Recurrent Giant Sarcoma of the Anterior Abdominal Wall
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Abstract

Abdominal wall sarcomas are rare tumors with a high recurrence rate. We present the case report of a 48-year-old female patient, who was admitted for an asymmetric, voluminous tumor in the lower abdomen, which had been rapidly increasing during the previous two months. The tumor was painless and fixed to the abdominal wall. Two other sarcomas had been resected from the same place, 2 years before-the former and 7 months before-the latter. The patient underwent a surgical procedure, consisting of a wide, tumor-free margin resection, which led to the removal of the rectus abdominis muscles under the umbilicus; abdominal wall reconstruction required a prosthetic mesh. Post-operative course did not include significant issues. Adjuvant radiotherapy was indicated, in order to decrease the risk of another recurrence.

Introduction

Sarcomas are a heterogeneous group of malignant neoplasms arising from mesenchymal cells. They account for less than 1% of adult solid malignant cancers. The vast majority are soft tissue sarcomas, while malignant bone tumors represent only about 10% of them [1]. In fact, sarcomas comprise over 50 different histological subtypes; they may arise in stem cell-like population (primitive neuroectodermal tumors) as well as from morphologically recognizable connective tissues [2]. Although the tumorigenesis of sarcoma is not well understood, the risk factors can be divided into environmental exposures, genetic susceptibility and an interaction between the two [1]. Considerable evidence supports a relationship between occupational chemicals, medicinal treatment, such as Thorotrast exposure, therapeutic irradiation and the development of soft tissue sarcoma [3].

The imagistic diagnosis is based on MRI, which provides information about the location and extent of these tumors. Definitive diagnosis obviously requires biopsy; the treatment of soft-tissue sarcomas is the surgical resection with a tumor-free margin [4]. The goal of curative surgical excision is en bloc excision of the tumor and any biopsy tracts with a negative margin of normal tissue of 1 to 2 cm [5]. The impact of positive margins on the outcome can be limited by the association of post-operative chemotherapy and radiotherapy [6]. Adjuvant radiotherapy is also indicated, because randomized trials have proved that it can be effective in decreasing local recurrence [7]. Mitotic rate, as well as tumor depth and size were reported to be significant predictors of development of recurrence and metastatic disease [8]. A recent study which analyzed 45,568 incident cases diagnosed between 1995 and 2002 in the 27 countries of the European Union stated that the five-year relative survival rate was 58% for soft tissue sarcomas and 62% for bone sarcomas [9]. In the USA, five-year survival rate has been estimated to approximately 90%, for stage I sarcomas, gradually decreasing to 10% - 20% for advanced stages [10].

Case Report

A 48-year-old female patient was admitted for an asymmetric, voluminous tumor in the lower abdomen (Figure 1). The patient had two children, no family history of neoplasia and did not smoke. However, she had been exposed to chemical agents (polyalcohols and isocyanates) in a shoe factory, where she had been working for twenty years. Her personal history also included two surgical procedures: the former had been performed two years before and had consisted of a surgical resection of a solid tumor, about 4 to 5 cm in size, located in the lower abdominal wall. It later proved to be a rhabdomyosarcoma. As the histo-pathological examination had stated that the margins were tumor-free, no post-operative treatment had then been considered necessary.

The 2nd tumor had developed after 15 months in the same place, inside the abdominal cavity, in front of the peritoneal layer (Figure 2) and also underwent a complete resection. It was obviously a recurrence, as the same histological type of rhabdomyosarcoma was found; it was followed by post-operative radio-therapy for only two months. The patient did not complete the cure due to personal reasons.

A third, smaller tumor, of about 3 or 4 cm, appeared approximately five to six weeks after the patient had interrupted the therapy. The tumor has been slowly developing during the first two months: afterwards, the growth rate suddenly increased, and the tumor reached its present size during the next two months, according to the patient’s statement. No medical records were available regarding this evolution, as the patient had not addressed to a physician.

Figure 1: Clinical aspect: asymmetric, voluminous tumor in the lower abdomen.
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The clinical features which led to the diagnosis were: the size of more than 20 cm, the fixation to the abdominal wall and the history of local surgical resection of two other sarcomas.

CT-scan showed a large non-homogenous tumor, whose origin was the rectus abdominis muscles and which extended in the left half of the abdominal wall, under the umbilicus (Figures 3 and 4).

The surgical procedure consisted of a wide resection of the tumor (Figure 5) and of the surrounding muscular layer. The complete resection of the rectus abdominis muscles under the umbilicus was necessary (Figure 6) in order to accomplish a tumor-free margin, which was stated by histological examination. The resection thus exceeded the macroscopic limits of the tumor by 4 to 5 cm. Abdominal wall reconstruction required a prosthetic mesh (Figure 7), which was easily covered by the cutaneous flaps and their subcutaneous adipose tissue. Histological examination identified a rhabdomyosarcoma, with the same features as the previously resected tumors.

Post-operative evolution did not include any significant issues. Post-operative radiotherapy was strongly indicated, due to the repeated recurrences of the tumor. The oncological treatment has now been in course for 4 months, with no clinical sign of local recurrence.

Discussions

Soft tissue sarcomas are quite rare neoplasms, which may occur in the extremities, as well as in the abdominal cavity and retroperitoneum, trunk, head and neck. More than 50 histologic subtypes have been described; the most frequent are liposarcoma, malignant fibrous histiocytoma and leiomyosarcoma [4]. Their etiology is yet to be agreed upon, although certain risk factors have been identified: genetic syndromes, radiation exposure, trauma and chemical agents. Our patient has been working in a shoe factory for twenty years, which led to her being exposed to occupational chemicals for a long period of time. Polyalcohols and isocyanates, which are two chemicals widely used in footwear manufacturing, can interfere with enzymatic activity and alter the structure of proteins, thus initiating the carcinogenic process. It is reasonable to suppose that the occupational exposure of our patient might have caused the sarcoma, since no family history of tumors has been mentioned.

The personal history included two other tumors, which had developed and had been resected from the same anatomical site as the tumor in discussion. All these tumors had the same histological pattern, so there have obviously been two local recurrences of the
initial lesion. As it usually occurs in this type of neoplasia, every new recurrence appeared after a shorter disease-free period and was larger than the previous one [8]. MRI with gadolinium is the elective method for imaging sarcomas, because it can evaluate the local anatomic extension, the involvement of contiguous structures and can also determine whether the lesion is a primary or a metastatic one [11].

The absence of radio-therapy after the former surgical procedures is another important issue that should be discussed. During the first operation, the histological statement of margin-free resection was accomplished. Still, as the oncological post-operative treatment is nowadays a widely indicated method [4,6], it should not have been overlooked.

The present surgical procedure achieved a satisfactory wide resection of the tumor, which involved the surrounding muscular layer to a vast extent. Although the macroscopic limits of the tumor had already been exceeded by more than 3 cm, the complete resection of the rectus abdominis muscles under the umbilicus was considered necessary, as previously shown in figure 6. The aim of the procedure was to complete an oncological resection, which was deemed more important than the muscular layer shortage.

Reconstruction of the abdominal wall after a wide resection can be accomplished either by using plastic surgery techniques, such as myocutaneous innervated free flaps [12], or by prosthetic reconstruction techniques [13]. The latter was chosen due to the fact that it allows a more extensive resection; furthermore, it does not require laborious maneuvers involving blood vessels and nerves.

As previously stated, we considered post-operative radio-therapy a mandatory protocol for this patient, consistent with the data in medical literature. The current US National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines recommend radiation therapy in sarcomas for high-grade lesions, low-grade lesions larger than 5 cm (which is the case in this patient), or positive margins [14]. According to other studies, patients with reexcisions should routinely receive radiotherapy [10]. In a randomized trial conducted by the National Cancer Institute, surgery alone had a recurrence rate of 22% compared with a recurrence rate of less than 5% in patients receiving adjuvant radiotherapy [14].

The evolution of our patient will be decided by the individual response to the oncological treatment. Although she has been disease-free for 4 months, the time-span is too limited even for a temporary conclusion.
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