Prevalence of ABO and Rhesus Blood Groups in Northern India
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Abstract

Background: The ABO blood group system was the first human blood group system to be discovered by Landsteiner in 1900. The second type of blood group is the rhesus system. There are only two Rh phenotype such as Rh positive and Rh negative, depending on whether Rh antigen is present on the red cell or not. The frequency of ABO and Rh phenotypes in different populations has been extensively studied. The present study was done to assess the prevalence of blood groups in different categories of Northern India and to compare our results with other studies conducted in India and else where in the world and its multipurpose future utilities for the health planners.

Methods: A retrospective study was carried out on 23,320 blood donors during a period of one year from 1st January to 31st December 2011. The Blood samples were obtained by standard procedures of venupuncture and subjected to determination of ABO and Rhesus blood group using antiseria by combined slide and test tube method. Each sample of donors was tested for ABO and Rhesus status.

Results: The blood group B (34.84%) was the commonest group prevalent in donors followed by group O (29.75%), A (21.50%) and AB (13.91%). AB negative was not seen in female donors.

Conclusion: The commonest ABO blood group was group B in Northern India with Rh negativity at only 4.55%.
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Introduction

The ABO blood group system was the first human blood group system to be discovered by Landsteiner in 1900. The ABO blood group system is the only system in which antibodies are consistently and predictably present in the serum of normal individuals whose red cells lack the antigens [1]. Apart from differences amongst species, differences between the individuals of the same species have also been demonstrated. During the World wars, it was discovered for the first time that the frequency of ABO and Rhesus blood groups was different in persons native to different parts of the world. Attempts have been made to classify the racial groups of mankind according to the incidence of known blood groups [2]. The second type of blood group is the rhesus system. There are only two Rh phenotype such as Rh positive and Rh negative, depending on whether Rh antigen is present on the red cell or not. Determination of ABO blood groups is done by detecting A and B antigens. In addition, known red cells are used to detect anti-A and anti-B in the serum, by a process called ‘reverse’ grouping. ABO and Rh gene phenotypes vary widely across races and geographical boundaries [3-5] despite the fact that the antigens involved are stable throughout life. The resultant polymorphism remains important in population genetic studies, estimating the availability of compatible blood, evaluating the probability of hemolytic disease in the newborn, resolving disputes in paternity/maternity and for forensic purposes [6,7]. The frequency of ABO and Rh phenotypes in different populations has been extensively studied. Different blood groups have been shown to be particularly associated with different diseases as well [8,9]. Rh system emerged as second most important blood group system due to hemolytic disease of newborn and its importance in RhD negative individuals in subsequent transfusions once they develop Rh antibodies [1]. The D antigen, after A and B, is the most important red cell antigen in transfusion practice. Unlike the situation with A and B, persons whose red cell lacks the D antigen do not regularly have anti D in their serum. Blood bank usually has a problem of ever-changing stock position and it being very difficult to predict the prevalence of a particular blood group at a particular time. The present study was done to assess the prevalence of blood groups in different categories of Northern India and to compare our results with other studies conducted in India and elsewhere in the world and its multipurpose future utilities for the health planners.

Material and Methods

A retrospective study was carried out on 23,320 blood donors (male and female) during a period of one year from 1st January to 31st December 2011 in the State Blood Bank, Department of Transfusion Medicine, King George’s Medical University, Lucknow, India. The blood donors were selected after taking a detailed history and a complete examination regarding their eligibility criteria for blood donation. Donor’s name, age, sex, occupation, caste, complete postal address and contact number was taken. Donors were deferred or accepted according to their medical history regarding chronic or acute diseases. Blood was taken from a donor only after fulfilling all the eligibility criteria involved are stable throughout life. The resultant polymorphism remains important in population genetic studies, estimating the availability of compatible blood, evaluating the probability of hemolytic disease in the newborn, resolving disputes in paternity/maternity and for forensic purposes [6,7]. The frequency of ABO and Rh phenotypes in different populations has been extensively studied. Different blood groups have been shown to be particularly associated with different diseases as well [8,9]. Rh system emerged as second most important blood group system due to hemolytic disease of newborn and its importance in RhD negative individuals in subsequent transfusions once they develop Rh antibodies [1]. The D antigen, after A and B, is the most important red cell antigen in transfusion practice. Unlike the situation with A and B, persons whose red cell lacks the D antigen do not regularly have anti D in their serum. Blood bank usually has a problem of ever-changing stock position and it being very difficult to predict the prevalence of a particular blood group at a particular time. The present study was done to assess the prevalence of blood groups in different categories of Northern India and to compare our results with other studies conducted in India and elsewhere in the world and its multipurpose future utilities for the health planners.

Material and Methods

A retrospective study was carried out on 23,320 blood donors (male and female) during a period of one year from 1st January to 31st December 2011 in the State Blood Bank, Department of Transfusion Medicine, King George’s Medical University, Lucknow, India. The blood donors were selected after taking a detailed history and a complete examination regarding their eligibility criteria for blood donation. Donor’s name, age, sex, occupation, caste, complete postal address and contact number was taken. Donors were deferred or accepted according to their medical history regarding chronic or acute diseases. Findings were further confirmed by physical examination of the patient. Blood was taken from a donor only after fulfilling all the eligibility criteria of a healthy donor. Blood was taken for donors who were between 18-60 years of age, more than 50 kg weight with hemoglobin more than 12.5 g%. The donors have no history of any
disease, infection or recent treatment. Written consent was also taken from them prior to donation regarding their acceptability for the tests to be carried out for the transfusion transmitted diseases.

The Blood samples were obtained by standard procedures of venipuncture and subjected to determination of ABO and Rhesus blood group using antisera (Eryscreen Monoclonal ABO/Rh, Tulip Diagnostic Ltd. Goa, India) by combined slide and test tube method. Each sample of donors was tested for ABO and Rhesus status.

Ethical Issue

The donors signed an informed consent after being informed that the details of their blood groups will remain with blood bank and may be used either for research or transfusion purposes. This is a routine procedure and has been approved ethically by the drug licensing authorities of India. Documentation is an integral part of blood banking and the use of data for research purposes have been advocated, keeping the donors identity hidden. This study was carried out within the acceptable ethical norms.

Results

The frequency of ABO and Rh blood groups in a total of 23,320 male and female donor population was compared (Table 1). Amongst Rh positive male donors blood group B was found to be most prevalent group (34.76%) followed by group O (29.57%), A (21.60%) and AB (14.06%). Amongst Rh positive female donors again blood group B was most common (35.29%) followed by group O (29.41%), A (20.58%) and AB (14.70%). Rh negative donors were 1060 (4.55%) amongst the total donors. On further analysis female donors showed a relatively higher incidence of Rh negativity (10.53%) as compared to male (4.54%) (Table 2). Among Rh negative male, blood group B (36.55%) was the commonest followed by group O (33.23%), A (19.41%) and AB (10.79%) whereas in Rh negative females, blood group B (50%) was followed by O and A (25% each). None of the female donors showed AB negative. The total of ABO blood group was group B (34.84%) followed by O and A (25% each). None of the female donors showed AB (10.79%) whereas in Rh negative females, blood group B (50%) was found to be most prevalent in both male and female followed by group O, A and AB. In contrast, the blood group O is the most prevalent group in Egypt [15]. Likewise blood group A in Russian Federation [16]. The commonest groups in Australians are O and A while in Africans B group is commonest [17]. In USA, 46% show group O, 41% group A, 9% group B and 4% group AB [18]. In Saudi Arabia, 52% are group O, 25% group A, 19% group B and 4% group AB [19]. According to an Iranian study blood group O is the most common group (41.16%) over there [20].

India is a country with a lot of diversity based on race, religion and creed. Hence diversity has been observed in the distribution of blood groups in population within the country. Study from South India showed that blood group O was commonest (38.75%) followed by group B (32.69%), group A (18.85%) and AB (5.27%) [21]. Similarly studies in Karnataka, Jammu and Kashmir also showed O to be commonest ABO group in their population [22,23]. These results were different from our study where B group was commonest. Our study represented mainly Uttar Pradesh populations which focus as the highest populated state of Northern India. Further we observed that none of the female donors were AB negative. In contrast a Swat (Pakistan) study showed to its medical importance in different diseases. The ABO blood group system is not only important in blood transfusions, cardiovascular diseases, organ transplantation, erythroblastosis in neonates, but also one of the strongest predictors of national suicide rate and a genetic marker of obesity [10,11]. A significant deficit of group O has suggested that there may be susceptibility to develop osteoarthrosis in normal hip-joint and spinal osteochondrosis [12,13]. The genetic history of a person can be known by studying the blood groups [14]. In our study the ABO blood groups and Rh positivity in male and female donors showed that the blood group B positive was most prevalent in both male and female followed by group O, A and AB. In contrast, the blood group O is the most prevalent group in Egypt [15]. Likewise blood group A in Russian Federation [16]. The commonest groups in Australians are O and A while in Africans B group is commonest [17]. In USA, 46% show group O, 41% group A, 9% group B and 4% group AB [18]. In Saudi Arabia, 52% are group O, 25% group A, 19% group B and 4% group AB [19]. According to an Iranian study blood group O is the most common group (41.16%) over there [20].

Discussion

Research on ABO group system has been of immense interest, due to its medical importance in different diseases. The ABO blood group system is not only important in blood transfusions, cardiovascular diseases, organ transplantation, erythroblastosis in neonates, but also one of the strongest predictors of national suicide rate and a genetic marker of obesity [10,11]. A significant deficit of group O has suggested that there may be susceptibility to develop osteoarthrosis in normal hip-joint and spinal osteochondrosis [12,13]. The genetic history of a person can be known by studying the blood groups [14]. In our study the ABO blood groups and Rh positivity in male and female donors showed that the blood group B positive was most prevalent in both male and female followed by group O, A and AB. In contrast, the blood group O is the most prevalent group in Egypt [15]. Likewise blood group A in Russian Federation [16]. The commonest groups in Australians are O and A while in Africans B group is commonest [17]. In USA, 46% show group O, 41% group A, 9% group B and 4% group AB [18]. In Saudi Arabia, 52% are group O, 25% group A, 19% group B and 4% group AB [19]. According to an Iranian study blood group O is the most common group (41.16%) over there [20].

India is a country with a lot of diversity based on race, religion and creed. Hence diversity has been observed in the distribution of blood groups in population within the country. Study from South India showed that blood group O was commonest (38.75%) followed by group B (32.69%), group A (18.85%) and AB (5.27%) [21]. Similarly studies in Karnataka, Jammu and Kashmir also showed O to be commonest ABO group in their population [22,23]. These results were different from our study where B group was commonest. Our study represented mainly Uttar Pradesh populations which focus as the highest populated state of Northern India. Further we observed that none of the female donors were AB negative. In contrast a Swat (Pakistan) study showed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood Group</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Rh positive (%)</td>
<td>No. of Rh negative (%)</td>
<td>No. of Rh positive (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>7726 (34.76%)</td>
<td>386 (36.55%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>6574 (29.57%)</td>
<td>351 (33.23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>4801 (21.60%)</td>
<td>205 (19.41%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>3125 (14.06%)</td>
<td>114 (10.79%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>22226</td>
<td>1056</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Distribution of ABO and Rh blood groups between male and female.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countries</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>AB</th>
<th>Rh+</th>
<th>Rh-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North India (Present Study)</td>
<td>21.50</td>
<td>34.84</td>
<td>29.75</td>
<td>13.91</td>
<td>95.45</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>41.70</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>46.70</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>83.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>41.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>85.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>24.43</td>
<td>23.88</td>
<td>48.94</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>95.67</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>26.20</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>47.48</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>96.10</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>52.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>93.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Comparison of distribution (%) of ABO and Rh blood groups in different countries of the world.

Figure 1: Percentage of Rh Positive and Negative blood donors.
that the blood group AB negative was 0.92% in female donors [24]. This discrepancy may be due to the small number of negative donors included in our study.

In Rhesus System, our study shows prevalence of Rh positive was 95.45%, while only was 4.55% was Rh negative (Figure 1). These figures are similar to other studies carried out in Maharashtra, India [25,26]. Our donor population showed Rh negativity of 4.55% as compared to 17% in Britain. This suggests that the expected frequency of Rh isoimmunization would be lower in our population than that encountered in the Britain population. Similar incidences of Rh negative donors from other countries are as follows e.g. Nairobi (5%), Nigeria (4.33%), and Bangladesh (3.2%) [27-29]. Rh positivity in our population was 95.45% which was almost similarly to Nigeria where Rh positivity was 95.67% [28]. In contrast, USA showed Rh positivity of 85%. Rh positive group though the predominant group all over the country has a varied distribution in USA and UK as compared to other parts of the world (Table 3).

Conclusion

To conclude, the commonest ABO blood group was group B in Northern India with Rh negativity at only 4.55%. This was in contrast to the prevalence of ABO and Rh blood groups in other parts of the world as well as also within the country.
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