Radioresistance and Cancer Stem Cells: Survival of the Fittest
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Abstract
Cancer arises from the accumulation of genetic mutations and aberrant epigenetic modifications in normal cells. Cancer stem cells (CSCs) have been described as a unique tumorigenic population of cells within the tumor mass that have the ability to self-renew and differentiate. In the past few years, the existence and nature of CSCs has served as one of the most controversial topics in the field of cancer biology; however, more recently, there is an abundant amount of evidence that demonstrates their existence. CSCs are believed to be responsible for resistance against conventional therapies, such as radiotherapy that contributes to uncontrolled tumor growth, metastasis and subsequently, patient demise. In this review, we summarize the mechanism(s) by which CSCs are radioresistant, including their enhanced DNA damage response, cell cycle status and the role of the CSC niche. Moreover, by using the Oncomine database, we display data from our laboratory and other groups demonstrating that CSCs have an increased expression of radioresistance genes, which are also involved in carcinogenesis, metastasis and patient relapse. In addition, we provide data from prostatospheres derived from primary patient cells demonstrating that the RAN signaling pathway is one of the top upregulated pathways within the CSC population. Therefore, we hypothesize that the RAN signaling pathway is related to the radioresistance property of CSCs. We briefly review this burgeoning field of study on the biological behavior of CSCs and provide new suggestions for the development of future therapies to target radioresistant CSCs in both pharmaceutical investigations and clinical trials.
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Introduction
The concept of cancer stem cells
Cancer is defined as the uncontrolled growth of abnormal cells in the body and it is one of the leading causes of death worldwide. In 2010, over a half million cancer deaths are estimated to have occurred in the United States [1]. The individual cells inside a bulk tumor are considered to vary in their properties, which is termed heterogeneity. To date, there are two models explaining the models of cancer development: the stochastic model and the hierarchy model [2,3]. In the stochastic model, all the cells are biologically homogenous within the tumor and their characteristics are regulated by both intrinsic and extrinsic factors which influence the heterogeneity of the cancer cells. On the contrary, the hierarchy model predicts that a tumor consists of heterogeneous cells and there is a specific population of the cells within the tumor that have tumorigenic ability. The identification of this unique population, termed cancer stem cells (CSCs), within the heterogeneous population in the bulk tumor has established much interest in the biological and molecular characterization of this recently acknowledged subpopulation.

CSCs were first identified in human acute myeloid leukemia (AML) cells in 1994 [4]. Lapidot et al. fractionated AML cells based on cell surface marker expression and found that a CD34+CD38 population were able to engraft severe combined immune-deficient (SCID) mice and develop progenitors of human leukemia, while the CD34−CD38+ and CD34+ fractions were not [4]. CSCs were then identified in many solid tumors, including breast, prostate, pancreas, brain, colon, liver, lung, ovary and skin cancers [5-7]. There are several methods used to enrich for the CSC population in total cancer cells, including flow cytometry based on cell surface marker gene expression of CD44, CD24, CD133, and α2β1 [8,9]. In addition, CSCs can be enriched for in cultures of serum free stem cell media in attachment independent systems, resulting in the development of ‘spheres’ [10-13]. In comparison to the total adherent population, spheres express higher levels of stem associated genes and have higher tumorigenic capacity in mice with similar levels to sorted CSCs. Additionally, recent work in our laboratory has shown that invasive prostate cancer cells are more tumorigenic, compared with their non-invasive counterparts [14]. Klarmann et al. demonstrated using invasion chambers and highly defined stem cell media that we can enrich for the population that express stem genes and have CSC-like properties in prostate cancer cell lines. These invasive cells have undergone epithelial to mesenchymal transitions (EMT) and are much more tumorigenic when injected into SCID mice [15]. Overall, CSCs not only have the ability to self-renew and give rise to differentiated cancer cells, but also form colonies in vitro and tumors in vivo with a small number of cells (100-1000 cells), compared with total cancer cells [16].

The continuous characterization of CSCs supports their existence and there is evidence suggesting that they may be responsible for both chemoresistance and radioresistance, leading to cancer cell survival, invasion, metastasis and further patient demise. In this review, we will summarize the evidence supporting the radioresistant characteristics of CSCs and propose how to make them more sensitive to radiotherapies, which can provide new insights for pharmaceutical investigations and clinical trials.
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Cancer stem cells and radioresistance

It is hypothesized that cancer cells are heterogeneous in their radiation response and CSCs are most resistant to radiation [17]. To date, the degree of radiosensitivity is recognized to be related to both intrinsic properties, including DNA repair, cell cycle status, survival pathways and extrinsic properties which include cues from the extracellular environment. It is these combinatorial factors which are hypothesized to enable CSCs to withstand radiation insult. In order to develop approaches which can enhance the response of CSCs to radiotherapy, it is necessary to understand the features that contribute to CSC radiosensitivity.

DNA repair and cell cycle status: There is mounting evidence suggesting that to achieve increased genomic stability, CSCs utilize DNA repair mechanisms to maintain themselves in a stable state upon radiation. DNA repair mechanisms include double-strand break repair [18,19], mismatch repair [20,21], nucleotide excision repair [22] and base excision repair [22,23]. A number of proteins involved in the process of DNA repair include ATM, BRCA1/2, CHEK2, p53, and RAD family proteins [18,24]. These proteins are involved in the process of aberrant DNA recognition, cell cycle arrest and DNA repair [25-28]. Failure of this mechanism can result in genomic instability, accumulated mutagenesis and lead to carcinogenesis [29,30]. The dysfunction of these cancer susceptibility genes that normally function in DNA repair can increase the risk of both familial and sporadic breast cancers. A hallmark trait of DNA damage is γH2AX levels (the phosphorylated form of histone H2AX) and it is used for evaluating DNA repair dynamics [31-33]. In a study using MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells, radiation treatment enriched a relatively radioresistant side population with stem characteristics [34]. In this same study, CD44+CD24−low CSCs were isolated and propagated as mammospheres and upon treatment with radiation between 2 Gy and 6 Gy, increased levels of γH2AX showed that the response to radiation was time and dose-dependent in cells from monolayer cultures, whereas cells derived from mammospheres showed little change in H2AX phosphorylation [34]. In the mammospheres, the low level of γH2AX after radiation can demonstrate efficient DNA repair as a result of the following: low induction of DNA double-strand breaks; failure of DNA damage recognition and/or an extremely rapid DNA repair by which the CSCs may be induced into cell cycle progression and proliferation. Induction of the CSCs into a state of cell cycle progression may occur without undergoing cell cycle arrest or apoptosis. The authors also demonstrated that fractionated radiation activates the Notch survival pathway (discussed in detail below), which may have resulted in an increase of the CSC population as well. These findings offered a potential mechanism of cancer cell repopulation during the gaps in radiotherapy.

In the central nervous system, DNA repair is more common in both normal stem cells and CSCs than that in the differentiated and/or non-CSCs [35]. In glioblastoma cells, CSCs have been shown to be enriched in the fraction of CD133+ (PROM+) cells, which have reduced sensitivity to radiation-induced apoptosis [36,37]. In concert with this finding, Bao et al. showed that CD133+ population in both cell culture and the brains of SCID mice were enriched after ionizing radiation [38]. CD133+ cells irradiated with 2 Gy had almost the same ability to generate tumors as the non-irradiated CD133- cells did. Compared to CD133- cells, CD133+ cells isolated from both human glioma xenografts and primary patient glioblastoma specimens showed an activated DNA damage checkpoint upon radiation. This activation could be reversed by debromohymenialdisine (DBH), a specific inhibitor for Chk1 and Chk2 checkpoint transducer kinases [38]. It is well known that Chk1 and Chk2 play a crucial role in the regulation of checkpoint responses to a delay or an arrest in cell cycle leading to the repair of DNA damage [39]. DBH treatment showed a synergistic function with irradiation to disrupt the radiosensitivity of CD133+ cells (Table 1).

It is also speculated that there is a delay of cell cycle progression via an increase of checkpoint kinases allowing more time to utilize DNA damage repair mechanisms [40]. Similarly, the preclinical profile of AZD7762 (AstraZeneca), a potent inhibitor for Chk kinase family proteins, was described in 2008 [41]. Dose-dependent anti-tumor activity was observed in multiple xenograft models when AZD7762 was used in combination with DNA-damaging agents, indicating that the checkpoint kinase inhibitors are able to enhance the efficiency of radiotherapy (Table 1). Both the ATM and ATR kinases recognize DNA damage and initiate the cell cycle checkpoint through phosphorylation of many targets [42,43]. Chk1 and Chk2 function downstream of ATR and ATM, respectively, in the DNA-damage checkpoint signaling pathway [44-46]. Together, they phosphorylate a variety of effectors, such as p53 and CDC25 phosphatases, leading to cell cycle arrest. Rainey et al. identified CP466722 (Pfizer) by screening a compound library for inhibitors of the ATM kinase [47]. CP466722 inhibited ATM-dependent phosphorylation events and the disruption of ATM function resulted in cell cycle checkpoint defects. The blockade of ATM kinase activity was rapidly and completely removed after withdrawal of CP466722, showing that short-term inhibition of ATM was sufficient to sensitize cells to radiation (Table 1). Thus, drugs such as AZD7762 and CP466722 provide new tools to disrupt constitutive activation of cell cycle checkpoints in CSCs of glioma cells and allow more sensitivity to radiotherapy. Although it is still under investigation whether it is due to enhanced DNA repair mechanisms or a delay in cell cycle progression, CSCs utilize a unique system to ensure efficient DNA repair resulting in radioresistance.

An additional property of CSCs associated with radioresistance is the ability of CSCs to remain in a quiescent state. This property makes them more resistant to cell cycle related agents, including many chemotherapeutic drugs, such as paclitaxel [48], and radiation. In general, proliferating cells are more radiosensitive than quiescent cells [49-51] as the cells in G2/M phase are most radiosensitive while those in late S phase are most radioresistant. It has been demonstrated that during fractionated radiation therapy, the loss of the bulk tumor cells will cause re-entry into the cell cycle and accelerate the repopulation of CSCs [52]. Abnormal regulation of cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) pathways controlling cell cycle progression, such as the p16-CDK4-RB pathway, may promote the generation and proliferation of CSCs [53,54]. Hence, it is of great importance to determine the balance between triggering CSCs into cell cycle and uncontrolled proliferation during and after irradiation.

Survival pathways and its molecular link to CSCs: While different pathways have been shown to be responsible for both CSC self-renewal and radioresistance in multiple cancer settings, there are specific pathways frequently involved that include the Hedgehog (Hh), Notch, and Wnt pathways [55-57].

The Hh pathway is thought to play an important role in regulating CSC proliferation, survival and maintenance [58-62]. Hh was first discovered in Drosophila and it is a highly conserved pathway across multiple organisms. Binding of secreted Hh ligands (Sonic, Desert and Indian) to the Patched (PTCH) receptor on the membrane activates...
Hh signaling following the activation of transmembrane protein Smothened (SMO) and the nuclear translocation of Gli family transcription factors [63,64]. In addition, Gli provides a positive feedback for Hh signaling pathway and its downstream targets include genes controlling cell adhesion, angiogenesis, cell cycle and apoptosis [65]. In human glioma cells, Hh-Gli signaling regulates the expression of stemness genes and the self-renewal of CD133+ CSCs. Interference of Hh-Gli signaling by cyclopamine or silencing GLI expression by shRNA blocks glioma tumorigenicity in mice, demonstrating that an active Hh signaling pathway is required for glial CSC tumorigenicity [66]. An Hh signaling has also been detected in human breast cancer stem cells characterized as a CD44+/CD24−/low/Lin− population [67,68]. All of these reveal the essential role of Hh signaling pathway in controlling the behavior of CSCs and offer new therapeutic possibilities. Although the specific role Hh signaling has in radiation resistance remains an area of active investigation, it is reported that activation of Hh signaling pathway may promote the repopulation of CSCs after radiotherapy, thereby, contributing to both radiation resistance and treatment failure [61]. To determine the role of Hh signaling in therapeutic resistance to radiotherapy, Sims-Mourtada et al. analyzed esophageal tumor samples from 43 radiotherapy resistant cancer patients and showed that 83.7% had activated Hh signaling defined by Hh expression and nuclear GLI localization [61]. Moreover, in esophageal cancer cells, they demonstrated that exogenous Hh ligand stimulation or GLI overexpression provoked a G1-S cell cycle transition by increasing the levels of cyclin D1 expression and Rb phosphorylation, leading to a significant enrichment of radiosensitive S-phase fractions [61]. Blocking Hh signaling by either GLI shRNAs or the Hh pathway antagonists cyclopamine and forskolin (Table 1) inhibited the expression of the G1-S checkpoint protein cyclin D1, CDK4 and cell cycle progression. This resulted in the accumulation of cells in the G1 phase and decreased percentage of radiosensitive S-phase cells [61]. In an additional study of glioblastoma stem cells, Hh pathway was shown to be dependent on insulin-like growth factor (IGF) signaling in radioresistance [69]. IGF induces the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) activation, which promotes cell survival by suppressing apoptotic mechanisms and triggering transcription of survival genes, thus, leading to uncontrollable cell proliferation and tumorigenic ability [70,71]. These studies demonstrate an important role for Hh signaling in radioresistance.

Notch activation has been found to be crucial in maintaining CSC self-renewal in various niches and is associated with the inhibition of CSC differentiation [72,73]. In mammals, there are four Notch receptor proteins (N1-N4) including a non-covalently associated extracellular subunit (NEU) and a transmembrane subunit (NTM) [74]. The binding of Jagged or Delta-like ligands from an adjacent cell to NEU leads to the cleavage of extracellular NTM and the release of the intracellular portion of NTM, which is dependent on the proteolysis activity of metalloprotease and presenilin/secreatin [75-78]. The intracellular domain of NTM translocates into the nucleus and forms a ternary complex with CSL and MAML family coactivators to regulate their target genes, including the cell cycle regulator p21 [79-82]. The inhibition of the Notch signaling pathway by γ-secretase inhibitors (GSIs) blocks CSC self-renewal and proliferation in medulloblastoma cells, demonstrating the essential role of Notch pathway in CSCs [83]. Additionally, CSCs in the brain express nestin, which is activated by Notch signaling pathway as well [84,85]. Nestin is a class VI intermediate filament protein which is used as a marker to identify neural stem cells (NSCs) and progenitor cells in the developing central nervous system (CNS) [86,87]. Nestin-expressing cells in human cortical glial tumors have the ability to self-renew and differentiate into multiple lineages, suggesting its potential role in maintaining CSC characteristics [88]. Nestin has been detected to be highly expressed in many human primary brain tumors [89,90], especially in CD133+ tumor cells, which could be linked to radiation resistance and the repopulation of CSCs [38]. Notch signaling pathway has also been suggested to activate the EGFR pathway, which could enhance DNA repair capacity and cell survival ability [91]. In other cases, hypoxia-mediated expression of HIF1 can interact with Notch signaling and contribute to the maintenance of CSCs in undifferentiated states in a variety of tumor settings [92-95]. For example, in breast cancer, Notch signaling is aberrantly activated by HIF1 and hypoxia-induced Jagged2 activation promotes EMT and the self-renewal of CSCs through the activation of AKT pathway [92]. Additionally, Phillips et al. demonstrated that during fractionated radiation, the breast CSC was enriched and accompanied by radiation-induced Jagged1 expression and Notch1 activation, suggesting the potential role of Notch signaling in radioresistance [34]. Moreover, ectopic expression of the constitutively active Notch intracellular domain enhances the resistance of glioblastoma stem cells to radiation. The disruption of the Notch signaling pathway by γ-secretase inhibitors (Table 1) or knockdown of Notch significantly sensitizes the CSCs to radiation response and reduces the possibilities of xenograft tumor formation by inhibiting the AKT activity [96]. All the evidence above highly suggests that Notch signaling pathway increases the accelerated repopulation and radiation resistance properties of CSCs [97]. However, the exact mechanism(s) by which radioresistance is mediated by Notch signaling is still under investigation.

The activation of the Wnt signaling pathway has been shown to maintain CSC self-renewal in many different ways, including enhancing the proliferation status of CSCs and controlling the capability of CSCs to be associated with their niches [8,98]. To date, there are as many as 19 Wnt isoforms reported in human, and they are responsible for the initiation of the signaling cascade by binding to the Frizzled receptor and LRP co-receptor on the membrane [99]. In the absence of Wnt signals, APC, CK1 and GSK3β form a complex to target β-catenin for phosphorylation and subsequent degradation by the 26S proteasome [100-102]. The binding of Wnt ligands to the Frizzled and LRP receptors results in the phosphorylation of Dvl and prevents the GSK3β dependent phosphorylation of β-catenin. β-catenin is stabilized by dephosphorylation and then translocated into the nucleus where it interacts with the TCF/LEF complex and activates the transcription of target genes, including c-myc, cyclin D1, survivin, VEGF, and the AP-1 transcription complex, all of which are involved in cell cycle progression, proliferation and apoptosis [99]. In a study of breast cancer, the stem cell subpopulation was enriched after radiation and the level of activated β-catenin was elevated but γH2AX was resolved quickly, suggesting a role for the Wnt/β-catenin signaling cascade and more effective DNA repair in CSCs after radiation [103]. In correlation to this, the CD44+/CD24− population in breast cancer cells has also shown resistance to radiation [104]. Several other links between β-catenin and DNA damage response have been made as well. Ku70 and PARP-1 compete with β-catenin for binding to the TCF transcription factors, and upon DNA damage, Ku70 binds to TCF and prevents the formation of an activating transcriptional complex containing β-catenin. With this model, constitutively active Wnt signaling and stabilized β-catenin may compete with Ku70 and overcome DNA damage via LEF/TCF transcription complex.
In addition, survivin, a member of the inhibitors of apoptosis protein (IAP) family, is a target gene of β-catenin, promotes cellular survival upon apoptotic stimuli [106] and is only expressed in fetal and cancer tissues [107,108]. Mechanistically, survivin not only acts as a suppressor of apoptosis through the interference of caspase family proteins [109-111], but promotes cell division and survival by ensuring accurate sister chromatid segregation and microtubule stabilization [112]. The failure to undergo apoptosis and the ability to induce cell proliferation renders the resistance to radiation. The survivin inhibitor, YM155, sensitizes non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cells exposed to radiation (Table 1) [113] and thus, the possibility of targeting survivin is now an attractive option for the development of anti-cancer therapies [114,115]. Although the role of Wnt/β-catenin signaling in radioresistance of CSCs remains obscure, Wnt signaling activates DNA damage response and leads to tolerance of DNA damage and resistance to radiation. It is hypothesized that this genomic instability may drive the transformation of normal stem cells into CSCs [116].

CSC niches and their microenvironment: The behavior of a cell is determined by its interaction with others and tissue function is a combination of these multicellular behaviors. The microenvironment is particularly important for stem cells because their interaction with stromal elements constitute the stem cell niche [117,118]. The cells located within the niches can regulate the interaction by either direct cell-cell contact or by secreting regulatory molecules that promote stem cell proliferation or inhibit differentiation [91,117,118]. Since CSCs have shared normal stem cell characteristics, it is hypothesized that they may reside in specific niches (reviewed in [119]), and are regulated by many stromal components, including cancer-associated fibroblasts and other support cells, extracellular matrix, vasculature and secreted soluble factors [120-124].

It has been suggested that CSC survival can be impacted by their niches following the stress of radiation exposure [91,103,125,126]. For example, upon radiation, direct interactions between CSCs and their surrounding cells have been shown to upregulate some anti-apoptotic proteins, like Survivin and BCL-2, and decrease the apoptotic response in prostate cancer cells [127]. Furthermore, knockdown of Survivin by antisense oligonucleotides significantly sensitizes the radiation response of colorectal cancer cells both in vitro and in vivo [128]. Similarly, CD133+ glioma stem cells have been shown to express higher levels of protective autophagy proteins after irradiation, and resistance can be attenuated by inhibition of the expression of these proteins in in vitro sphere-forming assays [126]. In addition, niche-associated Notch pathway was shown to be activated after radiation and resulted in increased symmetric cell division and accelerated repopulation of CSCs [97]. The Notch pathway also plays a crucial role in linking angiogenesis and CSC self-renewal in glioblastoma CSCs. It has been shown that combination treatment of both Notch blockade by DAPT (Table 1) and radiation is more effective than radiation alone in inhibiting the self-renewal and proliferation in tumor explants [129]. Notch activation has also been suggested to activate some other pathways, such as the EGFR pathway, which could promote DNA repair capability, CSC survival and regeneration kinetics [116,130]. Moreover, CSC niches may also produce survival cytokines, such as EGF, FGF, and VEGF, all of which are responsible for the radioresistance and radioprotection of cancer cells [91].

Medulloblastomas are brain tumors that arise in the cerebellum of children and contain CSCs in the perivascular niche [84,131]. In the mouse models that mimic human medulloblastomas, CSCs in the perivascular niche are nestin-positive and they are able to survive after radiation. Radiation-induced activation of the PI3K/AKT pathway in perivascular stem cells results in p53-dependent cell cycle arrest and the ability to re-enter the cell cycle after 72h following radiation, leading to the promotion of radioresistant CSCs. However, the proliferating cells inside the bulk tumor undergo radiation-induced p53-dependent apoptosis, further displaying the importance of the CSC niche located adjacent to the blood vessels [132]. Additionally, the inhibition of Akt phosphorylation by perifosine can sensitize cells in the perivascular region to radiation-induced apoptosis as well (Table 1).

Recent data have shed further light on CSC radioresistance and the role of oxygen and CSCs in the tumor at the time of radiation. The evidence shows this relationship is very important to their radiosensitivity. A state of hypoxia in the niches is necessary to maintain CSCs in an undifferentiated state [133-135], and has been suggested to positively regulate the expression of CSC surface markers (CD133, CD44) and transcription factors (SOX2) [136]. Blazek et al. cultured the Daoy medulloblastoma cell line for 5 days in 2% oxygen rather than the regular 20% oxygen and found that the CD133+ sector was enlarged by 1.6-fold [133]. When treated with radiation from 0 to 10 Gy, the CD133+ Daoy cells were more radioresistant via the β-counter of their linear quadratic model than the CD133- counterparts. Interestingly, these CD133+ cells can be significantly converted to the opposite class during incubation in 20% oxygen for 18 days, which they termed bi-potency of CD133+ cells [133]. Oxygen is a potent radiosensitizer and can increase the effectiveness of radiation by forming DNA-damaging free radicals [137]. In association with hypoxia, HIF-1 expression is increased in CSCs, which may be protected from oxidative damage with increased ability for DNA damage response and resistance to cell death mechanisms induced by radiotherapy [38,138,139]. In addition, TGF-β, a stem cell related pathway, has been shown to induce HIF-1 stabilization [140], suggesting the importance of CSCs to reside in a hypoxic environment by interacting with their niches. Hypoxia is also shown as one of the most important components of therapeutic resistance in some classic biological studies [141].

Angiogenesis is the process resulting in the formation of new blood vessels from the pre-existing vascular network during both normal and pathological development [142]. Angiogenesis is precisely regulated by a balance between pro- and anti-angiogenic factors. The dysregulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drugs or Inhibitors</th>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>Pathways</th>
<th>Cancer Models</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Debromohymenialdisine</td>
<td>Chk1/2</td>
<td>Cell cycle and DNA repair</td>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZD7762 (AstraZeneca)</td>
<td>Chk1/2</td>
<td>Cell cycle and DNA repair</td>
<td>Colon cancer</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4666722 (Pfizer)</td>
<td>ATM</td>
<td>Cell cycle and DNA repair</td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclopamine</td>
<td>SMO</td>
<td>Hh signaling pathway</td>
<td>Esophageal cancer, Glioblastoma</td>
<td>[60,65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forskolin</td>
<td>GLI1</td>
<td>Hh signaling pathway</td>
<td>Esophageal cancer</td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSIs, DAPT</td>
<td>γ-secretase</td>
<td>Notch signaling pathway</td>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>[90,123]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YM155</td>
<td>survivin</td>
<td>Wnt signaling pathway</td>
<td>Non-small cell lung cancer</td>
<td>[107]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perifosine</td>
<td>AKT</td>
<td>PI3K/AKT signaling pathway</td>
<td>Medulloblastoma</td>
<td>[126]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Drugs or inhibitors already available which can sensitize CSCs to radiotherapy.
of angiogenesis within tumors produces vessels which are structurally and functionally abnormal, contributing to hypoxia. Hypoxia, in turn, stimulates angiogenesis through HIF-α, a crucial transcription factor that activates pro-angiogenic factors, such as VEGF [143]. It has been shown that in glioblastoma cells, the CD133+ CSCs produce higher levels of VEGF in comparison to the CD133- population. The CD133+ population induces endothelial cell migration, tube formation and tumor initiation can be blocked by VEGF inhibitors, indicating that CSCs possess increased proangiogenesis ability via VEGF [38]. Thus, this model could serve as a potential target for anti-cancer therapies. Kozin et al. have shown that, in 54A non small lung cancer and U87 glioblastoma models, the dose of radiation required for long-term control of tumors was significantly reduced when using an anti-VEGFR2 antibody (DC101) [144]. More recently, Huber et al. combined SU11657 (an inhibitor of VEGF, PDGF and c-kit) with the chemotherapeutic agent pembrolizumab (a multitargeted folate antimetabolite) in radiation therapy both in vitro and in vivo in human A431 epidermoid carcinoma cells. The combination of SU11657 and pemetrexed highly sensitized the cells to radiation and the triple combination was more effective than any single or double treatment.

Figure 1: Oncomine analysis of radioresistance genes in prostate carcinomas and metastatic tissues. (A) Magee’s dataset comparing prostate carcinomas to normal cells. (B) Magee’s dataset comparing metastatic tissues to the cells in primary site. (C) Holzbeierlein’s dataset comparing metastatic tissues to the cells in primary site. The heat maps represent raw data from the indicated studies comparing the expression level of radioresistance genes in indicated samples. The p value represents Student’s t test comparing the two samples. The fold change in expression level, the gene name and the reporter ID from the position on the array are also provided. Most expressed genes are shown in red, while least expressed genes are shown in blue.
Although the biological basis for the synergistic effects is still not fully understood, it is most likely that antiangiogenic agents can reduce tumor hypoxia and create a 'normalization window for tumor vasculature'. During this period, the tumor cells are more sensitive to radiotherapy and the combination of these multiple therapies provides the best outcome [143,146]. Altogether, all the evidence above strongly suggests that CSC niches are an accomplice in giving rise to the radioresistance of CSCs.

Radioresistance in prostate CSCs

Despite treatment with aggressive chemotherapy, radiotherapy, castration surgery, or combined approaches, prostate cancer is still the third most common cause of death in men of all ages and the most common cause of death in men over 75 years old [147]. Similarly to other cancer types, CSCs in prostate cancer are suggested to be responsible for radioresistance during radiotherapy and recurrences afterwards. Recently, Skvortsova et al. established three radiation-resistant cell lines, LNCaP-IRR, Du145-IRR and PC3-IRR from the parental LNCaP, Du145 and PC3 cell lines by repetitive exposure to ionizing radiation [148]. Subsequently, they determined the difference in the proteome profile of parental and IRR cells by 2D difference gel electrophoresis (DIGE), computational image analysis and mass spectrometry (MS) [148]. A list was generated with the differentially modulated proteins in all three IRR cell lines compared to the parental cell lines. The radioresistance signature consists of genes involved in the regulation of cell survival, motility and DNA repair. To further investigate the role of these radioresistance genes in prostate cancer, we applied this list into cell survival, motility and DNA repair. To further investigate the role of these radioresistance genes in prostate cancer, we applied this list into cell survival, motility and DNA repair.

As shown above, there is a correlation between metastatic cancers and radioresistant genes. Previous studies in our laboratory show that prostatospheres derived from the immortalized LNCaP cell line and primary patient cell lines PCSC1, PCSC2, PCSC3 are representative of the CSC population and display the capacity to initiate tumors in vivo [10]. Using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software, we analyzed the genes significantly upregulated in prostatospheres to the CSC population responsible for metastasis and radioresistance hence, it is plausible to speculate that patients presenting with metastases will have an increased expression of radioresistant genes. The data derived from the Oncomine database suggest that this speculation is credible as the samples derived from prostate metastatic populations in a bulk tumor have an increase in these genes. Hence, this combined with previous data further supports that CSCs are more radioresistant than their non-metastatic counterparts [10]. Additionally, CSCs with radioresistance properties are speculated to be responsible for the repopulation of cancer cells after radiotherapy, during which radioresistance genes play a central role, thus, contributing to patient relapse. Importantly, in line with this, we further demonstrate that these radioresistance genes are all increased in patients who have recurrence after one year or five years following radiotherapy (Figure 2A-B). Although the exact relationship between CSCs and the radioresistant signature listed above has not been fully clarified, there is strong evidence derived from Oncomine suggesting an increased expression of radioresistant genes in aggressive prostate cancer tissues and patients with recurrence, disease states that are often attributed to the CSC population.

As shown above, there is a correlation between metastatic cancers and radioresistant genes. Previous studies in our laboratory show that prostatospheres derived from the immortalized LNCaP cell line and primary patient cell lines PCSC1, PCSC2, PCSC3 are representative of the CSC population and display the capacity to initiate tumors in vivo [10]. Using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software, we analyzed the genes significantly upregulated in prostatospheres to the total adherent cell population. The genes significantly upregulated were determined by using a whole genome Agilent array analysis that compared prostatospheres to the total adherent cell population.

Figure 2: Oncomine analysis of radioresistance genes in patients with recurrence after one year, A: Lapointe’s dataset, or five years, B: Holzbeierlein’s dataset, following radiotherapy. The heat maps represent raw data from the indicated studies comparing the expression level of radioresistance genes in indicated samples. The p value represents Student’s t test comparing the two samples. The fold change in expression level, the gene name and the reporter ID from the position on the array are also provided. Most expressed genes are shown in red, while least expressed genes are shown in blue.
Figure 3: IPA analysis of the array data in our laboratory demonstrates the significant changes of RAN signaling pathway in prostatospheres. Genes highlighted in red suggest the significant increase of expression in prostatospheres compared to adherent cells. In PCSC1 (A) and PCSC3 (B) primary patient cell lines, prostatospheres have an increase of gene expression in RAN signaling pathway. Each symbol stands for a molecule with different function as follows: diamond: enzyme; ellipse: transcription regulator; trapezium: transporter; circle: others. Regular arrows mean “acts on” and arrows with outlined triangles stand for “translocates to”.
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Using this specific gene set, we found that the RAN signaling pathway is one of the top upregulated pathways in prostatospheres for two primary patient cell lines, PCSC1 (Figure 3A) and PCSC3 (Figure 3B). The molecules involved in this pathway which were expressed significantly higher in prostatospheres compared to adherent cells are organized in Table 2. In line with this, RAN is also included in the radioresistant gene list we used to interrogate the Oncomine database. RAN (ras-related nuclear protein), a member of the RAS superfamily, is a small GTP binding protein that is essential for the translocation of RNA and proteins through the nuclear pore complex. RAN is described as a downstream gene of the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway [149] and is also involved in DNA synthesis and cell cycle progression. Mutation of RAN disrupts DNA synthesis [150], and a constitutively activated RAN mutant is sufficient to transform NIH-3T3 cells, which form tumors in mice, in an mTOR and EGFR dependent manner [151]. Moreover, in aggressive ovarian cancer cell lines, downregulation of RAN expression inhibits cellular proliferation by inducing a caspase-3 dependent apoptosis, suggesting an essential role of RAN in ovarian cancer [152]. Although the role of RAN in prostate CSCs needs to be further elucidated, we identified increased radioresistance gene expression in a prostate CSC population and hence, it will be very interesting to further study the mechanisms of radioresistance and how to sensitize and target CSCs in radiotherapies.

**Conclusion**

In the past few years, the existence and nature of CSCs in different tumor settings has been a debatable topic in cancer research field. CSCs represent a specific population inside the bulk tumor and only a low number of CSCs are able to form colonies in vitro and initiate replating. However, the exact mechanisms of this process are not well understood.

**Table 2:** The molecules involved in RAN signaling pathways which were elevated in prostatospheres.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCSC1 RAN Signaling Genes</th>
<th>Fold-Change (Prostatospheres vs Adherent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KPNA1</td>
<td>1.419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPNA2</td>
<td>2.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPNA6</td>
<td>1.238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAN</td>
<td>2.338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANBP1</td>
<td>1.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANBP2</td>
<td>1.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCC1</td>
<td>1.701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNP01</td>
<td>1.681</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCSC3 RAN Signaling Genes</th>
<th>Fold-Change (Prostatospheres vs Adherent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSE1L</td>
<td>1.906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPNA2</td>
<td>2.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPNA3</td>
<td>1.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPNA4</td>
<td>2.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAN</td>
<td>3.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCC1</td>
<td>1.1520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNP01</td>
<td>1.052</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: A proposed model for radiotherapy approaches that can target CSCs. (A) In traditional radiotherapy, the bulk tumor shrinks after initial radiation, but the cancer cells repopulate during the gaps of radiotherapy, leading to patient relapse. (B) In combinatorial radiotherapy, drugs or inhibitors can be used to sensitize CSCs to radiation and enhance the outcome of therapy. During initial radiotherapy, the main targets may be the quiescent status of CSCs and hypoxia. Afterwards, the DNA repair mechanisms and the survival pathways become more dominant and can be targeted to prevent the repopulation of CSCs.
tumors in vivo. CSCs are relatively resistant to radiotherapy and thus, many studies have focused on the pathways and niches associated with CSC radioresistance. However, different CSC resistance pathways may play roles at different stages during fractionated radiotherapy. Therefore, it will be necessary to take into account the dynamics of CSC radioresistance mechanisms at different stages during radiotherapy, and the timing and duration of therapeutic strategies must be defined. For example, at the beginning of radiotherapy, the most likely mechanisms of CSC resistance are their quiescent status and hypoxia. After initial therapy, DNA repair mechanisms, as well as survival pathways may become more dominant for the accelerated repopulation as CSCs are induced into cell cycle progression and proliferation. On the other hand, addition of chemotherapeutic agents during radiotherapy may be another effective strategy to sensitize CSCs to radiation (summarized in Figure 4). Similar combination approaches are now underway to strengthen the radiotherapeutic outcomes. As previously demonstrated, drugs and inhibitors are already available which can sensitize the CSCs to radiotherapy (Table 1). It would be of great interest to further investigate the effect of these drugs on CSC pathways and their ability to radiosensitize CSCs and prevent metastasis and relapse. Although it is still not clear whether these approaches directly contribute to CSC radioresistance mechanisms, we believe these data point out a new direction for the development of newly targeted therapies to overcome CSC radioresistance.
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