

Research Article

Evaluation of Pebble form Indices as Paleoenvironmental Signatures of the Maastrichtian - Danian Age Using Quartz Clasts in Owerre-Ezukala Area, Southeast of Nigeria

Chidera Ikechukwu V, Okpoko Ephraim I and Egbunike Michael E

Department of Geology, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Nigeria

Abstract

The Maastrichtian - Danian age is well exposed around the Owerre-Ezukala area in the Anambra Basin of southeastern Nigeria. Two geologic units were encountered in the study area; Maastrichtian Ajali Formation and Danian Nsukka Formation. Outcrop sections were located, measured and described in detail following standard field methods. Pebble beds were sampled to evaluate their form indices which served as signatures of the paleoenvironment of deposition. The research methodologies were standard methods used in pebble morphological analysis. A total of one hundred and thirty pebbles were collected from three localities (Olo, Owerre–Ezukala and Ubaha). The following parameters were evaluated; Flatness Index (FI), Oblate-Prolate Index (OPI), Pebble form and Maximum Projection Sphericity (MPS). The mean values of FI, OPI and MPS (44.7%, -0.066, and 0.789 respectively), are suggestive of a fluvially agitated shallow marine environment (estuarine). Bivariate scatter plots of FI versus MPS and MPS versus OPI suggest fluvio-estuarine environment. Plots made on sphericity-form diagram suggest a transitional setting (probably fluvial to estuarine). The sedimentary facies analysis supports a fluvio-estuarine setting for the Maastrichtian – Danian age.

Keywords: Maastrichtian-Danian age; Anambra Basin; Ajali Formation; Nsukka Formation; Pebble morphological analysis

Introduction

The Anambra Basin is said to be of Campano-Maastrichtian age (Figure 1) [1-8]. The paleoenvironment of the Maastrichtian Ajali Formation has been considered to be a fluvial set-up [9-11], while the Danian Nsukka Formation has been assigned a deltaic environment of deposition [12,13]. The net paleoenvironmental situation during the Maastrichtian - Danian times within the Anambra Basin have been discussed by researchers and this piece of work is an added literature in evaluating the net paleoenvironmental settings within the Maastrichtian-Danian times in the basin. Geological field studies were carried out in Owerre-Ezukala, Nkwuli, Olo, Umuogele, and Isuochi areas of southeastern Nigeria. Lithologic sections were located and logged following the standard methods for geological field studies. The observed lithologies consist mainly of unconsolidated sands usually friable, at times pebbly intervals and ferruginized representing the Maastrichtian (Ajali Formation) and an alternating sequence of consolidated sands, silts, shale and occasionally pebbly representing the Danian (Nsukka Formation). Well-rounded and unbroken pebbles were picked from about three locations (Olo, Owerre-Ezukala and Nkwuli), for pebble morphological analysis. The study area lies between Longitudes 07° 151 E and 07° 271 E and Latitudes 06° 001 N and 06° 051 N (Figure 2) and covers a total surface area of 56 Km². This paper reports the results of the study of pebbles from these locations as obtained from pebble form indices and is herein used to evaluate the paleoenvironment of deposition of the Maastrichtian - Danian age around the Owerre-Ezukala area in Anambra Basin of southeastern Nigeria.

Physiography and Drainage

The Nsukka-Okigwe cuesta is the major landform in the study area. The topographic character of the area is produced mainly by the differential weathering and erosion arising from differences in hardness, composition and permeability of the underlying rocks. The Ajali Formation forms a westward dip slope of the cuesta. The Nsukka Formation has undulating terrain characterized by a network of valleys and sharp-crested hills (Figure 3). River, springs and streams drain the study area. Major surface water includes Ngiridi stream, Isi-imo stream, Iyinwopia spring, Ogbu-okwa spring, and Mamu River. The volume of water changes with the seasons with rise and fall in water level during the rainy and dry seasons respectively. Most of the streams in the study area flow in a northeast, southeast, southwest direction. Numerous springs found in the area develop between the permeable sandstone and impermeable clay beds. The Mamu River is the major water body that define the drainage system; a dendritic pattern.

Climate and Vegetation

The study area falls within the Humid Tropical Belt of the World. The wet season begins in April and lasts up to September while the dry season spans from October to March. The annual rainfall varies between 1500 mm and 2000 mm with the driest month recording less than 30 mm of rainfall. The temperature values average 27°C. The relative humidity is usually higher in the rainy season and lower in the dry season. The vegetation is an evergreen rainforest type. The heavy rainforest belt is characterized by growth of tall trees that have luxuriant foliage. Amidst tall trees are thick undergrowths that include climbers and epiphytes forming complex tangles that hinder movement. Oil palm trees dominate the landscape. Other species found are Iroko, Mahogany, Obeche and Oil bean. The soil is fertile for agriculture.

Geologic Setting

The study area falls within the Anambra Basin. The basin is a

*Corresponding author: Chidera Ikechukwu V., Department of Geology, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojuku University, Nigeria, Tel: 2348166094982; E-mail: mezechidex.tk@gmail.com

Received November 04, 2018; Accepted January 16, 2019; Published January 24, 2019

Citation: Chidera Ikechukwu V, Okpoko Ephraim I, Egbunike Michael E (2019) Evaluation of Pebble form Indices as Paleoenvironmental Signatures of the Maastrichtian - Danian Age Using Quartz Clasts in Owerre-Ezukala Area, Southeast of Nigeria. J Earth Sci Clim Change 10: 504. doi: 10.4172/2157-7617.1000504

Copyright: © 2019 Chidera Ikechukwu V, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Page 2 of 13





Cretaceous depocenter that received Campanian to Tertiary sediments [6,11]. The Anambra Basin results from the Santonian upliftment of the Abakaliki region, of the Benue Trough (Figure 4). The Anambra Basin is roughly triangular in shape, covering an area of about 40,000 Km². The Eocene age was characterized by regressive phase that led to deposition of Ameki Group; Nanka Sands and Ameki Formation [14,15]. The Ameki Group is overlain by the Oligocene Ogwashi-Asaba Formation

[16]. The Benin Formation (Miocene to Recent) progrades unto the surface of the Anambra Basin [17].

Facies Analysis

In this study, five outcrops were located and logged systematically. Two geologic units were encountered (Figure 5). Unit I represent the





Page 4 of 13





Maastrichtian Ajali Formation while the Unit II represents the Danian Nsukka Formation.

Geologic Unit I

The unit I have a high incidence of quartz and feldspar pebbles. It consist of cross bedded sandstone (Figure 6a), friable, white-yellow in color, small degree of ferruginization, fine – medium grained, occasionally coarse grained. A coarsening upward sequence is observed (Figure 6b). The cobbles are deposited on top of the pebbles, and the pebbles on top of fine-grained sandstone.

Geologic Unit II

This unit consists of alternating series of consolidated sandstones intercalated with siltstones. They occur sometimes as boulders. The sandstone is usually fine – medium grained occasionally coarse – pebbly at some places (Figure 6c). It is poorly sorted. The sandstone and

Page 5 of 13





siltstones are red - brown due to ferruginization. The unit also consist of silty shale and clays, which are pink - white (Figure 6d), occasionally dark in color (Figure 6e).

Methodology Adopted

Pebbles were picked from the study area in large numbers and a total number of hundred and thirty (130) pebbles were selected. In selecting the pebbles, homogenous pebbles were picked avoiding broken pebbles with overgrowth. Standard sized pebbles were selected for measurements, following the grain size scale for pebbles (Table 1). The pebbles were measured with Vernier calipers to obtain the values of the long, intermediate and the short axis as suggested by Dobkins and Folk [18]. During sampling, all pebbles with distinct fresh breaks, obvious primary elongation or flatness, and those that show strong

Variables	Mm	Phi	Class Term	
	40.04			
	48 - 64	> - 5.0	_	
Mean	24 – 32	> - 4.5	Pebble	
	16 – 24	> - 4.0		
	12 – 16	> - 3.5		
	8 – 12	> - 3.0	Cronula	
	6 – 8	> - 2.5	Granule	
	4 - 6	> - 2.0		

Mean of the morphometric indices were computed using some known statistical formulae as given; Mean = $\Sigma x/n$

Table 1: Grain size scales for pebbles (Modified from [20]).

Page 6 of 13

L	I	S	S/L	FI	L-I/L-S	OPI	MPS	Form
3.61	2.97	0.98	0.271468	27.14681	0.243346	-0.945	0.451	Р
3.43	2.11	1.31	0.381924	38,19242	0.622642	-0.321	0.622	СВ
4.18	2.82	1.42	0.339713	33.97129	0.492754	-0.021	0.558	В
4.37	3.16	1.51	0.345538	34.55378	0.423077	-0.223	0.552	В
3.18	2.81	1.04	0.327044	32.7044	0.172897	-1.00	0.498	Р
3.91	1.81	1.11	0.283887	28.38875	0.75	0.88	0.562	CE
3.07	2.13	1.41	0.459283	45.92834	0.566265	0.144	0.675	СВ
3.85	2.53	1.16	0.301299	30.12987	0.490706	-0.0299	0.514	В
3.84	2.62	1.31	0.341146	34.11458	0.482213	0.053	0.558	СВ
2 95	2.46	1 88	0.637288	63 72881	0 457944	-0.066	0 789	C
3 35	2.84	0.78	0.232836	23 28358	0 198444	-1 296	0.404	VP
3.68	1.96	1 11	0.30163	30 16304	0.669261	0.562	0.558	CE
2 76	2 15	0.77	0.278986	27 89855	0.306533	-0.692	0.468	P
3.66	2.36	1 17	0.319672	31 96721	0.522088	0.069	0.544	P
3.05	2.35	1.46	0.478689	47.86885	0.440252	-0.125	0.670	СВ
2 79	2 15	1 11	0 397849	39 78495	0 380952	0 152	0 593	CB
2.75	2.10	1.11	0.097049	40.85366	0.561856	0.102	0.535	CB
3.20	2.19	1.34	0.400337	40.0000	0.301650	-0.302	0.033	
2.13	2.37	2.49	0.309770	70 65527	0.397900	0.152	0.369	Б С
2.01	3.04	2.40	0.700555	21.01045	0.450511	-0.202	0.634	
2.07	2.17	0.69	0.310105	31.01045	0.353535	-0.062	0.506	B
2.00	2.27	1.10	0.317606	45 95700	0.554217	-0.471	0.549	D CE
3.30	2.14	1.55	0.45656	40.00799	0.077590	0.109	0.095	
3.09	1.00	1.22	0.210020	21.00203	0.512397	0.005	0.432	D CE
2.85	1.04	1.22	0.37103	37 10208	0.000442	0.005	0.035	CE
2.03	1.19	1.00	0.37 193	31.19290	0.927374	0.495	0.095	CE
4.15	1.02	0.01	0.316072	46.00722	0.023322	1.140	0.010	E
2.02	2.25	1.39	0.409072	40.90722	0.790117	0.137	0.727	E
2.92	1.23	1.56	0.472003	71 / 2857	0.433003	-0.137	0.003	
2.17	1.07	1.00	0.714200	47 47092	0.403071	-0.022	0.041	E
2.07	1.00	1.22	0.474708	62 44541	0.323920	0.055	0.000	
2.29	1.07	0.97	0.357934	35 70336	0.400372	-0.019	0.764	B
3.1/	2.25	1.27	0.00/059	40 44586	0.475036	-0.059	0.507	B
3.08	1.82	1.55	0.503247	50 32468	0.473530	-0.009	0.756	E
2.53	1.02	0.62	0.245059	24 50593	0.47644	-0.093	0.750	VB
3.72	2.54	1.43	0.384409	38 44086	0.515284	0.039	0.400	B
3.47	1.95	1.49	0.004405	42 93948	0.767677	0.623	0.602	VE
3 32	1.69	1.43	0.472892	47 28916	0.931429	0.020	0.052	F
3 53	1.60	1.07	0.351275	35 12748	0.812227	0.889	0.642	VE
2 56	2 07	1 14	0 445313	44 53125	0.34507	-0.348	0.629	VP
3.40	1 72	1.28	0.366762	36 67622	0.800005	0.433	0.651	VE
5.49	1.72	1.20	0.300702	30.07022	0.000905	0.433	0.031	VL
2.54	1.74	1.53	0.602362	60.23622	0.792079	0.485	0.811	CE
3.19	2.28	1.91	0.598746	59.87461	0.710938	0.352	0.796	CE
2.62	1.89	1.59	0.60687	60.68702	0.708738	0.343	0.801	CE
3.35	1.84	1.06	0.316418	31.64179	0.659389	0.503	0.570	VE
2.68	1.74	1 02	0.380597	38.0597	0.566265	0.173	0.609	VB
2 34	1.62	0.93	0.397436	39 74359	0.510638	0.027	0.614	VR
2.07	1.02	0.30	0.007400	04.00500	0.010000	0.021	0.017	vD
3.38	2.08	0.71	0.210059	21.00592	0.486891	-0.062	0.419	VB
2.25	1.76	1.05	0.466667	46.66667	0.408333	-0.197	0.655	В
2.25	1.24	0.89	0.395556	39.55556	0.742647	-0.015	0.660	VE

Table 2: Results of pebble form indices for Location 1.

lithologic in homogeneities were discarded to assure true values of the desired parameters [19]. The pebbles were washed thoroughly before the analysis and labeled according to type-localities and mixed up afterwards. Pebble shape is the function of three mutually related properties: Form, Roundness, Surface texture. Pebble form describes the relationship between long (L), intermediate (I), and the short (S) axes of the pebble. The values of the long, intermediate and short axes were loaded into the excel spreadsheet. The following formulae were imputed and used in computing the form indices for the 130 pebbles (Tables 2-4).

• Maximum Projection Sphericity (MPS)

Page 7 of 13

L	<u> </u>	S	S/L	FI	L-I/L-S	OPI	MPS	Forn
3.24	2.13	1.31	0.404321	40.4321	0.57513	0.186	0.631	В
2.12	1.27	0.78	0.367925	36.79245	0.634328	0.364	`0.612	В
2.84	1.88	1.37	0.482394	48.23944	0.653061	0.317	0.708	E
2.66	1.64	1.18	0.443609	44.3609	0.689189	0.427	0.686	VE
2.59	1.86	1.11	0.428571	42.85714	0.493243	-0.016	0.637	В
2.63	1.64	1.14	0.43346	43.34601	0.66443	0.379	0.673	E
2.29	1.62	1.21	0.528384	52.83843	0.62037	0.227	0.735	В
2.23	1.67	1.48	0.663677	66.36771	0.746667	0.372	0.839	CE
1.85	1.43	0.79	0.427027	42.7027	0.396226	-0.244	0.671	В
4.5	2.66	2.42	0.537778	53.77778	0.884615	0.715	0.789	CE
3.72	2.64	1.37	0.36828	36.82796	0.459574	-0.111	0.579	В
2.48	1.78	0.9	0.362903	36.29032	0.443038	-0.157	0.571	В
3.02	2.72	2.16	0.715232	71.52318	0.348837	-0.213	0.829	CF
2.36	1.77	0.91	0.385593	38.55932	0.406897	-0.242	0.586	В
2.18	1.78	0.96	0.440367	44.0367	0.327869	-0.390	0.614	Р
2.47	1.93	1.42	0.574899	57.48988	0.514286	0.024	0.823	CB
2 74	1 47	0.93	0 339416	33 94161	0 701657	0.593	0.601	VE
2.33	1.58	0.86	0.369099	36 90987	0.510204	0.027	0.558	B
2.36	1 79	1.34	0.567797	56 77966	0.558824	0.102	0 754	CF
2.36	13	0.97	0.411017	41 10169	0.76259	0.639	0.677	F
2.00	1.0	0.72	0.315789	31 57895	0.346154	-0.487	0.510	
1 02	1.74	0.82	0.427083	42 70833	0.554545	0.120	0.647	B
2.48	1.01	1.36	0.427000	54 93971	0.534343	0.125	0.743	B
1.05	1.65	1.06	0.54350	54.35807	0.380337	0.140	0.743	B
1.55	1.30	0.64	0.34339	25 75410	0.409606	-0.114	0.719	D
1.79	1.32	0.64	0.357542	35.75419	0.406090	-0.256	0.666	В
2.39	1.07	1.06	0.451665	45.10020	0.049010	0.109	0.000	В
2.30	2.11	1.27	0.538136	53.81350	0.229358	-0.504	0.689	P
2.38	1.71	0.93	0.390756	39.07563	0.462069	-0.097	0.599	B
2.75	1.52	0.88	0.32	32	0.657754	0.494	0.573	VB
2.13	1.21	0.91	0.42723	42.723	0.754098	0.595	0.687	E
2.31	1.69	1.36	0.588745	58.87446	0.652632	0.259	0.781	CE
2.15	1.76	1.02	0.474419	47.44186	0.345133	-0.327	0.653	P
2.28	1.87	1.12	0.491228	49.12281	0.353448	-0.299	0.667	В
3.73	2.39	1.96	0.525469	52.54692	0.757062	0.489	0.757	CE
1.93	1.74	1.25	0.647668	64.76684	0.279412	0.0341	0.776	CF
2.76	2.27	1.45	0.525362	52.53623	0.374046	-0.24	0.697	В
2.12	1.93	1.06	0.5	50	0.179245	-0.642	0.652	Р
3.27	3.05	2.19	0.669725	66.97248	0.203704	-0.442	0.785	CF
2.06	1.49	1.07	0.519417	51.94175	0.575758	0.145	0.772	P
1.97	1.59	0.96	0.48731	48.73096	0.376238	-0.253	0.667	В
2.21	2.17	1.76	0.79638	79.63801	0.088889	0.448	0.865	P
3.36	1.98	1.04	0.309524	30.95238	0.594828	0.306	0.549	С
3.67	2.14	1.49	0.405995	40.59946	0.701835	0.497	0.659	В
2.78	2.01	1.48	0.532374	53.23741	0.592308	0.173	0.734	E
2.97	2.09	0.93	0.313131	31.31313	0.431373	-0.219	0.521	CE
3.08	2.14	1.63	0.529221	52.92208	0.648276	0.280	0.740	VB
2.77	1.94	1.68	0.606498	60.64982	0.761468	0.431	0.808	В
3.44	2.52	1.22	0.354651	35.46512	0.414414	-0.241	0.559	CE
2.78	2.1	1.36	0.489209	48.92086	0.478873	-0.043	0.684	В
2.6	1.78	0.96	0.369231	36.92308	0.5	0.0	0.587	В

Table 3: Results of pebble form indices for Location 2.

 $MPS = (S^2 / LI)^{1/3}$ $Or \sqrt[3]{\frac{S^2}{L \times I}}$

• Oblate Prolate Index (OPI)

$$OPI = \frac{[L-1/L-S)-0.5]}{[S/L]}$$
$$FI = \frac{S}{L} \times 100$$

Page 8 of 13

L	I	S	S/L	(L-S)/(L-S)	MPS	OPI	FI	Form
2.06	2.05	1.15	0.56	0.01	0.25	- 8.75	56	CP
1.92	1.52	0.71	0.37	0.33	0.12	- 4.59	37	В
1.97	1.81	0.61	0.31	0.12	0.20	- 12.26	31	Р
1.99	1.84	0.76	0.38	0.12	0.26	-10.00	38	Р
2.47	2.25	0.92	0.37	0.14	0.17	- 9.73	37	Р
1.82	1.54	0.84	0.46	0.29	0.32	- 4.57	46	Р
2.04	1.81	0.50	0.25	0.15	0.17	- 14.00	25	VP
2.02	1.93	1.03	0.51	0.10	0.26	- 7.84	51	CP
2.53	2.45	0.93	0.37	0.05	0.15	- 12.16	37	Р
1.72	1.65	1.26	0.73	0.15	0.41	- 4.79	73	CP
2.28	2.03	1.07	0.47	0.21	0.23	- 6.17	47	Р
1.83	1.62	0.81	0.44	0.21	0.29	- 6.59	44	Р
2.01	1.72	1.25	0.62	0.38	0.34	- 1.94	62	CB
1.82	1.51	0.90	0.49	0.34	0.34	- 3.27	49	В
2.20	2.04	0.94	0.43	0.13	0.21	- 8.60	43	Р
2.06	1.83	0.95	0.46	0.21	0.27	- 6.30	46	Р
2.38	2.15	0.62	0.26	0.13	0.14	- 14.23	26	VP
2.14	2.91	0.85	0.40	0.18	0.22	- 6.00	40	Р
1.96	1.76	1.01	0.52	0.21	0.29	- 5.58	52	CP
2.17	2.08	0.51	0.24	0.05	0.14	- 16.75	24	VP
1.74	1.6	1.01	0.58	0.19	0.36	- 5,34	58	CP
2.21	2.05	1.05	0.48	0.14	0.23	- 7.50	48	Р
1.64	1,50	0.98	0.60	0.21	0.40	- 4.83	60	CP
2.16	1.91	1.09	0.50	0.23	0.26	- 5.40	50	Р
2.15	1.96	0.87	0.40	0.15	0.22	- 8.75	40	Р
2.39	2.08	1.25	0.52	0.27	0.23	- 4.42	52	CP
2.10	1.82	0.74	0.35	0.21	0.21	- 8.29	35	Р
2.03	1.80	0.54	0.27	0.15	0.18	- 10.96	27	VP
1.98	1.82	1.11	0.56	0.18	0.30	- 5.71	56	CP
2.12	2.01	1.05	0.50	0.10	0.24	- 8.00	50	Р

 Table 4: Results of pebble form indices for Location 3.

Indices	Fluvial	Shallow marine	References	
MPS	> 0.65	< 0.65	[18]	
OPI	>-1.5	<-1.5	[18]	
FI	> 45%	< 45%	[22]	

Table 5: Critical values of form indices for fluvial and shallow marine processes

Variables	Pebble	MPS	OPI	FI
Value	1-130	0.789	-0.066	44.7%
Interpretation		Fluvial	Shallow marine	Shallow marine



Page 9 of 13







Page 10 of 13







Page 11 of 13









• Flatness Index (FI)

Results and Discussion

Pebble form indices

Several pebble morphometric studies have shown that pebble form indices are a very useful indicator of the depositional environments. Bivariate plots of maximum projection sphericity against oblate prolate index and coefficient of flatness against maximum projection sphericity [18-20] were plotted to discriminate fluvial and beach processes. The parameters were also plotted on the sphericity form diagram to determine the form classes as described by Sneed and Folk [21]. The plots of co-efficient of flatness against sphericity and the plots of sphericity against oblate-prolate index (Figures 7a-7f) indicate that the pebbles are deposited in fluvial but predominantly beach environment. The sphericity form diagrams (Figures 8a-8c), showed that most of the pebbles fall within the CP (compact platy), P (platy), E (elongate), B (bladed), and CB (compact bladed) and this suggests that the pebbles of the study area were largely shaped by beach and fluvial action, but a predominance of the bladed form suggests a transition from fluvial to beach environment. Tables 5 and 6 showed mean value of maximum projection sphericity is (0.789), Oblate-prolate index (-0.066) and coefficient of flatness (44.7%) in correlation with Table 5 showed that they were deposited in fluvially agitated shallow marine environment (Estuarine) [22].

Facies indication

The sedimentary structures and facies association of the Maastrichtian to Danian age in the area are suggestive of deposition in a fluvially agitated estuarine environment with tidal crosscuts. The Unit I which consist of cross bedded friable sandstone, white - yellow in color, ferruginized, medium to fine grained and occasionally pebbly to cobbly intervals suggest fluvial deposition. The Unit II consisting of intercalation of medium to coarse grained, locally pebbly, reddish brown, poorly sorted sandstone and siltstone clays suggest a tidal-estuarine deposition. The deposition of clay to shale and to sandstone within the unit, indicate a coarsening upwards sequence which suggest shoreface deposition.

Conclusion

The flatness index, oblate-prolate index and maximum projection

sphericity mean values for the pebbles of Maastrichtian to Danian age in the study area are indicative of fluvio-estuarine environment. Plots of sphericity against oblate-prolate index and coefficient of flatness (flatness index) against sphericity indicate a fluvio-estuarine origin for the pebbles. The sphericity form diagram showed that the pebbles lie within a transitional setting (probably fluvial to estuarine environment). The sedimentary facies analysis suggests a fluvially agitated estuarine system characterized by shoreface, tidal-estuarine, and fluvial deposits.

References

- Murat RC (1972) Stratigraphy and paleogeography of the cretaceous and lower tertiary in Southern Nigeria. Ibadan University Press, Ibadan Nigeria. pp: 251-266.
- Nwachukwu SO (1972) The tectonic evolution of southern portion of Benue Trough. Nigerian Geological Magazine 109: 411-419.
- Kogbe CA (1976) Paleographic history of Nigeria from Albian times. In: Geology of Nigeria, Kogbe, C.A. (Ed.). Elizabethan Publishers, Lagos Nigeria pp: 237-252.
- Petters SW (1978) Stratigraphic evolution of the Benue Trough and its implication for the Upper Cretaceous paleogeography. West African J Geol 86: 311-322.
- Hoque M, Nwajide CS (1985) Tectono-sedimentogical evolution of elongate intracratonic basin (aulacogen): The case of the Benue Trough of Nigeria. Journal of Mining Geology 21: 19-26.
- Nwajide CS (1990) Cretaceous sedimentation and paleogeography of the Central Benue Trough. In: Ofoegbu, C.O. (Ed). The Benue Trough structural and evolution. International monograph series, Braunschweigh pp: 19-38.
- Obi GC, Okagbue CO, Nwajide CS (2001) Evolution of the Enugu Cuesta: A tectonically driven erosional process. Global Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences 7: 321-330.
- Nwajide CS (2013) Geology of Nigeria's sedimentary basins. CSS Bookshop Limited, Lagos Nigeria pp: 565.
- Hoque M (1976) Significance of textural and petrographic attributes of several cretaceous sandstone. Southeastern Nigeria. J Geol Soc India 17: 514-521.
- Hoque M, Ezepue MC (1977) Petrology and paleogeography of the Ajali Sandstone. J Geol Min Res 14: 16-22.
- Obi GC (2000) Depositional model for the Campanian-Maastrichtian Anambra Basin, Southeastern, Nigeria. PhD Thesis, Department of Geology, University of Nigeria, Nsukka. p: 286.
- 12. Ekwueme BN (1985) The chemical composition and industrial quality of limestone, southeastern Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Mining and Geology 22: 51-56.
- 13. Ephraim BE, Odumodu CFR (2015) Petrological and geochemical analysis with

Page 13 of 13

insights into the uses of the Upper Maastrichtian-Paleocene limestone deposits in parts of Southeastern Nigeria. Comminicacoes Geologicas 10: 1-12.

- 14. Balogun OY (2009) Senior Secondary Atlas (2nd edn). Lagos: Longman Nigeria Plc. p: 123.
- 15. Federal Surveys of Nigeria (1981) Okigwe 312 Sheet. (2nd edn). Lagos, Nigeria.
- 16. Reyment RA (1965) Aspects of the geology of Nigeria. Ibadan University Press, Nigeria. p: 145.
- Odumodu CFR (2014) Pebble form indices as signatures of the depositional environment of the Benin Formation along Atamiri River, Uli, South-eastern Nigeria. Int J Sci Res 3: 23-32.
- Dobkins JE, Folk RL (1970) Shape development of Tahiti Nui J Sed Petrol 40: 1167-1203.
- 19. Sames CW (1966) Morphometric data of some recent pebbles associations and their application to ancient deposits. J Sed Petrol 36: 126-142.
- 20. Tucker ME (1981) Sedimentary petrology: An introduction. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, USA. pp: 350.
- Sneed ED, Folk RL (1958) Pebbles in the lower Colorado River, Texas; a study of particle morphogenesis. J Geol Soc 66: 114-150.
- Stratten T (1974) Notes on the application of shape parameters to differentiate between beach and river deposits in southern Africa. Trans GeoL Soc S Afr 77: 59-64.