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Abstract
Amphotericin B is an antifungal polyene antibiotic obtained from a strain of Streptomyces nodosus. Some cancer tumors are composed of fungal tissue called ergosterol. Amphotericin B binds to ergosterol in the cell membrane of susceptible fungi with a resultant change in membrane permeability allowing leakage of intracellular components. To study the role of amphotericin B on ergosterol membrane, cellulose acetate matrix has been used as a support on which the liquid membrane of ergosterol has been formed. NaCl has been used as the electrolyte which is transported through the membrane. Membrane potential, perm selectivity and fixed charge density values have been used to examine the action of amphotericin B on ergosterol.
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Introduction
Ergosterol is a fungal product and a biologically important material. During study, it has been noticed that in spite of having great biological significance, ergosterol causes several fungal diseases in human body and some cancer tumors are composed of fungal tissue called ergosterol. For this reason we used amphotericin B which is a polyene antifungal agent. It is an amphoteric compound composed of a hydrophilic polyhydroxyl chain along one side and a lipophilic polyene hydrocarbon chain on the other (Figure 1). Amphotericin B binds to sterols [1] preferentially to the primary fungal cell membrane sterol, ergosterol. This binding disrupts osmotic integrity of the fungal membrane, resulting in leakage of intracellular sodium, potassium, magnesium, sugars and metabolites and then cellular death [2]. Membrane potential has been measured using NaCl solutions of different mean concentrations to examine the action of amphotericin B on ergosterol liquid membrane.

Materials and Methods
Cellulose acetate film was prepared as already reported [3]. The liquid membrane was generated [4,5] by using the method of Pagano and Gershfeld [6]. Generation of liquid membrane was carried out by first equilibrating the film with water and then keeping it in contact with ergosterol solution of lowest concentration. Membrane resistance was measured. The critical micelle concentration (CMC) was found to be 20 µM. Ergosterol dispersions of higher concentration (35 µM) were used in the present study.

Membrane potential was measured [7] with the help of digital multimeter (MAS 830) using calomel electrodes. Sodium chloride solutions of different concentrations with and without ergosterol were kept on either side of the supported matrix to allow equilibration and liquid membrane formation.

The solution of known concentration of amphotericin B (MEDISPEC Pharmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd.) was prepared by dissolving a known amount of amphotericin B in water. During membrane potential measurement, a known volume of amphotericin B was also used in the solutions of sodium chlorides of different concentrations.

Results and Discussion
The liquid membrane formation was ascertained by measuring membrane resistance using ergosterol suspensions of different concentrations. Due to accumulation of ergosterol in the interfacial region, the resistivity changed and approached a constant value after 3-4 h (Figure 2). Membrane potential was measured using the experimental cell of the type:

\[
\text{Membrane potential} = \frac{R_1 - R_2}{R_1 + R_2}
\]

\[R_1 = \text{resistance of cell with ergosterol} \]
\[R_2 = \text{resistance of cell without ergosterol} \]
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Table 1: Membrane potential data at different mean concentration of NaCl with and without ergosterol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean Conc. (mol-dm–3)</th>
<th>C1 (mol-dm–3)</th>
<th>C2 (mol-dm–3)</th>
<th>E (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without amphotericin B</td>
<td>With amphotericin B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15 0.2 0.1</td>
<td>12.5 15.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30 0.4 0.2</td>
<td>11.1 13.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45 0.6 0.3</td>
<td>10.3 12.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60 0.8 0.4</td>
<td>9.7 11.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75 1.0 0.5</td>
<td>9.1 10.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Membrane potential data for cellulose acetate / ergosterol sodium chloride system with and without use of amphotericin B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean Conc. (mol-dm–3)</th>
<th>C1 (mol-dm–3)</th>
<th>C2 (mol-dm–3)</th>
<th>E (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without amphotericin B</td>
<td>With amphotericin B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15 0.2 0.1</td>
<td>12.5 15.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30 0.4 0.2</td>
<td>11.1 13.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45 0.6 0.3</td>
<td>10.3 12.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60 0.8 0.4</td>
<td>9.7 11.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75 1.0 0.5</td>
<td>9.1 10.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Permselectivity and fixed charge density values for cellulose acetate / ergosterol - sodium chloride system with and without use of amphotericin B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean Conc. (mol-dm–3)</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>Φ X (mol-dm–3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without amphotericin B</td>
<td>With amphotericin B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15 0.8669 0.9975</td>
<td>0.5782 4.2320</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30 0.8766 0.9715</td>
<td>1.2411 2.4595</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45 0.8645 0.9675</td>
<td>1.5032 3.4433</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60 0.8645 0.9589</td>
<td>2.0639 4.0529</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75 0.8611 0.9276</td>
<td>2.5405 3.7245</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Variation of fixed charge density with mean concentration for cellulose acetate/ergosterol-NaCl system.

The transport number on the basis of TMS theory for 1:1 electrolyte i.e. for NaCl may be given as [9],

\[ t_+ = \frac{E}{2F \max} + 0.5 \] (2)

Where, the symbols have their usual meaning. A simplification of TMS theory gives:

\[ E = \frac{RT}{F} (2t_+ - 1) \ln \frac{a_1}{a_2} \] (3)

and if the membrane is ideally selective,

\[ F \max = \frac{RT}{F} \ln \frac{a_1}{a_2} \] (4)

Perm selectivity is related to fixed charge density as [10]

\[ \phi_x = \frac{2 \pi P_x}{\sqrt{P_x^2 - P_y^2}} \] (5)

Where C is the mean concentration?

Table 1 includes the values of membrane potential for cellulose acetate - sodium chloride system with and without ergosterol. From the table, it is found that the membrane potential values decrease with increasing mean concentration and the values without ergosterol are greater in comparison to those with ergosterol.

Table 2 includes the values of membrane potential for cellulose acetate/ergosterol-sodium chloride system with and without use of amphotericin B. If we compare Tables 1 and 2, we find that membrane potential values for cellulose acetate/ergosterol - sodium chloride system with amphotericin B are approximately the same as those for cellulose acetate - sodium chloride system without ergosterol. It shows that use of amphotericin B in the system is responsible to remove the effect of ergosterol as a surfactant in the membrane. It may be due to the fact that amphotericin B binds to ergosterol and disrupts its osmotic integrity.

Table 3 contains the values of perm selectivity and fixed charge density for cellulose acetate/ergosterol - sodium chloride system with and without use of amphotericin B. It is found that in the presence of Amphotericin B, the perm selectivity values tend to become nearly equal to one (i.e., 0.9975 ~ 1). Fixed charge density values also variate in a random way as is clear from the (Figure 3). This indicates that in the presence of amphotericin B, there is a diminishing trend in the surfactant behavior of Ergosterol in the membrane.
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