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Abstract

Environment pollution can be determined using physical and chemical methods and with bio-indicators. In recent years there has been an increased interest on the use of human bio-indicators such as teeth, bone, blood, nail and hair to monitor environment pollution with toxic heavy metals. Therefore the determination of heavy metals content in teeth is understood to play an important role for monitoring the impact of environmental pollution. The aim of this review of literature is to illustrate the current status of teeth used as indicators in environmental pollution with lead.
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Introduction

Human civilization and concomitant increase in industrial activity have gradually influenced in redistribution of many toxic metals form the earth’s crust to the surrounding environment resulting in exposure of human population. Among different toxic elements, heavy metals, lead in particular, have high prevalence in the environment. Understanding of lead toxicity has advanced substantially over the past three decades, and focus has shifted from high-dose effects in clinically symptomatic individuals to the consequences of exposure at lower doses that cause no symptoms, particularly in children and fetuses [1].

Lead is one of the most toxic elements with accumulating properties [2]. This may be due to industrialization trends in the production of pigments, anti-corrosion clothing, lead smelters and batteries causing remarkable contamination of the air, soil, water, food, sediments, etc. Therefore, determination of lead is becoming ever more important. Lead has greatly attracted researcher’s attention due to its toxicity to humans. Lead intoxication in humans has neurotoxic effects such as encephalitis, behavioral disorders and inattentiveness, reduced nerve conduction and IQ deficit [3,4]. A lead concentration above 4 mg/kg in the teeth has been suggested as being indicative of lead toxicity [5,6]. Dental tissues are very hard and stable, allowing the heavy metals that are obtained from mineralization to be retained over time. Lead is incorporated and stored in calcified tissues, such as the teeth [7].

Enamel lead indicates exposure during in utero life and first post partum year, while lead in dentin indicates exposure after the tooth development [8]. Lead can be accumulated in baby teeth until they shed and it can also accumulate in permanent teeth while their presence in the mouth [9].

Teeth have an advantage over bones as biopsy tissues: they are easy to collect and are physically stable. There is evidence that teeth are superior to bone as an indicator of cumulative lead exposure because the losses from teeth are much slower as there is no turnover of apatite in teeth, as in bone, hence teeth are the most useful material for studying total past lead exposure [10].

Human teeth, both deciduous and permanent, are useful indicators of lead exposure of recent and historical populations. The use of permanent teeth is limited because the extraction of healthy permanent teeth just for this purpose is hardly acceptable [11]. Analysis of lead levels in deciduous teeth is a relatively simple and non-invasive method for determining a child’s lead burden at a very young age. Since the mid 1970’s, lead levels in deciduous teeth have been used as an exposure indicator in a number of studies.

Most published data on tooth lead have been based on whole tooth analysis, with no attempt to distinguish among tooth types as different teeth are formed at different ages or to differentiate the lead concentration in enamel from that in dentin [12].

Several studies in humans have demonstrated that lead level in teeth has been used as an index of accumulation of lead and environmental pollution [13-19].

Other studies have analyzed nonhuman teeth to assess lead exposure and demonstrated that the animal species teeth are well suited as a bio-indicator for assessing lead contamination of the environment [20-27].

The lead concentrations in teeth may reflect the extent of lead exposure experienced by an individual. Other factors such as tooth type, location of the tooth in the mouth, physiological root resorption, and region of tooth-sample analyzed, may have considerable influence on the measurable lead concentration [28].

Methods for the determination of lead in teeth

Several studies have been conducted in regard to lead levels in teeth, using chemical analysis techniques and instrumental methods. However, the majority of these data is based upon non intact teeth or separated tissues of teeth such as enamel, dentin or cement.

The most common method currently used for lead analysis in biological samples (teeth) is atomic absorption Spectrophotometry (AAS) [26,29,30].

Graphite furnace atomic absorption Spectrophotometry (GFAAS) is a very attractive option for the determination of trace amount of lead in teeth [10,17,31-37].

Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) is one of the preferred techniques for elemental analysis since it can provide...
excellent sensitivity, accuracy and precision of the analysis (Figure 1). Its recent combination with laser ablation to create inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) has increased the capabilities of this technique [18,19,38-41].

Inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) is a technique similar to ICP-MS. The ICP-AES relies on an optical spectrometer for the detection of elements, rather than mass spectrometry as is the case in ICP-MS [42-44].

The laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) technique is particularly useful for in-situ analyses of trace elements for applications requiring understanding of the spatial variation of elemental content within the sample [14,25,45-49].

All these techniques have been used in different modes depending upon the quantity of the sample available and elements sought.

There are only a few reference materials that are useful for quality control requirements of hard tissue analysis [50]. The animal bone CRM (IAEA H-5) issued by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) is currently out of stock. The bone ash (NIST SRM 1400) and bone meal (NIST SRM 1486) issued by the National Institute of Standards and Technology are presently available.

Comparative Studies of Lead Levels in Human Teeth

The comparison of results from various different studies is quite complex due to the lode fact of the variability of geographical origin of sample teeth, sample population, tooth type, sample preparation and analytic methodology. Also, results from various studies are hardly comparable since different parts of the teeth are analyzed. Some studies report the whole tooth others only for enamel or dentin. Nevertheless, authentic comparisons are possible.

Lead is a frequently investigated element in tooth. Many studies have been reported from several global regions. The results reported for urban areas are generally higher than those reported for non-urban environments. The differences have variously been ascribed to traffic density, the proximity of major roads, and the degree of urban environments. The differences have variously been ascribed to the environment in which people reside, indicating that this tissue should be further explored as an accessible biomarker of lead exposure.

However, there are other studies that have reported differently. Karahalil et al. [15] did not observe any significant difference between Ankara (urban) and Balikesir (suburban) regions in Turkey. In addition, Youravong et al. [53] studied enamel and dentine in teeth of children with high blood levels of lead and compared with teeth from children with low blood levels of lead. These findings suggest that lead accumulated in the teeth is linked to the environment in which people reside, indicating that this tissue should be further explored as an accessible biomarker of lead exposure.

These findings suggest that lead accumulated in the teeth is linked to the environment in which people reside, indicating that this tissue should be further explored as an accessible biomarker of lead exposure.

Higher levels of lead are seen in permanent teeth of juveniles near sources of increased exposure in the UK [52].

Costa de Almeida et al. [37] measured lead contents in the surface enamel of two populations one from an apparently uncontaminated area (Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo State, SP, Brazil) and the other from an area notoriously contaminated with lead (Bauru, São Paulo State, Brazil). They found that lead contents were statistically different between the Ribeirão Preto and the Bauru population (p<0.001).

Arruda-Neto et al. [41] have analyzed 74 teeth on the largest city of Brazil, Sao Paulo, where concentrations of lead, copper, zinc and cadmium in sediment were found by the World Health Organization to be higher than internationally accepted limits. They found that the concentration of lead was 40% higher in the teeth taken from this area, than the control area.

Tvinnereim et al. [36] have determined the level of lead exposure in Ethiopian children in rural as well as urban areas. Teeth from Addis Ababa (urban populations) had statistically significant higher lead concentrations than teeth from the Rift Valley (rural populations).

Another study also illustrates high lead levels in teeth of populations living in the industrialized countries [19]. They show that the highest lead concentrations in human permanent teeth were in Mitrovica (22.3 mg/kg), followed by Klinja (3.1 mg/kg) and Graz (1.6 mg/kg). Such high concentration the authors explained with the fact that Mitrovica is an industrial area with various technological units: large smelters, refineries, flotation, battery factory and sulphuric acid production facility.

These findings suggest that lead accumulated in the teeth is linked to the environment in which people reside, indicating that this tissue should be further explored as an accessible biomarker of lead exposure.

The lead levels in whole teeth may be affected by the type of tooth. Variability of lead concentration in relation to the tooth type has been confirmed in former studies [54,55]. Even in the last decade research of lead concentration showed significant variability when comparing teeth type.

Nowak & Chmielnicka [56] concluded a higher lead concentration in anterior teeth. Lead concentration in incisors, canines, molars and premolars was 41.8, 37.5, 35.3 and 32.0 µg/g, respectively. Also Tvinereim et al. [57] have found lead concentration to be significantly higher in incisors than in molars (p<0.05). Similarly aimed research was conducted by Bayo et al. [13] who studied the correlation of lead and cadmium contents in deciduous teeth of children from Cartagen, with some environmental and physiological factors: parental social-economic status, home antiquities and zone of residence, child’s habits, age and sex, as well as tooth-related factors (presence of caries, type, weight and location. They have reported an increase of both heavy metals levels (cadmium and lead) – the highest being in incisors and the lowest in molars in deciduous teeth of children from Cartagena.

Rahman & Yousuf [29] in their study evaluated chronic lead
exposure of children in Karachi and found that incisor teeth had a significantly higher mean (SD) lead level, 6.42 (4.19) micrograms/g, than canines and molars which contained 4.91 (5.12) micrograms and 4.50 (2.67) micrograms lead in whole teeth (dry weight), respectively.

Herández-Guerrero et al. [58] concluded higher lead concentration in incisors and canines than in molar and premolar teeth. The argue that according to morphological difference in size between canines and incisors including the developmental aspect od enamel and dentin formation starting in the fifth month of gestation in the maxillary canines. Also according to Karhalil et al. [15], incisors had a statistically significant higher lead level than canines and molars (p<0.05).

On the contrary, Báez et al. [33] didn’t find any statistical differences for cadmium and lead concentrations when comparing tooth type. This was possibly due to the small sample size. They state that the sample size should be increased in further investigations.

Conclusion

The rate of environmental pollution and human exposure deriving from the effects of dangerous toxic chemicals in the environment is usually difficult to assess. One possible alternative method is the use of bio-indicators to demonstrate environmental pollution. The content of trace elements in human teeth is a more suitable indicator to demonstrate environmental pollution rather then fitological and ecological studies. The analysis of teeth is an important technique for determining the exposure of toxic trace elements (lead). The importance of the concentration of trace elements in human teeth remains a question, especially with permanent teeth.

In view of the developments a standard protocol for identification of heavy metals in teeth is required.
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### Table 1: Mean lead concentration levels in different countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Analytical Method</th>
<th>Mean Pb Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>AAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brasil</td>
<td>Uncontaminated</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>GFASS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosovo</td>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>22.3 mg/kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brasil</td>
<td>Sao Paulo</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>ICP-MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosovo</td>
<td>Non-Industrial</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>ICP-MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosovo</td>
<td>Non-Industrial</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>ICP-MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosovo</td>
<td>Non-Industrial</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>ICP-MS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citation:


