Abstract

Chemical degradation of drugs may result in altered therapeutic efficacy and even toxic effects. Therefore, understanding the factors that change the stability of pharmaceuticals and identifying ways to guarantee their stability are important. In this work stability-indicating Liquid Chromatographic (LC) and bioassay methods were validated and employed in the fluconazole stability studies. The correlation of sample results from both methods was evaluated. Fluconazole raw material stability was investigated in aqueous, acid (0.1 M HCl), alkaline (0.1 M NaOH) and oxidative (3% v/v H₂O₂) reflux for 6 hours, by LC method. Fluconazole capsules were exposed to UVC (254 nm, 66 and 180 days), climatic chamber (40°C, 75% RH, 90 days) and oven (60°C, 60 days), these samples were analyzed by LC and bioassay methods. It was found that the drug is degraded (10% decrease) with arising of a possible degradation product in an oxidative medium and UVC exposure, in all the others conditions fluconazole remained chemically stable (higher than 98%) when analyzed by LC. However when the capsules stressed samples were evaluated through bioassay very low antifungal activity was found (about 30%). Fluconazole showed to be an unstable drug and it indicates that special care must be taken during the handling, storage and quality control using appropriated methods to analyze this therapeutic agent. This work suggests monitoring the fluconazole stability by bioassay and the stability-indicating LC methods.
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Introduction

Stability is defined as the capacity of a drug substance or product to remain within established specifications and to maintain its identity, strength, quality, and purity throughout expiration dating period. Pharmaceuticals are especially sensitive to environmental factors. Strict storage conditions are necessary for the maintenance of integrity and product activity [1]. Stability test of an active substance or drug product can provide evidence on the drug quality and if it can be influenced by a variety of environmental factors such as temperature, humidity and light [1].

Chemical and physical degradation of drugs may result in altered therapeutic efficacy and even toxic effects. Therefore, understanding the factors that change the stability of pharmaceuticals and identifying ways to guarantee their stability are important [2].

In recent years the investigation about the influence of light on the stability of drugs has gained more and more importance. Information about photo-stability of drugs can help to determine the storage conditions in order to remain high quality of pharmaceutical products [3].

Fluconazole, α-(2,4-difluorophenyl)-α-(1H-triazole-1-methyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-1-ethanol (Figure 1), is a broad spectrum antifungal agent. It is indicated for the treatment of superficial, cutaneous and cutaneo-mucous infections caused by Candida species.

Fluconazole is a first generation triazole. Synthesized in 1982, the drug is a polar bis-triazole and it is less lipophilic than the other azoles (e.g. ketoconazole, itraconazole, miconazole) [4]. The presence of a halogen-phenyl group increases its antifungal activity and aqueous solubility, leading to higher bioavailability. In addition, its high metabolic stability was achieved by association of three structural features: the strength of the triazole ring to oxidative attack, the blockage of aromatic hydroxylation by the presence of two fluorine atoms and the steric hindrance of the hydroxyl site from a possible combination [5-7].

A search about the stability of fluconazole was carried out. Few stability studies were found in the literature and they were related to fluconazole stability in infusion solutions and raw material. Dentinger
& Swenson [8] and Yamreudee Wong & Lopez-Anaya [9] have showed that fluconazole injectable solution can be stable for several days if the samples were stored at controlled temperature (22–45°C). Marciniec and coworkers [10] have showed that fluconazole raw material is unstable when sterilized using ionizing radiation. The researchers observed that irradiated samples showed increased absorption in UV region. The instability under other degradation conditions remains unknown. There are many published analytical method to determine fluconazole [11], however there is no a bioassay method to evaluate the fluconazole stability.

The aims of this study were verifying the drug stability under stress conditions and quantify it by validated bioassay and Liquid Chromatographic (LC) stability-indicating methods.

Material and Methods

Chemicals

Fluconazole chemical reference (assigned purity of 100%) was obtained from Sigma Aldrich, fluconazole raw material was kindly donated by EMS (Campinas, Brazil). It was standardized against fluconazole chemical reference. Capsules were purchased from local markers with label of 150 mg of drug.

Methanol LC grade was purchased from Tedia (Fairfield, USA). Ultrapurified water was prepared in-house by using Direct-Q® water system (Millipore Corporation, Billerica, USA). Prior to use, mobile phase solvents were degassed in an ultrasonic bath for 30 min. Sodium hydroxide, hydrochloric acid, and hydrogen peroxide (reagent grade) were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Purified water (<18 µS) was used to prepare the mobile phase, the sample and the standard solutions. Solvents were filtered through a 0.45 µm membrane filter.

Apparatus

Liquid Chromatography apparatus (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA), equipped with a Waters 1525 binary pump, a Rhodyne Breeze 7725i manual injector, a Waters 2487 UV–VIS wavelength detector was used. In addition a Liquid Chromatography apparatus Shimadzu® CLASS-VP, with PDA detector (Shimadzu Corporate, Japan) was employed. HPLC analysis was conducted by using a RP C18 column (Symmetry Waters, Milford, MA, USA), with 5 µm particle size, 4.6 mm x 250 mm.

Ovens (FABBE Ltda), climatic chamber (Marconi®, MA 835/UR) and a UVC chamber were used to perform the stress conditions.

Validation of methods

Both LC and bioassay methods were validated according to the International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines [12]. The following characteristics were considered for validation: specificity, linearity, range, accuracy and precision. Specificity was evaluated by comparison of chromatograms of samples containing possible interfering substances (excipient products used in capsules production) and samples containing fluconazole.

Linearity was determined in the range 240 to 1200 µg/mL (LC method) and 25 to 100 µg/mL (bioassay). To calculation of regression from the peak area vs. concentration of standard solutions (they were prepared as explained in the items number 2.3 and 2.4) the least square methodology was used.

Accuracy was tested by calculating the percentage recoveries of fluconazole from sample solutions (they were prepared as explained in the items number 2.3 and 2.4) at different concentrations and by determining the relative standard deviation (RSD). Precision was assessed at different levels – repeatability (system repeatability by testing three curves constructed with five different standard solution concentrations, in the same day); and intermediate (by analyzing three different standard solution concentrations high, intermediate and low, in a different day, with two days interval between repeatability and intermediate). Standard solutions prepared as was explained in the items number 2.3 and 2.4 were used to assess the precision of the methods. Robustness was evaluated by Youden & Steiner method [13]. By this method seven different parameters could be evaluated by eight analyses employing factorial combination.

LC conditions

Chromatographic analysis was performed in isocratic mode. Mobile phase consists of methanol-water (60:40, v/v) at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The injection volume was 20 µL and the detection wavelength was 261 nm. Total run time was 5 min. All experiments were performed at room temperature (25°C) and the total area of peak was used to quantify fluconazole.
Preparation of standard and sample solutions to validate the LC method

Fluconazole stock standard solution was prepared by dissolving 125 mg of standard accurately weighted in 100 mL volumetric flask. Fluconazole was solubilized with 5 mL methanol and 60 mL water. It was kept in ultrasonic bath for 30 min, and the volume was making up to volume with water. Further diluting to volume with same solvent six final concentrations were obtained: 240, 360, 480, 600, 900 and 1200 µg/mL.

To prepare the sample solution, 20 fluconazole capsules were weighed and its powder mixed making a pool. A quantity equivalent to 125 mg of fluconazole was transferred into a 100 mL volumetric flask with 5 mL methanol and 60 mL water, kept in ultrasonic bath for 30 min, and the volume was completed with water. The final six concentrations were made at the same way of standard solutions. Placebo solution was prepared at the same form.

Bioassay conditions

The diffusion method employed two agar layers (Sabouraud 2% agar) with only the upper one inoculated with a standard suspension of Candida albicans ATCC 90028 (25 ± 2% of transmittance at 580 nm), at 2% concentration. After the agar solidified, six stainless steel cylinders size uniformed (8 mm x 6 mm x 10 mm) were placed on the surface of the inoculated medium in each Petri dish. Aliquots (100 µL) of fluconazole reference substance at concentrations of 25, 50 and 100 µg/mL, according to 3 x 3 assay, were added to three cylinders and 100 µL of the degraded samples at the same concentrations were added to the other three cylinders (Figure 3).

After incubation (25°C for 24 ± 1 h), the antifungal activity was estimated based on the diameters of the microorganism growth inhibition zone (mm) promoted by degraded samples and by the reference substance. The inhibition zones were measured using an electronic digital caliper (Starrett®, USA). Each degraded sample was assayed three times, using six plates in each assay. The percentage inhibition zones were measured using an electronic digital caliper. Each degraded sample was assayed three times, using six plates in each assay. The percentage inhibition zones were measured using an electronic digital caliper (Starrett®, USA).

Preparation of standard and sample solutions to validate the bioassay method

Fluconazole stock solution was prepared by dissolving 6.24 mg of accurately weighted drug in 25 mL volumetric flask dissolved with 15 mL water and kept in ultrasonic bath for 30 min. The volume was completed with water and further diluting to volume with same solvent to give three final concentrations: 25, 50 and 100 µg/mL.

To prepare the sample solution, 20 fluconazole capsules were weighed and its powder mixed making a pool. A quantity equivalent to 6.24 mg of fluconazole was transferred into a 25 mL volumetric flask with 15 mL water and kept in ultrasonic bath for 30 min. The volume was completed with water and the three final concentrations were made at the same way of standard solutions.

Stability study

Hydrolysis (acid, alkaline, neutral and oxidative media): Sample solutions were prepared at concentration of 1 g/mL in water, 0.1 M HCl, 0.1 M NaOH, 3% H2O2, they were stored at room temperature for 1 h, or they were stored for 2, 4 and 6 h at 90°C in reflux. After that, aliquots of these solutions were removed, neutralized and diluted in water to achieve theoretical concentration, 500 µg/mL. These samples were evaluated by LC method.
In addition, sample solutions were prepared in water at concentration of 1 g/mL, and were exposed to UVC radiation (254 nm) for 1 h. The stress degradation was carried out exposing the solutions in flasks. Control samples protected from light with aluminum foil were also placed in exposition concurrently in the light chamber. After that, aliquots of these solutions were removed and diluted in water to achieve theoretical concentration 500 µg/mL. These samples were evaluated by LC method.

**Fluconazole solid form stress testing**

**Thermal degradation:** The powder of the pool of capsules and the placebo were maintained at 60°C in a dry air oven for 7, 14, 21, 32, 45 and 60 days, or they were maintained at 40°C, 75% relative humidity for 14, 21, 30, 60 and 90 days in a climatic chamber. After that, aliquots of these powders were removed and diluted in water to achieve theoretical concentrations of 500 µg/mL (LC method) and 25, 50 and 100 µg/mL (bioassay). These samples were evaluated.

**Photodegradation:** The powder of the pool of capsules and excipients were exposed to UVC radiation (254 nm) for 21, 33, 66 and 180 days in a photodegradation chamber. The stress degradation was carried out exposing the powders in opened Petri dishes. After that, aliquots of these powders were removed and diluted in water to achieve theoretical concentrations 500 µg/mL (LC method) and 25, 50 and 100 µg/mL (bioassay). These samples were evaluated by LC and bioassay methods.

**Results and Discussion**

**Validation of LC method**

LC is a separation method widely used in stability studies. It is applied as an indicating stability method when it is able to split degradation products. The LC method validated is fast (retention time, 3.7 min) and optimized method. Good symmetry was obtained by using end capped column and mix of methanol and water (60:40, v/v) as mobile phase. The chromatograms of standard fluconazole solutions, sample solutions and its placebo are shown in Figure 2.

The precision of the method was determined by repeatability (intra-day) and intermediate precision (inter-day) and was expressed as R.S.D.

**Figure 4:** Overlay chromatograms obtained from aqueous (A), acid (B), alkaline (C) and oxidative (D) stress sample heated for 30 minutes, 2, 4 and 6 hours. A possible degradation product (DP) in oxidative stress is shown.
The results to others parameters show that few and unintentional changes do not effect the test.

Validation of bioassay method

In accordance with Paim and coworkers [16] "The potency of an antibiotic may be demonstrated under suitable conditions by comparing the growth inhibition of sensitive microorganisms produced by known concentrations of the antibiotic to be examined and a reference standard. Impurities and related substances do not interfere, maintaining the precision of the analytical method. Therefore, microbial or biological assays remain, in general, the standard for dispelling doubts about the potential loss of activity." This declaration is, absolutely, in agreement with the US Pharmacopeia [17].

In this study three dose levels (25, 50 and 100 µg/mL) of standard and sample solutions, prepared as was explained in the item 2.4, were used following the procedure described in the Brazilian [18] and European Pharmacopoeias [19]. The Figure 3 shows the concentration scheme used in diffusion method.

The signals S3, S2 e S1 refer to diameter of inhibition zones from standard solutions and T3, T2 e T1 refer to diameter of inhibition zones from samples solutions with high, medium and low concentration, respectively.

This procedure has assumed a direct relationship between the (%) of a series of measurement. The result obtained shows R.S.D. from 0.14 to 0.81%, mean 0.43%, indicating good intra-day precision. Inter-day variability was calculated from assays on 3 days and shows a mean R.S.D. of 1.96% (Table 1). The accuracy of the method was determined by the mean recovery. The mean result was 101.06% (Tables 1 and 2) indicating an agreement between the true value and the value found.

Robustness testing is useful in order to prove that typical variations to the method are negligible in the procedure outcome, being usually studied by deliberately changing critical parameters and monitor possible alterations. A method is said to be robust when these alterations produce no significant changes in its results.

The robustness test by Youden & Steiner method [13] can evaluate the interference of low and deliberated changes in seven parameters on the fluconazole content. The parameters evaluated were: chromatographic column (A/a), wavelength (B/b), flow rate (C/c), mobile phase (D/d), injection volume (E/e), oven temperature (F/f) and shaking time (G/g). Table 3 shows the factorial combination of analytical parameters evaluated.

To evaluate the effect of each variation on the fluconazole assay the following equation was used [15].

\[ A,a = \frac{(x+y+w+z)}{4} - \frac{(s+t+u+v)}{4} \]  

(Equation to parameter A, a)

The major effect on the fluconazole content was obtained with parameter G, g (4.74%). Therefore agitation time should be obeyed rigorously. The results to others parameters show that few and unintentional changes do not effect the test.

Validation of bioassay method

In this study three dose levels (25, 50 and 100 µg/mL) of standard and sample solutions, prepared as was explained in the item 2.4, were used following the procedure described in the Brazilian [18] and European Pharmacopoeias [19]. The Figure 3 shows the concentration scheme used in diffusion method.

The signals S3, S2 e S1 refer to diameter of inhibition zones from standard solutions and T3, T2 e T1 refer to diameter of inhibition zones from samples solutions with high, medium and low concentration, respectively.

This procedure has assumed a direct relationship between the

**Figure 5:** UV bidimensional chromatogram of fluconazole work standard prepared in H2O2 3% v/v (500 mg/mL) after 6 hours of heating at 90°C under reflux. Diode array detector was employed.

**Figure 6:** UV absorption spectrum of fluconazole at 500 µg/mL (A) and fluconazole degradation product in fluconazole solution at 500 µg/mL (B) with indication of maximum and minimum lengths of absorbance.
The precision of the method was determined by repeatability (intra-day) and intermediate precision (inter-day) and was expressed as R.S.D. (%) of a series of measurement. The result obtained shows R.S.D. (%) of 4.34% (Table 2). Inter-day variability was calculated from assays on 3 days and shows a mean R.S.D. of 6.15% (Table 1). The mean recovery found was equal to 97.30% (Table 2). This method shows good agreement between the true value and the value found.

Stability study

Hydrolysis (acid, alkaline, neutral and oxidative media): In order to obtain information about the stability the drug was subjected to forced degradation studies to induce its degradation. Stress testing of a drug can help to identify the probable degradation products, which can be useful to establish the degradation pathways and the intrinsic stability of the molecule and also to validate the stability-indicating power of the analytical procedures [20].

Fluconazole raw material was under stress conditions and this sample analyzed by validate LC using PDA detector (Figure 4 A-D) showed content decreased about 1% in acid, 2% in water and 6% in oxidative medium at room temperature; 10% in oxidative medium at heating. Only oxidative solution after heating showed a new peak (Table 4). It can indicate a possible degradation product (Figure 4-D). The drug showed to have a good stability in these studied conditions.

The chromatogram of oxidative stress sample under 90°C for 30 minutes, 2, 4 and 6 hours is shown in the Figure 4-D.

This LC method was able separate the fluconazole and its possible degradation product. These peaks were measured by PDA detector and they proved to be totally separated (Figure 5). It is possible to observe the differences between the absorption spectra in the UV region of fluconazole and its possible degradation product in the Figure 6 (A-B).

Fluconazole solid form stress testing

Powder of fluconazole capsules and its placebo were under stress conditions: dry heat, humid heat and UVC light. These samples were analyzed by validate bioassay and LC methods. The results from diffusion method showed fluconazole as a not stable drug presenting low activity after stress testing. Table 5 shows the results from fluconazole solid state stress testing by LC and bioassay methods.

The results from both methods were significantly different. Fluconazole showed high instability with decrease of its antifungal activity in all conditions it was employed using the bioassay; however it shows high stability when analyzed by LC. Many aspects can be responsible for this fact. During stability studies several changes can occur to drug molecule. The molecule active site of a drug can not correspond to the part of molecule which react in the physical-chemical testing. Therefore little changes can occur in the molecule active site and the physical-chemical answer keeps normal or yet the degradation products can show high UV absorptivity, for example.

The UV spectra (200 to 400 nm) of stressed samples were analyzed. Comparing the absorptions between samples and standards solutions, it is possible to realize that the fluconazole UV absorption was increased (Table 6).

The ultraviolet spectrum of these samples showed the absorbance was increased after stress testing. The absorbance was increased about 12% to sample under dry heat for 60 days; 10% to sample under humid heat for 90 days; 24 and 27% to samples under UVC for 66 and 180 days, respectively, at 261 nm. These results are in agreement with those showed by Marcinec and coworkers [10] to fluconazole samples irradiated with ionized radiation.

The results indicate that the most adverse conditions to fluconazole stability are an oxidative medium, UVC-254 radiation and elevated temperature. Temperature is one of the most important environmental factors involved in drug degradation and cannot be controlled by the packaging [21].

Conclusion

For routine quality control of medicines, it is essential to employ...
well-characterized, fully validated analytical methods to obtain reliable results that can be satisfactorily interpreted. The proposed methods were used successfully to investigate the fluconazole in stability tested conditions. Both methods are simple and efficient stability-indicating and they were validated showing accurate, specificity, robustness, excellent linearity and precision characteristics.

Our study leads to conclude that UV absorption cannot be used alone to quantify fluconazole in stability studies since it presents low selectivity. The bioassay testing may be more suitable for testing of fluconazole stability because it can determine the pharmaceutical activity of drugs; however it is not a separation method, and it cannot show the emergence of degradation products, then the LC method should be recommended along with the bioassay.

In addition, the results suggest that fluconazole must be maintained in strictly controlled conditions since its instability leads to decrease its therapeutic activity. The quality of fluconazole and its stability should be ensured to prevent the emergence of microbial resistance. Even more considering that fluconazole has had treatment failure [22]. In other hand, the extensive degradation of fluconazole in an oxidative medium when under high temperature and UVC exposure suggests that it can be inactivated by this way before being discarded, in order to avoid the development of microbial resistance.
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