In silico Therapeutic Drug Screening for Reversing the Lung Adenocarcinoma Overexpressed Gene Signatures
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Abstract

Lung cancer is the leading cause of mortality in the world. However, the urgent demand of new drugs for the treatment of lung cancer and the rapidly rising costs of drug development support efforts to explore methodology addressing these issues. Here we designed various gene signature selection methods via expression datasets generated from human lung adenocarcinoma tumor and adjacent non-tumor tissues to query the Connectivity Map (CMap), which hosts 6,100 drug-mediated expression profiles. We hypothesized that if a drug signature could reverse, at least in part, the gene expression signature of lung adenocarcinoma, it might have the potential to inhibit dysregulated pathways and thereby treat lung cancer. To test this hypothesis, 62 out of the 95 examined drugs with anti-tumor activities were validated via MTT and/or clonogenic assays using lung cancer cell lines and through a PubMed search. Subsequently, 9 functional categories, such as DNA demethylating agents, anti-psychotic and anti-inflammation, were selected to classify 62 in vitro validated drugs. A subset of gene signatures embedded in these 62 drug-mediated expression profiles from the CMap was uncovered, suggesting the possible reversal connection between drug and patient gene signatures. There were 89 differentially expressed probesets that produced a reverse signature, including 12.5% that follow the up-regulated (patient) to down-regulated (CMap) pattern and 16.6% with the reverse down-regulated (patient) to up-regulated (CMap) pattern. Such bioinformatics analysis makes functional connections among disease, genetic perturbation, and drug action, resulting in the systematic identification of potential therapeutic drugs for clinical use.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of death in the United States [1] and Taiwan [2]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) classification, lung cancer can be divided into two major classes: small cell lung cancer (SCLC) and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). NSCLC accounts for more than 85% of all lung cancer cases, and adenocarcinoma is the most common subtype. There is a large heterogeneity among lung adenocarcinoma patients and the response to chemotherapy is relative poor in the advanced stage. For example, gefitinib, the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI), is only useful for East Asian patients with a higher prevalence of activating EGFR mutations [3-6]. Other targeted agents for EGFR wild-type patients have not been systemically screened. Therefore, the search for novel targeted drugs is an important and challenging issue.

Using microarray profiling, one can identify a handful of differentially expressed genes from NSCLC tumor and adjacent non-tumor tissue in each patient. The fundamental challenge is to establish the relationships among these carcinogenic associated genes and potential drug actions. One possible solution is via the “Connectivity Map” (http://www.broad.mit.edu/cmap/) [7,8]. The CMap system contains 6,100 microarray datasets from 4 cancer cell lines (MCF7-breast cancer, PC3-prostate cancer, HL60-leukemia, SKMEL5-melanoma) treated with 1,309 small molecular agents. Lamb et al. [7,8] postulated that the disease associated gene signatures could be compared to the CMap drug signature profiles to reveal potential drug lists despite that the profiles are in different cell lines. In fact, potential new treatments for cancers have been successfully identified via the CMap, including acute leukemia, colon cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, neuroblastoma, NSCLC, and renal cell carcinoma, and it has also led to the elucidation of mechanisms involved in the tumorigenesis and/or pathogenesis of different cancers [9-11].

One criterion for use of the CMap is to include a pairwise array. However, to the best of our knowledge, few tumor/adjacent non-tumor pairwise arrays are available for NSCLC. In addition to our previously generated pairwise samples (referred to as the YM dataset) [12], we used two additional pairwise arrays available online, including the partial...

Here, we generated an additional 50 pairwise arrays for NSCLC and employed the differential gene expression profiles from the tumor compared with the adjacent non-tumor lung tissues in each patient to create a gene-model to query the CMap database to identify potential novel targeted drugs, followed by the systematic evaluation of the in vitro anti-tumor effects of the potential drugs. To identify the reverse signature probesets, we used 705 instances (chips) from 62 drugs of 9 functional categories to determine the differentially expressed probesets that have a reverse signature from up-regulated (patient) to down-regulated (CMap) and down-regulated (patient) to up-regulated (CMap) patterns, respectively. For example, p21, which has been observed significantly down-regulated in NSCLC tumors, was up-regulated after trichostatin A treatment and resulted in tumor shrinkage in the NOD/SCID mice. This comprehensive analysis suggests that we could use individualized gene signatures to identify novel target agents, which may provide therapeutic regimens toward personalized medicine in the future.

Materials and Methods

Clinical samples for microarray analysis

We analyzed a total of 172 samples from three cohorts of patients with NSCLC via microarray analysis (Supplemental Table S1). Dataset 1 included two lung adenocarcinoma microarray datasets used in this study. First, from our previous study, a total of 38 pairwise samples from 19 patients (GSE7670) were used for analysis via the Affymetrix HG-U133A chip [12]. Second, an additional 50 pairwise surgical samples from 25 patients (GSE27262, currently private) were subjected to analysis via the HG-U133 plus 2.0 chip. Among these data are 66 stage-IA/IB and 22 stage-III/IV pairwise samples. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee at Taipei Veterans General Hospital. All patients gave informed consents and signed the consent form individually (VGH IRB No.: 95-06-21A). Dataset 2 (GSE10072) was obtained from Landi et al. [13] and included 30 stage-IA/IB (15 patients) and 18 stage-III/IV (9 patients) pairwise samples using the HG-U133A chip. Dataset 3 (ArrayExpress ID E-TABM-15) published by Shula Blum and included 36 unknown stage (18 patients) pairwise samples using the Affymetrix HG-U133A chip. The details of the patient characteristics are summarized in Supplemental Table S2-S4 and Supplemental method.

In silico drug screening

The “up” or “down” differential probesets of individualized gene signatures from tumor/adjacent non-tumor in each patient was used to query the CMap. The potential drug list for each patient was selected based on the frequency of appearance among patients. Drugs that appeared with top-ranked frequency when querying the CMap with significantly negative scores were listed and compared with each other. The flowchart of analyzing the 172 microarray data points and the in silico drug screening is shown in Figure 1.

Gene Selection

Because the best method to query the CMap is unclear, we designed five methods to select differentially expressed genes as inputs. Moreover, the “up” and “down” probesets used for querying the CMap should not be over a total of 1000 due to the limitation of the CMap computational system. Therefore, all five methods were limited to less than 1000 probesets in each query. The first method selected 100 probesets with the highest absolute ratios from our early- (stage IA/IB lung ADC) and late- (stage III/IV lung ADC) stage paired microarrays. Therefore, signatures including 100 up- and 100 down-regulated probesets were used to query the CMap. The second method and third method included the top 1% or 1.5% ranked up- and down-regulated probesets on Affymetrix HG-U133A microarray. These methods can identify a total of 444 or 668 probesets, respectively, as the differential expressed signatures. Next, fold-change, which depends on the expression value of gene relative to the control expression profile, was used to identify significant expressed probesets. Finally, Significance Analysis of Microarrays (SAM), a statistical algorithm that can identify significant genes by specific t-test [14] (http://www-stat.stanford.edu/~tibs/SAM), was used.

Statistical procedure and gene expression profiling for 9 functional categories of drugs

To investigate the relationships among the drugs, their regulated genes and lung cancer patient signatures, we classified these 62 effective drugs into 9 functional categories, including antibiotics, anti-
inflammation, anti-parasites, Ca\(^{2+}\) modulators, cardiovascular drugs, chemotherapeutic drugs, DNA demethylating agents, phenothiazine anti-psychotics, and others. There are (6, 10, 6, 8, 3, 11, 3, 5, 10) drugs and (23, 200, 29, 11, 33, 95, 197, 76, 41) instances for each functional category, respectively, in the CMap database. Thus, the original CMap expression profile (U133A chip) contains 22,283 gene expressions (ratio of probeset) each for 705 instances from 62 drugs within 9 functional categories. Several statistical procedures (i.e., the size of standard deviation, analysis of variance (ANOVA), and the pairwise t-test) combined with fold-changes were employed to select gene profiles representing the 9 drug functions, but most were dominated by functional categories with larger number of drugs and instances, such as DNA demethylating agents and anti-inflammation. For each drug, 22,283 drug medians (one for each probeset) of expression ratios were calculated across all instances within each drug. Then, for each functional category, 22,283 functional medians of expression ratios were calculated across all drug medians within that function. One hundred probesets with the largest (or smallest) functional median ratios that were larger than \(\log_2(1.5) = 0.585\) (or smaller than \(-\log_2(1.5) = -0.585\)) were selected as the up-regulated (or down-regulated) genes for that functional category. All of the probesets that corresponded to multiple gene symbols or no gene symbol were excluded from the final analysis. 624 probesets survived this screening procedure with Table 1 summarizing these 265 up-regulated and 359 down-regulated probesets elected for the 9 functional categories. Two datasets were then prepared for later analyses. The drug-level dataset has median of expression ratios for each of the 624 (probeset) by 62 (drug) combinations and the function-level dataset has median (of medians) of expression ratios for each of the 624 (probeset) by 9 (function) combinations.

Cell culture, MTT\(^*\) cell viability test, clonogenic assay, and animal model

All cell-culture-related reagents and procedures are in supplemental method. Human lung cancer cell lines A549 and H460 were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection/Bioresource Collection and Research Center (BCRC) (Taiwan). These cells have performed STR-PCR profile at BCRC. A14 was a derivative of A549 cells stably selected with a p53 shRNA construct [15]. Human lung adenocarcinoma cell lines, CL\(_{10}\) and CL\(_{11}\) [16], were kind gifts from Dr. Pan-Chyr Yang. H1299 stable clones (transfected with EGFR-WT (wild-type) and EGFR-L858R mutant) were kindly provided by Chen et al. [17]. Cell viability was determined using an MTT assay and clonogenic assay were described in supplemental method. In vivo microPET imaging of overexpression of p21 induced by trichostatin A in p21-HSV1-tk expressed H1299 animal tumor model [18] was also described in Supplemental method.

Results

Identification of 363 potential drugs for NSCLC via the CMap

The use of global gene expression profiling of surgically excised tumor samples to identify prognostic signatures or molecular classification of lung tumors often generates lists of hundreds of genes and it is difficult to uncover novel drug targets [19-21]. Therefore, using a batch of genes to query the CMap may not only allow multiple targets to be considered simultaneously but also may identify potential new drugs. For our first analysis, we selected the top 100 up- and down-regulated probesets with the highest absolute ratio to serve as differential gene signatures of individual patients from all early and late stage pairwise microarrays of the first two datasets. We then used the CMap to identify potential drugs with \(p\) value less than 0.05 and a negative enrichment score. With the probability of drug appearance (>10%) among each studied population as selection criteria, a total of 311 drugs were selected by the top 100 up/down probesets.

However, there is no standard approach to query the CMap due to different input gene list, which may result in distinct analysis results. Unlike the traditional gene signatures selected with the most differentially common sets for predicting the cancer prognosis (e.g., two-sample t-test), we employed 4 additional methods (fold-change, top 1% ranked, top 1.5% ranked, and SAM) to generate different input gene lists to broadly cover potential drugs for lung cancer. Among them, SAM is the well-known statistics method for the selection of significant genes. Fold change is a method for selection of genes based on the degree of expression ratio (e.g., 2-fold), which may prevent the selection of genes without significant expressive change. Additionally, considering the limitation of query gene numbers in the CMap platform, we used the top 1% and 1.5% of up and down-regulated genes to present the differential signatures. Next, we selected the probesets from each of 5 methods to perform the same approach, respectively. As a result of data fusion, 240 drugs with an appearance of at least 10% were selected. From the analysis of this study, the top 100 up/down showed the highest intersection ratio (top 100 up/down, top 1% ranked, top 1.5% ranked, fold-change, and SAM) with 60.5% vs. 56.1% vs. 56.4% vs. 46.5% vs. 42.4%, respectively) with fusion to the total of the five populations (Figure 1 and Supplemental Table S5). We are not able to use all five or more methods for the generation of the differentially expressed signature for every experiment due to the time constraints of gene selection. Consequently, we choose the top 100 up/down method as our standard selection criteria for current and future work with the CMap. As shown in the middle of Figure 1, the Venn diagram represents the intersection of 311 drugs from the top 100 up/down probesets and 240 drugs generated from fusion of all five methods. There are 188 drugs that overlap between the two analyses and 363 potential drugs as a union of these two analyses.

Validation of 62 potential drugs via PubMed search and biochemical assays

Of these 363 potential drugs, several drugs have been well studied in regards to their in vitro anti-cancer cell effects, such as LY-294002, resveratrol, and alvespimycin. These “familiar drugs” were subjected to search of the PubMed medical literature database using the search term

**Table 1:** Number of representative probesets selected for the nine functional categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Up-regulated probesets</th>
<th>Down-regulated probesets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-inflammation</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-parasites</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(^{2+}) modulator</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular drugs</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapeutic drugs</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA demethylating agents</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenothiazin anti-psychotics</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-total for probesets selected by 1 function</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By 2 functions</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By 3 functions</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By 4 functions</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By 5 functions</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of selected probesets</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of each drug. We then screened the resulting abstracts for relevance or involvement in "cancer" and/or "lung cancer". As a result, 11 drugs have more publications related to cancer and lung cancer. These were considered as effective drugs and were excluded from further experimental analysis. Interestingly, 10 of the 11 drugs belonged to the 188 drugs that overlapped between the two analyses (Figure 1).

To further validate our analysis, we selected 84 potential drugs (Table 2) that based on the availability of well-known scientific names and manufacturers. We tested the cytotoxicity of 84 drugs from the CMap in five lung cancer cell lines via an MTT and/or a clonogenic assay. To determine the role of p53 in the cytotoxic effect, we examined the drug effects in the A549 and the A549-p53 shRNA stable clone cell assay. To determine the role of p53 in the cytotoxic effect, we examined CMap in five lung cancer cell lines via an MTT and/or a clonogenic assay. To determine the role of p53 in the cytotoxic effect, we examined the drug effects in the A549 and the A549-p53 shRNA stable clone cell lines [15]. To examine the role of EGFR in drug cytotoxicity, we used EGFR over-expressing H1299 cells, including wild-type and mutated EGFR [17].

Of the 84 small molecular drugs screened, 51 drugs had an effective cancer cell inhibitory effect of an IC_{50} < 10 µM via MTT assay or with an effective 50% inhibition in a clonogenic assay at 10 µM. Among them, 35 drugs (69%) and 46 drugs (90%) were searched via PubMed online literature database and related to "lung cancer" and "cancer", respectively. Figure 2A–D shows that four drugs (GW8510, tanespimycin, anisomycin, and pyrvinium) had cytotoxic effects on lung cancer cells and that there was no significant difference between the effects in these five cell lines. Additionally, the cytotoxic ability of either anisomycin or pyrvinium was higher than GW8510. The majority of cells were killed in the presence of 10 µM of GW8510, 1 µM of tanespimycin or 0.1 µM of either anisomycin or pyrvinium. Additional results are summarized in Table 2. Figure 2E shows the representative results of a clonogenic assay with A549 and H460 treated with chlorpromazine. Treatment with 10 µM chlorpromazine reduced the colonies over 50% in both A549 and H460. Twenty-three
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Others</th>
<th>tyloclip (*)</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>&gt;5</th>
<th>ND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sipiperone</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>acacetin (**)</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>verteoponin</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>myetrypopin (***)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rottlerin (***)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tiroxysalen</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>securinine</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cloperastine</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prestwick-685</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>daunoubutin</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lomudine</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>camptothecin</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4,5-dianilinopthalimide (**)</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LY-294002 (**)</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>thioguanosine</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8-azaguanine</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y-27632 (***)</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GW-8510</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>alexidine</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rinotocain</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Bioinformatics: from the Connectivity Map (CMap) query. **YM: Yang Ming. *Eagle: Environment And Genetics in Lung cancer Etiology. PubMed: from the online search (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed) using “drug name” and “cancer” (further validation via manual curation). **Experiment: from the in vivo tumor cell viability tests. "V": selected drugs either from PubMed or CMap. "NS": not significant by CMap search. "N/A": no evidence linked to cancer in PubMed. "X": not effective at 10 µM treatment or IC50 larger than 10 µM treatment. "ND": not determined. ****: drugs from the five method fusion drugs, which did not exist in the top 100 up/down drugs list. *****: drugs that are well known in vivo anti-cancer drugs effects from PubMed.

Table 2: Potential drugs identified from 3 lung adenocarcinoma datasets including stage I and stage III/IV. There are nine functional groups for the 62 predicted lung cancer drugs, which have been validated in vitro by MTT/colonogenic assay or have been previously studied via PubMed online search.

Evaluation the methods to query the CMap

Based on this limited biochemical data along with PubMed search results (62 effective and 33 non-effective), we evaluated the accuracy of our analysis methods. First, we used the top 100 up/down probesets to select differential gene signatures for querying the CMap. The potential drugs from different early stage (stage I) and late stage (stage III/IV) NSCLC patients showed an accuracy rate of 73% in YM versus 72% in EAGLE and 62% in YM versus 61% in EAGLE, respectively.

In addition, the accuracy of the predicted drugs in the unknown stage Blum dataset was 71%, suggesting that our predicted models are sensitive in different datasets (Supplemental Table S6). In this study, we did not include these drugs for further analysis. In summary, there are 62 effective drugs selected from the 95 potential drugs for NSCLC.

Treatement of trichostatin A results in tumor shrinkage and induces p21 expression

Trichostatin A, a known HDAC inhibitor, induces transcription of cancer cells. Lung cancer cells have been studied regarding their hypermethylated status compared with normal lung cells, and many differentially expressed genes in NSCLC cells [22-25] after treatment with HDAC inhibitors have been reported. We noticed that p21 was significantly up-regulated in the CMap arrays after trichostatin A treatment and that p21 was down-regulated in NSCLC patient arrays. In fact, one of the mechanisms of trichostatin A induced cancer cell apoptosis is via up-regulation of p21 expression [26,27]. Consistent with previous observations, treatment of H1299 cells with trichostatin A resulted in the up-regulation of p21 (Figure 3A), and this drug-targeted interaction was confirmed via an in vivo animal model (Figure 3B). These data and analyses suggest that the modulation of the expression of p21 and other similar genes might reveal drug-target relationships involved in NSCLC carcinogenesis.

Matrix visualization for gene expression profiling using a Generalized Association Plot (GAP)

We next explored the relationships between drug-mediated signatures from the CMap and the signatures generated from our lung adenocarcinoma patients. Two final datasets were studied here for the 624 probesets (265 up-regulated and 359 down-regulated) selected for the 9 functional categories as in Table 1. The drug-level dataset has median of expression ratios for each of the 624 (probeset) by 62 (drug) combinations and the function-level dataset has median (of medians) of expression ratios for each of the 624 (probeset) by 9 (function) combinations.

Generalized association plots (GAP) [28-30] were used to illustrate (1) gene clusters; (2) drug (function) groups; and (3) interaction of gene clusters on drug groups for this expression in Figure 4. Three matrix maps are presented in this GAP display: a gene expression profile map of the 624 probesets by the 62 drugs (Figure 4A); a drug-drug correlation map between the 62 drugs (Figure 4B); and a gene-gene Euclidean distance map among the 624 probesets (Figure 4C).
addition to the three matrix map, a hierarchical clustering tree (Figure 4D) is applied to sort the Euclidean distance map in Figure 4C and a panel is used to illustrate gene selection mechanism by functional category (Figure 4E). To the right of Figure 4A is a covariate indicating if a probeset was selected as an up-(red) or down-(green) regulated gene. For Figure 4A & C, the 624 probesets were sorted by applying the HCT-R2E algorithm [29] in Figure 4D to the Euclidean distance map (Figure 4C) for the 624 probesets. HCT-R2E is an improved hierarchical clustering tree (HCT) with the flipping of intermediate nodes guided by a global trend, which simultaneously identified coherent local clusters with smooth global patterns in given gene expression profiles. As can be observed with the up/down covariate, the 624 probesets were sorted into two main clusters of relatively up-regulated probesets (red) on the top portion and relatively down-regulated probesets (green) at the bottom part of Figure 4A.

The permutation of the 62 drugs in Figure 4A & B was divided into two layers, drug-layer and function-layer. The function-layer was used to determine order of the 9 functional categories using the function-level dataset while the drug-layer was employed to identify order of related drugs within each of the 9 functional groups using the drug-level dataset. For the function-layer, order of the 9 functional categories was determined by applying HCT-R2E to the Pearson correlation matrix among the 9 functions calculated using the function-level dataset. For drug-layer, HCT-R2E was also employed to sort, for each functional category, the among drug Pearson correlation matrix calculated using the drug-level dataset.

From Figure 4B, we can visualize within function coherence of drugs on the main diagonal and between function drug correlation patterns on the off-diagonal. The functional categories of DNA demethylating agents, anti-inflammation, and phenothiazine anti-psychotics have more coherence (high positive correlation) within function relationships. Some sub-function structures that may be due to cell type or other mechanisms were observed as well. Most of the between function drugs have a weak positive correlation as denoted by lighter red (pink) colors on the off-diagonal. By cross-examining Figure 4A & C-E, we can roughly identify three relatively up-regulated (U1, U2, and U3) and three down-regulated (D1, D2, and D3) gene clusters among the 624 probesets: (D1) Genes mainly selected by DNA demethylating agents with a relatively strong decreased expression upon treatment with DNA demethylating agents and chemotherapeutic drugs; (D2) Genes selected by chemotherapeutic drugs only with a relatively decreased expression upon treatment with chemotherapeutic drugs only; (D3) Genes selected by antibiotics/anti-parasites/Ca^2+ modulator categories with a relatively low to medium down-regulation across all
nine functional categories; (U1) A small group of genes screened by the anti-inflammation functional group with a strong up-regulation upon treatment with the anti-inflammation drugs and down-regulation upon treatment with the chemotherapeutic drugs; (U2) Up-regulated genes selected by DNA demethylating agents; (U3) Genes selected by anti-parasites/phenothiazine anti-psychotics/Ca2+modulator categories with a relatively medium to high up-regulation across all nine functional categories. Several sub-gene clustering patterns were also determined using a more detailed classification. The most informative pieces of visual information are illustrated in Figure 4A for the interaction of gene clusters by functional group.

To study the potential reversed gene expression signatures from the gene-function (drug) profiling of the CMap database to the gene-patient profiling of our patients, Supplemental Figure S1 was constructed. Supplemental Figure S1 has the expression profiles (log, ratio of tumor to adjacent normal) of the same set of 624 probesets from Figure 4A on the 44 patients. In the middle of the two panels are the covariates indicating the up- and down-regulated patterns from Figure 4A and corresponding to the up- and down-regulated patterns derived from the 44 patients. Among the 624 probesets, 514 fell into the category of non-differentially expressed genes for the 44 patient profiles. These 514 probesets were not selected by any of the 44 patients as differentially expressed genes (neither up nor down). Among the remaining 110 differentially expressed probesets, 89 were considering reversed signature probesets. Of these, 45 (12.5%) followed the up-regulated (patient) to down-regulated (CMap) pattern, whereas the remaining 44 (16.6%) probesets followed the reversed down-regulated (patient) to up-regulated (CMap) pattern (Supplemental Table S8A & B).

The 45 up- and 44 down-regulated signatures in NSCLC patients, which were reversed by 9 functional drug categories, were analyzed via the ConsensusPathDB-human pathway analyzer [31] to identify and determine the most significant pathways of the up- and down-regulated with p-value and q-value, respectively. There were 8 up-regulated and 6 down-regulated significant pathways in NSCLC patients, including the SCF(Skp2)-mediated degradation of p27/p21 (CCNA2, CCNE1 and CCNE2), the p53 signaling pathway (CCND1, CCNE1, and CCNE2), and the direct p53 effectors (ATF3, CDKN1A, DUSP1, HSPA1A, and LIF).

Because p53 mutation has been observed in most NSCLC patients, such reverse relationships could further highlight the possibility of targeting p53 associated pathways [32]. Importantly, we identified that CDKN1A (p21) was reversed in the down-regulated signatures of NSCLC patients to the up-regulated signatures of the CMap (Supplemental Table S8B). Moreover, p21 appeared in the “direct p53 effector” pathway that is an important pathway in relation to cancer and p53 is the most frequently mutated gene in lung adenocarcinoma [33].

Discussion

In this study, we used five different gene selection methods to generate a list of 363 potential drugs (Figure 1), which are commonly occurred in the different studied populations. Additionally, a total of 62 in vitro validated drugs were categorized into 9 functional groups with anti-cancer effects in NSCLC, and gene expressions were correlated with each function. Finally, we obtained the reversal gene signatures from potential drugs to patient samples. This study may aid in the understanding of the carcinogenesis of NSCLC as well as the interactions between tumor, drug, and patient.

In addition to display the cytotoxicity of different functional drugs, the representative results of the in vitro cytotoxicity and clonogenic tests for five drugs (tanespimycin, GW-8510, ansamycin, pyrvinium, and chlorpromazine) are shown as Figure 2. These drugs were from the different functional groups categorized in Table 2 (anti-inflammation, chemotherapeutic drugs, antibiotics, antiparasitic, and phenothiazine anti-psychotics respectively) and individually showed effective results (IC50 < 10 µM) independent of p53 or EGFR. These results imply that these drugs might overcome the mutation of p53 or EGFR, which are both frequently found in the NSCLC patients. Consistent with previous studies, tanespimycin, GW-8510, and ansamycin have been shown to exhibit effective cytotoxicity by targeting X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis (XIAP), EGFR signaling, and c-jun NH2-terminal kinase pathways in p53 mutant or EGFR wild type/TKI resistant NSCLC cells, respectively [34-36]. However, the downstream signaling of pyrvinium is unclear and deserves further study.

The study by Wang et al. [37] and this study both used the same published microarray data (GSE7670 and GSE10072). Wang et al. [37] used a two-sample t-test to identify differential genes from these two datasets, which generated 434 differential probesets (125 up and 309 down) and 530 differential probesets (180 up and 350 down), respectively. They further intersected these two sets of differential genes to produce a common gene signature (93 up and 250 down). In contrast, we used each patient’s signature to identify differential genes. Moreover, a common drug may not be suitable for all patients who have the same disease clinically. To improve the degree of credibility, we enlarged the dataset by generating another adenocarcinoma lung cancer dataset (GSE27262) and used the Blum dataset to validate the results. Comparing the differential genes between Wang’s study and our top 100 up/down results, all 93 up-regulated genes and 232 of the 250 down-regulated genes from the previous study are involved in our up-regulated list and down-regulated list, respectively. In particular, some genes had a high frequency in our list; for example, TOX3 (previously known as TNRC9) appeared in the up-regulated gene list of 45 patients.
and AGER (RAGE) appeared in the down-regulated gene list of 66 patients but not included in Wang’s list (Supplemental Table S9A & B). TOX3 is associated with breast cancer for BRCA1/BRCA2 mutation carriers and may be a strong carcinogenetic gene of cancer [38-40]. The expression for RAGE is significantly reduced in lung adenocarcinoma cancer tissue compared with adjacent normal lung tissue, supporting the view that RAGE is a tumor suppressor gene in lung cancer [41-43]. Conversely, KLF4 (221841_s_at) was down-regulated in patients, but was up-regulated in the CMap. Moreover, KLF4 showed a high frequency in our gene signature list but was not included in Wang’s list [37]. Additionally, of the reversed probesets, only one up-regulated probeset and 12 down-regulated probesets from the patient signatures were included in Wang’s 93 up-regulated and 250 down-regulated probesets list, respectively. Therefore, our approach could retrieve more reverse genes for analysis than Wang’s study and might represent the most important target gene of lung cancer patients. Finally, several probesets representing the same gene were revealed concurrently in our analysis, such as TOP2A, COL10A1, COL11A1 or COL1A1 among up-regulated (Supplemental Table S9A) and SFTPC or ADH1B among down-regulated (Supplemental Table S9B) genes, which support the confidence of our analysis.

As summarized in Supplemental Table S10, there have been many reports using the CMap tool to target specific signaling pathways. Here, we demonstrate that certain groups of drugs can provide a reverse signature of carcinogenesis and that the embedded signatures can be revealed in the patient samples (Supplemental Figure S1). This approach provides further insight into the complex carcinogenic pathways in NSCLC. We have successfully identified cancer cell apoptosis in the presence of p21 overexpression after HDAC inhibitor treatment, both in vitro and in vivo. By using this platform, other pathways or associated genes of interest can be evaluated more efficiently with their previous unknown or unclear functions.

EGFR TKIs, such as gefitinib or erlotinib, were not identified from the CMap analysis, especially in the YM dataset, which included many EGFR mutant patients and may have high response to EGFR-TKIs. The possible explanation is that there is no chip for erlotinib treatment and only one chip with gefitinib treatment in the CMap array dataset. Other common chemotherapy drugs for NSCLC patients, such as cisplatin, pemetrexed, or taxanes also cannot be revealed due to lack of data in the CMap. However, while performing PubMed search for the 62 validated drugs in the clinical trial registration website: http://clinicaltrials.gov/, several drugs have undergone clinical trials, especially in the DNA demethylating agents (vorinostat and azacitidine) and anti-inflammation (tanespimycin, acetylsalicylic acid, and sirolimus) functional groups. Several drugs (resveratrol, alvespimycin, verteporfin, and trioxsalen) under recruiting status of...
clinical trials suggested current clinical development. The potential for other functional categories without current clinical trials warrant further studies. In conclusion, the study result categorized nine functional groups of anti-cancer drugs and repurposed most old drugs to new anti-cancer effects, which will be helpful in the development of new cancer treatment strategy.
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