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#### Abstract

Using benzo-18-crown-6 ether (B18C6), extraction experiments of cadmium picrate ( $\mathrm{CdPic}_{2}$ ) into various diluents were performed at 298 K . Thereby, three kinds of extraction constants, $K_{\text {cd/CdL }}, K_{\text {ext }}$ and $K_{\text {ex }}$, four kinds of distribution constants, $K_{\mathrm{D}, j}\left(=[]_{\mathrm{org}} /[J]\right)$, with $j=\mathrm{Pic}^{-}, \mathrm{CdLPic}_{2}, \mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}$and $\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}$ and two kinds of ion-pair formation constants, $K_{1, \text { org }}\left\{=K_{\text {ext }} I K_{\text {ca/CdL }}\left(K_{\text {D,pic }}\right)^{2}\right\}$ and $K_{2, \text { org }}\left(=K_{\text {ex }} / K_{\text {ex } \pm}\right)$, for L=B18C6 were determined. Here, $K_{\text {cal/cdL }}, K_{\text {ex } \pm}$ and $K_{\text {ex }}$ were defined as $\left[\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\right]_{\text {orrg }} /\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right][\mathrm{LL}]_{\text {org }},\left[\mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}\right]_{\text {orga }}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]_{\text {org }} / P$ and $\left[\mathrm{CdLPic}_{2}\right]_{\text {oro }} / P$, respectively, with $P=\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{LL}^{+}\right]_{\text {org }}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]^{2}$ and the subscript "org" denotes an organic phase. Based on these equilibrium constants, distribution properties of the species $j$ between the water ( w ) and org phases and reactivity of $\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}$ or $\mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}$in the org phases were compared. Additionally, some $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ selectivity coefficients, $\mathrm{k}^{\text {pot }}{ }_{\mathrm{PbCd}}\left(=K_{\mathrm{Cd} / \mathrm{CdL}} I K_{\mathrm{Pb} / P \mathrm{PLL}}\right)$, against $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ with L=18-crown-6 ether at the nitrobenzene/w, 1,2-dichloroethane/w, o-dichlorobenzene/w and dichloromethane/w interfaces.
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## Introduction

Crown compounds (L), such as 18 -crown-6 ether (18C6) and benzo-18C6 (B18C6), have extracted $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\left(=\mathrm{M}^{2+}\right)$ with $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$and picrate ion ( $\mathrm{Pic}^{-}$) into various diluents [1-6]. In such $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$ extraction systems with L , four component equilibrium-constants, $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{A}}, K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{MLA}}, K_{\mathrm{D}, \text { MLA } 2}$ and $K_{2, \text { org }}$, have been determined so far [1-5]. Here, the symbols, $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{A}}, K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{MLA}}, K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{MLA} 2}$ and $K_{2, \text { org }}$, refer to the conditional distribution constant $\left(=\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]_{\text {org }} /\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]\right)$of $\mathrm{A}^{-}\left(=\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{Br}^{-}\right.$and $\left.\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right)$, that ( $\left.=\left[\mathrm{MLA}^{+}\right]_{\text {orr }} /\left[\mathrm{MLA}^{+}\right]\right)$of $\mathrm{MLA}^{+}$with the distribution equilibriumpotential difference (dep; $\Delta \varphi_{\text {eq }}$ as its symbol employed for equations), the distribution constant $\left(=\left[\mathrm{MLA}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{org}_{3}} /\left[\mathrm{MLA}_{2}\right]\right)$ of $\mathrm{MLA}_{2}$ with dep $=0 \mathrm{~V}$ into an organic (org) phase or diluent and the ion-pair formation one $\left\{=\left[\mathrm{MLA}_{2}\right]_{\text {org }} /\left[\mathrm{MLA}^{+}\right]_{\text {org }}\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]_{\text {org }}\right.$, see Eq. (4) $\}$ for MLA ${ }_{2}$ in the org phase, respectively [1-5]. Recently, the approximate determination method for the 5 th component equilibrium-constant, that is $K_{1, o r r}=\left[\mathrm{MLA}^{+}\right]$ ${ }_{\text {org }} /\left[\mathrm{ML}^{2+}\right]_{\text {org }}\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]_{\text {org }}$ \{see Eq. (9)\}, was developed [6,7]. So, these fivecomponent equilibrium-constants let us expect an evaluation of general characteristics for the above overall extraction-systems.

In order to evaluate the possibility as the method studying such extraction systems, we examined the present extraction experiments of $\mathrm{CdPic}_{2}$ with B18C6 by using various diluents, as which nitrobenzene (NB), 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE), o-dichlorobenzene (oDCBz), dichloromethane ( DCM ), chlorobutane $(\mathrm{CBu})$, chlorobenzene $(\mathrm{CBz})$, bromobenzene (BBz), chloroform (CF), dibutylether (DBE), toluene (TE) and $m$-xylene ( $m \mathrm{X}$ ) were used. These diluents were selected as the group of the high polarity, NB, that of the medium one, DCE to BBz , and that of the low one, CF to $m \mathrm{X}$ with benzene ( Bz ). In course of such evaluations, the $K_{1, \text { org }}$ values were determined more precisely than those [6] reported previously and also comparisons among the $K_{\mathrm{D}, \text { Pic }}, K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{CdLPic} 2}, K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{CdLPic}}$ and $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{CdL}}$ values were performed. In addition to the above evaluations, standard distribution constants ( $K_{\mathrm{D}, j}{ }^{5}$ ) of
$j=$ Pic $^{-}, \mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}$and $\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}$ into the NB, $\mathrm{DCE}, o \mathrm{DCBz}$ and DCM phases under the condition of dep $=0 \mathrm{~V}$ were calculated from their conditional distribution constants, $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{j}}$, with dep [6,7]. Besides, the regular-solution theory (RST) plot [3-6,8] based on the data of the $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}}$ and $K_{\text {ex, } \mathrm{i}}$ values was examined, where the symbols $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}}$ and $K_{\text {ex,ip }}$ denote a distribution constant ( $=[\mathrm{L}]_{\text {org }} /[\mathrm{L}]$ ) of L between the water and org phases and an ion-pair extraction constant ( $\left.=\left[\mathrm{CdLPic}_{2}\right]_{\text {org }} /\left[\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]^{2}\right)$ for $\mathrm{CdLPic}_{2}$ into the org phase, respectively [8]. Application to the estimation of the potentiometric selectivity coefficient for ISE [9,10] based on $K_{\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{ML}}$ $\left[=\mathrm{ML}^{2+}\right]_{\text {org }} /\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}\right][\mathrm{L}]_{\text {org }}$, see Eq. (8) $\}$ was tried by using 18C6 as L.

## Results and Discussion

## Determination of the $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II}): \mathrm{L}$ composition of extracted species

In the present systems, slopes of the plots of $\log \left(D /\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]^{2}\right)$ versus $\log [\mathrm{L}]_{\text {ory }}$ give compositions of $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II}): \mathrm{L}$ for species extracted into the various diluents [1-7]. Here, the symbol $D$ refers to an experimental distribution ratio \{see Eq. (5)\}. Figure 1 shows some examples for such plots. The obtained slope values were 0.92 for the NB system, $1.0_{6}$ for DCE, $1.0_{0}$ for $o \mathrm{DCBz}$ (Figure 1), 0.93 for DCM, $0.99_{8}$ for CBu (Figure 1), 0.95 for CBz (Figure 1), 0.96 for BBz (Figure 1), $1.0_{4}$ for CF, 0.08 for DBE (Figure 1), 1.0 for TE (Figure 1) and 0.99 for $m \mathrm{X}$. Except for the DBE system, we concluded the compositions of the mainly extracted species
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to be $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II}): \mathrm{L}=1: 1$ on the basis of these values which are about unity. Also, the compositions of $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II}): \operatorname{Pic}(-\mathrm{I})=1: 2$ for the extracted species were speculated by considering the principle of electroneutrality in the org phases [3-7].

The slope being less than unity in the experimental $[\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6]_{\mathrm{DBE}}$ range suggested the dissociation [3-7] of $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{B1}_{18 \mathrm{C}}\right) \mathrm{Pic}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6)$ $\mathrm{Pic}^{+}$in the DBE phases. So, the following analyses of this system were performed by essentially assuming the extraction of the species composed of $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II}):$ B18C6:Pic( -I )=1:1:2 into the DBE phase.

## Determination of $K_{\mathrm{ex}}, K_{\mathrm{ext}}, K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{pic}}, K_{2, \mathrm{org}}, K_{\mathrm{c} / / \mathrm{CdL}}$ and $K_{\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{org}}$

For the determination of $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{pic}}, K_{\mathrm{ex}}$ and $K_{\mathrm{ext}}$, the extraction constant parameter ( $K_{\text {ex }}{ }^{\text {mix }}$ ) has been used [3-7].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log K_{\mathrm{ex}}{ }^{\text {mix }}=\log \left(\left[\mathrm{CdLPic}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{org}}+\left[\mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{org}_{\mathrm{g}}}+\left[\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{org}+\ldots) / P}\right. \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, assuming $\left[\mathrm{CdLPic}_{2}\right]_{\text {org }}+\left[\mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}\right]_{\text {org }} \gg\left[\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\right]_{\text {org }}+\ldots$, this equation changes into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log K_{\mathrm{ex}}{ }_{\operatorname{mix}} \approx \log \left\{K_{\mathrm{ex}}+\left(K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{pic}} /\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right][\mathrm{L}]_{\mathrm{org}}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]\right)\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\left[\mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}\right]_{\text {org }} /\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right] \approx K_{\mathrm{D}, \text { pic }}$ at the approximation $\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]_{\text {org }} \approx$ $\left[\mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}\right]_{\text {org }}$ (>> $2\left[\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\right]_{\text {org }}+2\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]_{\text {org }}+\ldots$ ) on the charge balance equation, $\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]_{\text {org }}=2\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]_{\text {org }}+2\left[\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\right]_{\text {org }}+\left[\mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}\right]_{\text {org }}+\left[\mathrm{CdPic}^{+}\right]_{\text {org }}$ for the org phase. Also, the following equation has been derived [3-7].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log K_{\mathrm{ex}}{ }^{\operatorname{mix}} \approx \log \left\{K_{\mathrm{ex}}+\left(K_{\mathrm{ext}} / P\right)^{1 / 2}\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, Eq. (2) gives $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}$ and $K_{\text {ex }}$ from the plot of $\log K_{\mathrm{ex}}{ }^{\text {mix }}$ versus $-\log \left(\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right][\mathrm{L}]_{\text {org }}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]\right)$and Eq. (3) does $K_{\text {ext }}$ and $K_{\text {ex }}$ from that of $\log K_{\mathrm{ex}}{ }^{\text {mix }}$ versus $-\log P^{1 / 2}$ [3-7]. Examples of these plots are shown in Figures 2 and 3. A regression line was $\log K_{\text {ex }}{ }^{\text {mix }}=\log \left[\left(1.7_{1} \pm 0.2_{1}\right) \times\right.$ $\left.10^{3}+\left\{\left(1.0_{9} \pm 0.2_{5}\right) \times 10^{-5} /\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right][\mathrm{L}]_{m X}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]\right\}\right]$at the square of correlation coefficient $(R)=0.521$ in Figure 2, while a line was $\log K_{\mathrm{ex}}{ }^{\text {mix }}=\log \left[\left(6 ._{5} \pm\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.4 ._{2}\right) \times 10^{2}+\left\{\left(1.1_{5} \pm 0.5_{1}\right) \times 10^{-3} / P\right\}^{1 / 2}\right]$ at $R^{2}=0.520$ in Figure 3. In this $m \mathrm{X}$ system, the analyses of both Eqs. (2) and (3) yielded somewhat different $K_{\text {ex }}$ values over the experimental errors. Similar results were obtained for the other ten diluent systems. As $\log K_{\mathrm{ex}}$ values, the analyses based on Eq. (3) gave $4.48 \pm 0.03$ for the NB system, $2.4_{5} \pm 0.1_{0}$ for DCE, $2.4_{8}$ $\pm 0.1_{5}$ for $o \mathrm{DCBz}, 2.26 \pm 0.08$ for $\mathrm{DCM}, 3.05 \pm 0.04$ for $\mathrm{CBz}, 2.54 \pm 0.09$ for BBz and $2.8_{2} \pm 0.2_{8}$ for $m \mathrm{X}$ (Figure 3).

The ( $K_{2, \text { org }} / \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ ) values for given ionic-strength ones $\left(I_{\text {org }} / \mathrm{mol}\right.$ $\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ ) of the org phases were calculated from the relation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{2, o r g}=K_{e x} / K_{e x \pm} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $I_{\text {org }}$ was derived approximately to be $\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]_{\text {org }}\left\{=(1 / 2)\left(4\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]\right.\right.$ $\left.{ }_{\text {org }}+4\left[\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\right]_{\text {org }}+\left[\mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}\right]_{\text {orp }}{ }^{+} \quad\left[\mathrm{CdPic}^{+}\right]_{\text {org }}+\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]_{\text {org }}\right)=(1 / 2)\left(2\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]\right.$ $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{org}}+2\left[\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{org}}+2\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{org}}=\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]_{\text {org }}+\left[\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\right]_{\text {org }}+\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]_{\text {org }}\right\}$ from the charge balance equation for the org phase (see above) under the condition of $\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]_{\text {org }} \gg$ (obviously $2\left[\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\right]_{\text {org }}>$ ) $\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]_{\text {org }}+\left[\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\right]$ \{see the derivation of Eq. (2)\}. The four basic constants determined above are listed as common logarithmic values in Table 1.

According to our previous papers [6,7], moreover, $K_{\text {Cd/Cdi }}$ has been defined as $\left[\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\right]_{\text {orr }} /\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right][\mathrm{L}]_{\text {org }}$ (see Introduction) and the firstapproximately equals $D /[\mathrm{L}]_{\text {org }}$. Of course, this constant can be reflected to be the 3rd extraction constant $[6,7], K_{\text {ex } 2+}=\left[\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\right]_{\text {org }}\left(\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]_{\text {org }}\right)^{2 /}$ $P=K_{\mathrm{Cd} / \mathrm{CdL}}\left(K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{pic}}\right)^{2}$. Here, the experimental distribution ratio $D$ is exactly expressed as:
$D=\left[\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\right]_{\text {org }}\left\{1+\left(\left[\mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}\right]_{\text {org }}+\left[\mathrm{CdLPic}_{2}\right]_{\text {org }}+\left[\mathrm{CdPic}^{+}\right]_{\text {org }}+\ldots\right) /\right.$ $\left.\left[\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\right]_{\text {org }^{2}}\right\} /\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]\left\{1+\left(\left[\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{CdLPic}_{2}\right]+\left[\mathrm{CdPic}^{+}\right]+\ldots\right)\right.$ $\left.\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]\right\}=f \times\left[\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{org}} /\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]$


Figure 1: Plots of $\log \left(D /\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]^{2}\right)$ vs. $\log [\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6]_{\mathrm{org}}$ for the $\mathrm{CdPic}_{2}$ extraction with B18C6 into some diluents. Org=oDCBz (square), CBu (full circle), CBz (circle), BBz (diamond), DBE (full triangle) and TE (triangle).


Figure 2: Plot of $\log K_{\text {ex }}{ }^{\text {mix }}$ vs. $-\log \left(\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right][L]_{m x}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]\right)$for the $m X$ extraction system with L=B18C6.

Rearranging this $f$ term, we can easily obtain
$f=\left\{1+K_{\mathrm{L}, \text { orrg }} K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{pic}}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]\left(1+K_{2, \text { org }} K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{pic}}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]\right)+\left(K_{\mathrm{ex}, \mathrm{CdPic}} K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}} / K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{CdL}} K_{\mathrm{CdI}}\right)\right.$ $\left(\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right] /[\mathrm{L}]_{\text {org }}{ }^{\text {Iorg }}+\ldots\right\} /$
$\left[1+\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]\left\{\left(K_{\mathrm{CdL}} / K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}}\right)[\mathrm{L}]_{\text {org }}\left(K_{1}+K_{1} K_{2}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]\right)+K_{\text {Cdpic }\}+\ldots]}\right.\right.$
(6)
with $K_{\text {ex, } \mathrm{CdPic}}=\left[\mathrm{CdPic}^{+}\right]_{\text {org }} /\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]$and $K_{\mathrm{CdI}} / K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}}=\left[\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\right] /\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]$ $[\mathrm{L}]_{\text {org }}$. Then, this equation was approximately expressed as:
$f \approx\left\{1+K_{1, \text { ors }} K_{\text {D.pic }}\left[\right.\right.$ Pic $\left.^{-}\right]\left(1+K_{\text {2,org }} K_{\mathrm{D}, \text { Pic }}\left[\right.\right.$ Pic $\left.\left.\left.^{-}\right]\right)\right\} /\left[1+\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]\left\{\left(K_{\text {CdI }} / K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}}\right)\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.[\mathrm{L}]_{\text {org }}\left(K_{1}+K_{1} K_{2}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]\right)+K_{\text {CdPic }}\right\}\right],(7)$
because of a lack of the $K_{\text {ex, CdPic }}$ value. For the symbols, $K_{\text {CdI }}, K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{CdI}}$, $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$, see Eq. (12) to (15). The $f$ values for all the systems were
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evaluated. The average values of the evaluated $f$ were in the range of 1.2 to 2.6 for the present diluent systems and the the $K_{\mathrm{Cd} / \mathrm{CdL}}$ values were corrected with the $f$ terms.

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathrm{c} / / \mathrm{CdL}} \approx D / f[\mathrm{~L}]_{\mathrm{org}} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, this equation becomes the second approximation. In the $f$ calculation with Eq. (7), we assumed that $K_{2, \text { org }}$ is independent of $I_{\text {org }}$, while we calculated $K_{1}, K_{2}$ and $K_{\text {CdPic }}$ as functions of $I$ (see below). Also, $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}$ and $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{CdL}}$ terms were used as constant values which consist of their averaged values in the $f$ calculation. Besides, we can calculate easily $K_{1, \text { org }}$ from the thermodynamic relation of

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1, o r g}=K_{\mathrm{ex} \mathrm{ \pm}} / K_{\mathrm{Cd} / \mathrm{CdL}}\left(K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{pic}}\right)^{2}=K_{\mathrm{exx} \pm} / K_{\mathrm{ex} 2 \pm}[11] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

These $K_{\mathrm{Cd} / \mathrm{CdL}}$ and $K_{\mathrm{l}, \text { org }}$ values are summarized in Table 1, as well as the above four constants.

## On amounts of dominant species distributed into org phases

Considering differences among the extraction constants, $K_{\text {ex }}, K_{\text {ext }}$ and $K_{\mathrm{Cd} / \mathrm{CdI}}$, we can compare distribution (or population) of $\mathrm{CdLPic}_{2}$, $\mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}$and $\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}$ in the org phases [6,7]. The $D_{0}, D_{+}$and $D_{2+}$ values can be calculated from the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{0}=\left[\mathrm{CdLPic}_{2}^{0}\right]_{\mathrm{org}_{g}} /\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]=K_{\mathrm{ex}}[\mathrm{~L}]_{\text {org }}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]^{2}  \tag{2}\\
& D_{+}=\left[\mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}\right]_{\text {org }} /\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]=\left(K_{\text {ext }} / K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{pic}}\right)\left[\mathrm{L}_{\text {org }}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]\right.  \tag{3a}\\
& \text {and } \\
& D_{2+}=\left[\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\right]_{\text {org }} /\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]=K_{\mathrm{Cd} / C \mathrm{CLI}}[\mathrm{~L}]_{\text {org }} . \tag{8a}
\end{align*}
$$

Figure 4 shows the representative example of the $\log D_{0}, \log D_{+}$and $\log D_{2+}$ plots against $\log [\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6]_{\mathrm{CF}}$ for the $\mathrm{CF}(=o r g)$ extraction system. Obviously, the distribution or population profiles were in the order $\left[\mathrm{CdLPic}_{2}{ }^{0}\right]_{\mathrm{CF}}>\left[\mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{CF}} \geq\left[\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{CF}}$ at $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6$. Similar profiles were obtained for the other ten diluent systems. Their profiles were $+>0>2+$ for the NB system, $0 \geq 2+=+$ for DCE, $+\geq 0>2+$ for $o \mathrm{DCBz}$, $0>+>2+$ for $\mathrm{DCM},+\geq 0 \geq 2+$ for $\mathrm{CBu}, 0>+>2+$ for $\mathrm{CBz},+>0>2+$ for $\mathrm{BBz},+>2+\geq 0$ for DBE, $0>2+>+$ for TE and $+>0>2+$ for $m \mathrm{X}$. Here, the symbols $0,+$ and $2+$ denote the concentrations at equilibrium of the extracted species $\mathrm{CdLPic}_{2}{ }^{0}, \mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}$and $\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}$, respectively. There is no diluent system that $\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}$ is most extractable. These profiles can be classified to two cases that $\mathrm{CdLPic}_{2}{ }^{0}$ is most extractable, while $\mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}$ is most extractable. The DCE, DCM, CBz, CF and TE systems belong to the former cases and the $\mathrm{NB}, o \mathrm{DCBz}, \mathrm{CBu}, \mathrm{BBz}, \mathrm{DBE}$ and $m \mathrm{X}$ systems do to the latter ones. Except for TE, the former diluents contain the Cl group in their molecules. On the other hand, the diluent molecules without the Cl group are major in the latter [8-11].

## Comparison of distribution properties of the complexes

The three distribution constants, $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{MLA} 2}, K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{MLA}}$ and $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{ML}}$, for the complexes can be calculated from the following thermodynamic cycles:
$K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{MLA} 2}=\left[\mathrm{MLA}_{2}{ }^{0}\right]_{\mathrm{org}} /\left[\mathrm{MLA}_{2}{ }^{0}\right]=K_{\mathrm{ex}} K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}} / K_{\mathrm{ML}} K_{1} K_{2}$,
$K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{MLA}}=\left[\mathrm{MLA}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{org}} /\left[\mathrm{MLA}^{+}\right]=K_{\mathrm{exx}} K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}} / K_{\mathrm{ML}} K_{1} K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{A}}$
and
$K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{ML}}=\left[\mathrm{ML}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{org}} /\left[\mathrm{ML}^{2+}\right]=K_{\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{ML}} K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}} / K_{\mathrm{ML}}$
with
$K_{\mathrm{ML}}=\left[\mathrm{ML}^{2+}\right] /\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}\right][\mathrm{L}]$
$K_{1}=\left[\mathrm{MLA}^{+}\right] /\left[\mathrm{ML}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{2}=\left[\mathrm{MLA}_{2}\right] /\left[\mathrm{MLA}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the two distribution constants, $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{MLA}}$ and $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{ML}}$, are the conditional equilibrium constants with dep and the evaluations of $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ were performed from the $I$-dependence of the $K_{1}{ }^{0}$ and $K_{2}{ }^{0}$ values [2] (the values at $I \rightarrow 0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ ), respectively. Thus calculated constants are listed in Table 2, with $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{pic}}$.

Figure 5 shows a general tendency for their distribution properties. The $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{pic}}$ values were smallest of these $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, j}$ values. There was the order of $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{CaLP} \text { Pic }}<K_{\mathrm{D}, \text { Calpic }}<K_{\mathrm{D}, \text { Cal }}$, except for the TE system (No. 10 in Figure 5). For meanings of the order, the attention should be needed, because its order does not necessarily reflect amounts of the species extracted into the org phase (Figure 4).

The $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{CdL}}$ values were positive for the NB (No. 1), DCE (2),


Figure 3: Plot of $\log K_{\text {ex }}^{\text {mix }}$ vs. $-\log P^{1 / 2}$ for the $m X$ extraction system with L=B18C6.


Figure 4: Relative concentration profiles of $\mathrm{CdPic}_{2}{ }^{0}$ (circle and $D_{0}$ ), $\mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}$ (triangle \& $D_{+}$) and $\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\left(\right.$ square and $D_{2^{+}}$) for the CF extraction with L=B18C6.
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| No. Diluent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\log K_{\text {ex }}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\log K_{\text {exx }}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\log K_{\text {calcal }}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\underset{\left.\left(I^{\mathrm{e}}\right)^{2}\right)}{\log } K_{\mathrm{pic}}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \log K_{1, \text { org }}{ }^{f} \\ & \left(I_{\text {org }} \mathrm{e} / 10^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\log K_{2, \text { org }}{ }^{\text {g }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. NB | $4.622 \pm 0.008$ | $0.18 \pm 0.07$ | $0.63 \pm 0.08$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.88 \pm 0.02 \\ \left(0.02_{8}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.3_{0} \pm 0.1_{1} \\ & \left(2 .{ }_{9} \times 10^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $4.44 \pm 0.07$ |
| 2. DCE | $2.47 \pm 0.07$ | $-4.5{ }_{5} \pm 0.7$ 。 | $-1.5_{2} \pm 0.1_{5}$ | $\begin{gathered} -4.7_{0} \pm 0.2_{9} \\ \left(0.02_{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.3_{5} \pm 0.8_{2} \\ \left(3 . ._{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $7.0_{3} \pm 0.7_{0}$ |
| 3. oDCBz | $2.61 \pm 0.05$ | $-4.0{ }_{1} \pm 0.4_{4}$ | $-1.31 \pm 0.09$ | $\begin{gathered} -5.0_{7} \pm 0.2_{1} \\ \left(0.02_{9}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.4_{3} \pm 0.5_{4} \\ \left(2 .{ }_{0}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $6.6{ }_{3} \pm 0.4_{5}$ |
| 4. DCM | $2.33 \pm 0.04$ | $-4.1_{6} \pm 0.3_{7}$ | $-1.4_{8} \pm 0.1_{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} -4.7_{4} \pm 0.1_{6} \\ \left(0.03_{1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.8_{2} \pm 0.4_{5} \\ \left(4 . ._{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $6.4{ }_{9} \pm 0.3_{8}$ |
| 5. CBu | $2.50 \pm 0.08$ | $-4.1_{9} \pm 0.1_{7}$ | $-0.87 \pm 0.3_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} -5.1_{8} \pm 0.1_{0} \\ \left(0.03_{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0_{3} \pm 0.5_{5} \\ \left(1 .{ }_{8}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $6.6_{8} \pm 0.1_{8}$ |
| 6. CBz | $3.11 \pm 0.02$ | $-4.00 \pm 0.2_{4}$ | $-0.21 \pm 0.06$ | $\begin{gathered} -5.5_{2} \pm 0.1_{2} \\ \left(0.05_{9}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.2_{6} \pm 0.3_{0} \\ \left(1 .{ }_{5}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $7.1_{0} \pm 0.2_{4}$ |
| 7. BBz | $2.76 \pm 0.03$ | $-3.84 \pm 0.1_{5}$ | $-0.8{ }_{7} \pm 0.2_{6}$ | $\begin{gathered} -5.53 \pm 0.08 \\ \left(0.04_{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.1_{0} \pm 0.3_{2} \\ \left(1 .{ }_{0}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $6.6{ }_{0} \pm 0.1_{5}$ |
| 8. CF | $1.78 \pm 0.05$ | $-5.7_{1} \pm 0.7_{3}$ | $-2.2_{2} \pm 0.1_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} -5.3_{4} \pm 0.3_{0} \\ \left(0.03_{1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.1_{9} \pm 0.8_{5} \\ \left(1 .{ }_{1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $7.4_{9} \pm 0.7_{4}$ |
| 9. DBE | $2.0_{5} \pm 0.4{ }_{6}$ | $-5.0{ }_{3} \pm 0.1{ }_{0}$ | $-0.9{ }_{2} \pm 0.1_{7}$ | $\begin{gathered} -6.1_{4} \pm 0.1_{2} \\ \left(0.03_{4}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.1_{7} \pm 0.2_{6} \\ \left(0.2_{0}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $7.0_{8} \pm 0.4_{7}$ |
| Bz ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 2.36, $2.3{ }_{7}$ | ---' | ---' | $(0.095)$ | $\begin{gathered} ---{ }^{-}\left(--\mathbf{-}^{\prime}\right) \end{gathered}$ | ---' |
| 10. TE | $3.23 \pm 0.03$ | $-6.5 \pm 2.4$ | $-0.04 \pm 0.07$ | $\begin{gathered} -6.6 \pm 1_{5}{ }_{5} \\ \left(0.05_{9}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \pm ._{2} \\ \left(0.1_{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $9 .{ }_{7} \pm 2 .{ }_{4}$ |
| 11. $m \mathrm{X}$ | $3.23 \pm 0.05$ | $-2.94 \pm 0.19$ | $-0.6{ }_{8} \pm 0.1_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} -4.9_{6} \pm 0.1_{0} \\ \left(0.02_{9}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.6_{6} \pm 0.2_{7} \\ \left(2 .{ }_{5}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $6.1_{7} \pm 0.2{ }_{0}$ |

Table 1: Fundamental data of $\mathrm{CdPic}_{2}$ extraction with B18C6 into various diluents at 298 K .
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Polarity of the diluents decreases in going from No. 1 to 11 , where especially Nos. 10 and 11 with Bz show almost the same values. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Obtained from Eq. (2). $K_{\text {peic }}$ is a
 [3]. 'Not determined.

DCM (4), CBz (6), BBz (7), CF (8) and TE (10) systems, indicating that interactions of $\mathrm{CdB18C} 6^{2+}$ with the diluent molecules are larger than those with water molecules. Interestingly, the order, $K_{\mathrm{D}, \text { Pic }}<K_{\mathrm{CdLPic} 2}<K_{\mathrm{D}}$, ${ }_{C d L P i c}<K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{CdL}}$, holds except for the TE system (No. 10), being dependent on the formal charges $(-1,0,+1$ and +2$)$ of their species.

## On the standardized distribution constants of the complex ions

Generally the dep value is related to the conditional distribution constant $K_{\mathrm{D}, j}$ of an ion ( $j$ ) as follows [6,7]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log K_{\mathrm{D}, j}=\left(z_{j} F / 2.303 R T\right)\left(\Delta \varphi_{\mathrm{eq}}-\Delta \varphi_{j}^{0^{\prime}}\right)=16.90 z_{j}\left(\Delta \varphi_{\mathrm{eq}}-\Delta \varphi_{j}^{{ }^{\circ}}\right), \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the symbols $z_{j}$ and $\Delta \varphi_{j}^{0 \prime}$ denote the formal charge of the ion $j$ with its sign and the standard formal potential ( $V$ unit) for the $j$-ion transfer across the org/water (w) interface, respectively. Also, the following relation with the standard distribution constant $K_{\mathrm{D}, j}{ }^{5}$ holds: $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{j}}{ }^{\mathrm{s}}=-z z_{j} F \varphi_{j}{ }^{0} / 2.303 R T=-16.90 z_{j} \Delta \varphi_{j}^{{ }^{\prime}}$ at $\Delta \varphi_{\mathrm{eq}}=0 \mathrm{~V}$ (\& 298 K ) [4]. The $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \text { pic }}{ }^{5}$ values have been reported to be 0.05 [12] for the $\mathrm{NB} / \mathrm{w}$ system, $-1.01_{1}[12]$ for $\mathrm{DCE} / \mathrm{w},-2.278[13]$ for $o \mathrm{DCBz} / \mathrm{w}$ and -0.68 [14] for DCM/w at 298 K. From these values, we were immediately able to calculate the $\varphi_{\text {eq }}$ values (Table 2) using the modified form of Eq. (16) or the Nernst-Donnan equation [15]:


Similarly, the $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{CdLPic}}{ }^{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{CdL}}{ }^{\mathrm{s}}$ values were calculated from the experimentally-obtained $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{CdLPic}^{+} \& \mathrm{CdL}^{2+}\right)$ and $\Delta \varphi_{e q}$ values. As can be seen from Table 2, the actual (or conditional) $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{CdLP} \text { ic }}$ and $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{CdL}}$ orders were $\mathrm{NB}>\mathrm{DCM}>o \mathrm{DCBz}>\mathrm{DCE}$ and $\mathrm{NB}>\mathrm{DCM}>\mathrm{DCE}>0 \mathrm{DCBz}$, respectively. On the other hand, the
calculated $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, j}{ }^{\text {s }}$ orders were $\mathrm{NB}>o \mathrm{DCBz}>\mathrm{DCM}>\mathrm{DCE}$ for $j=\mathrm{CdLPic}{ }^{+}$ and $\mathrm{NB}>\mathrm{ODCB} z>\mathrm{DCE}>\mathrm{DCM}$ for $\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}$ (Table 2). These orders indicate those standardized at dep $=0 \mathrm{~V}$, namely, the orders that the dep effects on $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, j}$ was lost. So, this fact shows that the occurrences of dep based on the extraction systems affect the more negative effects on $\mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}$and $\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}$ in interactions with $o \mathrm{DCBz}$ molecules than in those with the other molecules at the present time. On the other hand, DCM molecules have positive effects.

## Comparison of $K_{1, \text { org }}$ with $K_{2, \text { org }}$

There was the relation of $K_{1, \text { org }}>K_{2, \text { org }}$ for the NB, $o \mathrm{DCBz}, \mathrm{DCM}$, $\mathrm{CBu}, \mathrm{CBz}, \mathrm{BBz}, \mathrm{DBE}$ and $m \mathrm{X}$ systems. The authors think that this is a formal case [6,16]. On the other hand, the relation, $K_{1, \text { org }} \leq K_{2, \text { org }}$ holds for the other three diluent systems. This relation suggests a structural chance around $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ in the reaction of $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6) \mathrm{Pic}^{+}{ }_{\text {org }}+\mathrm{Pic}^{-}{ }_{\text {org }}$ $\rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6)$ Pic $_{2 \text { 2org. }}$. Relevantly, it has been reported that the crystals of $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6) \mathrm{X}_{2}$ a $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}(-\mathrm{I})$ and $\mathrm{Br}(-\mathrm{I})$ have a hexagonal bipyramidal structure [17] \{although these examples are not ones in solution and of $\mathrm{X}=\operatorname{Pic}(-\mathrm{I})\}$.

The $\log K_{1, \text { org }}$ values were in the order org=NB $\left(\log K_{1, o r g}=5.3\right)<$ DCE (6.4) $\leq \mathrm{TE} \leq \mathrm{DCM} \leq \mathrm{CBu}(7.0) \leq \mathrm{CF} \leq \mathrm{CBz} \leq o \mathrm{DCBz} \leq m \mathrm{X} \leq \mathrm{BBz}$ (8.1) $\leq$ DBE (Table 1). Also, the $\log K_{2, o r g}$ values were in the order NB (log $\left.K_{2, o \mathrm{org}}=4.44\right)<m \mathrm{X}(6.2) \leq \mathrm{DCM} \leq \mathrm{BBz} \leq o \mathrm{DCBz} \leq \mathrm{CBu} \leq \mathrm{DCE}(7.0) \leq$ $\mathrm{DBE} \leq \mathrm{CBz} \leq \mathrm{CF} \leq \mathrm{TE}$ (9.7) (Table 1). The NB and DCM systems were relatively in the lower range, while the $\mathrm{CBz}, \mathrm{CF}$ and DBE ones in the higher range. The orders were independent of polarities of the diluents (see the footnote a described in Table 1).

## RST plot for the CdPic - -B18C6 system

The RST plot can be obtained from the data (Table 2) of $\log K_{\text {exi. }}$ (or $\left.\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{CLLPP} \mathrm{P}_{2}}\right)$ and $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{B} 18 C 6}$, where the $\log K_{\text {ex, } \mathrm{ip}}$ values were calculated
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| No. diluent | $\underset{\left(\operatorname{dep}^{\mathrm{b}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ic}}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}\right.}{ }$ | $\log K_{\text {D,CalPic } 2}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{CaLPPic}}{ }^{\text {d,e }}[]^{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\log K_{j_{0}^{h}, c^{i}}^{e, g}$ | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o g }} K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}}{ }^{\text { }}$ | $\log K_{\text {ex, }{ }^{\text {j }}{ }^{\text {j }} \text { j }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. NB | $\begin{gathered} -2.88 \\ (0.17) \end{gathered}$ | $-0.88{ }_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.05 \\ {[-2.98]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.09 \\ {[-3.76]} \end{gathered}$ | $1.57^{\text {k }}$ | $6.08{ }_{2}$ |
| 2. DCE | $\begin{aligned} & -4.7_{0} \\ & \left(0.2_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | -2.64 | $\begin{gathered} -2.5_{6} \\ {\left[-6.2_{5}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3_{8} \\ & {\left[-6.9_{9}\right]} \end{aligned}$ | 2.009 | 4.37 |
| 3. oDCBz | $\begin{aligned} & -5.0_{7} \\ & \left(0.1_{7}\right) \end{aligned}$ | -3.22 | $\begin{gathered} -2.3_{\mathrm{g}} \\ {\left[-5.1_{g}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.19 \\ {[-5.78]} \end{gathered}$ | 1.225 | 3.73 |
| 4. DCM | $\begin{aligned} & -4.7_{4} \\ & \left(0.2_{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | -2.21 | $\begin{gathered} -1.5_{6} \\ {\left[-5.6_{3}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9_{3} \\ {\left[-7.2_{0}\right]} \end{array}$ | 2.52 | 4.74 |
| 5. CBu | -5.18 | -4.18 | -3.30 | -0.61 | 0.37 | 2.76 |
| 6. CBz | $-5.5{ }_{2}$ | -2.69 | $-1.92$ | 0.84 | 1.155 | 4.15 |
| 7. BBz | -5.53 | -3.12 | -1.82 | 0.15 | 1.130 | 3.78 |
| 8. CF | $-5.3_{4}$ | -2.71 | $-2.4{ }_{7}$ | 0.24 | 2.57 | 4.24 |
| 9. DBE | $-6.1_{4}$ | $-5.68$ | $-4.23$ | -1.7 ${ }_{1}$ | -0.68 | 1.26 |
| Bz' | ---m | -3.56 | ---m | ----m | 0.943 | 3.19 |
| 10. TE | -6.6 | -3.08 | -3.9 | 0.49 | 0.64 | 3.76 |
| 11. $m \mathrm{X}$ | -4.96 | -3.53 | $-2.35$ | -0.49 | 0.30 | 3.43 |

Table 2: Data derived from the distribution of some species into the various diluents at 298 K .


from the thermodynamic relation $[1,18]$ of $\log K_{\text {ex, } \mathrm{i}}=\log K_{\mathrm{ex}}+\log \left(K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}}\right.$ $\left.K_{\mathrm{CdI}}\right)$. The data set, reported before [2], of the Bz system was added in the plot (Table 2). Figure 6 shows its plot for the $\mathrm{CdPic}_{2}$-B18C6 extraction system. The regression analysis of the plot gave the straight line of $\log K_{\text {ex, } \mathrm{p}}=\left(0.99 \pm 0.1_{6}\right) \log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6}+\left(2.5_{6} \pm 0.2_{1}\right)$ at $R^{2}=0.825$. In this
 against $\mathrm{L}[3-6,8]$. The points of the NB and CF extraction systems in Figure 6 were neglected from the calculation of the regression line, because of its high polarity [6] and its hydrogen-bonding ability [6,8], respectively. From the data [18] of $V_{\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6}=252 \pm 28 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, we were able to calculate to be $V_{\text {Carpic2 }}=249 \pm 49$ at $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6$. The $V_{j}$ values were in the order $j=\mathrm{Cd}(18 \mathrm{C} 6) \mathrm{Pic}_{2}$ (about $\left.200 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}[4]\right) \leq 18 \mathrm{C} 6(214$ $[8]) \leq \mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{B1}^{2 C 6}\right) \mathrm{Pic}_{2} \leq \mathrm{B1} 18 \mathrm{C} 6$. At least, the $V_{j}$ relation, $\mathrm{Cd}(18 \mathrm{C} 6)$ Pic $_{2}$ $\leq \mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6) \mathrm{Pic}_{2}$, seems to be reasonable, as well as $18 \mathrm{C} 6 \leq$ B18C6.

On the other hand, the intercept generally means the term expressing solute-solvent interactions with the ion-pair formation in the w phase [6,8]: that is, (intercept)=(solute-solvent interaction term) $+\log K_{1} K_{2}$. The common logarithmic values of the averaged $K_{1} K_{2}$ were in the range of 6.84-7.01. Obtaining $K_{1} K_{2}=10^{6.93} \mathrm{~mol}^{-2} \mathrm{dm}^{6}$ as average $K_{1} K_{2}$ value, we estimated -4.4 as the interaction term from the intercept. This negative sign indicates that the interaction between water molecules and $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6) \mathrm{Pic}_{2}$ is larger than that between those and B18C6, assuming that there are little differences between B18C6 and $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6) \mathrm{Pic}_{2}$ in the interaction with the diluent molecules employed [6]. This result is in good agreement with that in the $\log$ $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{CLILPi} 2}$ values of which all are smaller than zero (namely $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{CdLPic2}}<1$, Table 2 and Figure 5).

## Possibility for estimation of potentiometric selectivity coefficients

According to previous papers [9,10], a potentiometric selectivity coefficient of $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$ against $\mathrm{M}^{1 z+}$ at an org/w interface for ISE had been expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log k^{\text {pot }}{ }_{\mathrm{MM}}=\log K_{\mathrm{M}^{\prime} / \mathrm{ML}^{\prime}}-\log K_{\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{ML}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{M}^{1 z+}$ shows an interfering cation in a test solution. From the extraction data [3-5] of the MPic ${ }_{2}$-18C6 systems with $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ reported by us and Eq. (8) without the $f$ correction, we can immediately calculate the $\log K_{\mathrm{Cd} / \mathrm{Cd} 18 \mathrm{C} 6}$ and $\log K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{Pb} 18 \mathrm{C} 6}$ values.

The $\log K_{\mathrm{Cd} / \mathrm{CdBC6}}$ values were calculated here to be 2.95 for the $\mathrm{NB} / \mathrm{w}$ extraction system, $-0.1_{3}$ for $\mathrm{DCE} / \mathrm{w},-0.2_{5}$ for $o \mathrm{DCBz} / \mathrm{w}$ and $-0.6_{8}$ for $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{w}$ [6], while the $\log K_{\mathrm{Pb} \text { PbisC6 }}$ values were $6.6_{0} \pm 0.1_{7}$ for $\mathrm{NB} / \mathrm{w}$, $4.92 \pm 0.06$ for $\mathrm{DCE} / \mathrm{w}, 6.309 \pm 0.009$ for $o \mathrm{DCBz} / \mathrm{w}$ and $4.74 \pm 0.05$ for $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{w}$. Therefore, the $\log k^{\mathrm{pot}}{ }_{\mathrm{fbCd}}$ values based on 18C6 were obtained to be -3.7 for the NB/w interface, -5.1 for $\mathrm{DCE} / \mathrm{w},-6.6$ for $o \mathrm{DCBz} / \mathrm{w}$ and -5.4 for $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{w}$. The $o \mathrm{DCBz} / \mathrm{w}$ interface shows the highest $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ selectivity of the four diluent/w interfaces. Similarly, if the $K_{\text {Pb/PbBisC6 }}$ values are determined, then one can easily estimate the $k^{\text {pot }}{ }_{\text {pbCd }}$ values based on $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6$.

On the other hand, the logarithmic ratios of $K_{\text {ext } \mathrm{Cd}} / K_{\text {ext }+\mathrm{Pb}}$ with $\mathrm{L}=18 \mathrm{C} 6$ were calculated to be -7.5 for the NB system, -7.3 for DCE and -8.5 for $o \mathrm{DCBz}$ based on the data [3-5] reported before and its selectivity order is $o \mathrm{DCBz} \gg \mathrm{NB}>\mathrm{DCE}$. Similarly the $\log \left(K_{\text {ex, } \mathrm{Cd}} / K_{\text {ex. } \mathrm{Pb}}\right)$ were -7.72 for NB (calculated here from the data $[4,5]$ ), -8.08 for DCE, -8.03 for $o \mathrm{DCBz}$ and -8.4 for $\mathrm{DCM}[5]$ and its order is $\mathrm{DCM}>\mathrm{DCE}>0 \mathrm{DCBz}>\mathrm{NB}$. These both orders are not accord with the $k^{\mathrm{pot}}{ }_{\mathrm{pbCd}}$ order, $o \mathrm{DCBz} \gg$ DCM $>$ DCE $\gg$ NB (see above for the evaluated values). This fact indicates that the $k^{\mathrm{pot}}{ }_{\text {PbCd }}$ values are not simply predicted by the ratios of the experimental $K_{\text {ext }}$ or $K_{\text {ex }}$ values without dep. So, this emphasizes the effect of the conditional $K_{\text {MML }}$ value on the estimation of $k^{\text {pot }}$, for ISE, because the relation, $\log K_{\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{ML}}=\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{M}}+\log K_{\mathrm{ML}, \text { org }}=(2 F / 2.303 R T)$ $\left(\Delta \varphi_{\text {eq }}-\Delta \varphi_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{0}{ }^{\circ}\right)+\log K_{\mathrm{ML}, \text { org }}$, is derived from Eq. (16). In other words, $K_{\mathrm{M} /}$ ${ }_{\text {мL }}$ or $K_{\mathrm{M}^{\prime} / \mathrm{ML}^{\prime}}$ is the function of dep [6,7].

## Experimental

## Materials and reagents

Preparation procedures of $\mathrm{CdPic}_{2} \cdot x \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6$ and the 11 diluents were the same as those reported before [3].

## Extraction experiments

Operational procedures and analytical methods of the $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ species extracted into the org phases were the same as those reported before $[2-4,6]$. Experimental initial concentrations were $[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})]_{0}=0.015 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\mathrm{dm}^{-3},[\operatorname{Pic}(-\mathrm{I})]_{0}=0.031 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ and $[\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6]_{0}=0.00070-0.0074 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ for the NB system, 0.0053 and $0.012,0.011 \& 0.024$ and $0.0017-$ 0.013 for DCE, $0.017,0.034$ and $0.00077-0.0051$ for $o \mathrm{DCBz}, 0.017$, 0.034 and $0.00077-0.015$ for DCM, $0.020,0.041$ and 0.043 and $0.00074-$
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No. of dilvents
Figure 5: Comparison among the $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \text { pic }}$ (circle), $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \text { Calpic2 }}$ (full triangle), $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \text { CalLpic }}$ (square) and $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{CaL}}$ (diamond) values in the various diluents. See Table 1 or 2 for the numbering of the diluents.


Figure 6: RST plot based on $\log K_{\text {ex, ip }}$ and $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{B} 8 \mathrm{BC6}}$ for the 12 diluent systems with Bz (Table 2). The symbols with triangle show the NB and CF systems which were neglected from the regression analyses of the plots. See the text for their reasons.
0.0095 for $\mathrm{CBu}, 0.037,0.075$ and $0.00024-0.0028$ for $\mathrm{CBz}, 0.016 \& 0.034$, $0.034 \& 0.072$ and $0.00013-0.047$ for $\mathrm{BBz}, 0.017,0.034$ and $0.0015-0.015$ for CF, $0.020,0.040$ and $0.0018-0.0046$ for DBE, $0.037,0.075$ and $0.00042-0.0056$ for TE and 0.017, 0.034 and $0.00060-0.0053$ for $m X$. Some results in the extraction experiments obtained from these initial concentrations are shown in Figure 1. The pH values for the w phases after the extraction operations were in the range of 5.34 to 6.87 , except for the DBE system of which the lowest value was 4.26 . Even such pH value shows that its $\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right] /[\mathrm{HPic}]$ ratio was about $10^{3.8}\left(=10^{-\mathrm{pKa}} / 10^{-}\right.$ ${ }^{\mathrm{pH}}=10^{-0.49} / 10^{-4.26}$ ), because $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{HPic}}=0.4_{9}[19]$ at $I=0.03_{4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ (Table 1) for the DBE extraction system.

## Data analyses

The data analyses were essentially the same as those reported before [6,7]. In the present study, the following component equilibria were basically employed for the analyses:
(i) $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}+\mathrm{L} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CdL}^{2+}[1], \mathrm{CdL}^{2+}+\mathrm{Pic}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}$ $[2,20], \mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}+\mathrm{Pic}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CdLPic}_{2}[2,20], \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}+\mathrm{Pic}^{-} \rightleftharpoons$ $\mathrm{CdPic}^{+}[20]$ and $\mathrm{H}^{+}+$Pic $^{-} \rightleftharpoons$ HPic [19] in the w phase;
(ii) $\mathrm{Pic}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Pic}^{-}, \mathrm{CdLPic}_{2} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CdLPic}_{2, \mathrm{org}}, \mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}$
CdLPic

$\mathrm{CdL}^{+}$ and HPic $\rightleftharpoons{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{HPic}_{\text {org }}$ [4,21] between the two phases
(iii) $\mathrm{CdL}^{2+}{ }_{\text {org }}+\mathrm{Pic}^{-}{ }_{\text {org }} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}$org ${ }^{\text {and }} \mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}{ }_{\text {org }}+\mathrm{Pic}^{-}{ }_{\text {org }}$
$\mathrm{CdLPic}_{2}$ in the org phase at $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6$. In order to avoid CdLPic ${ }_{2, \text { org }}$, in the org phase at $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6$. In order to avoid ary complexity in the overall extraction equilibrium, the equilibria of $\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}{ }_{\mathrm{NB}}$ and $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}{ }_{\mathrm{NB}}$ were neglected, because their distribution data had been reported so far [11,22,23].

## Conclusion

The $K_{1, \text { org }}$ values were determined precisely in terms of evaluating the $f$ coefficients. By comparing these values with the $K_{2, \text { org }}$ ones, the structural changes around $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ in the ion-pair complexes were speculated, as well as the $\mathrm{CdA}_{2}-18 \mathrm{C} 6$ extraction systems with $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{Cl}(-\mathrm{I})$, $\operatorname{Br}(-\mathrm{I})$ and $\operatorname{Pic}(-\mathrm{I})$. The conditional $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{CdL}}$ values were smoothly estimated from the thermodynamic cycle, in addition to the $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}$, $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{CdLPic} 2}$ and $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{CdLPic}}$ determination at L=B18C6. So, the determination of these actual distribution constants made a systematic study of their distribution properties possible. The results in such a study were not in conflict with those based on the RST plot. Moreover, it was suggested that the evaluation of the $K_{\mathrm{Cd} / \mathrm{CdL}}$ values with dep has a possibility in the prediction of the $k_{\text {PbCd }}^{\text {pot }}$ values for ISE. The above results promise us a systematic procedure for the study of the actual M(II) extraction with L.
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