

Antimicrobial Potency and Phytochemical Screening of Leaf and Bark Extracts of *Eucalyptus globulus*

Preeti Singh^{1*}, Ridhima Mathur¹ and Tarana Ara Khan²

¹Department of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan, India

²Department of Lifesciences, Chatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University, Kanpur, India

*Corresponding author: Preeti Singh, Department of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan, India, Tel: +9119300495; E-mail: preetispb13@gmail.com

Received date: September 24, 2018; Accepted date: October 04, 2018; Published date: October 08, 2018

Copyright: © 2018 Singh P, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

The objective of the present study was to determine the antibacterial and antifungal activity by *Eucalyptus globulus*. The methanolic, ethanolic, petroleum ether extracts of leaf and bark were observed against the microbes that are pathogenic to humans. It was observed that methanolic extract of bark showed the best result, the zone of inhibition was (18-23 mm) in diameter. The antibacterial and antifungal activity may be due to presence of phytoactive compounds like saponins, alkaloids, steroids, terpenoids. The results of the study indicate that methanolic bark and leaf extract might be exploited as natural medicines and drugs for treatment of various infections caused by microorganism.

Keywords: *Eucalyptus globulus*; Antimicrobial; Phytoactive; Phytochemical; Zone of inhibition

Introduction

Mankind has been benefited by the nature in various ways. In recent time, the use synthetic drugs lead to number of side effects and this converges an alternate way to use natural products. As plant based medicines have least or no side effects. *Eucalyptus* is an important medicinal plant belongs to the family Myrtaceae. It is tall evergreen tree and is native to Australia, widely used as in treatment of skin disorders, inflammations, chest problems. Almost every part of this plant has medicinal properties [1].

“Leaf extract of *Eucalyptus*” has been approved as a natural food additive and it is included among the antioxidants in the “List of Existing Food Additives in Japan” [2]. The leaf, bark extracts of *Eucalyptus* have shown various bio potent activity such as antioxidants, antibacterial, antifungal, or anti-hyperglycaemic [3]. Oil of this plant is beneficial for curing lung infections and fungal infections [4].

In past three decades, a large number of antibiotics have been produced, but it has been clinically threatened by exposure and emergence of various multidrug resistant pathogens [5]. World Health Organization (WHO) reported that medicinal plants would be the ideal source to obtain diverse drugs [6]. The main objective of this study is to focus on antimicrobial activity and phytochemical screening of *Eucalyptus* leaf and bark.

Materials and Methods

Fresh mature leaves and bark were collected from Banasthali Vidyapith Campus, Rajasthan, India. The leaves and bark were washed thoroughly with normal tap water and once washed with sterile distilled water. The samples of leaves and bark were air dried under shade for one week at room temperature. Electrical grinder was used to

make the leaves and bark in powdered form. The powder was stored in dark air tight container separately.

Preparation of extracts

Methanolic, Ethanolic and Petroleum ether solvents were used for preparation of extracts. 20 gm of leaf powder and 20 gm of bark powder were weighed and homogenised with 100 ml of these three solvents. Leaf and Bark extract were prepared separately. The crude was left over night for extraction. The crude was filtered after 24 hours. The extracts were obtained and stored at 4°C.

Antimicrobial screening

In order to determination of antimicrobial activity by *E. globulus*, the strains of bacteria used for this study were *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *E. coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. The fungal strains used were *Aspergillus niger* and *Penicillium* species.

Bacterial cultures were grown in Nutrient Broth (Himedia, Mumbai, India) at 37°C ± 0.5°C while fungi were cultured in Potato Dextrose Broth (Himedia, Mumbai, India).

Agar well diffusion method was employed for screening of antimicrobial activity. Bacterial cultures were spread over the nutrient agar with the help of sterile spreader. Four Wells of 6 mm were made on each plate, to one well 30 µl of leaf extracts was filled and in second well 30 µl of bark extract was filled. In third well 30 µl was filled with Ciproflaxin (Positive control) and fourth well was filled with DMSO-Dimethyl Sulphoxide (Negative control) and diameter of zone of inhibition was calculated after incubation period of 24 hours at 37°C. The same procedure was done for fungi and diameter of zone of inhibition was calculated after 5 days of incubation at 37°C, positive control was FLU-Flucanazole.

Phytochemical analysis

Test	Leaf			Bark		
	A	B	C	A	B	C
Steroid	++	+	+	++	+	+
Saponins	++	+	+	++	+	++
Alkaloid	+	+	+	+	+	+
Flavonoid	++	+	+	+	+	+
Terpenoid	+	+	+	+	+	+
Glycosides	—	—	—	—	—	—

The bioactive compounds or the phytoconstituents found in the leaf and bark (Methanol, Ethanol, Petroleum Ether extracts) were steroids, saponins, flavonoids and alkaloids. The test were done as per the standard methods (Table 1) [7,8].

Results and Discussion

It was observed that methanolic extracts had shown greater zone of inhibition for both bacterial and Fungal cultures whereas ethanolic extract had shown lesser zone of inhibition and the Petroleum ether had minimum zone of inhibition in leaf and bark both.

We can compare the antimicrobial activity by the tables given below (Tables 2-5).

Table 1: Phytoconstituents present in the Extracts of Methanol(A), Ethanol(B), Petroleum Ether (C).

Leaf Extracts	Zone of inhibition in diameter (mm)		
	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>
Methanolic	21	19	17
Ethanolic	19	15	14
Petroleum ether	17	13	11
–Ciproflaxin (Positive control)	26	24	25
DMSO–Dimethyl Sulphoxide (Negative control)	—	—	—

Table 2: Antibacterial activity by Leaf extract.

Bark Extracts	Zone of inhibition in diameter (mm)		
	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>
Methanolic	23	20	19
Ethanolic	21	18	16
Petroleum ether	18	15	12
–Ciproflaxin (Positive control)	28	26	25
DMSO–Dimethyl Sulphoxide (Negative control)	—	—	—

Table 3: Antibacterial activity by Bark extract.

Leaf extract	Zone of inhibition in diameter(mm)	
	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	<i>Penicillium species</i>
Methanolic extract	17	14
Ethanolic extract	16	11
Petroleum ether extract	11	9
FLU–Flucanazole (Positive control)	24	19
DMSO–Dimethyl Sulphoxide (Negative control)	—	—

Table 4: Antifungal activity by Leaf extracts.

Bark extract	Zone of inhibition in diameter (mm)	
	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	<i>Penicillium</i> species
Methanolic extract	20	17
Ethanollic extract	18	12
Petroleum ether extract	13	10
FLU–Flucanazole (Positive control)	25	21
DMSO–Dimethyl Sulphoxide (Negative control)	—	—

Table 5: Antifungal activity by bark extracts.

Phytochemical screening of the crude of the Leaf and Bark extracts were screened using the methods of Trease and Evans [9]. Presence of the secondary metabolites in Eucalyptus can be useful in preparing drugs and medicines against bacteria and fungi [10]. The presence of bioactive agents such as flavonoids, alkaloids, saponins, steroids served as defence mechanism against microbes and they can disrupt the cell membranes and form the complex proteins, hence inhibits the growth of microorganisms [11-14]. These bioactive compounds (secondary metabolites) would be helpful in curing various kinds of infections or diseases such as influenza, gastrointestinal infections, lung infections, skin rashes. It is also used as antiseptic and ant diabetic agent. Now a days Eucalyptus oil is used in soaps, shampoos and ointment [15].

In present investigation, the antimicrobial potency of the methanolic, ethanolic and petroleum ether extracts of leaf and bark of *Eucalyptus globulus* have shown their activity against microbes, in medical concern multidrug resistant would be active in search for antimicrobial and bio potent compounds present in nature [16]. From (Tables 2 and 3) we can compare the leaf and bark extracts activity, methanolic bark activity is higher i.e 23 mm in diameter against *E.coli* whereas Petroleum ether has shown least activity against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* i.e 11 mm in case of antibacterial activity (Table 2).

In case of Fungi, Methanolic Bark extract has shown the maximum activity against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* while Petroleum ether extract has shown least activity against *Penicillium* species i.e. 9 mm (Table 4).

The inhibitory effect may be due to the bioactive compounds present in Eucalyptus and may be exploited as natural drug for treatment of antibacterial and antifungal drug [17].

References

1. Ayyanar M, Ignacimuthu S (2009) Herbal medicines of wound healing among tribal people in Southern India: Ethnobotanical and scientific evidences. Int J Appl Res Nat Prod 2: 29-42.
2. Tyagi A K, Malik A (2011) Antimicrobial potential and chemical composition of Eucalyptus globulus oil in liquid and vapour phase against food spoilage microorganisms. Food Chem 126: 228-235.
3. Takahashi T, Kokubo R, Sakaino M (2004) Antimicrobial activities of eucalyptus leaf extracts and flavonoids from Eucalyptus maculata. Lett Appl Microbiol 39: 60-64.
4. Adeniyi BA, Lawal TO, Olaleye SB (2006) Antimicrobial and gastroprotective activities of eucalyptus camaldulensis (Myrtaceae) crude extracts. J Bio Sci 6: 1141-1145.
5. Bandow JE, Brotz H, Leichert LI, Labischinski H, Hecker M (2003) Proteomic approach to understanding antibiotic action. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 47: 948-955.
6. Santos PRV, Oliveira ACX, Tomassini TCB (1995) Control microbiogicodeproductos. Fitoterapicos. Rev Farm Bioquim 31: 35-38.
7. Harborne JB. Phytochemical methods: A guide to modern technique of plant analysis. London: Chapman and Hall 1973 p. 271.
8. Zgoda JR, Porter JR (2001) A convenient microdilution method screening natural products against bacteria and fungi. Pharm Biol 39: 221-225.
9. Trease GE, Evans WC (1989) Pharmacognosy. 13th edition, English Language Book Society, Bailliere Tindall, Britain, 378.
10. Babayi H, Kolo I, Okogun JI, Ijah UJJ (2004) The antimicrobial activities of methanolic extracts of Eucalyptus camaldulensis and Terminalia catappa against some pathogenic microorganisms. Biokemistri 16: 106-111.
11. Bonjar SGH (2004) Evaluation of antibacterial properties of Iranian medicinal plants against Micrococcus luteus, Serratiamarcescens, Klebsiellapneumoniae and Bordella bronchoseptica. Asian J Plant Sci 3: 82-86.
12. Irimpan MT, Jolly CI, Mathappan R, Sheela D (2011) Preliminary phytochemical screening and antibacterial activity of perularia daemia. Int J Pharma 4: 662-663.
13. Cowan MM (1999) Plant products as antimicrobial agents. Clin Microbiol Rev 12: 564-582.
14. Alexei YB, Joseph IS, Olga VF (2009) Endogenous cardiogenic steroids: physiology, pharmacology and novel therapeutic targets. Pharmacol Rev 61: 09-38.
15. Musyimi DM, Ogur JA (2008) Comparative assessment of antifungal activity of extracts from Eucalyptusglobulusand Eucalyptuscitriodora. Res J Phytochem 2: 35-43.
16. Ahmad I, Mehamood Z, Mohammad F (1998) Screening of some Indian medicinal plants for their antimicrobial properties. J. Ethnopharmacol. 62: 183-193.
17. Sofowara A (1993) Medicinal plants and traditional medicine in Africa. Spectrum Books Ltd, Ibadan, Nigeria, 289.