Turbulent Combustion Modeling Within Porous Media Using Detailed Chemical Kinetic

Bakhshan Y*, Motadayen Aval S and Abdi B
Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Hormozgan, Iran

Abstract

In this study a CFD based code has been developed to simulate the turbulence reactive flow combustion of natural gas in a porous media. The governing equations of turbulence reactive flow in this media have been derived using space-time averaging methods. For modeling the turbulence, the macroscopic standard $k-\varepsilon$ turbulence model and for combustion modeling a detailed chemical kinetic scheme has been used. The radiation heat flux from solid to gas has been considered and is calculated using the discrete ordinate method. The comparison between simulation results and experimental data show generally to have a good agreement.
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Introduction

Turbulent flow combustion within porous media has many applications in different industries and systems, such as burners, internal combustion engines and etc. This media owing to high density power, high dynamics power, lower pollutants emission and high burning speed has more application compare to other conventional combustion Media. In addition, to study the free flame flows, the advantages of having a combustion process inside an inert porous matrix are today well recognized [1-4]. A variety of applications of efficient radiant porous burners can be encountered in power and process industries where, it requires advanced and adequate mathematical tools in order to have a reliable design and analysis of such efficient engineering equipment.

Many researchers have worked in this field [5-15] and in the majority of their publications on combustion in porous media, the flow has been considered to remain in laminar regime. However, due to the importance of turbulence reactive flows in porous media, authors in this work developing models for turbulent flow with and without combustion. Non- Reactive turbulence flow in porous media has been studied by several researchers [16-18]. A concept called double-decomposition was proposed [16,17], in which variables were decomposed simultaneously in time and space. Also, intra-pore turbulence was accounted for all transport equations but only non-reactive flow has been investigated. Lim and Matthews [11] simulated the turbulence flow combustion with using $k-\varepsilon$ model. Sahraei and Kaviani [13] have contributed a direct numerical simulation of turbulence flow in a combustion system. Delmos [17] studied the turbulence combustion in a porous burner in one-dimensional. He used a global reaction for calculation of heat release from combustion of fuel and used standard $k-\varepsilon$ turbulence model in his work.

In this study, combustion of turbulence flow of natural gas and air in a porous burner which has scattering, emitting and absorbing properties has been studied and a detailed chemical kinetic mechanism has been used for combustion modeling. Also, the radiation heat flux from solid of porous media matrix to gas phase flow has been considered and is calculated with using the discrete ordinate method.

The main hypotheses of this simulation are as follows:

1. The flow is turbulent and steady.
2. The burner is single layer.
3. The fluid flow is supposed as mixture of natural gas and air in the inlet with specified equivalence ration
4. The flow is supposed 1D.
5. The porous media is supposed homogeneity.
6. The porous media is not in thermal equilibrium with gas flow.
7. The porous media is chemical inert.
8. Boundary conditions and the geometry considered here, adjustable with the experimental setup of Chaffin et al. [18].

Computational Domain

Figure 1 showing the computational domain considered here. The fuel and air enters to porous media as a premixed mixture at specified velocity and equivalence ratio. The total length considered for burner is 10.16 cm and is adjusted with experimental setup.

The porous media matrix properties are shown in tables 1.

Model Formulation

Governing equations of reactive fluid flow and combustion are continuity, momentum, species conservation and energy. These are one-dimensional, steady and turbulence flow and are obtained with...
using the space-time averaging as shown below.

Figure 2 showing, the symbols and method used for spaces-time averaging. The governing obtained equations are:

Continuity:
\[ \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{V} \phi) = 0 \]  

Momentum:
\[ \rho \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{V} \phi \vec{u} \nabla \phi) + \rho \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{V} \phi \vec{u} \phi \nabla \phi) = -\rho \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{V} \phi \vec{u} \phi \nabla \phi) \]

The superficial velocity is defined as below:
\[ \vec{U}_s = \frac{\rho \vec{V} \phi \vec{u}}{\rho \phi} \]

For modeling of turbulence, the macroscopic K-ε model has been used as
\[ \rho \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{V} \phi \vec{u} \phi \nabla \phi) = \frac{\mu_p}{\rho \phi} \nabla \cdot (\phi \vec{u} \phi \nabla \phi) + C_\mu \frac{\phi \nabla \phi}{\sqrt{k}} \]

The turbulence viscosity and Reynolds stresses are obtained with using the Bossinque approximation as
\[ \mu_p = \rho \frac{\phi \nabla \phi}{\sqrt{k}} \]

Table 1: Porous media properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \beta )</td>
<td>310 m(^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho )</td>
<td>2.16 kg/m(^3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{pr} )</td>
<td>824 J/kg.k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_s )</td>
<td>1.6 W/m.k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_s )</td>
<td>5.56 x 10(^3 ) kg/m(^3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \phi )</td>
<td>0.87 for 3.9 ppc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Control Volume for averaging.

Boundary Conditions

In this work, we used the boundary conditions at inlet and outlet for governing equations mentioned above. At inlet, specified inlet velocity, the energy balance, the energy balance with considering the radiation and the specified concentrations have been used for momentum, gas phase energy, solid phase energy and species equations respectively. At outlet, the constant temperature, balance energy with considering the radiation effect and the constant concentration of combustion products have been used. For turbulence kinetic energy and dissipation the following values have been used:
\[ k_\infty = \frac{3}{2} \rho \vec{u}_\infty^2, \quad \varepsilon_\infty = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_\infty}{\partial x} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \varepsilon_\infty}{\partial x} = 0 \]

The boundary conditions used are summarized in table 2. The calculation is started.
with coarse grids and obtained solution is used as initial guess to main calculation with fined grids. The prepared computational algorithm is continued until the solution is converged. The flowchart of solution procedure is shown in figure 3.

The variation of gas temperature throughout the burner axial shown in figure 4. The onset of combustion location shows, the preliminary zone of burner plays as preheat zone and the chemical reactions started after this zone. The independency of solution from number of generated nodes has been shown in this figure also. Figure 5 shows the temperature variation for two different inlet velocities. These velocities are in the range of turbulence flow regime. With increasing velocity, onset of combustion delays in the axial of burner and this is due to increasing the inlet momentum of gas flow.

The variation of axial temperature at different equivalence ratios is shown in figure 6. The results show, the equivalence ratio of mixture for onset of combustion in the burner has a limit value and it is about 0.6

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Inlet} & \quad \text{Outlet} \\
\n\frac{mc_p(T_i - T_e)}{dx} &= -K_i \frac{dT}{dx} \\
\frac{mc_p(T_e^2 - T_i^2)}{dx} &= -K_e \frac{dT}{dx} \\
\frac{H_i(T_{es,i} - T_e)}{dx} + \sum_{s} \frac{(T_{es,s} - T_e)}{dx} &= -k_i \frac{dT}{dx} \\
Y &= Y_{in} \\
\frac{dY}{dx} &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

Table 2: Used boundary conditions.
for the burner specified here. Under this value the combustion did not start and has not been stabilized the flame [19]. Using the laminar regime equations causes some errors in the results. The comparing of results is shown in figure 7. Figure 7 shows the velocity variation throughout the burner. The inlet velocity is same for two cases. Figure 8 shows temperature variation at different velocity and regimes. The results show onset of combustion in the turbulence mode delays in axial of the burner but its maximum value is higher at turbulence mode.

Figure 9 showing the variation of Reynolds number of flow throughout burner. With considering turbulence flow regime range, decreasing of inlet velocity causes, the flow regime change from turbulence to laminar in some places of burner and thus, the predicted results using the laminar equations have errors which it can be seen in figure 10.

Figure 10 showing the variation of NO which has high dependency on temperature versus the equivalence ratio. Because the used velocity is in the range of turbulence regime, the results for laminar flow regime have high deviation from experimental data. Also the results, showing the derived governing equations for turbulence regime in the porous burner in this research have acceptable agreement with experimental data and can be used in other works [20].

Figure 11 showing the variation of NO at different equivalence ratios throughout the burner. Increasing of equivalence ratio will increase the NO, and it takes maximum value at the stoichiometric point condition approximately. Onset of combustion and thus increasing the NO mole fraction after preheat zone of burner can be seen from this figure. The variation of carbon mono-oxide with equivalence ratio is shown in figure 12. With increasing the equivalence ratio, the CO mole fraction increases and this is due to increasing of fuel mass. Also the validation of simulation results with experimental data can be seen from this figure.

The CO mole fraction variation throughout the burner at different equivalence ratios is shown in figure 13. Increasing the equivalence ratio, results the increasing of CO mole fraction, but its values freezed at the end of burner.

Conclusion
In this study a numerical simulation of turbulent reactive flow in a porous burner is carried out. The fuel considered here is natural gas and a detailed chemical kinetic scheme is used for combustion modeling. The radiation heat transfer rate from solid phase to gas flow is considered. The simulation of turbulent is carried out for laminar regime and results are showing that using laminar regime equations in the burner have more deviation from experimental results. The simulated results at the turbulence regime flow are showing to have a good agreement with experimental values.
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