Steroid Minimization in Pediatric Renal Transplantation

Keith K. Lau1 and Lavjay Butani2*

1Department of Pediatric Nephrology, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada
2Department of Pediatric Nephrology, University of California Davis, Sacramento, CA, USA

Introduction

Corticosteroids have been the mainstay of successful immunosuppressive strategies since the early days of renal transplantation [1-3]. However, success has not come without a price; long-term use of steroids is associated with well-known metabolic and bone-related adverse effects that lead to significant long-term morbidity [4]. In addition to effects on the cardiovascular and musculoskeletal organ systems, pediatric nephrologists have also had to address concerns related to the deleterious effects of steroids on growth and possible impact on non-adherence due to their cosmetic side effects.

The availability of potent induction agents and newer maintenance therapies has prompted and facilitated the development of novel regimens without the use of maintenance steroids to avoid the aforementioned side effects. The feasibility of complete avoidance of steroids in adults after renal transplantation was first suggested by an open label Canadian multicenter trial [5]. Since then, reports from other centers have also confirmed the efficacy and safety of various steroid minimization or avoidance regimens in adults [6-9]. These studies have also provided evidence that renal allograft recipients managed with less steroid exposure experience benefits such as a lower incidence of hypertension, diabetes, weight gain and hyperlipidemia.

Several multicenter randomized control trials, that directly compare the risks and benefits of rapid withdrawal or complete avoidance of steroids with regimens using maintenance steroids, in adult patients after renal transplantation have been published [10-15]. Most of these trials have demonstrated no increase in the incidence of acute rejection when steroids are minimized after transplantation [14]. Data on metabolic and cardiovascular benefits of steroid minimization are less robust although the studies do show a lower need for antihyperglycemic and lipid-lowering agents, lesser weight gain and better triglyceride levels with steroid avoidance [13,15].

Advantages Seen in Children

As pediatric nephrologists, what can we learn from the adult data? In addition to all the adverse effects seen in adults, children after renal transplantation are prone to growth impairment and susceptible to non-adherence attributed in part to body disfigurement from chronic use of steroids. Children come to transplant with a mean height z score of -1.75 to begin with and this can further be compounded by steroid exposure after transplantation. Since children are not small adults and their immune systems are different, despite the success of steroid minimization in adults, pediatric nephrologists have been slow to follow although the tide is slowly turning [16]. According to the 2010 Annual report of the North American Pediatric Renal Trials and Collaborative Studies, more children are being managed without steroid exposure after transplantation. Since children are not small adults and their immune systems are different, despite the success of steroid minimization in adults, pediatric nephrologists have been slow to follow although the tide is slowly turning [16]. According to the 2010 Annual report of the North American Pediatric Renal Trials and Collaborative Studies, more children are being managed without steroid exposure after transplantation. Since children are not small adults and their immune systems are different, despite the success of steroid minimization in adults, pediatric nephrologists have been slow to follow although the tide is slowly turning [16]. According to the 2010 Annual report of the North American Pediatric Renal Trials and Collaborative Studies, more children are being managed without steroid exposure after transplantation. Since children are not small adults and their immune systems are different, despite the success of steroid minimization in adults, pediatric nephrologists have been slow to follow although the tide is slowly turning [16]. According to the 2010 Annual report of the North American Pediatric Renal Trials and Collaborative Studies, more children are being managed without steroid exposure after transplantation. Since children are not small adults and their immune systems are different, despite the success of steroid minimization in adults, pediatric nephrologists have been slow to follow although the tide is slowly turning [16]. According to the 2010 Annual report of the North American Pediatric Renal Trials and Collaborative Studies, more children are being managed without steroid exposure after transplantation. Since children are not small adults and their immune systems are different, despite the success of steroid minimization in adults, pediatric nephrologists have been slow to follow although the tide is slowly turning [16]. According to the 2010 Annual report of the North American Pediatric Renal Trials and Collaborative Studies, more children are being managed without steroid exposure after transplantation. Since children are not small adults and their immune systems are different, despite the success of steroid minimization in adults, pediatric nephrologists have been slow to follow although the tide is slowly turning [16]. According to the 2010 Annual report of the North American Pediatric Renal Trials and Collaborative Studies, more children are being managed without steroid exposure after transplantation. Since children are not small adults and their immune systems are different, despite the success of steroid minimization in adults, pediatric nephrologists have been slow to follow although the tide is slowly turning [16]. According to the 2010 Annual report of the North American Pediatric Renal Trials and Collaborative Studies, more children are being managed without steroid exposure after transplantation. Since children are not small adults and their immune systems are different, despite the success of steroid minimization in adults, pediatric nephrologists have been slow to follow although the tide is slowly turning [16]. According to the 2010 Annual report of the North American Pediatric Renal Trials and Collaborative Studies, more children are being managed without steroid exposure after transplantation. Since children are not small adults and their immune systems are different, despite the success of steroid minimization in adults, pediatric nephrologists have been slow to follow although the tide is slowly turning [16]. According to the 2010 Annual report of the North American Pediatric Renal Trials and Collaborative Studies, more children are being managed without steroid exposure after transplantation. Since children are not small adults and their immune systems are different, despite the success of steroid minimization in adults, pediatric nephrologists have been slow to follow although the tide is slowly turning [16]. According to the 2010 Annual report of the North American Pediatric Renal Trials and Collaborative Studies, more children are being managed without steroid exposure after transplantation. Since children are not small adults and their immune systems are different, despite the success of steroid minimization in adults, pediatric nephrologists have been slow to follow although the tide is slowly turning [16].

Since the first anecdotal success by Birkeland et al with the use of a steroid free regimen in 14 pediatric patients, many centers, including ours, have reported their successful experience with steroid minimization in pediatric allograft recipients, using various novel protocols in a non-randomized manner [17-24]. These reports not only further support the feasibility and safety of steroid avoidance or minimization but they also affirm the potential metabolic benefits observed in adult patients. However, an improvement in linear growth was not universally observed among children receiving fewer steroids. We now have a few prospective randomized trials of steroid minimization/avoidance in children that are shedding light on this area [25-27]. The first multicenter randomized trial included 42 children from eight German centers and was designed to compare late (3 month) steroid withdrawal with a steroid based protocol [25]. All children (n = 42) received triple therapy (cyclosporine/mycophenolate mofetil/steroids) at transplantation. Twenty three children were randomized to undergo steroid minimization. In addition to a comparable rate of acute rejection at 12 months between the 2 groups, the children in the steroid minimization group displayed significantly: increased linear growth, less weight gain, lower incidence of hypertension and better lipid profile. These benefits persisted at the 2 year follow-up [26]. The impact of early steroid withdrawal in children was addressed by another multicenter randomized trial (TWIST Study), although data are only available for a 6 month follow-up [27]. The steroid withdrawal group (n = 98) received 2 doses of daclizumab and tapering doses of steroids such that children were off steroids by post-operative day 5. The control group (n = 98) received no induction therapy but received maintenance steroids. Both groups were maintained on tacrolimus and mycophenolate mofetil. At a 6 month follow-up, biopsy proven acute rejection was comparable in both groups (10.7% in the withdrawal group and 7.1% in the control group). Metabolic benefits included an improved lipid profile and lower incidence of new onset diabetes in the steroid withdrawal arm. The steroid withdrawal group also had improved linear growth after transplantation. Hence, data from the available pediatric studies, albeit limited, corroborate adult findings with additional benefits of better linear growth.

Recent concerns, however, have arisen, based on studies from our center, regarding the long term risks of steroid-minimization. Although based on a very small sample size, there is the possibility that without the safety-net afforded by steroids, humoral rejection may occur more frequently, especially in patients who are non-adherent to their medication regimen [28]. Since humoral rejection can have very significant long term implications on graft survival, this is an area that...
needs to be studied more meticulously. Another potential concern is the long term impact of the low HDL levels that are seen in patients who are not receiving corticosteroids, on cardiovascular health [29].

Notwithstanding these concerns, potential benefits of avoiding steroids, in our opinion, seem to outweigh the risks. However, more rigorous study on the appearance and trajectory of de novo donor specific antibodies after transplantation and long term cardiovascular outcomes in children and in adults are needed. Until then, steroid minimization should certainly be considered and offered as a viable strategy to children and their families, but utilized cautiously and with close monitoring.
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