematopathology, The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center Houston, USA

The term “minimal residual disease” refers to the persistence of residual neoplastic cells below the threshold of conventional morphologic detection. Monitoring of MRD plays a critical role in the early detection of impending hematologic relapse of many hematopoietic neoplasms, which allows for timely therapeutic intervention and improved clinical outcome. Chronic Myelogenous Leukemia (CML) is a myeloproliferative neoplasm that originates from an abnormal pluripotent hematopoietic stem cell and is characterized by the presence of t(9;22)(q34;q11.2)/*BCR-ABL1* fusion resulting in the Philadelphia chromosome (Ph). The chimeric *BCR-ABL1* fusion protein has constitutive tyrosine kinase activity that plays an essential role in CML pathogenesis, and provides the basis for the diagnosis, target therapy and MRD monitoring of CML.

Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors (TKI) that target the *BCR-ABL1*, such as imatinib, nilotinib and dasatinib, are now the standard front-line therapy for CML. However, some patients present with resistant disease or develop secondary resistance usually due to mutations in the *ABL1* Kinase Domain (KD). Early detection of TKI resistance is crucial to allow timely implementation of other effective therapies to prevent disease progression and improve clinical outcome. Thus, cytogenetic and molecular analyses to quantify the *BCR-ABL1* fusion transcript and to identify *ABL1* mutation are critical in the monitoring of CML patients on TKI therapy.

Responses to TKI can be assessed at several levels, i.e., Hematologic Response (HR), Cytogenetic Response (CyR) and Molecular Response (MR). Cytogenetic response is defined as the percentage of Ph+ metaphases by karyotypic analysis, and has been shown to be a major prognostic factor for disease progression and overall survival. It has a sensitivity of 5% and can detect cytogenetic aberrations in addition to Ph. A minimum of 20 metaphases should be examined. Although the role of interphase FISH in CML monitoring after achievement of complete CyR (CCyR) has been controversial, it has been shown that detection of *BCR-ABL1* fusion gene in peripheral blood by FISH is correlated with cytogenetic responsiveness. It is more sensitive (sensitivity 0.5%), can detect cryptic translocation, and can be performed on interphase cells making it particularly useful when bone marrow metaphase is not available. It is important to perform karyotypic analysis yearly for assessment of clonal evolution because FISH cannot detect cytogenetic aberrations other than t(9;22).

Molecular response is defined as the ratio of the level of *BCR-ABL1* fusion transcript to the level of a reference gene transcript (usually *ABL1*, *BCR*, *GUSB*). Quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR, sensitivity  $1 \times 10^{-5}$ ) to serially assess the *BCR-ABL1* fusion transcript level is now regarded as the “gold standard” for the detection and quantification of MRD, and is the most important factor to monitor after achievement of CCyR and after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Major (MMR) and complete

(CMR) molecular remissions have been associated with more durable cytogenetic responses. qRT-PCR can be performed using either TaqMan or Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) technology. Significant inter- and intra-laboratory variations have been reported, depending on the source of specimen, the quality of RNA, the efficiency of RT and PCR, and the choice of reference gene. Both peripheral blood and bone marrow aspirate can be used, however, it is recommended that the same type of specimen be used during disease monitoring. Efforts have been made to develop a universal standard to allow for interlaboratory comparisons, and as a consequence the first WHO international genetic reference panel for quantification of *BCR-ABL1* fusion transcript has been established.

The algorithms for molecular monitoring of CML vary in different laboratories. Most include karyotypic analysis pre-treatment, every 6 months till CCyR, and once a year thereafter for assessment of clonal evolution; FISH analysis pre-treatment and every 6-12 months till CCyR, or when metaphase cells are not available for karyotypic analysis; and qRT-PCR pre-treatment, every 3 months till CCyR, and every 6 months thereafter. Nested RT-PCR (sensitivity  $1 \times 10^{-6}$ ) can be used when internal control fails to amplify or an alternate transcript is suspected. A rising level of *BCR-ABL1* fusion transcripts mandates more frequent monitoring.

*ABL1* KD mutation has been reported in 40-90% of TKI-resistant CML. Over 50 different *ABL1* point mutations have been described. These mutations are not only associated with resistant disease but also vary in their ability to inhibit TKIs, e.g. T315I confers resistance to all 3 front-line TKIs (imatinib, nilotinib and dasatinib). Thus, not only the presence but also the type of *ABL1* mutations may guide treatment decisions. *ABL1* mutation analysis is indicated when complete HR not achieved by 3 months, major CyR not achieved by 6 months, CCyR not reached by 12 months, loss of complete HR or CCyR at any point,  $\geq 10$ -fold rise in *BCR-ABL1* transcript levels, presentation with TKI-resistant disease or accelerated or blast phase. Several methods can be used for the detection of *ABL1* KD mutation. Sanger sequencing, despite of its low sensitivity (20%), is still the most commonly used method in the initial screening of *ABL1* mutation. Pyrosequencing has a sensitivity of 1-5%, and also allows for assessment of the ratio

\*Corresponding author: C. Cameron Yin, Department of Hematopathology, The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center Houston, TX 77030, USA, E-mail: [cyin@mdanderson.org](mailto:cyin@mdanderson.org)

Received April 05, 2012; Accepted April 06, 2012; Published April 07, 2012

Citation: Yin CC (2012) Detection and Molecular Monitoring of Minimal Residual Disease in Chronic Myelogenous Leukemia. J Clin Exp Pathol 2:e110. doi:10.4172/2161-0681.1000e110

Copyright: © 2012 Yin CC, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

of mutated to unmutated clones. Allele-specific PCR is much more sensitive (sensitivity 0.01%), but two pairs of primers and/or probes need to be designed for each individual point mutation. Pyrosequencing and allele-specific PCR may be used for monitoring once a mutation has been identified by Sanger sequencing.

In summary, serial quantification of *BCR-ABL1* fusion transcript level and timely identification of *ABL1* KD mutations using appropriate molecular monitoring algorithms play a critical role in MRD monitoring and switch of treatment in CML patients, especially in light of new treatment regimens.