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Abstract

Background: Breath-holding test has been tested in some clinical scenarios and has proved to be of clinical utility.

Objectives: To determine the maximum voluntary breath-holding time in patients with obstructive ventilator defects and in normal subjects and to correlate the breath-holding times with pulmonary function tests.

Methods: We conducted a case-control study including patients with obstructive ventilator defects and a control group consisted of volunteers recruited in the same hospital, with normal spirometry. Spirometry was performed using a computerized spirometer. Breath-holding test was conducted using a pneumotachograph. All measured outputs were displayed in real time on a portable computer. The maximal voluntary apnea inspiratory and expiratory times (MVAIT and MVAET) were measured.

Results: A total of 35 patients with obstructive ventilatory defects (18 asthma and 17 COPD) and 16 controls met the inclusion criteria and were included in the analysis. The MVAIT was lower in COPD patients (21.6 ± 12.6 seconds) than in controls (31.5 ± 15.7 seconds) (p=0.049). MVAIT was also lower in COPD cases than in controls (16.5 ± 8.0 vs 22.1 ± 7.9; p=0.030). We found positive and significant correlations between MVAIT and FVC (L) (r=0.656; p=0.004) and between MVAIT and FEV{	extsubscript{1}} (L) (r=0.518; p=0.033) in COPD cases. MVAET was also correlated with FVC (L) (r=0.505; p=0.039) and FEV{	extsubscript{1}} (L) (r=0.757; p<0.0001).

Conclusions: MVAIT and MVAET were significantly lower in patients with obstructive ventilatory defects with COPD diagnosis than in controls, and they were correlated positively with FVC and FEV{	extsubscript{1}} in COPD patients. Our results provide additional evidence of usefulness of MVAIT/MVAET as pulmonary function tests.
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Introduction

Breath-holding is an unstable state with changes occurring in many interrelated variables. The breath-holding test is simple and rapid. The simplest objective measure of breath-holding is its duration. The maximal duration of voluntary apnea varies from subject to subject and depends on chemical and non-chemical stimuli [1].

Breath-holding time has been shown to be reduced by anything that increases feedback from diaphragm afferents (any tonic diaphragm activity and possibly arterial hypoxia and hypercapnia) or that increases the central respiratory rhythm (arterial hypoxia or hypercapnia, or decreasing lung volume, or increased metabolic rate) [2].

Breath-holding test has been tested in some clinical scenarios and has proved to be of clinical utility [3-5]. This test can serve as screening test, raising suspicion of obstructive ventilatory defects, and could be used as pulmonary function parameter like forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV{	extsubscript{1}}) and forced vital capacity (FVC).

The aim of the present study was to determine the maximum voluntary breath-holding time in patients with obstructive ventilator defects and in normal subjects and to correlate the breath-holding times with pulmonary function tests.

Methods

We conducted a case-control study in a general, tertiary care, university-affiliated hospital. We included patients with obstructive ventilator defects and a control group consisted of volunteers recruited in the same hospital, with normal spirometry. We excluded patients and controls with severe coronary heart disease, cardiac arrhythmias, pregnancy, acute myocardial infarction, head trauma, glaucoma, hemoptysis, unstable angina, retinal detachment, hypertensive crisis, and pulmonary edema. The local ethics committee approved the study, and all subjects gave written informed consent to participate.

The patients were interviewed and the following data were collected in a standardized questionnaire: demographic data, smoking habits, and presence of comorbidities. Spirometry was performed using a computerized spirometer (Jæger, Würzburg, Germany), according to the American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society guidelines [6-8], and with previously published reference values [9-11].

Breath-holding test was conducted using a pneumotachograph (Hans Rudolph Inc). All measured outputs were displayed in real time on a portable computer. The maximal voluntary apnea inspiratory and expiratory times (MVAIT and MVAET) were measured. For MVAIT collection, subjects were asked to inspire deeply three times, and to stop breathing at last end-inspiration. For MVAET collection, subjects were asked to inspire and expire deeply three times, and to stop breathing at last end-expiration. Each maneuver was repeated three times.

Data analysis was performed using SPSS 16.0 (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, Chicago, Illinois). Data were presented as number of cases, mean ± standard deviation (SD), or median.
Breath-holding is one of the most powerful methods to induce the dyspneic sensation, and gives much information on the onset and endurance of dyspnea. In conscious subjects, immediately after the start of breath-holding at functional residual capacity (FRC), there is a certain period of no particular respiratory sensation lasting for 20-30 s. This period is terminated by the onset of dyspnea and followed by a progressive increase in the intensity of dyspnea until the breaking point of breath-holding. The measurement of the period of no respiratory sensation provides us with information about the threshold of dyspneic sensation whereas the measurement of the total breath-holding time is a behavioral measure of the tolerable limit of dyspneic sensation [12].

We found that the maximal voluntary apnea inspiratory and expiratory times were significant lower in patients with obstructive ventilatory defects with COPD diagnosis than in control subjects. Moreover, using bivariate correlations, we demonstrated positive correlations between MVAIT/MVAET and pulmonary function parameters (FVC and FEV₁). Although an earlier study suggested that breath-holding test was not useful as a pulmonary function test [13], several studies proved that this test may play a role in evaluation of dyspnea [3-5,14].

The ability of holding the breath varies with the individual and with different conditions. In a study that evaluated a sequential measurement of MVAIT in postoperative period, the authors found that this test was easy of execution, had a good acceptation, and was an interesting tool to clinical follow-up after surgery, helping to detect complications in this period [5]. Breath-holding test was also used combined with Borg scale and FEV₁/FVC to detect low perception of dyspnea in asthmatics patients [4]. Moreover, in this study, several studies demonstrated another possible contribution of breath-holding-test. In smokers and/ or overweight subjects, breath-holding test induces higher than normal transient desaturation, even when their spirometry data were normal.

Our study has some limitations. First, the investigation was done in a single center. Second, it must be considered that this study was conducted with a small sample size. Despite these limitations, our results provide additional evidence of usefulness of MVAIT/MVAET as pulmonary function tests.

In conclusion, in this study we found that MVAIT and MVAET were different in patients with obstructive ventilatory defects and control subjects, and if there was an association between pulmonary function tests and MVAIT/MVAET. We demonstrated that MVAIT and MVAET were significant lower in COPD cases than in controls, and that these measures were correlated positively with FVC and FEV₁ in COPD patients.

According to MFAIT and MFAET, we concluded that breath-holding test is a valuable tool for evaluating pulmonary function in patients with obstructive ventilatory defects, and it can be useful for detecting the presence of respiratory abnormalities.

**Table 3:** Bivariate correlations with MVAET in cases and controls.
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