

Open Access

Diabetes Scenario in a Backward Rural District Population of India and Need for Restructuring of Health Care Delivery Services

Suman Kanungo, Tanmay Mahapatra, Kalyan Bhowmik, Sanchita Mahapatra, Jayanta Saha, Debottam Pal and Kamalesh Sarkar

National Institute of Cholera and Enteric Diseases, Kolkata - 700010, West Bengal, India

Abstract

Poor healthcare infrastructure and utilization complicated Indian diabetic epidemic especially in backward areas where many cases remain uncontrolled or even undiagnosed till complications develop. Dearth of information from eastern India regarding the burden and correlates of such uncontrolled and undiagnosed diabetes and consequent healthcare-seeking thus called for a detailed investigation in a poor-resource setting.

Methods

A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted in Malda, an under-developed district in eastern India. Between October 2013 and July 2014, 18,028 consenting adults were randomly recruited, interviewed and tested for capillary blood sugar (fasting/post-prandial/random). Diabetics were defined by previous diagnoses or having fasting capillary blood sugar ≥126 mg/dl or post-prandial/random blood sugar ≥200 mg/dl. A previously diagnosed case was defined as uncontrolled if his/her measured blood sugar did fall in the diabetic level. On the other hand, previously undiagnosed persons, if found diabetic according to the test results, were termed as undiagnosed diabetic. Descriptive and regression analyses were conducted using SAS-9.3.2.

Results

Six percent adult residents were suffering from diabetes in Malda, India. Unfortunately, more than two-third (approx. 63%) of these diabetics remained undiagnosed till this study while a little less than half (about 47%) of the diagnosed cases were uncontrolled. Furthermore, eight percent of the diagnosed diabetics sought treatment from non-qualified practitioners. Among diabetics, odds of remaining undiagnosed were relatively lower among older and richer diabetics of urban area while females were more likely to remain undiagnosed. Secondary-educated subjects had higher and divorced/separated/widowed/widowers had lower odds of having uncontrolled diabetes. Muslims and backward castes were less and educated, hard-working and richer diabetics were more likely to visit qualified private practitioners.

Conclusions

Burden of uncontrolled and undiagnosed diabetes were high in Malda. Urgent interventions targeting young, female, married, less-educated and poor diabetics from rural area seemed necessary to ensure early detection and appropriate treatment.

Keywords: Diabetes Mellitus; Undiagnosed diabetes; Uncontrolled diabetes; Healthcare seeking

Introduction

The global public health focus is gradually concentrating more on the non-communicable diseases (NCDs), owing to the progressively increasing trend in the burden of NCDs worldwide including the developing countries. Among these NCDs, the silent epidemic of diabetes currently has become one of the most worrisome public health concerns [1-3]. Diabetes mellitus is a heterogeneous metabolic syndrome characterized by variable degrees of insulin resistance and/or impaired insulin secretion resulting in abnormal metabolism of glucose leading to hyperglycemia [4]. Multi-factorial interplay between genetic, behavioral and environmental factors is responsible for abnormal glucose homeostasis in diabetics [4].

Globally an estimated number of 387 million people are currently diagnosed to have diabetes with an adult prevalence of 8.3% [1,2,5-7]. About 77% of these cases live in low and middle income countries and among them India and China are currently experiencing the most serious epidemic situation. In view of the fact that these two countries are the most populous countries in the world, the scenario seems alarming [1,2,5]. The current national diabetes prevalence is 8.6% in India, with more than 1 million annual diabetes-related deaths in the 20 to 79 age group [1,8].

Diabetes remains undiagnosed in many patients until the development of the symptoms of complications. Globally 46.3% diabetics remain unaware of their diabetic status and in India the estimated number is 35.5 million [1] and may be even higher due to lack of proper access and utilization of healthcare [2,9]. The solitary available evidence revealed that the prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes ranged between 3.1% to 9.4% in 10 states of India [10].

Uncontrolled diabetes among the diagnosed cases is another serious threat owing to its deleterious effects on body organs combined with the huge burden. Evidences suggest that in 70% of the diagnosed cases

*Corresponding author: Kamalesh Sarkar, Scientist F, National Institute of Cholera and Enteric Diseases and Officer-in-Charge, National Institute of Cholera and Enteric Diseases, P-33, CIT Road, Scheme - XM, Beliaghata, Kolkata, India, Tel: +91-33-23631222; E-mail: kamalesh.sarkar@gmail.com

Received February 19, 2016; Accepted February 25, 2016; Published March 01, 2016

Citation: Kanungo S, Mahapatra T, Bhowmik K, Mahapatra S, Saha J, et al. (2016) Diabetes Scenario in a Backward Rural District Population of India and Need for Restructuring of Health Care Delivery Services. Epidemiol 6: 224. doi:10.4172/2161-1165.1000224

Copyright: © 2016 Kanungo S, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

in India, diabetes remains uncontrolled [11]. To worsen the scenario, 90% of these uncontrolled diabetics consider their disease to be under control [12].

Burden of diabetes has shown a progressively increasing trend in India as evidenced by the upsurge of the diagnosed burden from approximately 62.4 million in 2011[13] to 66.8 million in 2014 [1,8]. Moreover the projected prevalence for the year 2030 was measured to be 79.4 million [4,8]. This increasing trend can well spell a disaster due to the silent destruction of the body organs and functions resulting from diabetes. While one person in every 12 has diagnosed diabetes in India [14]. these estimates are based on some regional observations and may only represent the tip of the iceberg keeping the undiagnosed and uncontrolled burden in mind. Thus, without immediate implementation of efficient intervention for risk reduction, early diagnosis and treatment, controlling the epidemic seems to be impossible. For proper diagnosis and treatment the patterns and predictors of healthcare-seeking are other important issues that need to be addressed appropriately [15].

Regarding risk reduction, in addition to the modifiable genetic factors, the rising burden of diabetes in this country [16] is often correlated with certain modifiable behaviors like lifestyle, eating habits, addictions (smoking and alcoholism), stress, and physical inactivity. Most of these evidences are based on hospital/clinic based purposively sampling from urban areas focusing on estimation of the local burden [12]. Handfuls of studies (mostly in western and southern India) have measured the association of these correlates with diabetes and almost none with uncontrolled and undiagnosed cases among residents of poor-resource settings in eastern India [17].

Dearth of information regarding the correlates of uncontrolled and undiagnosed diabetes among adult Indians living in poorresource settings, thus called for a detailed investigation involving a representative adult population of Malda, one of the poorest districts located in the northern part of West Bengal state in eastern India.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the National Institute of Cholera and Enteric Diseases (NICED), Kolkata. After explaining the study details in local language, written informed consent or left thumb impression (for illiterates, in presence of two impartial literate witnesses) was obtained from each adult resident, in favor of participation and providing sample for the blood sugar test.

Study Design

Between October 2013 and July 2014, a community-based crosssectional study was conducted with the objective of measuring the burden of diagnosed, undiagnosed and uncontrolled diabetes, identifying their socio-demographic correlates and understanding the patterns and predictors of the healthcare-seeking among diabetics in a poor-resource setting.

Study site

The study was conducted involving a representative, consenting, adult (aged \geq 18 years) population of Malda, one of the least developed districts in West Bengal state of India, having a population of 3.99 million with population density of 1,069/sq km and average literacy of 61.73% [18,19]. Sharing international border with Bangladesh and

interstate borders with Bihar and Jharkhand, rendered this district's health system exposed to unstable demographic pressure as high as 1,870 persons per available hospital bed [20].

Urban area of the district consists of 2 municipalities: Old Malda and English Bazar, each divided into several administrative units called wards (19 in Old Malda and 25 in English Bazar) while 3701 villages and 27 census towns constitute the rural part [18,21].

Sample size estimation

In the absence of appropriate parameter values for the sample size estimation, to recruit maximum required sample, based on available highest regional estimates for West Bengal till date [22], using 6% as the expected value and an absolute precision of 1%, assuming α =0.05, after finite population correction for 3.99 million, 17294 adults were required to be recruited. To account for an assumed 10% non-response, 19216 adults were invited.

Recruitment

To recruit a representative sample of required size, maintaining proportional distribution, 16 municipal wards (4 in Old Malda and 12 in English Bazar) from urban and [23 villages/census towns from rural area were selected randomly using stratified random sampling with probability proportional to size (PPS). Exhaustive house-listing was next conducted in each of the selected wards/villages/census towns. Each municipal ward/village/census town was then categorized into several segments, each consisting of 125 households (defined based on sharing of cooking pot in a dwelling). Considering these segments as Primary Sampling Units (PSU), using stratified proportional random multistage sampling with probability proportional to size (PPS), 2 segments were selected randomly in each selected wards/villages/ census towns and all households were surveyed there after collecting written informed consent from the residents. Thus from 4012 urban and 6095 rural households altogether 18028 consenting adults were recruited, interviewed and tested for diabetes.

Interview

Using a structured, pre-tested, bi-lingual (English and local language: Bengali) questionnaire, all the individuals residing in the selected households were interviewed at home by trained interviewers. The instrument collected information on age (18-40/41-60/>60 years), gender (male/female), religion (Hindu/Muslim/Others), caste (backward (SC/ST/OBC)/others), marital status (currently married/ never married/divorced, separated, widowed, widower) education (illiterate/primary/secondary/higher secondary/graduate and above), occupational type (sedentary work/moderate work/hard work) and residential area (urban/rural). Information on household assets was also collected and used to calculate an asset index which was logtransformed and categorized into lower/middle/upper tertile to determine lower/middle/upper socio-economic status (SES) based on percentile distribution. Participants were interviewed and their medical records (if available) were checked to identify the diagnosed diabetics and to understand their pattern of healthcare-seeking for diabetes (sought care from: nonqualified practitioners/qualified practitioners from Government sector/qualified practitioners from private sector).

Laboratory testing

Capillary Blood sugar, fasting (fcg), post-prandial (ppcg) or random (rcg), was measured by on the spot finger prick and using SD Code Free TM Blood Glucose Monitoring System (manufactured by Standard Diagnostics, INC, Korea). [24], standardized and checked regularly.

Outcome measures

According to the guidelines of American Diabetes Association, diabetic level of capillary blood sugar was defined as fcg \geq 126 mg/dL or ppcg/rcg \geq 200 mg/dL [24,25]. Thus diabetics were defined as those having previously established diagnosis of diabetes or fcg \geq 126 mg/dL or ppcg/rcg \geq 200 mg/dL [24-26]. Subjects who were previously diagnosed as diabetic were defined as uncontrolled diabetics if they had fcg \geq 126 mg/dL or ppcg/rcg \geq 200 mg/dL [27]. Participants, who were never diagnosed as diabetic before, were termed as undiagnosed cases if they had fcg \geq 126 mg/dL or ppcg/rcg \geq 200 mg/dL.

Data analysis

Using SAS version 9.3.2 [28]. overall and stratified distributions (frequency, proportions and corresponding 95% Confidence Intervals (95% CI)) of the study variables were determined followed by simple and multiple (including all socio-demographic factors in to the model) logistic regressions (including multinomial for dependent variables with >2 categories: healthcare-seeking) [29-31], to measure the strengths of associations (unadjusted (OR) from simple and adjusted (each for all other socio-demographic factors) Odds Ratios (OR) from multiple regressions with corresponding 95% CIs) of socio-demographic factors with diabetes (diagnosed, overall, undiagnosed and uncontrolled) and related care-seeking.

Results

Altogether 18028 subjects were included in the analyses. Among participants, majority were aged ≤ 40 years (n=11191, 62.08%), female (n=11452, 63.52%), had Hindu religion (n=12774, 70.86%), belonged to backward castes (n=10374, 57.54%) and were currently married (n=13972, 77.55%). Higher proportions had secondary level of education (n=6891, 38.22%), were in jobs with moderate workload (n=9428, 52.30%), belonged to lower SES (n=7460, 41.38%) and resided in rural areas (n=10820, 60.02%) (Table 1).

Among participants, 404 (2.24%) subjects were diagnosed diabetics among whom 77.72% (n=314) sought treatment from qualified physicians in private sector and 8.42% (n=34) visited non-qualified practitioners. Overall burden of diabetes was 6.09% (n=1098), 63.21% (n=694) of which were undiagnosed so far while 47.38% (n=190) of the previously diagnosed cases had uncontrolled diabetes (Table 1).

Prevalence of diabetes across the strata of socio-demographic factors is presented in (Table 2). Age stratified prevalence of diabetes (14.57%, n=237) was highest among subjects aged >60 years. About 7.74% (n=509) males and 5.14% (n=589) females were suffering from diabetes. Divorced/separated/widowed/widower subgroup had higher prevalence of the disease compared to others (10.16%, n=195). Burden was also high among subjects in sedentary work (8.57%, n=239 for diabetes), upper SES (8.63%, n=333 for diabetes) and urban residence (6.67%, n=481 for diabetes) (Table 2).

Distribution of the uncontrolled and undiagnosed diabetes is presented in (Figure 1). While the proportion of cases, with uncontrolled diabetes did not vary much (except being much lower (12.5%, n=1) among never married subjects compared to other marital status (50.31%, n=160 among currently married and 38.67%, n=29 among divorced/separated/widowed/widower)) across the strata of different socio-demographic factors, younger subjects (77.05%, n=272 for 18-40 years age group), Muslims (76.38%, n=291), those who were never married (80.95%, n=34), less educated (78.57%, n=88 for illiterates), hard-workers (76.67%, n=253), subjects with lower SES (80.15%,

Page 3 of 10

n=319) and rural residents (75.85%, n=468) had higher proportion of undiagnosed cases (Figure 1).

Logistic regressions revealed that previously diagnosed cases were more likely to be relatively aged $(aOR_{41-60years} = 4.26(3.24-5.60))$ and aOR_{>60years}=5.88(4.16-8.32); reference=18-40 years), educated $(aOR_{secondary} = 1.64(1.03-2.60), aOR_{Higher} = 1.75(1.05-2.92)$ and $aOR_{secondary} = 2.57(1.58-4.19);$ reference=Illiterates), SES-wise better $(aOR_{Middle}=1.45(1.06-1.98))$ and $aOR_{Upper}=2.05(1.46-2.88);$ reference=Upper) and urban residents (aOR=1.68(1.31-2.16); reference=Rural). Female (aOR=0.55(0.43-0.71); reference=Male), never married (aOR=0.23(0.11-0.48); reference=Currently married) and hard-working subjects (aOR=0.59(0.41-0.83); reference=Sedentary work) were less likely to have a prior diagnosis of diabetes. Compared to the respective reference groups, subjects of higher age $(aOR_{_{41}}$ $_{60years}$ =2.74(2.36-3.18) and aOR $_{>60 years}$ =3.79(3.06-4.68)), Muslim religion (aOR=1.69(1.43-1.98); reference=Hindu), divorced/separated/ widowed/widower marital status (aOR=1.25(1.03-1.52)), better education (aOR_{primary}=1.39(1.09-1.78), aOR_{secondary}=1.41(1.13-1.78), aOR_{Higher}, secondary=1.90(1.46-2.48) and aOR_{secondary}=1.82(1.40-2.37)) and upper SES (aOR=1.31(1.08-1.59)] had higher odds of diabetes. On the other hand, females (aOR=0.62(0.53-0.72)) and never married participants (aOR=0.39(0.28-0.55)) were comparatively less likely to be diabetic (Table 3).

Subjects having higher age (aOR_{41-60 years}=0.51(0.36-0.71) and aOR_{>60 years}=0.44(0.29-0.69)), better SES (aOR_{Middle}=0.62(0.42-0.92) and aOR_{Upper}=0.50(0.33-0.77)) and urban residence (aOR=0.49(0.36-0.68)) were less likely to have undiagnosed diabetes. Although the multiple regressions lacked sufficient power for the adjusted estimates, as per the unadjusted ORs it seemed that: among diabetics, educated subjects (OR_{Secondary}=0.53(0.32-0.86), OR_{Higher secondary}=0.55(0.32-0.95) and OR_{Secondary}=0.19(0.11-0.32)) were less likely and Muslims (OR=2.54(1.92-3.35)), never married (OR=2.51(1.15-5.50)) and moderate/hardworkers (OR_{Moderate-worker}=1.61(1.18-2.19), OR_{Hard-worker}=3.37(2.35-4.83)) were more likely to remain undiagnosed (Table 3).

Among those who were previously diagnosed as a case of diabetes, divorced/separated/ widowed/widowers had lower (aOR=0.49(0.26-0.95)) and subjects with secondary level of familial education had higher (aOR=3.18(1.14-8.89)) odds of having uncontrolled diabetes. According to the unadjusted model, likelihood of having uncontrolled diabetes seemed to be higher among Muslims also (OR=1.62(1.01-2.60)) (Table 4).

Regarding healthcare-seeking, the odds of seeking healthcare from nonqualified practitioners were less for urban diabetics (aOR=0.28(0.09-0.87)) compared to their rural counterparts. Diabetics of Muslim religion (aOR=0.41(0.17-0.95)) and backward caste (aOR=0.50(0.26-0.98)) were less likely while educated patients (aOR_{Secondary}=3.80(1.14-12.66) and aOR_{>Graduate}=8.42(2.17-32.74)) and those in hard-working jobs (aOR=3.09(1.01-9.52)) were more likely to visit qualified practitioner from private sector. Likelihood of visiting qualified physicians from private sector also seemed to be higher among cases belonging to upper SES (OR=2.35(1.08-5.09)).

Discussion

In this current study involving 18028 representative subjects from one of the poorest districts (Malda) of the West Bengal state of eastern India, the prevalence of diabetes was estimated to be 6.09% which was a bit lower than the adult prevalence of diabetes observed in other parts of India (10.4% in Tamil Nadu, 8.4% in Maharashtra and 13.6%

Page 4 of 10

Ape group 18-40 years 11191 62.08 (61.37.42.78) Ape group 41-60 years 6210 28.90 (28.24.29.65) > 60 years 6267 9.02 (8.19.44) Gender Male 6576 36.48 (957.73.18) Female 11452 65.25 (28.28.26.42.3) Hindu 12774 70.80 (70.19.11.62) Musim 6190 28.79 (28.13.29.45) Caste Backward castes (SCST/OBC) 10374 77.54 (68.25.82.7) Caste Backward castes (SCST/OBC) 10374 77.54 (68.25.82.7) Caste Backward castes (SCST/OBC) 10374 77.54 (68.42.68.27) Marital status Currently married 13922 77.56 (76.47.81.6) Marital status Currently married 13922 77.56 (76.47.81.6) Merer married 1392 11.62 (11.70.1) 10.55 (10.20.11.10) Billiertele 258 14.19 (13.81.4.70) 25.25 (12.10.13.07) General castes 2788 15.49 (14.54.59) 22.24 (15.75.33.63) Backotast avok 2289 12.25 (12.10.13	Variables	Categories	Frequency	Percentages (95% Clb)	
Age group Is an an an antipation Section Zestion Gender Hale 6576 36.4 (35.77.37.16) Gender Female 11422 63.52 (62.82.49.63) Raligion 11422 63.52 (62.82.49.63) Raligion 11472 70.80 (70.171.52) Ruisim 5190 28.79 (28.13.29.45) Others 64 0.36 (0.27.0.44) Caste General castes (SCIST/OBC) 10374 57.54 (65.82.88.27) Caste General castes (SCIST/OBC) 10374 57.54 (65.82.88.27) Marial status General castes (SCIST/OBC) 10374 57.57 (65.94.78.16) Marial status General castes (SCIST/OBC) 10374 57.57 (65.94.78.16) Marial status General castes (SCIST/OBC) 10374 57.57 (65.94.78.16) Marial status General castes scontary 1992 17.57 (65.94.78.16) Marial status Filter scontary 2558 11.91 (13.81.47.07) Graduate and above 2289 12.59 (12.10.13.07) Graduate and above 2281 12.69 (17.97		18-40 years	11191	62 08 (61 37-62 78)	
Hot years Jacobies Jacobies Jacobies 60 years 1627 9.02 (8.619.44) Gender Male 6676 36.48 (35.77.37.16) Fernale 11452 65.52 (62.824.42.3) Religion Hindu 1274 70.86 (70.197.15.2) Others 64 0.36 (02.70.44) Caste Backward castes (SCISTIOBC) 10374 57.54 (68.82.56.27) Caste General castes 7654 42.40 (41.73.43.18) Currently maried 13972 77.55 (76.84.78.16) Marital status Never maried 13972 77.55 (76.84.78.16) Marital status Secondary 1919 10.65 (10.20.11.0) Illiterate 7353 14.19 (13.84.14.70) 11.19 Education Secondary 6801 38.22 (37.13.8.93) Education Secondary 6801 38.22 (37.13.8.93) Gendar adabove 2831 14.26 (14.13.43.18) Cocupational type Made above 2831 14.26 (14.74.18.17.13.17.18) Secondary	Age group	41.60 years	5210	28.00 (28.24.20.56)	
Conder Male Conder Conder Male 6576 36.49 (35.77.37.18) Female 11452 63.52 (62.82-64.23) Religion Muslim 5190 28.79 (28.15.29.45) Others 64 0.36 (0.27.70.12) Caste Backward castes (SC/ST/OBC) 10374 57.54 (56.82-58.27) Caste Carrently married 13972 77.55 (76.94.78.16) Marital status Never married 13972 77.55 (76.94.78.16) Marital status Never married 13972 77.55 (76.94.78.16) Marital status Never married 2126 11.80 (11.3.12.27) Education 10 interate 2556 14.19 (13.68.14.70) Primary 3379 18.74 (18.17.41.3.1) 58.20 (27.57.38.83) Education Primary 3379 18.74 (18.17.41.3.1) Secondary 6891 38.22 (37.51.57.53.03) Higher secondary 6911 38.22 (35.15.75.30.3) Higher secondary 2299 12.59 (12.10.43.07) Graduate and above <			1627	0.02 (8.61.0.44)	
Gender Max Doi: District District Female 11452 63.52 (62.82-44.23) Religion Muslim 1274 70.86 (70.19-71.52) Caste Backward castes (SC/ST/OBC) 10314 67.54 (66.82-58.27) Caste General castes 77.55 (76.94-78.16) 0.10 Marital status Never married 13972 77.55 (76.94-78.16) Never married 13972 77.55 (76.94-78.16) 0.10 Never married 13972 13.80 (11.33.12.27) 0.10 Divorced/separated/widowed/widower 1919 10.65 (10.20.11.0) 0.10 Education Secondary 3379 18.74 (18.17.93.1) Secondary Secondary 6891 38.22 (37.51.38.93) Hiterate Primary 3379 18.54 (14.94.15.9) Occupational type Moderate work 52.63 (15.7-56.30.3) Hard work 51.20 32.24 (31.65.32.92) Socio-economic strata Moderate work 51.20 32.24 (31.65.32.92) Moderate work 51.20		Male	6576	36 48 (35 77-37 18)	
Initial Initial Initial Initial Initial Initial Initial Religion Muslim 5190 28.79 (28.15.29.45) Caste Backward castes (SC/ST/OBC) 10374 57.54 (68.82.58.27) Caste Carrently married 13972 77.55 (75.94.77.81.6) Marital status Currently married 13972 77.55 (75.94.77.81.6) Merried 13972 77.55 (75.94.77.81.6) 10.00000000000000000000000000000000000	Gender	Fomale	11452	63 52 (62 82 64 23)	
Religion Initial <		Hindu	10774	70 86 (70 10 71 52)	
Netligion Instant Display 2019 (20.1792.84.7) Caste Backward castes (SC/ST/OBC) 10374 57.54 (66.82-56.27) Caste General castes 7654 42.66 (41.74-34.18) Marital status General castes 7654 42.66 (41.74-34.18) Marital status Currently marited 13972 77.56 (76.94-78.16) Divored/separated/widowed/widower 1919 10.65 (10.20-11.10) Divored/separated/widowed/widower 1919 10.65 (10.20-11.0) Divored/separated/widowed/widower 1919 10.65 (10.20-11.0) Divored/separated/widowed/widower 1919 10.65 (10.20-11.0) Divored/separated/widowed/widower 2658 14.19 (13.86-14.70) Primary 3379 18.74 (18.17-19.31) General condary 2669 12.59 (12.10-13.07) Graduate and above 2781 15.46 (14.94-15.99) Occupational type Moderate work 2788 15.46 (14.94-15.99) Socio-economic strata Moderate work 6812 32.24 (15.63.29.20) Midde 6710 37.22 (36.51-37	Policion	Muslim	5100	28 70 (28 13 20 45)	
Caste Dot of all status Control (CR - CR	Religion	Others	64	0.36 (0.27, 0.44)	
Caste Default of the second of the conditional of the conditis of the conditical of the conditis of the conditional of the co		Backward castes (SC/ST/OBC)	10374	57 54 (56 82 58 27)	
Currently maried 704 72-40 (41.7-37-316) Marital status Currently maried 13972 77.55 (65.84-78.16) Marital status Never maried 2126 11.80 (11.33-12.27) Marital status Divorced/separated/widowed/widower 1919 10.65 (10.20-11.10) Marital status Milterate 2558 14.19 (13.86-14.70) Primary 3379 18.74 (18.17-19.31) Secondary 6891 33.22 (37.51.38.93) Hilterate and above 2331 16.26 (15.72-16.80) Graduate and above 2398 15.46 (14.94-15.99) Occupational type Moderate work 9428 52.30 (51.57-53.03) Martin And above 512 32.24 (31.56-32.92) 14.19 (10.20.80-22.00) Socio-economic strata Upper 3886 14.00 (20.80-22.00) 14.19 (10.20.80-22.00) Residential area Upper 3886 14.00 (20.80-22.00) 14.10 (20.80-22.00) Residential area Upan 72.65 (47.13) 14.10 (20.80-22.00) 14.10 (20.80-22.00) Residential area Upan 72.65 (4	Caste	Conoral agotos	7654	42.46 (41.72.42.19)	
Marital status Never maried 1397.2 77.35 (10.947.6.10) Marital status Never maried 2126 11.80 (11.33-12.27) Divorced/separated/widowed/widower 1919 10.65 (10.20-11.10) Primary 3379 18.74 (18.17.19.31) Primary 3379 18.74 (18.17.19.31) Secondary 6891 32.23 (21.51.38.93) Higher secondary 2269 12.59 (12.10-13.07) Graduate and above 2931 16.26 (15.72.16.80) Occupational type Sectentary work 2788 15.46 (14.94.15.99) Moderate work 9428 52.30 (51.57.53.03) 14.94 (20.80-22.00) Socio-economic strata Upper 3858 21.40 (20.80-22.00) Middle 6710 37.22 (36.51.37.93) Lower 7460 41.38 (40.66.42.10) Could agnosed with diabets No 720.83 99.98 (39.27.40.70) Previously diagnosed with diabets Non-qualified practitioners 34 42 (5.70-11.13) Among diabetics: sought healthcar Non-qualified practitioneres from private sector 314		General castes	12072	42.40 (41.75-43.16)	
Martal status Never martied 2120 11.00 (11.53-12.27) Martal status Divorced/separated/widowed/widower 1919 10.65 (10.20-11.10) Illiterate 2558 14.19 (13.68-14.70) Primary 3379 18.74 (18.17-19.31) Secondary 6691 38.22 (37.51-38.93) Hipfers econdary 6291 15.65 (15.27-16.80) Graduate and above 2291 15.46 (14.94-15.99) Occupational type Moderate work 2269 12.59 (12.10-13.07) Hiddle 5812 52.20 (15.75-3.03) Hodderate work 5812 52.24 (31.56-32.92) Moderate work 5812 32.24 (31.56-32.92) Socio-economic strata Middle 6710 37.22 (36.51-37.93) Middle 6710 37.22 (36.51-37.93) 14.40 (20.80-22.00) Residential area Middle 6710 37.22 (36.51-37.93) Residential area Monoulified practitioners 358 21.40 (20.80-22.00) Residential area No Yas 424 (5.70-11.13) Graduate and above </td <td></td> <td>Never merried</td> <td>15972</td> <td>11.00 (11.02.10.07)</td>		Never merried	15972	11.00 (11.02.10.07)	
Invoced/separated/widowed/widower 1919 10.65 (10.20-11.10) Primary 2558 14.19 (13.68-14.70) Primary 3379 18.74 (18.17-19.31) Secondary 6891 38.22 (37.51-38.93) Higher secondary 2269 12.59 (12.10-13.07) Graduate and above 2931 15.26 (15.72-16.80) Occupational type Mederate work 2788 15.46 (14.94-15.99) Moderate work 2782 52.30 (51.57-55.30) Androx K 5812 32.24 (31.56-32.92) Mederate work 5812 32.24 (31.56-32.92) Modela 6710 37.22 (36.51.37.93) Modela 6710 37.22 (36.51.37.93) Lower 7460 41.38 (40.66.42.10) Media 10820 60.02 (59.30-60.73) Previously diagnosed with diabets Yes 404 2.24 (0.7.40) Yes 404 2.24 (0.2.2.46) 40.40 2.24 (0.2.2.46) Among diabetics: sough healtheat Yes 404 2.24 (20.2.46) 40.41 2.24 (0.2.2.46) 40.42 (2.5.	Marital status		2120	11.80 (11.33-12.27)	
Hilterate 2558 14.19 (13.86-14.70) Primary 3379 18.74 (18.17.19.31) Secondary 3379 18.74 (18.17.19.31) Higher secondary 2269 12.59 (12.10.13.07) Graduate and above 2311 16.26 (15.72-16.80) Occupational type Sedentary work 2788 15.46 (14.94.15.99) Moderate work 9428 52.30 (51.57-53.03) Hard work 5812 32.24 (31.56-32.92) And work 5812 32.24 (31.56-32.92) Socio-economic strata Upper 3858 21.40 (20.80-22.00) Residential area Viral 6710 37.22 (36.51-37.93) I.ower 7460 41.38 (40.66-42.10) 16.26 (15.72-16.80) Previously diagnosed with diabets No 7208 39.98 (39.27-40.70) Yes No 7208 39.98 (39.27-40.70) Yes Non-qualified practitioners 34 8.42 (5.70-11.3) Anong diabetics: sought healtheat Fom Yes 314 77.72 (73.65-81.80) Qualified practitioners from p		Divorced/separated/widowed/widower	1919	10.65 (10.20-11.10)	
Primary37918.74 (18.74-19.31)EducationSecondary689138.22 (37.51.38.93)Higher secondary226912.59 (12.10-13.07)Graduate and above293116.26 (15.72-16.80)Occupational typeSedentary work278815.46 (14.94-15.99)Moderate work942852.30 (51.57-53.03)Hard work942852.30 (51.57-53.03)Andreate work942852.30 (51.57-53.03)Jupper385821.40 (20.80-22.00)Middle671037.22 (36.51-37.93)Lower746041.38 (40.66-42.10)Lower746041.38 (40.66-42.10)Previously diagnosed with diabetesYesYesNo7208Anong diabetics: sought healthereYesMon-qualified practitioners34Anong diabetics: sought peatitioners from private sector56Mon-qualified practitioners from private sector56Qualified practitioners from private sector56Qualified practitioners from covernment sector56Diabetic32.12 (2.97-3.53)Diabetic15268Ors93.91 (93.56-94.20)Diabetic1590Diabetic1590Diabetic1590Diabetic1590Diabetic1590Diabetic1590Diabetic1590Diabetic1590Diabetic1590Diabetic1590Diabetic1590Diabetic1590Diabetic		Illiterate	2558	14.19 (13.68-14.70)	
EducationSecondary689138.22 (37.51-38.93)Higher secondary226912.59 (12.10-13.07)Graduate and above293116.26 (15.72-16.80)Occupational typeSedentary work278815.46 (14.91.5.99)Moderate work942852.30 (51.57.53.03)Hard work581232.24 (31.56-32.92)Moderate work681037.22 (36.51-37.93)Socio-economic strataUpper385821.40 (20.80-22.00)Middle671037.22 (36.51-37.93)Lower746041.38 (40.64-21.0)Residential areaUpan1082060.02 (59.30-60.73)Previously diagnosed with diabete fromNo720839.98 (39.27-40.70)Among diabetics: sought healthcer fromNon-qualified practitioners from private sector3147.72 (7.65-81.80)Previously diagnosed with diabete fromNon-diabetic3147.72 (7.35-81.80)Pasting capillary blood sugar level polabeticNon-diabetic3147.72 (7.35-81.80)Pating capillary blood sugar level polabeticNon-diabetic154980.68 (78.91-82.44)Pabetes case statusNon-diabetic154980.68 (78.91-82.44)Diabetic5133.25 (2.97-3.53)DiabeteDiagnosed case4043.67 (9.33.94.39.65)Diabete10986.09 (6.74-6.44)Diabete10986.09 (6.74-6.44)Diabete10986.09 (6.74-6.44)Diabete10986.09 (6.74-6.73)Diabete1004		Primary	3379	18.74 (18.17-19.31)	
Higher secondary 2269 12.59 (12.10-13.07) Graduate and above 2931 16.26 (15.72-16.80) Occupational type Sedentary work 2788 15.46 (14.94-15.99) Moderate work 9428 52.30 (51.57-53.03) Hard work 5812 32.24 (31.66.32.92) Moderate work 5812 32.24 (31.66.32.92) Socio-economic strata Upper 3858 21.40 (20.80-22.00) Middle 6710 37.22 (36.51-37.93) 10.000 Lower 7460 41.38 (40.66.42.10) 10.000 Residential area Nord 7208 39.98 (39.27.40.70) Previously diagnosed with diabetes Non-qualified practitioners 7404 97.76 (97.54.97.98) Among diabetics: sought healthcar Non-qualified practitioners from private sector 314 77.22 (38.5-81.80) Fasting capillary blood sugar level Non-diabetic 1549 80.68 (78.91-82.44) Diabetic 1549 80.68 (78.91-82.44) 193.24 (17.56-21.09) PP/Random capillary blood sugar level Non-diabetic 15268 96.75 (96.47-97.03)	Education	Secondary	6891	38.22 (37.51-38.93)	
Indext and above293116 26 (15 72-16.80)Occupational typeSedentary work278815.46 (14.94-15.99)Moderate work942852.30 (51.57-53.03)Hard work581232.24 (31.56-32.92)Socio-economic strataUpper385821.40 (20.80-22.00)Middle671037.22 (36.51-37.93)Lower746041.38 (40.66-42.10)Residential areaRural1082060.02 (59.30-60.73)Previously diagnosed with diabetesNon-qualified practitioners720839.98 (39.27-40.70)Yes4042.24 (2.02-2.46)2.24 (2.02-2.46)Among diabetics: sought healthcargNon-qualified practitioners348.42 (5.70-11.13)Among diabetics: sought healthcargNon-dualetic154980.68 (78.91-82.44)Per/Random capillary blood sugar levelNon-diabetic152096.75 (96.47-97.03)PibabeticSita3.25 (2.97.3.53)3.25 (2.97.3.53)Diabetic case statusNon-diabetic1693093.91 (93.56-94.26)Diabetic diagnosis statusDiabetic10986.09 (5.74-6.44)Diabetic caseJoing Case40436.79 (33.49.39.65)Diabete case statusDiabetic10986.321 (60.35-66.06)Diabete case statusDiabetic10986.92 (1.71-57.53)Diabete case statusNon-diabetic10986.92 (1.71-57.53)Diabete control statusControlled2.24 (2.02 2.20)10.22 (2.24 (2.02 2.25))Diabete case statusNon-diabetic1098		Higher secondary	2269	12.59 (12.10-13.07)	
Sedentary work 2788 15.46 (14.94-15.99) Occupational type Moderate work 9428 52.30 (51.57-53.03) Hard work 5812 32.24 (31.56-32.92) Socio-economic strata Upper 3858 21.40 (20.80-22.00) Middle 6710 37.22 (36.51-37.93) Lower 7460 41.38 (40.66-42.10) Residential area Rural 10820 60.02 (59.30-60.73) Previously diagnosed with diabetes No 17624 97.76 (97.54-97.98) Among diabetics: sought healthcar from Non-qualified practitioners 34 8.42 (5.70-11.13) Qualified practitioners from private sector 314 77.72 (73.65-81.80) Qualified practitioners from private sector 56 13.86 (10.48-17.25) Qualified practitioners from private sector 56 13.86 (10.48-17.25) Pi/Random capillary blood sugar level Non-diabetic 513 32.52 (2.97.35.3) Diabetic 10abetic 16930 93.91 (93.56-94.26) Diabetic 1098 6.09 (5.74-6.44) 1098 Diabetic 1098 <td></td> <td>Graduate and above</td> <td>2931</td> <td>16.26 (15.72-16.80)</td>		Graduate and above	2931	16.26 (15.72-16.80)	
Occupational type Moderate work 9428 52.30 (51.57-53.03) Hard work 5812 32.24 (31.56-32.92) Socio-economic strata Upper 3858 21.40 (20.80-22.00) Middle 6710 37.22 (36.51-37.93) Lower 7460 41.38 (40.66-42.10) Residential area Rural 10820 60.02 (59.30-60.73) Previously diagnosed with diabetes Non 7208 39.98 (39.27-40.70) Previously diagnosed with diabetes No 7208 39.98 (39.27-40.70) Among diabetics: sought healthcap Non 7208 39.98 (39.27-40.70) Qualified practitioners 34 8.42 (5.70-11.13) 31.60 Qualified practitioners from private sector 314 77.72 (73.65-81.80) 31.60 Qualified practitioners from private sector 56 13.86 (10.48-17.25) 32.61 Pip/Random capillary blood sugar Non-diabetic 1549 80.68 (78.91-82.44) Diabetic 32.61 32.62 (297.353) 32.62 (297.353) Diabetic 15930 93.91 (93.56-94.26) 33.91 (Sedentary work	2788	15.46 (14.94-15.99)	
Hard work 5812 32.24 (31.56-32.92) Socio-economic strata Upper 3858 21.40 (20.80-22.00) Middle 6710 37.22 (36.51-37.93) Lower 7460 41.38 (40.66-42.10) Residential area Rural 10820 60.02 (59.30-60.73) Urban 7208 39.88 (39.27-40.70) Previously diagnosed with diabetes No 17624 97.76 (97.54-97.98) Monog diabetics: sought healthcare Non-qualified practitioners 404 2.24 (2.02-2.46) Monog diabetics: sought peakithcares from private sector 314 77.72 (73.65-81.80) Qualified practitioners from Government sector 56 13.86 (10.48-17.25) Rasting capillary blood sugar level Non-diabetic 1549 80.68 (78.91-82.44) Diabetic 371 19.32 (17.56-21.09) 19.20 PP/Random capillary blood sugar level Non-diabetic 15268 96.75 (96.47-97.03) Diabetic 513 3.25 (2.97.53) 19.32 Diabetic 10930 6.09 (5.74-6.44) 109.47.93 (93.56-94.26) Diabetic </td <td>Occupational type</td> <td>Moderate work</td> <td>9428</td> <td>52.30 (51.57-53.03)</td>	Occupational type	Moderate work	9428	52.30 (51.57-53.03)	
Socio-economic strata Upper 3858 21.40 (20.80-22.00) Middle 6710 37.22 (36.51-37.93) Lower 7460 41.38 (40.66-42.10) Residential area Rural 10820 60.02 (59.30-60.73) Urban 7208 39.98 (39.27.40.70) Previously diagnosed with diabetes No 17624 97.76 (97.54-97.98) Among diabetics: sought healthcare from Non-qualified practitioners 34 8.42 (5.70-11.13) Qualified practitioners from private sector 314 77.72 (73.65-81.80) 72.65-81.80) Fasting capillary blood sugar level Non-diabetic 1549 80.68 (78.91-82.44) Diabetic 37.1 19.32 (17.56-21.09) 9.91 PP/Random capillary blood sugar level Non-diabetic 15268 96.75 (96.47-97.03) Diabetic 513 3.25 (2.97-3.53) 10.42.17.55.10 Diabetics case status Diabetic 1098 6.09 (5.74-6.44) Diabetic 1098 6.09 (5.74-6.44) 10.42.47.67.93.65.1 Diabetes case status Diagnosed case 694		Hard work	5812	32.24 (31.56-32.92)	
Socio-economic strata Middle 6710 37.22 (36.51-37.93) Lower 7460 41.38 (40.66-42.10) Residential area Rural 10820 60.02 (59.30-60.73) Urban 7208 39.98 (39.27-40.70) Previously diagnosed with diabetes No 17624 97.76 (97.54-97.98) Among diabetics: sought healthcar Non-qualified practitioners 34 8.42 (5.70-11.13) Qualified practitioners from private sector 314 77.72 (73.65-81.80) Gualified practitioners from private sector 56 13.86 (10.48-17.25) Pasting capillary blood sugar level Non-diabetic 1549 80.68 (78.91-82.44) Diabetic 37.1 19.32 (17.66-21.09) 15268 PP/Random capillary blood sugar level Non-diabetic 15268 96.75 (96.47-97.03) Diabetic 1549 80.68 (78.91-82.44) 15268 Diabetic 513 3.25 (2.97-3.53) 15268 Diabetic 10abetic 1098 6.09 (5.74-6.44) Diabetic 10abetic 1098 6.09 (5.74-6.44)		Upper	3858	21.40 (20.80-22.00)	
Lower 7460 41.38 (40.66-42.10) Residential area Rural 10820 60.02 (59.30-60.73) Previously diagnosed with diabetes Von 7208 39.98 (39.27-40.70) Previously diagnosed with diabetes No 17624 97.76 (97.54-97.98) Among diabetics: sought healthcare from Non-qualified practitioners 404 2.24 (2.02-2.46) Qualified practitioners from private sector 314 77.72 (73.65-81.80) 70.00000000000000000000000000000000000	Socio-economic strata	Middle	6710	37.22 (36.51-37.93)	
Residential area Rural 10820 60.02 (59.30-60.73) Previously diagnosed with diabetes No 7208 39.98 (39.27-40.70) Previously diagnosed with diabetes No 17624 97.76 (97.54-97.98) Among diabetics: sought healthcare Non-qualified practitioners 404 2.24 (2.02-2.46) Among diabetics: sought healthcare Non-qualified practitioners from private sector 314 77.72 (73.65-81.80) Qualified practitioners from Government sector 56 13.86 (10.48-17.25) Qualified practitioners from Government sector 56 13.86 (10.48-17.25) Pasting capillary blood sugar level Non-diabetic 1549 80.68 (78.91-82.44) Diabetic 371 19.32 (17.56-21.09) 19.32 (17.56-21.09) PP/Random capillary blood sugar Non-diabetic 15268 96.75 (96.47-97.03) Diabetic 513 3.25 (2.97-3.53) 19.32 (17.56-21.09) Diabetic 10abetic 1098 6.09 (5.74-6.44) Diabetic 10abetic 1098 6.09 (5.74-6.44) Diabetes case status Diagnosed case 404		Lower	7460	41.38 (40.66-42.10)	
Residential areaUrban720839.98 (39.27-40.70)Previously diagnosed with diabetesNo1762497.76 (97.54-97.98)Previously diagnosed with diabetesYes4042.24 (2.02-2.46)Among diabetics: sought healthcare fromNon-qualified practitioners348.42 (5.70-11.13)Qualified practitioners from private sector31477.72 (73.65-81.80)Qualified practitioners from private sector5613.86 (10.48-17.25)Fasting capillary blood sugar levelNon-diabetic154980.68 (78.91-82.44)PP/Random capillary blood sugar levelNon-diabetic37119.32 (17.56-21.09)Diabetes case statusNon-diabetic5133.25 (2.97-3.53)Diabetes case statusNon-diabetic1693093.91 (93.56-94.26)Diabetes diagnosis statusDiagnosed case40436.79 (33.94-39.65)Diabetes control statusControlled21152.62 (47.71-57.53)Diabetes control statusDiapetrolled21152.62 (47.71-57.53)		Rural	10820	60.02 (59.30-60.73)	
Previously diagnosed with diabetesNo1762497.76 (97.54-97.98)Previously diagnosed with diabetesYes4042.24 (2.02-2.46)Among diabetics: sought healthcare fromNon-qualified practitioners348.42 (5.70-11.13)Qualified practitioners from private sector31477.72 (73.65-81.80)Qualified practitioners from Government sector5613.86 (10.48-17.25)Pasting capillary blood sugar levelNon-diabetic154980.68 (78.91-82.44)DiabeticNon-diabetic37119.32 (17.56-21.09)PP/Random capillary blood sugar levelNon-diabetic5133.25 (2.97-3.53)Diabetes case statusNon-diabetic1693093.91 (93.56-94.26)Diabetes diagnosis statusDiagnosed case40436.79 (33.94-39.65)Diabetes control statusControlled21152.62 (47.71-57.53)Diabetes control statusLucrontrolled21152.62 (47.71-57.53)	Residential area	Urban	7208	39.98 (39.27-40.70)	
Previously diagnosed with diabetesYes4042.24 (2.02-2.46)Among diabetics: sought healthcare fromNon-qualified practitioners348.42 (5.70-11.13)Qualified practitioners from private sector31477.72 (73.65-81.80)Qualified practitioners from Government sector5613.86 (10.48-17.25)Fasting capillary blood sugar levelNon-diabetic154980.68 (78.91-82.44)Diabetic37119.32 (17.56-21.09)PP/Random capillary blood sugar levelNon-diabetic5133.25 (2.97-3.53)Diabetic5133.25 (2.97-3.53)10.98 (6.09 (5.74-6.44))Diabetes case statusNon-diabetic10986.09 (5.74-6.44)Diabetes case statusDiagnosed case40436.79 (33.94-39.65)Diabetes control statusUncontrolled21152.62 (47.71-57.53)		No	17624	97.76 (97.54-97.98)	
Among diabetics: sought healthcare from Non-qualified practitioners 34 8.42 (5.70-11.13) Qualified practitioners from private sector 314 77.72 (73.65-81.80) 314 Qualified practitioners from Government sector 56 13.86 (10.48-17.25) 314 Fasting capillary blood sugar level Non-diabetic 1549 80.68 (78.91-82.44) Diabetic 371 19.32 (17.56-21.09) 90 PP/Random capillary blood sugar level Non-diabetic 15268 96.75 (96.47-97.03) Diabetic 513 3.25 (2.97-3.53) 30 Diabetics case status Non-diabetic 1098 6.09 (5.74-6.44) Diabetic 1098 6.09 (5.74-6.44) 36.79 (33.94-39.65) Diabetes control status Diagnosed case 404 36.79 (33.94-39.65) Undiagnosed case 694 63.21 (60.35-66.06) 211 Diabetes control status Controlled 211 52.62 (47.71-57.53)	Previously diagnosed with diabetes	Yes	404	2.24 (2.02-2.46)	
Among diabetics: sought healthcare fromQualified practitioners from private sector31477.72 (73.65-81.80)Qualified practitioners from Government sector5613.86 (10.48-17.25)Fasting capillary blood sugar levelNon-diabetic154980.68 (78.91-82.44)PP/Random capillary blood sugar levelNon-diabetic37119.32 (17.56-21.09)Diabetic37119.32 (17.56-21.09)1526896.75 (96.47-97.03)DiabeticDiabetic5133.25 (2.97-3.53)Diabetes case statusNon-diabetic1693093.91 (93.56-94.26)DiabeticDiabetic10986.09 (5.74-6.44)Diabetes diagnosis statusDiagnosed case40436.79 (33.94-39.65)Diabetes control statusControlled21152.62 (47.71-57.53)		Non-gualified practitioners	34	8.42 (5.70-11.13)	
fromQualified practitioners from Government sector5613.86 (10.48-17.25)Fasting capillary blood sugar levelNon-diabetic154980.68 (78.91-82.44)PP/Random capillary blood sugar levelNon-diabetic37119.32 (17.56-21.09)Diabetic37119.32 (17.56-21.09)1526896.75 (96.47-97.03)DiabeticDiabetic5133.25 (2.97-3.53)Diabetes case statusNon-diabetic1693093.91 (93.56-94.26)Diabetic10986.09 (5.74-6.44)Diabetes diagnosis statusDiagnosed case40436.79 (33.94-39.65)Diabetes control statusControlled21152.62 (47.71-57.53)	Among diabetics: sought healthcare	Qualified practitioners from private sector	314	77.72 (73.65-81.80)	
Fasting capillary blood sugar level Non-diabetic 1549 80.68 (78.91-82.44) Diabetic 371 19.32 (17.56-21.09) PP/Random capillary blood sugar level Non-diabetic 15268 96.75 (96.47-97.03) Diabetic 513 3.25 (2.97-3.53) Diabetes case status Non-diabetic 16930 93.91 (93.56-94.26) Diabetes case status Diabetic 1098 6.09 (5.74-6.44) Diabetes diagnosis status Diagnosed case 404 36.79 (33.94-39.65) Diabetes control status Controlled 211 52.62 (47.71-57.53)	from	Qualified practitioners from Government sector	56	13.86 (10.48-17.25)	
Fasting capillary blood sugar level Diabetic 371 19.32 (17.56-21.09) PP/Random capillary blood sugar level Non-diabetic 15268 96.75 (96.47-97.03) Diabetic 513 3.25 (2.97-3.53) Diabetes case status Non-diabetic 16930 93.91 (93.56-94.26) Diabetes case status Diabetic 1098 6.09 (5.74-6.44) Diabetes diagnosis status Diagnosed case 404 36.79 (33.94-39.65) Diabetes control status Controlled 211 52.62 (47.71-57.53)		Non-diabetic	1549	80.68 (78.91-82.44)	
PP/Random capillary blood sugar level Non-diabetic 15268 96.75 (96.47-97.03) Diabetic 513 3.25 (2.97-3.53) Diabetes case status Non-diabetic 16930 93.91 (93.56-94.26) Diabetes case status Diabetic 1098 6.09 (5.74-6.44) Diabetes diagnosis status Diagnosed case 404 36.79 (33.94-39.65) Undiagnosed case 694 63.21 (60.35-66.06) 211 Diabetes control status Controlled 211 52.62 (47.71-57.53)	Fasting capillary blood sugar level	Diabetic	371	19.32 (17.56-21.09)	
Invariant Diabetic 513 3.25 (2.97-3.53) Diabetes case status Non-diabetic 16930 93.91 (93.56-94.26) Diabetic 1098 6.09 (5.74-6.44) Diabetes diagnosis status Diagnosed case 404 36.79 (33.94-39.65) Diabetes control status Controlled 211 52.62 (47.71-57.53) Diabetes control status Uncontrolled 190 47.38 (42.47.52.20)	PP/Random capillary blood sugar level	Non-diabetic	15268	96.75 (96.47-97.03)	
Diabetes case status Non-diabetic 16930 93.91 (93.56-94.26) Diabetic 1098 6.09 (5.74-6.44) Diabetes diagnosis status Diagnosed case 404 36.79 (33.94-39.65) Undiagnosed case 694 63.21 (60.35-66.06) 600 Diabetes control status Controlled 211 52.62 (47.71-57.53)		Diabetic	513	3 25 (2 97-3 53)	
Diabetes case status Diabetic 1000 6000 6000 10000 1000 10000 <th< td=""><td></td><td>Non-diabetic</td><td>16930</td><td>93 91 (93 56-94 26)</td></th<>		Non-diabetic	16930	93 91 (93 56-94 26)	
Diabetes diagnosis status Diagnosed case 404 36.79 (33.94-39.65) Undiagnosed case 694 63.21 (60.35-66.06) Diabetes control status Controlled 211 52.62 (47.71-57.53)	Diabetes case status	Diabetic	1098	6 09 (5 74-6 44)	
Diabetes diagnosis status Diagnosed case 694 63.21 (60.35-66.06) Diabetes control status Controlled 211 52.62 (47.71-57.53)		Diagnosed case	404	36 79 (33 94-39 65)	
Diabetes control status Controlled Controlled 211 52.62 (47.71-57.53) Uncontrolled 190 47.38 (42.47.52.20) 190 17.38 (42.47.52.20)	Diabetes diagnosis status		694	63 21 (60 35-66 06)	
Diabetes control status Onitioned 211 52.02 (41.1 - 57.55)		Controlled	211	52 62 (47 71-57 53)	
	Diabetes control status		100	47 38 (42 47-52 20)	

Table 1: Distribution of Socio-demographics, burden of diabetes (diagnosed/uncontrolled/undiagnosed) and related care-seeking among study subjects (Na=18028).

Variables	Labala		Diabetic			
variables	Labels	Frequency	Percentages (95% Cl ^b)			
	18-40 years	353	3.15 (2.83-3.48)			
Age group	41-60 years	508	9.75 (8.94-10.56)			
	>60 years	237	14.57 (12.85-16.28)			
	Male	509	7.74 (7.09-8.39)			
Gender	Female	589	5.14 (4.74-5.55)			
	Hindu	714	5.59 (5.19-5.99)			
Religion	Muslim	381	7.34 (6.63-8.05)			
	Others	3	4.69 (0.00-10.01)			
Casta	Backward castes	563	5.43 (4.99-5.86)			
Casie	General castes	535	6.99 (6.42-7.56)			

Page 5 of 10

Marital status	Currently married	861	6.16 (5.76-6.56)
	Never married	42	1.98 (1.38-2.57)
	Divorced/separated/widowed/widower	195	10.16 (8.81-11.51)
Education	Illiterate	112	4.38 (3.59-5.17)
	Primary	181	5.36 (4.60-6.12)
	Secondary	383	5.56 (5.02-6.10)
	Higher secondary	175	7.71 (6.61-8.81)
	Graduate and above	247	8.43 (7.42-9.43)
	Sedentary work	239	8.57 (7.53-9.61)
Occupational type	Moderate work	529	5.61 (5.15-6.08)
	Hard work	330	5.68 (5.08-6.27)
Socio-economic strata	Upper	333	8.63 (7.74-9.52)
	Middle	367	5.47 (4.93-6.01)
	Lower	398	5.34 (4.83-5.85)
Desidential area	Rural	617	5.70 (5.27-6.14)
Residential area	Urban	481	6.67 (6.10-7.25)

Table 2: Distribution of diabetes across the socio-demographic strata among the study subjects, Malda, India, 2014 (Na=18028).

in Chandigarh in 2010) but slightly higher than the value reported from the neighboring state of Jharkhand (5.3%) and urban part of West Bengal (4.8%) [13] The observed value was also lower than the global prevalence of diabetes among adults (9%) in 2014 [6], the prevalence reported from similar settings in Afghanistan (8.5%), Bangladesh (8.6%), China (8.0%), Indonesia (8.0%), Malaysia (10.2%), Thailand (8.9%), Nepal (8.8%), Pakistan (9.9%), Japan (7.3%), South Africa (11.8%) and Sri Lanka (8.3%), in 2010 [7].

Although this lower percentage could be interpreted as an improvement in the situation regarding diabetes epidemic in this poor-resource area, the actual picture might be quite reverse. Only 2.24% residents were previously diagnosed as diabetic, 47.38% of whom remained uncontrolled as they were found to be diabetic in our investigation also and 63.21% of the diabetics in the study area were undiagnosed so far. This burden of undiagnosed diabetes among adults seemed to be alarmingly high compared to the reported corresponding values from elsewhere (4.5% in Malaysia in 2006) [32] as well as other parts of India (10.5% in central and urban Kerala, 9.1% in Chennai, 40% in southern India as a whole and 4.25% in Kashmir) [33-36]. Compared to the global (46.3% in 2013) [2] and Indian (53.1%, 35.5 million undiagnosed among 66.8 million diabetics) [1] estimates for undiagnosed diabetes by IDF this value was also very high. But the results were not surprising keeping the respective observations of Ramachandran et al. and Graber et al. in mind who previously found that >70% diabetics in rural India [35] and 71% of diabetic patients admitted in a hospital in US [37] remained undiagnosed. The detected burden of uncontrolled cases also corroborated with previously observed level of poor glycemic control among Indian diabetics which ranged between 42-70% [3,11,34,38,39].

This high prevalence of uncontrolled and undiagnosed diabetics in Malda might have emphasized upon poor healthcare-seeking behavior of the study population as evident from the observation that among the diagnosed diabetics, 8.42% sought care from non-qualified practitioners. It was very likely that diagnosis of only those diabetics were possible who sought some healthcare services either for some symptoms related to diabetes or due to some other reasons (e.g.: routine checkup). Residents who got tested for diabetes could also be the more health-aware fraction of the population, having a relatively better healthcare-seeking and utilization. Owing to the largely asymptomatic nature of diabetes, especially in the early stages, it was also possible that only complicated cases were being diagnosed while being symptomatic and thus controlling the disease course among those late-diagnosed cases probably were more difficult. Cumulatively it seemed that infrastructural development and behavioral modification programs were the need of the hour to ensure early diagnosis and appropriate treatment for minimizing the burden of diagnosed, undiagnosed and uncontrolled diabetes in this poor-resource setting.

Regarding the distribution of diabetes we observed that prevalence increased with age, being highest among subjects aged >60 years (14.57%) which corroborated with the global scenario [2,9,14,32]. Similar findings were also reported from studies conducted in Kashmir [36], urban slums of northern India [40] and the National Urban Survey in six major Indian cities [41]. Prevalence was higher among males (7.74 vs 5.14) compared to females alike other studies in India [35,40,42] and abroad [14]. Muslims (7.34%), general caste (6.99%), divorced/ separated/widowed/widower (10.16%), more educated (8.43%), sedentary workers (8.57%) and those who were economically better-off (8.63) had higher diabetic prevalence among respective categories. The likelihood of being diabetic was more among urban residents (6.67%) than their rural counterparts, which also corroborated with previous observations from different parts of India [36,43].

Consistent with other studies in this country (both at the national level and in the southern parts), we found that odds of having diabetes increased among adults with advancing age [13,33,35,41] and females were less likely to be diabetic compared to males [13]. Muslims and general castes had higher odds of being diabetic compared to Hindus and backward castes respectively. Compared to currently married subjects, those who were never married had lower likelihood of being diabetic while those who were divorced/separated/widowed had higher odds of diabetes. With increase in educational level among adults in the households, odds of suffering from diabetes increased in the present study. Higher education was also found to be a significant correlate of diabetes among adults aged ≥20 years in Southern India [36]. Participants in hard-working jobs were less likely to have diabetes compared to those habituated to sedentary work, which corroborated with the National Urban Diabetic Survey and the Non-communicable Diseases Risk Factor Surveillance in India [41,44].

Similar to prior studies [13,41,43], subjects belonging to upper SES had higher risk of diabetes compared to poorer subjects in Malda district. With reference to rural, urban residents were more likely to be diabetic in our study. Similar findings were reported in other population-based studies among individuals aged 15 years or more in India [13,41,44].

Page 6 of 10



Page 7 of 10

Variables	Labels	Туре	Previously diagnosed with diabetes		Diabetic (ref=non- diabetic)		Undiagnosed diabetic (ref=diagnosed)		Uncontrolled diabetic (ref=controlled)	
			OR ^c (95% CI ^d)	p value	OR ^c (95% Cl ^d)	p value	OR ^c (95% Cl ^d)	p value	OR ^c (95% Cl ^d)	p value
Age group (ref=18- 40 years)	41-60 years	Unadjusted	5.76 (4.45-7.46)	<0.0001	3.32 (2.88-3.82)	<0.0001	0.42 (0.31-0.57)	<0.0001	1.17 (0.70-1.95)	0.5576
		Adjusted	4.26 (3.24-5.60)	<0.0001	2.74 (2.36-3.18)	<0.0001	0.51 (0.36-0.71)	<0.0001	1.22 (0.70-2.13)	0.4741
	>60 years	Unadjusted	10.24 (7.66-13.69)	<0.0001	5.24 (4.40-6.23)	<0.0001	0.33 (0.23-0.47)	<0.0001	0.96 (0.54-1.70)	0.8865
		Adjusted	5.88 (4.16-8.32)	<0.0001	3.79 (3.06-4.68)	<0.0001	0.44 (0.29-0.69)	0.0003	1.16 (0.59-2.28)	0.6623
Gender (ref=male)	Fomalo	Unadjusted	0.57 (0.47-0.69)	<0.0001	0.65 (0.57-0.73)	<0.0001	1.26 (0.99-1.61)	0.0649	1.31 (0.89-1.94)	0.1765
Gender (rei-male)	T emaie	Adjusted	0.55 (0.43-0.71)	<0.0001	0.62 (0.53-0.72)	<0.0001	1.22 (0.87-1.70)	0.2521	1.50 (0.90-2.50)	0.1214
	Muelim	Unadjusted	0.70 (0.55-0.89)	0.0032	1.34 (1.18-1.52)	<0.0001	2.54 (1.92-3.35)	<0.0001	1.62 (1.01-2.60)	0.0462
Poligion (rof-Hindu)	Musiim	Adjusted	1.28 (0.97-1.71)	0.084	1.69 (1.43-1.98)	<0.0001	1.26 (0.87-1.83)	0.231	1.72 (0.96-3.09)	0.068
Keligion (rei–mindu)	Othora	Unadjusted	-	-	0.83 (0.26-2.65)	0.7548	-	-	-	-
	Others	Adjusted	-	-	1.18 (0.36-3.83)	0.7883	-	-	-	-
Caste (ref= General	Backward	Unadjusted	0.71 (0.58-0.87)	0.0007	0.76 (0.68-0.86)	<0.0001	1.14 (0.89-1.45)	0.3076	0.93 (0.63-1.37)	0.7087
castes)	castes	Adjusted	0.88 (0.71-1.10)	0.2535	0.95 (0.83-1.09)	0.4609	1.22 (0.91-1.64)	0.1926	1.00 (0.65-1.53)	0.9974
	Never	Unadjusted	0.16 (0.08-0.33)	<0.0001	0.31 (0.22-0.42)	<0.0001	2.51 (1.15-5.50)	0.0209	0.14 (0.02-1.16)	0.0685
Marital status	married	Adjusted	0.23 (0.11-0.48)	<0.0001	0.39 (0.28-0.55)	<0.0001	1.96 (0.83-4.63)	0.1273	0.14 (0.02-1.25)	0.0785
(ref=Currently	Divorced/	Unadjusted	1.76 (1.36-2.27)	<0.0001	1.72 (1.46-2.03)	<0.0001	0.93 (0.67-1.28)	0.6377	0.62 (0.37-1.04)	0.0708
marrieu)	separated/ widowed/ widower	Adjusted	1.08 (0.80-1.47)	0.613	1.25 (1.03-1.52)	0.0255	1.20 (0.79-1.82)	0.4037	0.49 (0.26-0.95)	0.0335
	Primary	Unadjusted	1.46 (0.89-2.39)	0.137	1.24 (0.97-1.57)	0.0854	0.80 (0.46-1.40)	0.4373	1.68 (0.60-4.70)	0.3227
		Adjusted	1.52 (0.92-2.51)	0.1031	1.39 (1.09-1.78)	0.0083	0.89 (0.49-1.60)	0.6956	2.06 (0.69-6.14)	0.1932
	Secondary	Unadjusted	2.05 (1.32-3.17)	0.0013	1.29 (1.04-1.60)	0.0225	0.53 (0.32-0.86)	0.0112	2.16 (0.86-5.39)	0.0994
Education	Gecondary	Adjusted	1.64 (1.03-2.60)	0.0355	1.41 (1.13-1.78)	0.0029	0.89 (0.52-1.53)	0.6717	3.18 (1.14-8.89)	0.0275
(ref=Illiterate)	Higher secondary	Unadjusted	2.77 (1.72-4.47)	<0.0001	1.83 (1.43-2.33)	<0.0001	0.55 (0.32-0.95)	0.0333	1.68 (0.62-4.54)	0.3088
		Adjusted	1.75 (1.05-2.92)	0.0326	1.90 (1.46-2.48)	<0.0001	1.05 (0.57-1.94)	0.8804	2.37 (0.77-7.31)	0.1336
	Graduate and above	Unadjusted	5.50 (3.56-8.49)	<0.0001	2.01 (1.60-2.53)	<0.0001	0.19 (0.11-0.32)	<0.0001	1.76 (0.71-4.38)	0.222
		Adjusted	2.57 (1.58-4.19)	0.0001	1.82 (1.40-2.37)	<0.0001	0.55 (0.30-1.01)	0.0546	2.35 (0.80-6.89)	0.1186
	Moderate work	Unadjusted	0.49 (0.39-0.62)	<0.0001	0.63 (0.54-0.74)	<0.0001	1.61 (1.18-2.19)	0.0025	1.37 (0.87-2.15)	0.1759
Occupational type		Adjusted	0.87 (0.66-1.16)	0.3567	0.96 (0.79-1.17)	0.6678	1.18 (0.78-1.78)	0.4261	1.01 (0.57-1.80)	0.9686
(ref=Sedentary work)	Hard work	Unadjusted	0.30 (0.22-0.40)	<0.0001	0.64 (0.54-0.76)	<0.0001	3.37 (2.35-4.83)	<0.0001	0.85 (0.48-1.52)	0.5886
		Adjusted	0.59 (0.41-0.83)	0.0025	0.83 (0.67-1.03)	0.0905	1.57 (0.99-2.47)	0.0555	0.61 (0.30-1.24)	0.1744
Socio-economic strata (ref=Lower)	Upper	Unadjusted	4.52 (3.46-5.91)	<0.0001	1.68 (1.44-1.95)	<0.0001	0.22 (0.16-0.30)	<0.0001	0.94 (0.55-1.59)	0.8082
		Adjusted	2.05 (1.46-2.88)	<0.0001	1.31 (1.08-1.59)	0.0068	0.50 (0.33-0.77)	0.0016	0.80 (0.38-1.65)	0.5383
	Middle	Unadjusted	2.09 (1.59-2.76)	<0.0001	1.03 (0.89-1.19)	0.7239	0.37 (0.27-0.51)	<0.0001	0.87 (0.50-1.51)	0.6181
		Adjusted	1.45 (1.06-1.98)	0.0207	1.00 (0.84-1.18)	0.9729	0.62 (0.42-0.92)	0.0161	0.68 (0.34-1.34)	0.2589
Residential area (ref=rural)	Urban	Unadjusted	2.63 (2.14-3.22)	<0.0001	1.18 (1.05-1.34)	0.0076	0.28 (0.22-0.37)	<0.0001	0.83 (0.55-1.24)	0.3625
		Adjusted	1.68 (1.31-2.16)	<0.0001	1.12 (0.96-1.31)	0.1534	0.49 (0.36-0.68)	<0.0001	0.94 (0.56-1.55)	0.7955

Table 3: Associations of socio-behavioral correlates with diagnosed, undiagnosed and uncontrolled diabetes among study subjects (Nb=18028).

In a previous study in Indonesia, age was found to be an important predictor for undiagnosed diabetes [45]. In the current study it was observed that compared to younger, older subjects were less likely to have undiagnosed diabetes. Higher scope and requirement for testing of sugar levels (routinely or due to other co-morbidities) probability increased the chance of diagnosis of diabetes with advancing age.

Female diabetics were more likely to remain undiagnosed compared to their male counterparts in Malda. Keeping the social position of

women in a poor-resource Indian setting it seemed that comparatively higher self-neglect, poorer awareness and inappropriate perception of own health might be among the potential explanations.

Subjects belonging to relatively higher SES had lower odds of having undiagnosed diabetes compared to poorer subjects. Similar observation was reported from Bangladesh by Islam et al. [46]. Better awareness, affordability and healthcare-seeking among diabetics in higher SES probably resulted in increased probability of being tested

Page 8 of 10

		Type of analyses	Among diabetics: sought healthcare from (ref=Qualified physician from Governmental sector)				
Variables	Categories		Non-qualified pra	ctitioner	Qualified practitioner from private sector		
			OR° (95% CI ^d)	p value	ORº (95% Cl ^d)	p value	
Age group (ref=18-40 years)	41-60 years	Unadjusted	0.47 (0.16-1.36)	0.1626	0.95 (0.44-2.08)	0.9055	
		Adjusted	0.40 (0.13-1.29)	0.1247	0.69 (0.30-1.62)	0.3969	
		Unadjusted	0.43 (0.13-1.42)	0.1654	0.86 (0.37-2.01)	0.7327	
	>oo years	Adjusted	0.27 (0.06-1.16)	0.0775	0.56 (0.20-1.56)	0.2679	
	E I.	Unadjusted	1.21 (0.52-2.84)	0.6638	1.07 (0.61-1.90)	0.8055	
	i emaie	Adjusted	1.51 (0.49-4.65)	0.4713	1.48 (0.68-3.19)	0.3221	
Policion (rof-Hindu)	Muslim	Unadjusted	1.10 (0.44-2.75)	0.8428	0.56 (0.30-1.06)	0.0769	
	iviusiim	Adjusted	0.50 (0.15-1.66)	0.2585	0.41 (0.17-0.95)	0.0381	
Casto (rof- Conoral castos)	Rackward castos	Unadjusted	0.84 (0.36-1.99)	0.6975	0.68 (0.38-1.20)	0.1831	
Caste (rei- General Castes)	Dackward castes	Adjusted	0.64 (0.24-1.70)	0.3706	0.50 (0.26-0.98)	0.0434	
	Nover married	Unadjusted	-	-	-	-	
Marital status (ref=Currently	Never married	Adjusted	-	-	-	-	
married)	Divorced/separated/	Unadjusted	2.35 (0.80-6.87)	0.1194	1.42 (0.64-3.17)	0.389	
	widowed/widower	Adjusted	2.73 (0.65-11.39)	0.1693	1.71 (0.60-4.83)	0.3126	
	Disco	Unadjusted	2.23 (0.36-13.96)	0.3924	1.19 (0.38-3.70)	0.7664	
	Fiindly	Adjusted	3.93 (0.54-28.42)	0.1757	1.47 (0.42-5.12)	0.5434	
	O rana kan	Unadjusted	2.33 (0.41-13.20)	0.3379	2.62 (0.94-7.32)	0.0664	
	Gecondary	Adjusted	5.83 (0.85-40.21)	0.0736	3.80 (1.14-12.66)	0.0298	
Education (ref=Illiterate)	Higher secondary	Unadjusted	2.72 (0.43-17.42)	0.2903	2.18 (0.69-6.88)	0.1848	
		Adjusted	8.05 (0.98-66.35)	0.0528	3.19 (0.82-12.47)	0.0955	
	Graduate and above	Unadjusted	1.91 (0.30-12.26)	0.4955	5.43 (1.83-16.12)	0.0023	
		Adjusted	7.45 (0.84-66.46)	0.0722	8.42 (2.17-32.74)	0.0021	
	Moderate work Hard work	Unadjusted	0.63 (0.23-1.70)	0.3594	0.92 (0.48-1.75)	0.798	
Occupational type		Adjusted	0.48 (0.13-1.83)	0.284	0.97 (0.41-2.33)	0.9499	
(ref=Sedentary work)		Unadjusted	2.21 (0.65-7.54)	0.2062	1.57 (0.61-4.00)	0.3482	
		Adjusted	1.90 (0.41-8.73)	0.4093	3.09 (1.01-9.52)	0.0491	
Socio-economic strata (ref=Lower)	Upper	Unadjusted	0.69 (0.23-2.06)	0.5013	2.35 (1.08-5.09)	0.0307	
		Adjusted	0.91 (0.21-4.04)	0.9035	1.46 (0.51-4.17)	0.4834	
	Middle	Unadjusted	0.56 (0.20-1.57)	0.2715	1.16 (0.56-2.42)	0.6871	
		Adjusted	0.68 (0.19-2.49)	0.5628	0.88 (0.35-2.24)	0.7952	
Residential area (ref=rural=1)	Urban	Unadjusted	0.41 (0.17-0.97)	0.043	0.94 (0.52-1.71)	0.8373	
		Adjusted	0.28 (0.09-0.87))	0.0271	0.51 (0.22-1.15)	0.1045	

Table 4: Associations of socio-behavioral correlates with health-seeking pattern among diabetics (Nb=18028).

and thus being diagnosed.

Being consistent with the prior findings from another Indian study, we also found that urban subjects were less likely to have undiagnosed diabetes with reference to the rural residents [47]. Potential explanations might include relatively better awareness, availability of testing facilities, healthcare access and utilization in urban areas compared to rural.

In the current study it was observed that subjects with secondary level (compared to illiterate) of education were more likely while divorced/

separated/widowed/widowers (compared to currently married) were less likely to have uncontrolled diabetes. For most of the other variables we did not have sufficient power to infer. Higher education seemed to instill improved awareness and thus better glycemic control among diabetics, as was observed previously [3]. Muslim diabetics also seemed more likely to have poorer control on their disease status probably due to different food habits and lifestyle. Awareness and healthcare-seeking could also be considered as other issues.

Data on healthcare-seeking behavior among patients diagnosed with diabetes is limited, more so in developing countries. With increase in educational level, chances of seeking healthcare from qualified private practitioner increased among diabetics of Malda. An evaluation of healthcare-seeking among diabetics in Malaysia revealed an independent association of higher education with treatment-seeking [48]. Education was also associated with healthcare-seeking behavior and compliance among diabetic patients in rural areas of South India [49]. Probably higher education resulted in better knowledge, attitude and practice regarding healthcare-seeking among diabetics in general. Urban diabetics had lower odds of seeking care from non-qualified practitioners while Muslims and backward castes were less likely to seek care from qualified private practitioners. On the other hand diabetics who were hard working had higher odds of seeking care from qualified private practitioner.

This study had certain limitations. Like any other cross-sectional study, causal interpretation of the observed associations is not recommended. Results of our study should also be extrapolated beyond the study sample with caution. Due to the potential vulnerability to temporal ambiguity by design, some of our observations might have suffered from reverse causation. Residual confounding due to variables not included in our analyses could also be an issue. Information bias due to misclassification of self-reported information should always be kept in mind, especially due to the potential for differential recall. Relatively higher participation of the female members during the household survey could also be considered as a limitation.

Despite the aforementioned limitation, it seemed that by virtue of a large, representative population-based sampling and robust analyses our study could generate important insight regarding the burden and correlates of uncontrolled and undiagnosed diabetics in a poor resource setting of our country. Policy makers are likely to be able to design appropriately targeted intervention based on the findings of our result.

Burden of undiagnosed and uncontrolled diabetes was alarmingly high in Malda. Urgent intervention to improve detection, control and prevention of diabetes, targeting young adults, females, rural residents, Muslims, married subjects and those having lower SES seemed to be the need of the hour. Effective utilization of services for early detection of diabetes & initiation of treatment could be achieved through successful awareness generation followed by community participation. Nonqualified practitioners should be adequately trained to motivate and mobilize the individuals and the community to ensure early diagnosis of diabetics, their appropriate treatment and referral. Qualified physicians should also be trained suitably and provided with necessary logistics to motivate individuals regarding healthy lifestyle, appropriate testing, compliance to treatment and regular follow up to ensure prevention of the disease, early diagnosis and appropriate treatment. Extensive community awareness involving community leaders would help in minimizing the burden of Diabetes in these poorresource settings.

Acknowledgments

The study was funded (Grant No. 65/56/2012-13ECD-II) by Indian Council of Medical Research (http://icmr.nic.in/Grants/Grants.html).

Authors are hereby acknowledging their gratitude to Professor Dr. V. I. Mathan (Former Chair, National Institute of Epidemiology, Chennai and Chairman of the Scientific Advisory Committee, NICED, Kolkata) and Dr. Sekhar Chakrabarti (Scientist G and Director in Charge, National Institute of Cholera and Enteric Diseases, Kolkata) for critically reviewing the proposal and the result. The authors also acknowledge the support of Dr. V. M Katoch (Director General, Indian Council of medical Research, Government of India) and Dr. Rashmi Arora, (Scientist G , Indian Council of Medical Research), for providing necessary logistic and

administrative support. The Office of the Chief Medical Officer of Malda provided necessary permission and logistic support for the study. The authors are indebted to Prof (Dr.) Rama Prasad Ray, Dept of Community Medicine, Malda Medical College and Hospital for providing critical inputs and operational help in conducting the study. In addition authors also acknowledge the cooperation of the participants and the project staff.

Page 9 of 10

Conflict of interests

None to declare.

References

- 1. (2014) Regional Factsheets, IDF Diabetic Atlas.
- 2. (2013) International Diabeti Federation, IDF Diabetes Atlas.
- Nagpal J, Bhartia A (2006) Quality of diabetes care in the middle- and highincome group populace: the Delhi Diabetes Community (DEDICOM) survey. Diabetes Care 29: 2341-2348.
- Scheen AJ (2003) Pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes. Acta Clin Belg 58: 335-341.
- 5. (2014) WHO Facts and figures about diabetes.
- 6. (2014) WHO Global Status Report on noncommunicable diseases .
- (2014) Statistics about diabetes, Data from the National Diabetes Statistics Report. American Diabetes Association.
- Whiting DR, Guariguata L, Weil C, Shaw J (2011) IDF diabetes atlas: global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2011 and 2030. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 94: 311-321.
- Hjelm K, Atwine F (2011) Health-care seeking behaviour among persons with diabetes in Uganda: an interview study. BMC Int Health Hum Rights 11: 11.
- Joshi SR, Saboo B, Vadivale M, Dani SI, Mithal A, et al. (2012) Prevalence of diagnosed and undiagnosed diabetes and hypertension in India-results from the Screening India's Twin Epidemic (SITE) study. Diabetes Technol Ther 14: 8-15.
- 11. Mohiuddin SF (2016) The diabetes epidemic of India. Diabetes Health.
- Kaku K (2010) Pathophysiology of Type 2 Diabetes and its treatment policy. JMAJ 53: 41-46.
- 13. Anjana RM, Pradeepa R, Deepa M (2011) Prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes (impaired fasting glucose and/or impaired glucose tolerance) in urban and rural India: phase I results of the Indian Council of Medical Research-INdia DIABetes (ICMR-INDIAB) study. Diabetologia 54: 3022-3027.
- Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H (2004) Global prevalence of diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. Diabetes Care 27: 1047-1053.
- Kanungo S, Bhowmik K, Mahapatra T, Mahapatra S, Bhadra UK, et al. (2015) Perceived morbidity, healthcare-seeking behavior and their determinants in a poor-resource setting: observation from India. PLoS One 10: e0125865.
- Mohan V, Sandeep S, Deepa R, Shah B, Varghese C (2007) Epidemiology of type 2 diabetes: Indian scenario. Indian J Med Res 125: 217-230.
- Chow CK, Raju PK, Raju R, Reddy KS, Cardona M, et al. (2006) The prevalence and management of diabetes in rural India. Diabetes Care 29: 1717-1718.
- 18. (2011) Maldah District : Census 2011 data.
- 19. (2011) Census of India. Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India.
- 20. (2010) Health on the march 2010-2011, State Bureau of Health Intelligence, Govt. of West Bengal.
- 21. (2011) District Profile : Malda District.
- Das S, Maji D, Majumder PP (2005) Prevalence of diabetes in various habitats of West Bengal, India. J Indian Med Assoc 103: 580-584.
- (2013) User Instruction Guide, SD CodeFree[™] Blood Glucose Monitoring System. SD Biocensor INC. Korea.
- (2012) Diagnosis of Diabetes and Prediabetes, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK), Nationl Institute of Health (NIH).
- 25. (2012) Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes, American Diabetes Association.

Page 10 of 10

Diabetes Care 35: S11-S63.

- 26. (2006) WHO Definition and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia, Report of a World Health Organization (WHO)/International Diabetes Federation (IDF) consultation.
- Bharati DR, Pal R, Rekha R, Yamuna TV (2011) Evaluation of the burden of type 2 diabetes mellitus in population of Puducherry, South India. Diabetes Metab Syndr 5: 12-16.
- 28. (1985) SAS. User's guide: statistics 1985.
- 29. Hosmer JDW, Lemeshow S, Sturdivant RX (2013). 3rd edn. Applied logistic regression: Wiley.
- Kwak C, Clayton-Matthews A (2002) Multinomial logistic regression. Nurs Res 51: 404-410.
- 31. Starkweather J, Moske A (2011) Multinomial Logistic Regression.
- Letchuman GR, Nazaimoon WM, Mohamad WB, Chandran LR, Tee GH, et al. (2010) Prevalence of diabetes in the Malaysian National Health Morbidity Survey III 2006. Med J Malaysia 65: 180-186.
- Menon VU, Kumar KV, Gilchrist A, Sugathan TN, Sundaram KR, et al. (2006) Prevalence of known and undetected diabetes and associated risk factors in central Kerala--ADEPS. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 74: 289-294.
- 34. Ramachandran A, Mary S, Sathish CK, Selvam S, Seeli AC, et al. (2008) Population based study of quality of diabetes care in southern India. J Assoc Physicians India 56: 513-516.
- 35. Ramachandran A, Mary S, Yamuna A, Murugesan N, Snehalatha C (2008) High prevalence of diabetes and cardiovascular risk factors associated with urbanization in India. Diabetes Care 31: 893-898.
- 36. Zargar AH, Khan AK, Masoodi SR, Laway BA, Wani AI, et al. (2000) Prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance in the Kashmir Valley of the Indian subcontinent. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 47: 135-146.
- 37. Graber AL, McDaniel H, Sussman C, Wooldridge K (1986) Clinical characteristics of hospitalized diabetic patients. South Med J 79: 281-284.
- Raheja BS, Kapur A, Bhoraskar A, Sathe SR, Jorgensen LN, et al. (2001) DiabCare Asia--India Study: diabetes care in India--current status. J Assoc Physicians India 49: 717-722.

- Ramachandran A, Das A, Joshi S, Yajnik C, Shah S, et al. (2010) Current status of diabetes in India and need for novel therapeutic agents. J Assoc Physicians India 58: 7-9.
- Misra A, Pandey RM, Devi JR, Sharma R, Vikram NK, et al. (2001) High prevalence of diabetes, obesity and dyslipidaemia in urban slum population in northern India. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 25: 1722-1729.
- 41. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Kapur A, Vijay V, Mohan V, et al. (2001) High prevalence of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance in India: National Urban Diabetes Survey. Diabetologia 44: 1094-1101.
- 42. Gupta A, Gupta R, Sarna M, Rastogi S, Gupta VP, et al. (2003) Prevalence of diabetes, impaired fasting glucose and insulin resistance syndrome in an urban Indian population. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 61: 69-76.
- Raghupathy P, Antonisamy B, Fall CH, Geethanjali FS, Leary SD, et al. (2007) High prevalence of glucose intolerance even among young adults in south India. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 77: 269-279.
- 44. Mohan V, Mathur P, Deepa R, Deepa M, Shukla DK, et al. (2008) Urban rural differences in prevalence of self-reported diabetes in India--the WHO-ICMR Indian NCD risk factor surveillance. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 80: 159-168.
- 45. Pramono LA, Setiati S, Soewondo P, Subekti I, Adisasmita A, et al. (2010) Prevalence and predictors of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus in Indonesia. Acta Med Indones 42: 216-223.
- 46. Islam FM, Chakrabarti R, Islam MT (2016) Prediabetes, diagnosed and undiagnosed diabetes, their risk factors and association with knowledge of diabetes in rural Bangladesh: The Bangladesh Population-based Diabetes and Eye Study. J Diabetes 8: 260-268.
- 47. Anjana RM, Ali MK, Pradeepa R, Deepa M, Datta M, et al. (2011) The need for obtaining accurate nationwide estimates of diabetes prevalence in India rationale for a national study on diabetes. Indian J Med Res 133: 369-380.
- Hasan SS, Ahmed SI, Bukhari NI, Loon WC (2009) Use of complementary and alternative medicine among patients with chronic diseases at outpatient clinics. Complement Ther Clin Pract 15: 152-157.
- 49. Srinivas G, Suresh E, Jagadeesan M, Amalraj E, Datta M (2002) Treatmentseeking behavior and compliance of diabetic patients in a rural area of south India. Ann N Y Acad Sci 958: 420-424.