Protective Effects of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG on Aflatoxins-Induced Toxicities in Male Albino Mice

Deabes M M¹*, Darwish H R², Abdel-Aziz K B³, Farag I M⁴, Nada SA⁵ and Tawfek N S⁶

¹Food Toxicology and Contaminants Department, National Research Centre, 33-El Bohouth street-Dokki, Cairo, Giza 12311, Egypt
²Cell Biology Department, National Research Center, 33-El Bohouth street-Dokki, Cairo, Giza 12311, Egypt
³Pharmacology Department, National Research Center, 33-El Bohouth street-Dokki, Cairo, Giza 12311, Egypt
⁴Zoology Department, Faculty of Science, Al-Minia Uni., Egypt

**Abstract**

The effects of sub-acute exposure (7 days) to aflatoxins and the potential protective effects of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG ATCC53013 (LGG) were studied in male Albino mice. Four experimental groups were used, each comprising 30 mice; control group, LGG-treated group (1 x 10⁶ CFU), AFs-treated group (0.7 mg/kg b.w.), and a group given LGG two hours before AFs intoxication. The malondialdehyde (MDA), glutathione (GSH) levels and superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity were measured in liver and kidney tissues. Chromosomal aberrations in bone marrow and in spermatocytes, as well as mitotic and meiotic activities were performed to assess the genotoxicity; besides sperm parameters were evaluated. Results showed that AFs significantly elevated the tissue levels of MDA, whereas the levels of GSH as well as SOD activity were significantly decreased in liver and kidney. AFs increased significantly the frequencies of structural and numerical chromosome aberrations in bone marrow and spermatocytes. In addition, mitotic and meiotic activities of somatic and germ cells were declined significantly. Also, AFs caused a high significant reduction in cauda epididymal sperm count, sperm motility and significant increase sperm abnormalities, as compared to control. Mice received LGG before AFs gavage, showed a significant amelioration in oxidative status in both liver and kidney, by increasing the contents of GSH and SOD activity. Cytogenetic analyses revealed that LGG administration before AFs gavage significantly reduced frequencies chromosomal aberrations in bone marrow and spermatocytes, and recovered mitotic and meiotic activities as well. Moreover, gavage LGG before AFs intoxication caused significant recovery in all sperm parameters studied. In conclusion, LGG was found to be safe and successful agent counteracting the oxidative stress and protected against the genotoxicity induced by AFs, in addition to reduction in spermatoxic alterations.
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**Introduction**

Aflatoxins (AFs) are naturally-occurring mycotoxins, produced as secondary metabolites by the fungus *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. parasiticus*, and *A. nomius*; and are direct contaminants of cereals, grains, nuts, and fruits [1]. More than 5 billion people in developing countries worldwide are at risk of chronic exposure to naturally occurring aflatoxins [2]. Aflatoxins are potent mutagenic, carcinogenic, teratogenic, hepatotoxic and immunosuppressive toxins, and also inhibit several metabolic systems, causing liver, kidney and heart damage [2-5]. AFB₁ is the most potent of the known AFs, and is a classified within class 1 of human carcinogens [6]. Although the liver is clearly the principal target organ for AFB₁, kidney and testis can also be a target following dietary and inhalational exposure. Also, AFs have been detected in boar sperm and as a critical step in the initiation of AFB₁-associated liver and kidney damage [2-5]. AFB₁-Associated hepatic carcinogenesis is activated by cytochrome P450 enzyme system to produce a highly reactive intermediate, AFB₁-8,9-epoxide, which subsequently binds to nucleic sites in DNA forming 8,9-dihydro-8-(N7guanyl)-9-hydroxy-ABF₁ adduct, which is regarded as a critical step in the initiation of AFB₁-induced carcinogenesis [9,10]. In addition, the AFB₁-associated mutagenesis was suggested to represent a plausible cause for the higher chromosome instability observed in Chinese Hepatocellular Carcinomas, when compared with European primary liver carcinomas [11].

Several reports suggested that toxicity might ensue through the generation of intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) like superoxide anion, hydroxyl radical and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) during the metabolic processing of AFB₁ in the liver. These ROS may attack soluble cell compounds as well as membranes, eventually leading to the impairment of cell functionality and cytotoxicity [12,13]. Recently, AFB₁ also has been shown to induce lipid peroxidation-associated liver and kidney damage in vitro and in vivo [14-16].

Concerns related to the negative health impacts of AFs have lead to the investigation of strategies to eliminate, inactivate or reduce the bioavailability of these toxins in contaminated products. Probiotics are defined as “live microorganisms which, when consumed in adequate amounts as part of food, confer a health benefit on the host” [17].

Lactic acid producing bacteria (LAB), particularly *lactobacilli* and *bifidobacteria* are considered as the most probable agents responsible for these effects. Probiotics have been proved to exert health-promoting influences in human and animals [18,19]. Kruszewska et al. [20] measured total antioxidant activity of some *lactobacilli* with a colorimetric assay; *P. pentosaceus* and *L. plantarum* 2592 produced antioxidants after 18 h growth corresponding to 100 μg vitamin C. Also, LAB is of particular interest for reducing the bioavailability of...
AFs, where a number of studies have screened these microorganisms for the ability to bind to AFs and have reported a wide range of genus, species and strain specific binding capacities [21-24]. Currently there is considerable interest in the potential antigenotoxic and anticarcinogenic effects of probiotics. Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG (LGG) is one of the best-studied probiotic bacteria in clinical trials for treating and/or preventing several intestinal disorders, including inflammatory bowel diseases and diarrhea [25,26]. Furthermore LGG efficiently binds, in vitro, several mycotoxins, including aflatoxin B1 and aflatoxin M1 [27,28]. In an in vivo study using the chicken duodenum loop technique, LGG removed as high as 54% (w/w) of the added AFB1, and reduced intestinal adsorption by 73% (w/w) [29]. Moreover, Pool-Zobel et al. [30] demonstrated the ability of L. casei Shirota to inhibit DNA damage in the colon of rats exposed to the mutagen N-methyl-N’-nitro-N’-nitrosoguanidine (MNNNG). A subsequent study confirmed the antigenotoxic effects for different species of lactobacilli in rats against the colon carcinogen 1, 2-dimethyl hydrazine; this antigenotoxic activity was species specific. Gratz et al. [31] showed that pre-exposure of LGG to AFB1, reduced its binding ability with intestinal mucus, resulting in faster removal. It had been claimed that LAB which are contained in fermented foods and are part of the intestinal microflora may protect human against colon cancer [32,33].

So, minimizing the possible deleterious effects resulting from human and animals exposure to genotoxic and/or carcinogenic agents in our environment is of utmost need. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the in vivo antioxidant, antigenotoxic and anti- 

spermatotoxic effects of lactic acid bacteria, Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG (ATCC 53013), against the well-known mycotoxin AFs in male Albino mice.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals, reagents, and reagent kits, used in the present study were purchased from Riedel-de Haën, Germany and Biodiagnostic, Cairo, Egypt. Crude aflatoxins B1, B2, G1, and G2, were obtained as crude mycotoxins were determined by HPLC, Food Toxicology and Contaminants Dept., National Research Center, Egypt.

Determination of aflatoxin by HPLC

Apparatus: The HPLC system consisted of Waters Binary Pump Model 1525, a Model Waters 1500 Rheodyne manual injector, a Watres 2475 Multi- Wavelength Fluorescence Detector, and a data workstation with software Breeze 2. A Phenomenex C18 (250 x 4.6 mm i.d.), 5 μm from Waters corporation (USA). An isotropic system with water: methanol: acetonitrile 240:120:40 [34]. The separation was performed at ambient temperature at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. The injection volume was 20 μL for both standard solutions and sample extracts. The fluorescence detector was operated at wavelength of 360 nm for excision and 440 nm for emission.

Derivatization

The derivatives of sample extract and standard were done as follow: 100 μl of trifluoracetic acid (TFA) were added to samples and mixed well for 30 s and the mixture stand for 15 min. 900 μl of water: methanol: acetonitrile 240:120:40 [34] were added and mixed well by vortex for 30 s and the mixture was used for HPLC analysis.

Experimental animals

Male Swiss Albino mice (Mus musculus) three months old weighing 25-30 grams were obtained from the animal house colony, National Research Center, Giza, Egypt. The animals were maintained on standard casein diet and water ad libitum and housed individually in a temperature-controlled and artificially illuminated room free from any source of chemical contamination.

Bacterial strain and culture preparation

Lactobacillus rhamnosus strain GG (ATCC 53013) was a kind gift provided by Food Toxicology and Contaminants Dept., National Research Center, Egypt, as lyophilized powder and stored at -80°C. LGG cultures were prepared according to the procedure of El-Nezami et al. [35]. In which, bacterial cultures of LGG were obtained by incubating 0.1 g of lyophilized bacteria in 10 ml of deMan-Rogosa-Sharpe (MRS) broth under aerobic conditions at 37°C for 24 h. The number of lactic acid bacteria cells was enumerated by serial dilution in peptone water (0.1 % w/v) and plate counts on deMan-Rogosa-Sharpe agar (MRS) medium.

Experimental design

Mice were randomly divided into four groups each consisting of 30 mice, each group was divided into three subgroups (10 mice for each). Animals were treated orally for successive 7 days as follows: (1) untreated control given corn oil and MRS broth daily, (2) treated with AFs (0.7 mg/kg b.w.) in 0.4 ml corn oil, (3) treated with LGG (1 x 10^8 CFU) in MRS broth and (4) treated with the LGG (1 x 10^8 CFU) 2 hours before AFs gavage (0.7 mg/kg b.w.). On the 8th day of the study, the 1st subgroup was killed and femoral bones were removed, stripped and cleaned from extraneous tissues. Also, liver and kidney samples were dissected out and washed immediately with ice-cold saline to remove as much blood as possible, and then stored immediately at -80°C until analysis. On the 15th day of the study, the 2nd subgroup was killed and both testes removed and washed in warm citrate saline. At the end of the experiment (35th day), cauda epididymis, of the 3rd subgroup, were quickly isolated, blotted free of blood and utilized for the analysis of various reproductive parameters.

Biochemical analyses

Measurement of lipid peroxidation: Liver and kidney tissues were homogenized individually in 20 mm Tris–HCl (pH 7.4). Homogenates were centrifuged at 6000 g for 30 min. MDA levels in the supernatants were determined using a spectrophotometric assay kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, thiobarbituric acid (TBA) reacts with MDA in acidic medium at temperature of 95°C for 30 min to form thiobarbituric acid reactive product. The absorbance of the resultant pink product can be measured at 534 nm [36]. The lipid peroxidation values are expressed as nm MDA/mg tissue.

Reduced glutathione (GSH) content: GSH levels were measured using a spectrophotometric assay kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 5,5’-dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB) is reduced by glutathione (GSH) to produce a yellow compound. The reduced chromogen directly proportional to GSH concentration and its absorbance can be measured at 405 nm [37]. GSH values are expressed as mmol/g tissue.

Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity: Liver and kidney homogenates were prepared in cold Tris–HCl (5 mmol/L, containing 2 mmol/L EDTA, pH 7.4) using a homogenizer. The unbroken cells and cell debris were removed by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was used immediately for the assays for SOD. 100 μl of supernatants were added to 2.8 ml tris HCL buffer containing 25μl pyrogallol and 20 μl catalase [38]. The activities of all of these enzymes...
were determined. The SOD activities were expressed as units per mg of tissue.

**Chromosomal aberrations examination:** Metaphases for analysis of chromosome aberrations in bone marrow cells and spermatocytes were prepared according to the method of Perston et al. [39] and Evans et al. [40] and recommendations by Russo [41] were considered. Fifty metaphase spreads were analyzed per animal. For Mitotic activity of cells, the number of dividing cells were recorded and the mitotic index was calculated as the following formula: Mitotic index % (M.I.) = the number of dividing cells/total number of bone marrow cells counted/ per 1000 cells. For Meiotic activity of spermatocytes; meiotic index was calculated as the frequency of MII/MI, normal ratio should be equal 2.

**Sperm parameters**

Sperm parameters were prepared and analyzed according to the protocols of Wyrobek and Bruce [42].

** Epididymal sperm counts and sperm motility:** Epididymal sperm counts and evaluation of the motility were performed visually using counting chamber. The count was repeated three times for each sample to minimize error, and calculated as 10⁶ per sperm dilution. Sperm motility was determined by counting both motile and non-motile sperms in at least 16 separate and randomly selected fields. These results were expressed as percent motility.

** Epididymal sperm morphology:** A drop of sperm suspension was smeared onto a slide, left to dry; then stained with Eosin A, the slides were washed in water and air dried again. The smears were microscopically analyzed at a magnification of ×1000 for observation of abnormalities.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tuckey's test or by Two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's test comparing all groups. Analysis was conducted with GraphPad Prism software V.5.0.3 (Inc., San Diego, CA; USA).

**Results**

In AFs-treated mice, the level of MDA in liver and kidney tissues were significantly increased compared to control and LGG groups at P<0.01 (Table 1). In contrast, mice receiving LGG alone, showed a significant reduction in MDA levels, when compared with control at P<0.01. Furthermore, the LGG gavage before AFs treatment caused a significant reduction in MDA levels, when compared with control at P<0.01. A significant increase in GSH level was shown in mice received LGG before AFs gavage when compared with AFs group at P<0.01. This enhancement was significantly below that of control and LGG groups.

SOD activity in liver and kidney tissues was significantly decreased in AFs group, as compared to all groups at P<0.01. However, the activity of SOD in LGG plus AFs group was significantly increased as compared to the AFs group (Table 1). This increase was still significantly below that of control in kidney tissues at P<0.05. Again, mice received LGG alone showed an enhancement in SOD activity which was significant in liver tissue at P<0.01 and in kidney tissue at P<0.05 when compared with the control group.

**Effects of LGG on AFs genotoxicity in bone marrow cells**

The present data showed that AFs induced both structural and numerical chromosomal abnormalities. Table 2 represents the mean values of different types of chromosomal aberrations induced by AFs in bone marrow cells of male mice. Structural chromosomal aberrations recorded were chromatid breaks, chromatin gaps and deletions. The results showed a high significant increase in frequencies in chromatid breaks, gaps, deletions and fragments; while chromatid breaks, deletions and gaps showed a high statistical significant increase at P<0.001, accentric fragments were only statistically significant at P<0.05 when compared with control. Total structural aberrations showed high significant increase at P<0.001. On the other hand, AFs induced very high incidence in numerical chromosome aberrations, which were statistically significant at P<0.001. Numerical aberrations were recorded as periploidy, premature centromere division (PCD) and polyplody. Also, the total numerical aberrations was highly significant at P<0.001.

Treatment with LGG before AFs-intoxication significantly decreased the frequencies of structural chromosome aberrations (4 folds); this recovery was significant in comparison to the AFs group at P<0.001 for chromatid breaks and gaps, and significant at P<0.01 for the chromatid deletions and fragments. Regarding numerical aberrations, the frequencies of PCD, polyplody and total numerical aberrations showed significant recovery when compared to the AFs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental Groups</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LIVER (nmol/gm protein)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (Broth / corn oil)</td>
<td>339 ± 11.0&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFs (0.7 mg/kg b.w.)</td>
<td>787 ± 9.31&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGG (1 × 10⁷)</td>
<td>284 ± 7.73&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGG plus AFs</td>
<td>408 ± 11.5&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Means with different superscript letters (A, B, C & D) are significantly different (P < 0.01)
- Means with a star are significantly different (P <0.05)
- All data are expressed as means ± SEM

Table 1: Effect of LGG on AFs-induced lipid peroxidation and antioxidative defense parameters in liver and kidney of male mice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental Groups</th>
<th>Structural aberrations</th>
<th>Numerical aberrations</th>
<th>% of Mitotic activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breaks</td>
<td>Gaps</td>
<td>Deletions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (Broth / corn oil)</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.25*</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.25*</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.25*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFs (0.7 mg/kg b.w.)</td>
<td>3.80 ± 0.37*</td>
<td>3.40 ± 0.25*</td>
<td>2.60 ± 0.25*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGG (1 × 10⁷)</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.25*</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.25*</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.25*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGG plus AFs</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.20*</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.37*</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.20**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Means with different superscript letters (A, B, C & D) are significantly different (P <0.001)
- Means with two stars are significantly different (P < 0.01)
- Means with a star are significantly different (P < 0.05)
- All data are expressed as means ± SEM

Table 2: Effect of LGG on chromosomal aberrations and mitotic activity induced by AFs in bone marrow cells in male mice.

The mitotic index (Table 2) revealed a significant mitotic delay (51.6 %) in mice treated with AFs with respect to control group (P< 0.01). In LGG group, mitotic activity showed a significant enhancements at P<0.01 when compared with other groups. On the other hand, LGG gavage before AFs intoxication recovered the mitotic activity to about 82 %, this recovery was statistically significant (P< 0.01) when compared to the AFs intoxicated group from one side and it was statistically below that of control at P<0.01 from the other side.

Effects of LGG on AFs genotoxicity in germ cells (spermatocytes MI, MII)

Results of chromosomal abnormalities induced by AFs treatment in mice spermatocytes are presented in Table 3. X-Y and autosomal univalents were recorded as structural chromosome aberrations in metaphase I (MII) while numerical abnormalities were recorded in metaphase II (MII) as periploidy (n ± 1, 2) and polyploidy. Data clearly showed that AFs-intoxication induced very high significant increase in all types of structural and numerical abnormalities at P<0.001.

In mice given LGG cultures before AFs-intoxication, structural aberrations were decreased significantly compared to the AFs-treated animals at P<0.001. On the other hand, structural aberrations recovery was still above the values of control and LGG groups; autosomal univalents were statistically significant higher at P<0.05 and the total structural aberrations increased significantly at P<0.001, whereas no significant differences were found between this group and the control group for X-Y univalents at P>0.05. The LGG only treated group showed no significant differences in structural chromosome aberrations in respect to the control at P>0.05.

AFs also, increased periploidy, polyploidy and the total numerical aberrations which were significant (P<0.001) compared to all other groups. Meanwhile, the LGG plus AFs group showed a significant reduction in numerical aberrations compared to the AFs-treated group (P<0.001). Apolyploidy and polyploidy showed significant increase when compared to other groups at P<0.05, whereas the total numerical aberrations were significant higher compared to other groups at P<0.001. LGG only treated group showed no significant differences in numerical aberrations in respect to the control at P>0.05.

The meiotic index (Table 3) revealed a significant meiotic delay in mice treated with AFs with respect to all other groups (P< 0.001). LGG gavage before AFs treatment recovered meiotic activity to the baseline of control; meanwhile it showed a significant difference when compared with the LGG group at P<0.05. In the LGG group, there were no significant differences observed compared with the control group at P>0.05.

Effects of LGG on AFs sperm toxicity

Table 4 presented the data of sperm concentration, motility and morphology due to different treatments. AFs-intoxication caused a highly significant decrease in sperm concentration as compared to control at P<0.001. On the other hand administration of LGG before AFs intoxication caused a significant increase in sperm count respect to the AFs-treated group (19.6× 10⁶); this enhancement showed no significant differences when compared to control group at P>0.05, whereas this increase was significantly below that of LGG groups at P< 0.001. In mice given LGG alone, insignificant increase in sperm count was observed compared to the control at P>0.05.

Sperm motility of mice intoxicated with AFs was affected dramatically, which was reduced to 34.0 %; this reduction was statistically highly significant at P<0.001compared to all groups. In LGG plus AFs group, there was a significant enhancement in sperm motility (69.0%) when compared to the AFs-treated group. Again, this increase was still significantly below the basal count of the control at P<0.001. LGG group showed no significant increase in sperm count in respect to that of the control at P>0.05.

AFs treatment induced a high significant increase in sperm abnormalities (81.0%) in comparing with control at P<0.001 (Table 4). The various head abnormalities were existed, specially head without hook, unusual head shapes, big head and decapitation. The mid-piece abnormalities consisted of hair-pin, folded, bent heads and disrupted neck. The tail abnormalities essentially consisted of angular and bi- or coiled tail. In AFs-treated mice, 19.6 % of sperm head was detached from the flagellum, which was significant higher compared to control at P<0.001. In addition, AFs caused a fairly high percentage of sperm (18.4 %) that had sticky flagellum (agglutination), where several sperms remained fused in various numbers over short to long distances, it was significant higher compared to at P<0.001. The retention of cytoplasmic droplet (CD) by the cauda epididymal sperm of control as well as AFs-treated mice was observed. The retention of CD by the cauda epididymal sperm was 10.0% in control mice whereas it was 40.8 % in...
the AFs-treated mice, this difference was statistically highly significant at P<0.001.

In mice receiving LGG before AFs-intoxication, different sperm abnormalities significantly reduced (36.0 %) in comparing with AFs-treated group at P<0.001, this enhancement showed significant differences with respect with either control or LGG groups at P<0.001.

Table 3: Effect of LGG on chromosomal aberrations and meiotic index induced by AFs in spermatocytes in male mice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental Groups</th>
<th>Structural aberrations (MI)</th>
<th>Numerical aberrations (MI)</th>
<th>Meiotic Index (MI/MMI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X-Y univalents</td>
<td>Autosomal univalents</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (Broth / corn oil)</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.25 A</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.20 A</td>
<td>2.20 ± 0.20 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFs (0.7 mg/kg b.w.)</td>
<td>4.80 ± 0.37 A</td>
<td>7.80 ± 0.37 A</td>
<td>12.6 ± 0.51 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGG (1 × 10⁵)</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.37 A</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.37 A</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.32 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGG plus AFs</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.32 A</td>
<td>2.40 ± 0.25 A</td>
<td>4.40 ± 0.25 A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Means with different superscript letters (A, B, C & D) are significantly different (P <0.001)
- All data are expressed as means ± SEM

Table 4: Effect of LGG on AFs-induced changes in sperm parameters in male mice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental Groups</th>
<th>Sperm Count (% of control)</th>
<th>% Sperm Motility</th>
<th>% Sperm Morphology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Head Abnormality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (Broth / corn oil)</td>
<td>21.9 ± 0.94 A</td>
<td>82.0 ± 2.55 A</td>
<td>2.80 ± 0.37 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFs (0.7 mg/kg b.w.)</td>
<td>14.5 ± 0.63 A</td>
<td>34.0 ± 1.87 A</td>
<td>13.8 ± 1.10 C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGG (1 × 10⁵)</td>
<td>25.2 ± 0.93 A</td>
<td>90.0 ± 1.58 A</td>
<td>2.60 ± 0.25 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGG plus AFs</td>
<td>19.6 ± 0.91 A</td>
<td>69.0 ± 1.87 A</td>
<td>6.20 ± 0.58 A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Means with different superscript letters (A, B, C & D) are significantly different (P <0.001)
- All data are expressed as means ± SEM

Discussion

The data obtained in this study show that AFs induce a significant increase in Lipid peroxidation (LPO) in liver and kidney tissues as increasing in malondialdehyde (MDA) production. MDA is an end product of lipid peroxidation, and is considered a late biomarker of oxidative stress and cellular damage, and LPO is one of the main manifestations of oxidative damage and it has been found to play an important role in the toxicity and carcinogenicity [43]. Oxidative stress arises when the generation of ROS, by-products of the oxidative metabolism primarily produced in the mitochondria, exceeds the cellular ability to eliminate them and to repair cellular damage, thus leading to oxidation of biomolecules including DNA, lipids and proteins [44]. These results confirm and extend previous data which have demonstrated that AFs induce a significant increase in MDA under in vitro and in vivo conditions [15, 45,46].

On the other hand, peroxidative damages are encountered by elaborate defense mechanisms, including enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants [47]. To assess the balance of reactive oxygen species (ROS) production in liver and kidney, levels of non-enzymatic antioxidants GSH and enzymatic antioxidant (SOD) activity were measured. The increase in MDA can be attributed to the significant decrease in both non-enzymatic antioxidant (GSH) and the activity of antioxidant enzyme (SOD) in liver and kidney homogenates of mice treated with AFs. Our findings of decrease in GSH contents and the activities of SOD corroborate with that of previous studies [48-51]. Thus significant decrease in GSH level will further aggravate the toxic effects of these mycotoxins. GSH plays a critical role in the protection of tissues from AFB1 exposure by directly interacting with ROS or as a cofactor for enzymatic detoxification and the liver necrosis begins when the glutathione stores are almost exhausted [47,52,53]. GSH depletion might be a consequence of mycotoxin conjugation with GSH or/and continuous attack of free radicals which known to generate reactive intermediates (such as α, β-unsaturated aldehydes) that covalently bind to GSH [54,55]. This supports the hypothesis that oxidative stress, which is always associated with lipid peroxidation, is a crucial step in aflatoxin B1-induced liver damage [56,57].

The pretreatment with LGG before intoxication with AFs ameliorated the oxidative status compared to control, where MDA level decreased and SOD activity increased, along with an increase in GSH contents. Many *in vitro* studies, reported that LAB strains possess antioxidant properties and inactivate ROS via enzymatic mechanisms, e.g. by a coupled NADH oxidase/ peroxidase system, superoxide dismutase and catalase [58-60]. The yoghurt bacteria *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* and *Streptococcus thermophilus* inhibited
peroxidation of lipids through scavenging the reactive oxygen radicals, such as hydroxyl radical, or hydrogen peroxide [61]. *Bifidobacterium longum* ATCC 15708 and to a lesser extent *L. acidophilus* ATCC 4356 inhibited linoleic acid peroxidation and scavenged free radicals [62]. Also, it was found in human and animal studies that some LAB strains, which inactivate ROS, decrease biochemical parameters of oxidative stress [63,64]. In a clinical study, Songisepp et al. [65] reported that the healthy volunteers consumed 150 g of goat milk fermented with a starter culture *Lactobacillus fermentum* ME-3 for 21 days had shown important improvement of the overall antioxidant activity of blood, as well as antioxidant status, prolonged resistance of lipoprotein fraction to oxidation, reduced level of peroxide lipoproteins and oxidized LDL cholesterol, reduced level of glutathione redox ratio, and increased overall antioxidant activity. Moreover, some *lactobacilli* were reported to produce antioxidant factors in the human gastrointestinal tract [66]. Kullisaar et al. [67] had identified two *Lactobacillus fermentum* strains (E-3 and E-18) with antioxidative properties that overcome exo- and endogenous oxidative stress. The majority of milk bacteria show antioxidant behavior; eliminating the excess oxygen free radicals and producing superoxide dismutase, or glutathione [68] Chen et al. [69] reported that the selenium-enriched *lactobacillus* could elevate antioxidant-enzyme activities and reducing lipid peroxidation reaction, as well as inhibited excessive release of TNF-α preventing the dramatic elevation of [Ca²⁺] in mice hepatocytes. In a recent study, Koller et al. [70] investigated the prevention of oxidative DNA damage in human derived colon (HT29) cells by 55 strains of lactic acid bacteria, they indicated that the reduction of oxidative damage was only seen with viable bacteria but not with heat inactivated cells and that it took place when the colon cells were separated from the LAB by permeable filter membranes indicating that the bacteria release ROS protective factors into the medium. Castex et al. [71] reported that shrimps with a diet enriched of *Pediococcus acidilactici* MA18/5M sustained higher antioxidant defences and lower oxidative stress level.

Concerning genotoxicity, the present results showed clearly that AFs were genotoxic in bone marrow and spermatoocyte cells and had cytotoxic effects in both cell types. Moreover, they affected the DNA synthesis and chromosome segregation and progression through mitosis. AFs genotoxicity revealed by induction of structural (total structure abnormalities -12%) and numerical (total numerical abnormalities -17.5%) chromosome aberrations in somatic cells and (12.6% for structure and 12.4% for numerical aberrations) in germ cells. In addition, AFs reduced the meiotic and mitotic activities. These findings coincide with previous reports; El-Arab et al. [72] reported that AFs (R, B, G, and Q₃) induced structural and numerical chromosomal aberrations in bone marrow and germ cells of male mice. AFB, has induced different chromosomal abnormalities in bone marrow cells and spermatoocytes and shown to reduce the meiotic and mitotic activities of male Swiss albino mice [73,74]. In earlier study, the effect of oral consumption of 200 ppb of crude AFs showed testicular degeneration and a decrease in the meiotic index [75]. Aneuploïdogenic ability of AFB, was reported and it appeared to affect assembly of tubulin into microtubules and/or bring about tubulin deplymerization and would result in generation of meiotic micro nucleate giant spermatoocytes in Swiss mice [76], which may explain the high percentage of premature centromere division and aneuploïdy found in this work. AFB, genotoxicity might be caused through the formation of AFB₁-DNA adducts, which is regarded as a critical step in the initiation of AFB₁-induced hepatocarcinogenesis [9,10]. Moreover, several reports suggested that oxidative stress is considered to be related to cell injury and DNA damage induced by AFB, through the generation of intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) [12,16]. In contradiction, the present results showed that the administration of LGG before AFs-intoxication reduced the AFs-induced genotoxicity (somatic and germ cells by around three folds) and cytotoxicity in both cell types. These data are consistent with other experimental studies which had evidenced the ability of *lactobacilli* and *bifidobacteria* to decrease the genotoxic activity of some chemical compounds [32,77,78]. Gratzi et al. [79] used DNA fragmentation as a marker of AFB₁-induced DNA damage in differentiated Caco-2 cells exposed to AFB, following induction of CYP MA1-DNA damage was apparent following treatment with AFB₁, while coinubcation with LGG reduced the AFB₁-induced damage in this test system.

Regarding the reproductive toxicity, the present study clearly indicated that oral administration of the AFs caused adverse effects on male reproductive parameters in mice (Table 3). These findings clearly indicated to severe impact of AFs on spermatogenesis and/or spermiogenesis; and it is a clear reflection of a direct or indirect toxic manifestation of these mycotoxins treatment in the spermatogenic compartment. Various authors have reported similar kind of observations in different animals emphasizing AFs as reproductive toxicants; disruption of spermatogenesis [80,81] and production of defective spermatozoa [82,83] when Swiss mice were treated with AFB₁, the most potent and potentially lethal metabolite.

ROS peroxidized fatty acids producing metabolites that could damage phosphatides of cell membrane; consequently, damage the sperm morphology and might impair sperm motility [84,85,86]. Consequently, the decline in sperm motility might be due to mitochondrial disruption and/or oxidative stress, where a fairly percent of mid-piece disruption was found, in addition to the deformation of the flagellum. These findings confirm the previous data correlating the decrease in human sperm motility to mitochondrial disruption and/or an increase in lipid peroxidation [87]. Furthermore, Chitra et al. [88] observed that increased levels of lipid peroxidation caused the reduction of sperm count and viability. The sticky flagellum observed in this study might be formed by fusing of two or more spermatozoa, where two or more axonemes are in a common cytoplasm [83]. On the other hand, pretreatment with LGG significantly mitigates the mycotoxin-induced alterations in reproductive parameters in mice, where a significant improvement in the sperm motility and raise in the sperm number; along with reducing sperm abnormalities were shown. Moreover, these probiotic reduced CD retention by more 2 folds with respect to the mycotoxins-treated groups.

The overall data indicate that the LGG have a broad range of biomodulatory properties; alleviate the mycotoxins-oxidative stress and protect against their genotoxicity; as well as mitigate their spermatotoxic effects. This might be, in part, due to the ability of lactic acid bacteria to adsorb this mycotoxin; where several studies clearly reported the adsorption mechanisms *in vitro* [24,79,89]. Previous work showed that LGG was the most efficient strain in binding a range of mycotoxins, including aflatoxins [27]. Furthermore, Gratzi et al. [90] suggested that LGG treatment reduced the hepatotoxic effects caused by a high dose of AFB₁, by increasing the excretion of orally dosed aflatoxin via the fecal route and suggested that LGG was able to retain additional AFB, and AFM, inside the intestinal lumens of rats. Moreover, LAB found to cause reduction of the formation of secondary bile acids [91] and enhancement of the immune system [92-94].

In conclusion, the present data confirm the toxicity induced by aflatoxins; where the hepatotoxicity and nephrotoxicity were accompanied by an elevation in LPO along with a reduction in GSH.
contents and SOD activity. Also, AFs induced genotoxicity in somatic and germ cells, as well as resulted in mitotic and meiotic delay. In addition, AFs caused severe spermatotoxic effects. By contrast, the current results indicate that oral administration of LGG cultures to mice significantly mitigates mycotoxin-induced toxicity by means of preventing oxidative stress, and by maintaining glutathione content, as well as a stable activity of SOD, and protected against mycotoxins-induced genotoxicity and sperm toxicity. However, further studies are needed to better understand the in vivo possible mechanism(s) by which LGG may reduce the toxicity induced by this mycotoxin.
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