

False Positives Bladder Scan in Anuric Patient with Ascites: A Case Report

Amro Alamassi*, S Ali, R Rampal

Department of General Surgery Department, Airedale General Hospital, Keighley, West Yorkshire, United Kingdom

Introduction

Urinary retention is one of the common presentations that we often encounter in the Emergency Department. Diagnosis is based on history, clinical examination and bladder scan findings. Sometimes the clinical examination is unreliable, especially in patients with high BMI, ascites or antisera. This makes the diagnosis uncertain and the bladder scan readings should be interpreted with caution. Thus, radiological imaging might be required before planning further intervention.

Case presentation

An 83 year old woman was admitted under the medical team for sepsis secondary to soft tissue infection. She had multiple comorbidities including hypertension, diabetes, Ischemic heart disease and morbid obesity with a BMI of 58. On assessment she was dyspnoeic, orthopaedic, delirious and not passing urine. Lab results showed an AKI. Initial Bladder scan showed 900 cc. five uneventful attempts of urinary catheter insertion by the medical team, but still, no urine output and the bladder scan showing positive readings. Surgical team on call was involved for the possibility of inserting a Supra public catheter. On review by surgeons, the urinary catheter was in place, no evidence of blood per urethra and the bladder scan showed a reading of around 900 cc. The question was whether the patient has an obstructive uropathy or anuria from other causes. This was based on patient's significantly high BMI, background history, uneventful catheterization and persistent reading on bladder scan. False reading on bladder scan was suspected. Out of hours CTKUB was done as no US scan services was available at that time. It showed the catheter was in situ, an empty bladder, no back pressure, atrophic kidneys and a significant amount of ascites. The patient was then managed medically.

Discussion

Bladder scan is a non-invasive portable 3D ultrasound device that measures bladder to help assess urinary retention and post void residual bladder volume. It uses algorithms to derive an estimate of bladder volume from the ultrasound data displayed topographically. It does not need to be operated by a sonographer. Three studies compared the accuracy of the Bladder Scan with catheterization and real time ultrasound. One study reported poor accuracy, and the other two studies reported moderate to high accuracy [1].

The diagnosis of urinary retention is based on clinical and bladder scan findings. Clinical findings could be hard to elicit in obese patients and in patients with ascites or subcutaneous edema. Bladder scan is sensitive for detecting any fluid in the abdomen not specifically urine in the bladder. This should always be considered in patients at high risk of having ascites. This would lead to a false reading on the bladder scan [2]. In addition to this, large ovarian and renal cysts were also reported to give a false positive reading on bladder scans with a rate of 9%. Insertion of supra pubic catheter in these patients could lead to serious complications such as bowel injury and introducing infection [2]. In fact, the colour of the ascitic fluid could be misleadingly reassuring. Hence, the need for imaging to confirm or rule out urinary retention.

According to the British Association of Urological Surgeons' suprapubic catheter practice guidelines, In the patient with a readily

palpable bladder and no history of lower abdominal surgery, it is considered reasonable to insert a SPC using a closed technique providing that urine can be easily aspirated from the bladder using a needle passed along the planned catheter track [3]. While in the patient whom there is no history of lower abdominal surgery but where the distended (over 300 mL) bladder cannot be palpated because of obesity, it is considered that blind insertion should not be undertaken. In such circumstances, ultrasonography may be used to identify the distended bladder or cystoscopy may be used to ensure that an aspirating needle on the planned catheter track is entering the bladder at an appropriate point on the anterior bladder wall [4, 5].

The most sensible approach in this case is to flush the non-draining catheter that was inserted easily and uneventfully, rule out technical factors first, take one step back and consider alternative diagnosis [5]. Finally, formal imaging should always be considered to confirm or to look for other causes.

In summary, Bladder Scan is a helpful device in deciding whether to put a urinary catcher or not. Its accuracy to help guide SPC insertion remains questionable.

References

1. Park YH, Ku JH, Oh SJ (2011) Accuracy of post-void residual urine volume measurement using a portable ultrasound bladder scanner with real-time pre-scan imaging. *Neurourol Urodyn* 30: 335- 338.
2. Morrell GA (2010) False reading of retained urine from a bladder scan. *Urol Nurs* 30: 147- 148.
3. Kuppusamy S, Gillatt D (2011) Managing patients with acute urinary retention. *Practitioner* 255: 21-23.
4. Nazarko L (2010) Evidence-based catheter management – an update. *British J Nursing* 19: 948-953.
5. Buntsma D, Stock A, Bevan C (2012) Success rate of Bladder Scan-assisted suprapubic aspiration. *Emer Medi Austr* 24: 647-651.

*Corresponding author: Amro Alamassi, Department of General Surgery Department, Airedale General Hospital, Keighley, West Yorkshire, United Kingdom, E-mail: amroalamassi@gmail.com

Received: 21-Feb-2022, Manuscript No. jmis-20-19500; Editor assigned: 24-Feb-2022, PreQC No. jmis-20-19500 (PQ); Reviewed: 12-Mar-2022, QC No. jmis-20-19500; Revised: 18-Mar-2022, Manuscript No. jmis-20-19500 (R); Published: 28-Mar-2022, DOI: 10.4172/jmis.1000127

Citation: Alamassi S, Ali S, Rampal R (2022) False Positives Bladder Scan in Anuric Patient with Ascites: A Case Report. *J Med Imp Surg* 7: 127.

Copyright: © 2022 Alamassi S, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.