Prostate Cancer Chemoprevention Targeting High Risk Populations: Model for Trial Design and Outcome Measures
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Abstract

Inspite of the large number of promising nutrient-derived agents demonstrating promise as potential chemopreventive agents, most have failed to prove effectiveness in clinical trials. Critical requirements for moving nutrient-derived agents to recommendation for clinical use include adopting a systematic, molecular-mechanism based approach and utilizing the same ethical and rigorous methods such as are used to evaluate other pharmacological agents. Preliminary data on a mechanistic rationale for chemoprevention activity as observed from epidemiological, in vitro and preclinical studies, phase I data of safety in high-risk cohorts are required to inform design of phase II clinical trials. Additionally, a valid panel of biomarkers representing the hypothesized carcinogenesis pathway for measuring efficacy must be utilized to evaluate effectiveness in these trials. The goal of this paper is to provide a model, using a systematic approach for evaluating the safety, effectiveness and mechanism of action of a well characterized nutrient-derived agent- isoflavones - in a phase II clinical trial for prostate cancer (CaP) chemoprevention, targeting a population of African American (AA) and Caucasian men. Based on our previous observations, we hypothesize that the effects of isoflavones on prostate carcinogenesis are mainly mediated through the down regulation of androgen receptor (AR) and AR activity in AA men is higher due to its shorter length of Glutamine repeats in its N-terminus. We thus believe that isoflavones will exert a stronger protective effect for CaP in AA men and cause a higher activation of FOXO factors and their target genes. The aim of the study is to evaluate the comparative effectiveness of the study agent and placebo, in addition to a comparison of the effectiveness and safety in African American men compared to Caucasian men treated with this agent.
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The Disease: Prostate Cancer an Unequal Burden in African American (AA) Men

The American Cancer Society estimates that there will be about 240,890 new cases of prostate cancer (CaP) in the United States in 2011 and about 33,720 men will die of this disease [1]. The initiation and progression of CaP is a multi-step process including several intermediate steps that may involve a complex series of both exogenous and endogenous factors [2-4]. Although it is clear that clinical CaP incidence and mortality vary greatly between populations, the frequency of latent CaP is evenly distributed among populations, suggesting that external factors such as diet and other lifestyle factors are important in the transformation from latent into more aggressive, clinical cancer [2-4]. An estimated 35, 110 cases of prostate cancer are expected to occur among African American men in 2011, accounting for 40% of all cancers diagnosed in AA men. Between 2003 and 2007, the average annual CaP rate was 60% higher in AA men compared to white men [1]. In addition, AA men have the highest mortality rate of any other racial or ethnic group in the US. Although the overall incidence of and mortality from CaP has been declining in Caucasian men since 1991, possibly due to improved diagnostic techniques, better screening and improved surgical and radiologic treatments, the decline in AA men lags behind Caucasian men. For AA men with a family history of hereditary CaP, the increased risk is even greater [1]. Autopsy studies and clinical findings support the argument that CaP exhibits more aggressive biological behavior in AA men than that observed in other populations.

To successfully resolve this health disparity in prostate cancer incidence, mortality and morbidity, it is critical to focus on addressing the need to eliminate the disparity in risk and incidence of prostate cancer in the African-American population. In addition to early screening and detection, high-risk AA men are prime targets for chemoprevention strategies. Chemoprevention refers to the inhibition of preinvasive and invasive cancer and its progression or treatments
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of identifiable precancers [4]. Chemoprevention efforts require a thorough understanding of the mechanism of carcinogenesis including signaling and metabolic pathways and genetic progression pathways. New technologies in genomics and proteomics have spurred this field of research. The use of this knowledge to develop pharmacologic agents (including nutrient-derived) to reverse or halt the process of carcinogenesis is called chemoprevention. Agents for chemoprevention include anti-promotion and anti-progression agents that prevent the growth and survival of cells that are already committed to become malignant [4]. Several nutrient-derived agents have demonstrated promise as potential chemopreventive agents in the prevention of prostate cancer. The experience with recruitment of minorities in the SELECT trial and our surveys in men at high risk for prostate cancer, including AA men has clearly demonstrated that AA men are eager and willing to participate in chemoprevention trials [5,6]. This is more so in AA males who are higher risk for the disease or who are already diagnosed with prostate cancer. These cohorts are thus ideal target populations (men at high risk or diagnosed with localized CaP) with a treatment time period (surveillance, watchful-waiting period or pre-surgical) which provides the best opportunity for evaluating promising agents for chemoprevention and delineating the mechanism of action and molecular targets of specific promising agents to prevent disease progression, shifting the time from diagnosis to invasive disease. The goal of this paper is to provide a model for evaluating the comparative effectiveness of a well characterized agent - isoflavones - in a phase II clinical trial for prostate cancer chemoprevention targeting a population of African American and Caucasian men.

Promising Agent for Chemoprevention

Evidence from epidemiological studies

Epidemiological and laboratory studies have demonstrated that several nutrients, including isoflavones, could induce apoptosis, suppressing the formation and growth of human cancers including CaP [7-12]. Epidemiological studies have consistently reported lower incidence of clinically evident disease in populations consuming isoflavones. An inverse relationship between dietary intake, plasma [7-12] and prostatic fluid [11] concentrations of isoflavones and the incidence of CaP and benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) has been observed in these populations, demonstrating the potential role of isoflavones in mediating epigenetic effects. Thus the potential preventive properties of isoflavones in CaP, as demonstrated by evidence from epidemiological studies although limited, appear promising.

Evidence from in vitro studies

In vitro data have consistently shown that genistein modulates cell proliferation [13-17], angiogenesis [18,19], tumor cell invasion and tumor metastasis [13,20-21], cell cycle regulation [22], antioxidant [20,23] and induction of apoptotic cell death [24], indicating that purified isoflavones are promising chemopreventive agents, with several cellular effects which are both genomic and non-genomic. Several cellular effects which are both genomic and non-genomic.

inhibition of the proteasome activity by genistein at a physiological concentration might contribute to its reported cancer-preventive effects.

Among different soy compounds, genistein was the most potent inhibitor of the proteasomal chymotrypsin-like activity, which was consistent with the previous reports where it has also been shown that genistein is the most potent soy isoflavone. Inhibition of protease activity by genistein in prostate cancer cells (LNCaP) was associated with increased levels of p27\(^{kip}\), IxB-\(^{\alpha}\), an important inhibitor of the tumor survival factor NFkB, Bax, and ubiquitylated proteins, accompanied by induction of apoptotic cell death. We also found that genistein was the most potent one among all the tested isoflavones to induce Bax accumulation and PARP cleavage. However, daidzein and glycitein, in addition to genistein, were able to accumulate p27\(^{kip}\) protein. These results suggest that accumulation of Bax and IxB-\(^{\alpha}\) is associated with apoptosis induction while p27\(^{kip}\) accumulation is probably associated with G, arrest [24].

Although many activities of genistein have been documented in literature, the primary molecular target for genistein remains to be identified. In this regard, it is important to note that genistein is also considered a phytoestrogen, owing to its structural and functional similarity to estrogens. Various studies showed that genistein binds to ERs and exhibits receptor- and cell-specific agonistic and agonistic activity on estrogen receptors, ER\(^{\alpha}\) and ER\(^{\beta}\), in a way very similar to tamoxifen, which is a selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM). Thus, it appears that ERs represent potential primary molecular targets for genistein.

FOXO proteins are a family of forkhead transcriptional factors, which are characterized by a conserved DNA binding domain termed the “Forkhead box” [27]. Mammalian FOXO factors include FOXO1 (previously known as FKHR), FOXO3A (previously known as FKHR1), FOXO4 (previously known as AFX) and FOXO6 [28]. These factors control the expression of a variety of genes that regulate essential cellular processes (Figure 1), such as cell cycle, apoptosis, oxidative stress, atrophy, energy homeostasis and glucose metabolism, tumorogenesis and the detoxification of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and the control of stem cell lifespan. Our studies [29] showed that androgens and estrogens repressed the FOXO1 activity in prostate.

Figure 1: FOXO target genes and the role of FOXO factors in essential cellular processes. The picture was adapted from Greer and Brunet (2005) Oncogene 24: 7410-7425.
cancer cells, a process that is independent of the PKB/AKT-mediated FOXO1 phosphorylation. The repression was androgen receptor (AR) and ERα-dependent and mediated through the formation of receptor-FOXO1 protein complex. Later, an independent study showed that androgens decreased the level of FOXO1 protein expression in prostate cancer cells [30]. More importantly, it has been reported that genistein down regulates AR expression in LNCaP prostate cancer cells at both mRNA and protein level [31]. More interestingly, the AR down regulation was blocked by 17β-estradiol, suggesting that the down regulation of the AR is mediated through one of the ERs. Since LNCaP cells express mainly ERβ, it is reasonable to expect that ERβ is the receptor that mediates the down regulation of AR by genistein. As shown in Figure 2, we reproduced the published data that genistein down regulated the AR in a dose dependent manner and that the down regulation is relieved by 17β-estradiol at 1 µM. However, when the relief experiment was performed with 10 µM 17β-estradiol, it did not work. This dosage-dependency suggests that the estrogen relief is most likely due to the competition between genistein and 17β-estradiol for the binding to ERβ in LNCaP cells. Consistent with the down regulation of AR expression, pretreatment of LNCaP cells with genistein relieved the androgen induced inhibition of FOXO1 in a dosage dependent manner (Figure 3) as well as the androgen protection of LNCaP cells from FOXO1-induced apoptosis (Figure 4).

**Evidence from clinical trials**

Phase I trials have demonstrated the clinical characteristics and pharmacokinetics and safety of whole soy and purified isoflavones with single and multiple-dose administration in healthy, early stage or treated cancer patient cohorts [28,33-34] with doses of purified soy isoflavones ranging from [33] 1-16 mg/kg body weight, where some of the doses were higher than those previously administered to humans as whole soy proteins, without significant clinical toxicity. A few pilot Phase II clinical trials including our study, have demonstrated a trend towards stabilization or reduction of PSA with short-term isoflavone supplementation in CaP patient populations, without significant clinical toxicity [34-40] with the exception of GI symptoms.

In our first Phase II clinical trial of isoflavone supplementation in men with localized CaP [38], we administered whole soy isoflavones at a dose of 60 mg in 60 grams soy protein. Fifty-nine patients completed...
the 12-week intervention. Serum free testosterone was reduced or showed no change in 61% of subjects in the isoflavone group compared to 33% in the placebo group. Serum total PSA decreased or was unchanged in 69% of the subjects in the isoflavone treated group compared to 55% in the placebo group and nineteen percent of subjects receiving soy isoflavones reduced total PSA by two points or more during the intervention period. Seventeen (17) subjects were unable to complete the study reporting constipation and GI symptoms such as bloating, discomfort, diarrhea and pain in both the groups, which were attributable to the protein content of these supplements. Since the potent agent in these soy compounds that have the significant effect on prostate carcinogenesis are isoflavones and not the protein, and as demonstrated by these earlier trials, have few clinical symptoms attributable to them, purified isoflavones preparations may be the ideal choice of agent in clinical trials.

Based on our experience and the results of these earlier Phase I and II studies, we then hypothesized that supplementation with a constant dose of purified isoflavones (vs. a placebo) will produce an increase in plasma levels of isoflavones which will be correlated with stabilization or reduction in surrogate markers of proliferation (serum total PSA) and thereby contribute to a decrease or stabilization of disease progression in men diagnosed with early stage CaP. To test this hypothesis, we completed a pilot Phase II randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial [8] of men with early stage CaP (Gleason 2-6) to receive purified isoflavones, (Prevastatin HC8 80mg/day, IND #861,949 Kumar) vs. a placebo, and observed the effectiveness of the study agent in producing an increase in plasma levels of isoflavones (daidzein, glycitein and genistein) and a corresponding reduction/stabilization in serum total PSA. In addition, our aim was to evaluate compliance to study agents and related toxicity. In this Phase II trial, evaluation of the effectiveness of intervention was based on the magnitude of change in plasma levels of isoflavones in the isoflavone-supplemented group compared to the placebo group and a corresponding stabilization or reduction in surrogate markers of proliferation (total PSA), increase in serum estradiol and reduction in free testosterone that could be achieved. Fifty subjects completed the 12-week intervention. Significant increases in plasma isoflavones (P<0.001) were observed from baseline to 4 and 12 weeks in the isoflavone-treated group compared to placebo, without producing clinical toxicity [39]. Although greater mean reduction of serum free testosterone was observed in subjects in the isoflavone-supplemented group compared to the placebo group, these changes were not statistically significant for this duration of intervention (P = 0.3) [34]. Increasing concentrations of plasma isoflavones daidzein (P=0.02) and genistein (P=0.01) in the isoflavone-supplemented group were inversely correlated to changes in serum PSA compared to the placebo arm [34].

In another Phase II randomized-controlled trial [41] to evaluate the safe and effective dose of isoflavones to be used in future clinical trials for prostate cancer prevention; forty-five eligible men were supplemented with 40, 60 and 80 mg of purified isoflavones or no supplement from biopsy to prostatectomy. Compliance to study agent, toxicity, changes in plasma isoflavones, serum steroid hormones, prostate specific antigen (PSA) and tissue Ki-67 were analyzed from baseline to completion of study. Forty-four subjects completed the study with duration of intervention of 30 (+/-3) days. We observed significant increases in plasma isoflavones with treatment for all doses compared to controls without producing any toxicity. Significant increases in serum total estradiol were observed in the 40 mg and 60 mg isoflavone-treated arms. However, significant increase in serum free testosterone was observed in the 60 mg isoflavone-treated arm. Since only post-intervention tissue samples were available for staining, the difference between the treatment arms and control of percentage Ki-67 staining were estimated in these samples. Compared to the control group and other treatment arms, the 40 mg isoflavone supplemented arm had the lowest percentage of cells expressing Ki-67, although this was not statistically significant for this sample size and duration of intervention (Table 1).

### Summary of Evidence

We concluded that 40 mg of purified isoflavones may be the best dose to be used in a future definitive, larger Phase II clinical trial to evaluate purified isoflavones in prostate carcinogenesis. With prolonged consistent administration of purified isoflavones, we could potentially delay onset of the disease by interfering with the later stages of prostate carcinogenesis or growth and progression of premalignant and histologic cancer. Based on the promising trends observed in our study and that of others, including the relative safety compared to agents currently available for CaP chemoprevention [42-44], a definitive Phase II clinical trial powered to examine the effects of purified isoflavones in stabilizing or inhibiting the progression of CaP in larger cohorts diagnosed with early stage CaP was the next logical step.

### Study Design

Our broad long-term goal is to develop non-toxic agents that can be consumed safely over long periods to prevent progression of CaP in men at high risk, using validated markers to monitor changes in disease progression. This 5-year project is a randomized double-blind placebo controlled Phase II clinical trial targeting 260 AA and Caucasian men between ages 30 and 80, diagnosed with clinically localized CaP. The goal of the study is to examine the safety, efficacy and mechanism of action of isoflavones administered daily at a dose of 40 mg per day (vs. a placebo) for 4-6 weeks. Evaluation of the intervention will focus on safety and CaP progression, as measured by a combination of validated biochemical, clinical, grade progression and genetic IEBs, in addition to examining and validating the molecular target of isoflavones. Cohort participants will be recruited from clinical sites at the Moffitt Cancer Center, James A. Haley Veterans Administration Hospital and The University of Florida and hospitals affiliated with the Moffitt Cancer Center forming the Moffitt Affiliate Network, specifically focusing on groups serving AA men, utilizing our community leaders and physicians. At baseline, and end of study, participants will undergo interviews and laboratory analysis for determining compliance and the absence of toxicity caused by the study agent. Subjects will have received a baseline biopsy and transrectal ultrasound that will determine eligibility to the clinical trial, using uniform established diagnostic criteria for prostate cancer and be admitted to the study on the day they make a decision to have prostatectomy. Men will be examined on the day of prostatectomy (four to six weeks (+/-3 days) from start of study agent), at which time, serum, plasma and tissue samples will be obtained for analysis of biochemical, compliance, and biochemical and pathological outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arm</th>
<th>Number of Subjects</th>
<th>Mean Ki-67(%)</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isoflavones 40 mg</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.20*</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoflavones 60 mg</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoflavones 80 mg</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Tissue Percentage Ki-67 Staining Post Intervention with Purified Isoflavones Treatment Arm.
 safety and mechanistic molecular markers planned in the study. We have established a multidisciplinary team including special teams for administration, recruitment, intervention and retention, biomarkers, and data safety monitoring who work closely together to provide efficient, standardized and centralized services for successful implementation of this proposal. Prior to study initiation, the Human Subjects Committees of each of the participating institutions approved all study procedures. Participating sites are provided a fee for subject recruitment as well as reimbursement for initial IRB submission and subsequent continuing review fees while participating. The subjects who participate do not receive reimbursement for time or travel as there are no extra visits required as part of participation in this study.

Hypothesis and Objectives

Our central hypothesis is that the effect of supplementation with a constant dose of purified isoflavones (vs. a placebo) will produce a corresponding increase in plasma levels of isoflavones, resulting in modulation of serum steroid hormone levels, indicated by an increase in serum estradiol and decrease in free testosterone, thereby potentially contributing to a decrease or stabilization of disease progression in men diagnosed with localized prostate cancer as indicated by decreased surrogate marker of proliferation, serum PSA and Ki-67 expression and increased apoptosis. Based on our preliminary study [29], we hypothesize that the primary pathway by which isoflavones will suppress prostate tumorigenesis is mediated by the ERβ, which can be suppressed by ERα in prostate cancer cells such that ERβ is decreased. In addition, genistein inhibits androgen signaling through FOXO1 by down regulating AR expression, resulting in apoptosis and leading to the suppression of prostate carcinogenesis. We additionally hypothesize that the effectiveness of isoflavones to modulate prostate carcinogenesis will be significantly higher in AA men compared to Caucasian men. We plan to test these hypothesis using the following objectives:

Primary objectives

The primary endpoints for this study are to assess the comparative safety and efficacy of administering 40 mgs of purified isoflavones (vs. a placebo) a day in AA men and Caucasian men in the pre-surgical period prior to radical prostatectomy (minimum 4 weeks, maximum 6 weeks). Safety will be evaluated by the incidence and severity of adverse events (AEs) evaluated using NCI-CTG Toxicity Criteria, comprehensive metabolic profile and complete blood counts. Efficacy will be evaluated by the modulation of validated endpoint biomarkers in prostate cancer tissue obtained during biopsy and prostatectomy. This model, in addition, reduces the burden and improves safety to the subjects by aligning the data collection (prostate tissue sample) with the timing of standard clinical/surgical protocols (+6 weeks between biopsy and prostatectomy). Identifying mechanism of action and molecular targets of isoflavones is critical, as proposed in our study. In addition to informing future intervention trials of the comparative safety and efficacy of isoflavones, our study has the potential to define other surrogate endpoints for CaP risk, specifically in the AA cohort, thus increasing the impact of our study. However, these methods are not without risk to subject. Risk to subject includes unknown effects of increasing the impact of our study. However, these methods are not without risk to subject. Risk to subject includes unknown effects of exposure to study agent after diagnosis of prostate cancer. However, by using a systematic approach of evaluating the agent in preclinical and phase I clinical trials to inform the design of phase II clinical trials and meticulous safety monitoring built into the trial design, we have reduced any potential harm to subject. On the other hand, these agents and their effectiveness nor mechanism can not be evaluated in healthy or even high risk populations where a biopsy to obtain tissue markers, would present undue risk to subject.

Agent Selection and Description

The agent used in this Phase II trial is a botanical test compound developed from Novasoy 400° provided to us by Archer Daniels Midland Company, Decatur, IL 62525. Novasoy 400° is a soy-based isoflavone concentrate extracted to assure that the ratio of isoflavones as well as the aglycone and glycoside isoforms are maintained as they would be found in soybeans and unfermented soy foods. The purified isoflavones are standardized based on isoflavone content, and are maintained on a stability monitoring program to ensure that there is no reduction in active component during the period of use. They are produced under current food Good Manufacturing Practices (GMPs) and a HACCP program.

The study agent and placebo are compounded by Carrollwood Pharmacy, Tampa, Florida. For the study agent, Novasoy 400° is combined with the filler Avicol PH105, a methyl cellulose blend and formulated to deliver 20 mg aglycone equivalent isoflavones per capsule. The final product is analyzed for accuracy by Archer Daniels Midland (ADM). The placebo contains only Avicol PH105. Both are

Target Population

Several models of interventions with chemopreventive agents have been used in clinical trials. Since prostate cancer has been demonstrated to have a long latency period, it would be impossible to evaluate chemoprevention agents in healthy or high risk populations. Models that have been recommended and successfully utilized by our group and others for molecular-mechanism-based approaches in phase II-III clinical trials to evaluate agents for prostate cancer chemoprevention, requiring tissue from biopsy or prostatectomy that have targeted cohorts with early stage disease (watchful waiting, Gleason score <6), men diagnosed with localized CaP (Pre surgical) and men diagnosed with HGPIN (during surveillance). These time periods provide the best opportunity for evaluating promising agents for chemoprevention and to delineate the mechanism of action and molecular targets of specific promising agents to prevent disease progression and shifting the time of diagnosis to invasive disease. Specifically, the pre-surgical model that we use in this clinical trial provides an excellent model in phase II clinical trials to accelerate the evaluation of initial biological response to chemoprevention agents such as isoflavones, demonstrated by the modulation of validated endpoint biomarkers in prostate cancer tissue obtained during biopsy and prostatectomy. This model, in addition, reduces the burden and improves safety to the subjects by aligning the data collection (prostate tissue sample) with the timing of standard clinical/surgical protocols (+6 weeks between biopsy and prostatectomy). Identifying mechanism of action and molecular targets of isoflavones is critical, as proposed in our study. In addition to informing future intervention trials of the comparative safety and efficacy of isoflavones, our study has the potential to define other surrogate endpoints for CaP risk, specifically in the AA cohort, thus increasing the impact of our study. However, these methods are not without risk to subject. Risk to subject includes unknown effects of exposure to study agent after diagnosis of prostate cancer. However, by using a systematic approach of evaluating the agent in preclinical and phase I clinical trials to inform the design of phase II clinical trials and meticulous safety monitoring built into the trial design, we have reduced any potential harm to subject. On the other hand, these agents and their effectiveness nor mechanism can not be evaluated in healthy or even high risk populations where a biopsy to obtain tissue markers, would present undue risk to subject.

Hypothesis and Objectives

Our central hypothesis is that the effect of supplementation with a constant dose of purified isoflavones (vs. a placebo) will produce a corresponding increase in plasma levels of isoflavones, resulting in modulation of serum steroid hormone levels, indicated by an increase in serum estradiol and decrease in free testosterone, thereby potentially contributing to a decrease or stabilization of disease progression in men diagnosed with localized prostate cancer as indicated by decreased surrogate marker of proliferation, serum PSA and Ki-67 expression and increased apoptosis. Based on our preliminary study [29], we hypothesize that the primary pathway by which isoflavones will suppress prostate tumorigenesis is mediated by the ERβ, which can be suppressed by ERα in prostate cancer cells such that ERβ is decreased. In addition, genistein inhibits androgen signaling through FOXO1 by down regulating AR expression, resulting in apoptosis and leading to the suppression of prostate carcinogenesis. We additionally hypothesize that the effectiveness of isoflavones to modulate prostate carcinogenesis will be significantly higher in AA men compared to Caucasian men. We plan to test these hypothesis using the following objectives:

Primary objectives

The primary endpoints for this study are to assess the comparative safety and efficacy of administering 40 mgs of purified isoflavones (vs. a placebo) a day in AA men and Caucasian men in the pre-surgical period prior to radical prostatectomy (minimum 4 weeks, maximum 6 weeks). Safety will be evaluated by the incidence and severity of adverse events (AEs) evaluated using NCI-CTG Toxicity Criteria, comprehensive metabolic profile and complete blood counts. Efficacy will be evaluated by the modulation of validated endpoint biomarkers in prostate cancer tissue obtained during biopsy and prostatectomy. This model, in addition, reduces the burden and improves safety to the subjects by aligning the data collection (prostate tissue sample) with the timing of standard clinical/surgical protocols (+6 weeks between biopsy and prostatectomy). Identifying mechanism of action and molecular targets of isoflavones is critical, as proposed in our study. In addition to informing future intervention trials of the comparative safety and efficacy of isoflavones, our study has the potential to define other surrogate endpoints for CaP risk, specifically in the AA cohort, thus increasing the impact of our study. However, these methods are not without risk to subject. Risk to subject includes unknown effects of exposure to study agent after diagnosis of prostate cancer. However, by using a systematic approach of evaluating the agent in preclinical and phase I clinical trials to inform the design of phase II clinical trials and meticulous safety monitoring built into the trial design, we have reduced any potential harm to subject. On the other hand, these agents and their effectiveness nor mechanism can not be evaluated in healthy or even high risk populations where a biopsy to obtain tissue markers, would present undue risk to subject.

Agent Selection and Description

The agent used in this Phase II trial is a botanical test compound developed from Novasoy 400° provided to us by Archer Daniels Midland Company, Decatur, IL 62525. Novasoy 400° is a soy-based isoflavone concentrate extracted to assure that the ratio of isoflavones as well as the aglycone and glycoside isoforms are maintained as they would be found in soybeans and unfermented soy foods. The purified isoflavones are standardized based on isoflavone content, and are maintained on a stability monitoring program to ensure that there is no reduction in active component during the period of use. They are produced under current food Good Manufacturing Practices (GMPs) and a HACCP program.

The study agent and placebo are compounded by Carrollwood Pharmacy, Tampa, Florida. For the study agent, Novasoy 400° is combined with the filler Avicol PH105, a methyl cellulose blend and formulated to deliver 20 mg aglycone equivalent isoflavones per capsule. The final product is analyzed for accuracy by Archer Daniels Midland (ADM). The placebo contains only Avicol PH105. Both are
developed by the Moffitt Statistics department, to ensure that for every randomization list, stratified by race (AA and other) and by site was assignments. A protocol is developed in the SRARS program using inclusion and exclusion criteria are randomized to a treatment or placebo

Exercise questionnaire. 
two-day diet recall forms with instructions; (e) Godin-Leisure Time (which includes assessment for AEs and concomitant medications); (d) avoid during the study period; (c) log for recording study agent intake study; (b) lists of foods, medications and nutritional supplements to subjects are provided with: (a) an information package regarding the e.g. from within the last fourteen days (\( \frac{\text{Creatinine in } \mu\text{mol/L}}{\text{Constant}} \)) \( = \) 1.23 for men and 1.04 for women; (f) Omnivorous diet; (g) No evidence of prostatitis or urinary tract infection; (h) Able and willing to give written informed consent; (i) Currently not using nutritional supplements (other than the multivitamin/mineral provided for all study participants); (j) not allergic to study supplements; (k) not on antibiotics; (l) men who do not consume more than 3 oz - 4 oz of soy or soy products per week; (m) willing to comply with scheduled visits as proposed for 4-6 weeks; (n) not taking steroid hormones or medications which have known impact on PSA; (o) health status cleared by primary MD or urologist and (p) ECOG performance status of 0-1. Allowing a dropout rate of 20% as previously observed in chemoprevention trials, we plan to recruit 130 AA men and 130 Caucasian men \((n=260; 65/\text{arm})\) to total 260 men over the study period. Once eligibility is determined, subjects are then provided with information about the research study and informed of the experimental nature of therapy, alternatives, potential benefits, side-effects, risks and discomforts. Interested subjects who appear eligible based on information available at this time are given the opportunity to sign an Informed Consent document.

All subjects who sign an Informed Consent document are assigned a registration number assigned by the clinic site. As subjects are registered, demographic information and registration information are entered into a computerized system for tracking purposes. Participating subjects have blood drawn for confirmation of eligibility, if not available from within the last fourteen days (e.g., to verify normal liver function). Subjects are provided with: (a) an information package regarding the study; (b) lists of foods, medications and nutritional supplements to avoid during the study period; (c) log for recording study agent intake (which includes assessment for AEs and concomitant medications); (d) two-day diet recall forms with instructions; (e) Godin-Leisure Time Exercise questionnaire.

There is no run-in period for this study. Only men meeting all inclusion and exclusion criteria are randomized to a treatment or placebo arm using the Moffitt SRARS program, a web delivered application that records subject registrations and provides blocked randomization assignments. A protocol is developed in the SRARS program using randomization lists, stratified by race (AA and other) and by site was developed by the Moffitt Statistics department, to ensure that for every site with subjects in the 2 racial groups, a corresponding placebo and treatment assignment is planned. Descriptive summary statistics will be reported on patient characteristics at baseline (epidemiological data including demographics, personal and physical characteristics, family history, history of environmental and personal exposures, alcohol intake, dietary and physical activity, cancer screening, medication use including nutritional supplements and dietary intake, and several plasma and serum biomarker levels) as well as outcome variables at 4-6 weeks post intervention, respectively, both by arm and race. An attempt will be made to discern any possible differences in the baseline patient characteristics between the two arms within each race group. This will hopefully identify any imbalances that may be large enough but have occurred at randomization by chance that will need to be controlled for in the statistical analyses in order not to confound the study results and their interpretations. All baseline group comparisons of demographic, anthropometrics, clinical, and dietary measures will be conducted empirically without using formal statistical tests.

As this is a double-blinded study, the treatment/randomization is hidden from all users except the pharmacist preparing and labeling the treatment drug. Once the randomization is complete, the study agent is mailed overnight via UPS. If lab results disqualify from participation, subjects are notified of results and taken off study. They are also given an opportunity to speak with the study physician, a copy of their labs made available to them and the samples collected during screening are destroyed.

At the end of the study, on the day of prostatectomy, participants undergo interviews and serum, plasma and tissue samples are obtained. Laboratory analysis is completed for safety (the determination of and the absence of toxicity caused by the study agent) and compliance, as well as evaluation of the biochemical and mechanistic molecular markers considered in this study (Scheme 1: Study Schema).

Phase II Clinical Trial of Purified Isoflavones in Prostate Cancer: Comparing Safety, Effectiveness and Mechanism of Action between African American and Caucasian Men.

African American (AA) and Caucasian men between the ages of 30 (to be inclusive of AA men with earlier age diagnosis) and 80 with a diagnosis of localized CaP, based on pathological assessment from biopsy specimens; with no prior or current therapy for CaP or history of cancer except non-melanoma skin cancer; scheduled for prostatectomy between 4-6 weeks \(( +/- 3 \text{ days})\) after start of study agent \((n=260)\)

Screening/Randomization: Informed consent; confirm diagnostic prostate biopsy pathology; Digital Rectal Exam (DRE); Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA); (DRE and PSA do not need to be repeated if results are available from pre-biopsy tests); Comprehensive Metabolic Panel (CMP) including LFTs; Complete Blood Count (CBC) (these do not need to be completed if results available from within 14 days prior to randomization); serum steroid hormones; collect plasma for baseline isoflavone measurements; collectuffy coat for banking; obtain anthropometric measurements (weight, height, BMI, waist and hip circumference); On-study Epidemiological Questionnaire; assess baseline Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms (LUTS) score; signs and symptoms assessment; concomitant medication assessment; distribute and collect Godin-Leisure Time Exercise Questionnaire; distribute instructions and
forms for 2-day Diet Recall; obtain tissue from diagnostic biopsy for baseline measurements; randomize to active agent (40 mg purified isoflavones daily) or placebo (n=65/arm)

↓

**Intervention:** Confirm eligibility based on screening tests; self administration of purified isoflavones (40 mg daily) or placebo for 4-6 weeks; weekly telephone contact to assess signs and symptoms and concomitant medications while on study

↓

**End of Intervention (Week 6 or time of Prostatectomy):** Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA); Comprehensive Metabolic Panel (CMP) including LFTs; Complete Blood Count (CBC); serum steroid hormones; collect plasma for isoflavone measurements; collect buffy coat for banking; obtain anthropometric measurements (weight, height, BMI, waist and hip circumference); collect and review 2-day Diet Recall forms; signs and symptom assessment; concomitant medication assessment; review study agent intake log and check compliance (pill count); assess end of intervention

Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms (LUTS); distribute and collect Godin-Leisure Time Exercise Questionnaire

↓

**Post-intervention Follow-up (7 days (+/-3 days) post-treatment):** Telephone contact to assess signs and symptoms and concomitant medications occurring post-treatment

Endpoints:

- **Efficacy (Primary):** Change in percent Ki-67 evaluated in prostate cancer tissue specimens after 4-6 weeks of intervention with purified isoflavones (40 mg daily) vs. Placebo.

- **Safety (Primary):** Incidence and severity of AEs occurring during intervention with either 20 mg purified isoflavones bid or placebo.

- **Secondary (When tissue available):**
  - → Drug effect measurements in tissue samples
  - → To determine if purified isoflavones (40 mg daily) vs. placebo results in decreased expression of the androgen receptor and increased expression levels of FOXO1 and its target genes from baseline to prostatectomy in prostate cancer patients
  - → Whether the treatment effect of the study agent in the AR-FOXO axis is more profound in AA patients as compared to the Caucasian group and correlates inversely with ERα/ERβ ratio

**Adherence/Compliance During Intervention**

During study participation, subjects are to self administer one capsule of study agent daily each morning and evening, with meals. In addition to taking the study agent, subjects are instructed to take one multivitamin/mineral daily. Subjects are also asked to complete diet recall and exercise questionnaires at specified time periods, avoid consumption of foods that are rich in isoflavones and avoid herbs and nutritional supplements containing isoflavones; complete daily study agent intake logs, record concomitant medications, and any signs or symptoms. The goal is to maintain ≥85% compliance with study agent intake. Subjects are contacted weekly via telephone from the time of consent until one week following their surgery.

**Safety Monitoring**

All subjects are assessed clinically for toxicities prior to randomization and at the end of intervention. Baseline and end of study lab work for safety includes complete blood count (CBC) and comprehensive metabolic profile (CMP), including liver function tests (LFTs). Study agent intake logs are used to assess signs/symptoms and concomitant medications and are reviewed weekly during the telephone call and at the end of the study. Compliance with study agent intake is measured via pill counts, completed at the end of the study. Any toxicities (adverse events) occurring during the investigation are reviewed by the treating physician and managed according to standard medical practice.

**Biomarkers of Disease Progression**

Biomarkers of disease progression will include serum steroid hormones, PSA, apoptotic index, Tumor Volume (TV), Gleason Score (GS); Symptoms including Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms (LUTS). Diagnostic Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA) will be measured at baseline and end of study as surrogate markers of tumor progression. The serum PSA will be determined using Bayer Centaur Chemiluminescene by Quest laboratories. Serum PSA, although well established as a biomarker of CaP, is not specific to neoplasia. The literature does not currently support that the level is directly related to the degree of neoplastic progression. The validation of PSA as an intermediate biomarker awaits further data, some of which may be obtained from the large NCI Prostate, Lung, Colorectal & Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial in which PSA is being monitored over several years in over 30,000 men [45]. Traditionally, clinicians have relied on PSA as a prognostic indicator in addition to stage, tumor grade and volume. Because the serum level of PSA is proportional to the volume of tumor present, PSA has become an integral part of disease management in this population. The growth of CaP is exponential at all stages. Thus the doubling of the serum PSA will reflect the biological doubling rate of tumor. Thus, PSA continues to be a valuable surrogate marker offering a dynamic view of disease progression and possibly a marker of chemoprevention efficacy, specifically in AA men [46].

Confirmation of a diagnosis of CaP in prostate biopsy samples will be determined by the site pathologist at each participating institution and Gleason Score determined. Prostate tissue will be obtained by the surgeons at baseline and at post-intervention with isoflavones at prostatectomy. Representative slides from the initial pre-interventional biopsy and from the post-interventional prostatectomy are required for analysis. At each site, all patients included in this study will have formalin fixed paraffin-embedded prostatic biopsies that are initially sectioned and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H & E). At each site, the site pathologist will evaluate the H & E stained sections by light microscopy for the presence of malignancy, using the diagnostic criteria currently utilized and described by the AUA [47]. Gleason score will also be centrally verified by the primary site Chair of Pathology. Immunohistochemical Staining for Ki-67 Nuclear Antigen and Image Cytometric Determination of Apoptosis by the TUNEL Method: Expression of Ki-67 has been shown to be clearly different in normal, HGPIN, and CaP biopsy specimens. Multiple studies have demonstrated that mean proliferation indices, as measured by Ki-67 staining, are associated with disease progression, stage, Gleason score, and mean pretreatment prostate-specific antigen level and show an
increase from benign to malignant tissue. Ki-67 is thus considered a biomarker that can be utilized in clinical trials that compare biopsy specimens over time, biopsy and radical prostatectomy (RP) specimens (i.e., neoadjuvant studies), or multiple biopsy specimens in the setting of chemoprevention [48]. We have thus identified Ki-67 as the primary marker to study effectiveness of intervention with isoflavones.

The following steroid hormone will be measured at baseline and end of study: Sex Hormone Binding Globulin (SHBG), Total & Free Testosterone, Estradiol, IGF I and IGF Binding Protein -3 (IGFBP-3) by Quest Labs [Extraction, chromatography, radioimmunoassay (RIA)]. Although the mechanism of action of isoflavones is not clear, it has been observed that steroid hormones play an important role in increasing or decreasing the risk of prostate cancer. Patients with prostate cancer have been observed to have higher free testosterone (unbound) levels and lower levels of sex-hormone binding globulin (SHBG), estrone and estradiol. Androgens are essential for the function and growth of the prostate and are known to stimulate the proliferation of human prostatic cells. Administration of hormonal therapies has been shown to produce prostate cancer in rodents, while castration and estrogen therapy can reduce the risk of prostate cancer. It is clear from recent studies that testosterone and estradiol are important contributors of androgenic and estrogenic activity. Furthermore, sex-hormone binding globulin, because it binds to and sequesters testosterone and estradiol, controls the bioavailability of these sex hormones to target cells as well as their mutual balance. This effect on the active estrogen/testosterone balance may be another potential autoregulatory mechanism for the protective effect of SHBG in prostate cancer. Recent evidence suggests that SHBG can function as a hormone with a direct interaction with prostate cells. The insulin-like growth factor type I receptor (IGF-IR) has been suggested to play an important role in prostate cancer progression and possibly in the progression to androgen-independent (AI) disease. Androgens up-regulate insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor (IGF-IR) expression and sensitize prostate cancer cells to the biological effects of IGF-I. IGF-I levels increase and IGFBP3 protein levels decrease during the progression of prostate cancer and thus selected to be an additional indicator of modulation by isoflavones [34,39,49-50].

**Markers of Mechanism**

We plan to determine if purified isoflavones at a dose of 40 mg daily (20 mg bid) vs. placebo results in decreased expression of the androgen receptor and increased expression levels of FOXO1 and its target genes from baseline to prostatectomy in prostate cancer patients and whether the treatment effect of the study agent in the AR-FOXO axis is more profound in AA patients as compared to the Caucasian group and correlates inversely with ERα/ERβ ratio. Prostatectomy samples of CaP lesion and normal adjoining tissues will be separated into two parts. The first part will be fixed and paraffin embedded for immunohistochemistry in the Moffitt Pathology Immunohistochemistry laboratory or by local pathologist to determine the expression/localization of FOXO factors. The second part will be snap-frozen for molecular analysis, including RNA isolation for RT-PCR analyses of FOXO target genes to address the mechanisms of isoflavones.

**Treatment Interruption or Termination**

There are no reductions in the purified isoflavone dose during this study and no suspension of agent required for AEs graded 1-2. Study drug will be permanently discontinued for grade 3 and 4 AEs, unless clearly not attributable to therapy as determined by the study team. All AE information is captured according to standard procedures and evaluated using CTC/CTCAE Term (v 4.0). Subjects who have had an intervention of intake of more than 7 calendar days due to AE may be withdrawn from the study at the discretion of the investigator. Subjects who have an unresolved AE at the time of withdrawal from study treatment are followed until resolution of the event, if possible. If an AE persists for more than 30 days after a subject goes off study, the subject is referred to his personal physician.

**Statistical Analysis**

The primary endpoint is the percentage Ki-67 expression measured post intervention at prostatectomy. It is a mean proliferation index associated with disease progression, stage, Gleason score, and pretreatment PSA level and general increases from benign to malignant tissue. We have thus identified Ki-67 as the primary marker to study effectiveness of intervention with soy isoflavones. Secondary endpoints include several biomarkers such as serum steroid hormones, PSA, apoptotic index, levels of AR, FOXO1 and FOXO target genes, and ratio of Erα/Erβ, measured both at baseline and post intervention at prostatectomy, as well as tumor volume (TV), Gleason Score (GS), Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms (LUTS), standard pill counts, diet records and plasma isoflavones. The changes in biomarkers will be analyzed as continuous variables as appropriate. Both absolute and relative changes will be studied for a continuous outcome variable unless otherwise specified. Normality assumptions will be verified and the log transformation implemented, if appropriate, for any continuous outcome variable.

The sample size/power calculations are based on the primary endpoint percent Ki-67 level post intervention. Based on limited data available from previous studies and our own preliminary research, we expect that the mean and standard deviation (SD) of percent Ki-67 post intervention for our population in each of the four arms will be as described in Table 2. That is, due to the AA patient population being slightly younger and having more aggressive disease than Caucasian men, their Ki-67 level in the placebo group is expected to be 1% higher on average compared to the Caucasian control group. In the meantime, the treatment effect for the AA population is projected to be greater than that for the Caucasian population. In fact, the above table assumes a 24% difference between treatment and control arms for Caucasian men while it is 36% for the AA men.

Thus, 52 evaluable subjects in each arm will yield at least 80% of power at a two-sided alpha level of 0.10 for detecting a group difference of 24% or more in the average Ki-67 post intervention between the treatment and control arms in Caucasian group. The power will increase to 92% for AA group if the difference remains at 24% (control vs. treated: 5.22 vs. 3.97). If, however, our projected difference is realized, i.e., the difference is at 36% as in the above table, the power will be at least 99% for AA group. To take into account a potential 20% drop-out rate as previously observed in chemoprevention trials for this study, we plan to recruit and randomize 65x4=260 men diagnosed with clinically localized CaP into this trial in order to ensure that there will be at least 52 evaluable subjects in each arm for adequate statistical power in terms of the primary study endpoint.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean (SD) of Ki-67</th>
<th>Caucasian</th>
<th>AA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treatment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.20 (2.25)</td>
<td>3.34 (2.25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.22 (1.86)</td>
<td>5.22 (1.86)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Anticipated mean and standard deviation (SD) of percent Ki-67 post intervention.
Data Management and Study Monitoring

The Data Management team consists of the PI, Research IT core analyst, Chief Biostatistician, Responsible research project coordinator and Data Manager at the MCC, Tampa, FL. All collected data is entered from source documents or case report forms (CRFs) directly into the web-based ONCORE system by the data manager. Toxicities are monitored continuously through the trial by the PI and study physician at each site. Additionally, the study team is guided by a Data Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB) and an External Data and Safety Monitoring Board (EDSMB). Statistical analyses will be performed and reviewed by the EDSMB once fifty patients have completed the entire 4-6 week study period. The EDSMB will review the accumulating outcome data and evaluate the results in the context of results of any other trials that might be reported in the interval. The DSMB will, in addition, recommend if any information regarding adverse events must be released to subjects and make any decisions about recommending external review, as needed. The EDSMB can recommend early termination if a serious imbalance occurs and the estimated risk of harm appeared to warrant such action.

Future Directions

Purified Isoflavones in Prostate Cancer is a Phase II, Randomized, Double-blind, Multi-centered Study, currently ongoing at three clinical sites across the United States. The goal of this study is to evaluate the efficacy, safety and mechanism of action of 40 mg of purified isoflavones vs. placebo, with a comparison between AA and Caucasian men. The pre-surgical model that we use in this clinical trial provides an excellent model for Phase II clinical trials to accelerate the evaluation of initial biological response to chemoprevention agents such as isoflavones, demonstrated by the modulation of validated endpoint biomarkers in prostate cancer tissue obtained during biopsy and prostatectomy. In addition, this model reduces the burden and while prioritizing safety to the subjects by aligning the data collection (prostate tissue sample) with the timing of standard clinical/surgical protocols (4-6 weeks between biopsy and prostatectomy). Future chemoprevention trials may utilize this approach to truly move research from the bench to the bedside, where it can have the greatest impact on addressing health disparities.

Identifying the mechanism of action and molecular targets of isoflavones is critical. In addition to informing future intervention trials of the comparative safety and efficacy of isoflavones, our study has the potential to define other surrogate endpoints for CaP risk, specifically in the AA cohort, thus increasing the impact of our study.
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