The Prevention of Gestational Diabetes
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Abstract

The negative impact of the gestational diabetes on the maternal and fetal health is well known and this impact is closely related to gestational age at which the diagnosis is done. Therefore, the use of therapeutic options able to prevent or delay the gestational diabetes occurrence has a positive impact on maternal and neonatal outcomes.

Physical activity and dietary advice represent the first choice and they are the most studied as well. They represent a non-pharmacological option and have a positive impact on insulin resistance although they do not seem to prevent the gestational diabetes onset. The preconceptional use of metformin in women with polycystic ovary syndrome protects the pancreatic beta cell reserve preventing or delaying the occurrence of gestational diabetes. However, there are also doubts on the drug safety in pregnancy since it completely crosses the placenta. From this point of view, the inositol could represent an excellent alternative. Its role as insulin sensitizing agent is well documented on improving both the hormonal and reproductive parameters. However, the studies on its preconceptional use in preventing gestational diabetes are too limited though the first results are extremely encouraging.
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Introduction

Pregnancy increases requirements for insulin secretion while increasing insulin resistance (IR) [1], increasing demands on pancreatic beta cells [2], in presence of impaired pancreatic beta-cell compensation for IR [3], and leads to gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) [4].

GDM is associated to risks for the fetus, including macrosomia and birth injuries for shoulder dystocia, for the newborn such as neonatal hypoglycemia, respiratory distress syndrome, childhood obesity, and for the mother, such as caesarean delivery, hypertensive disorders and an increased risk of developing type 2 diabetes later in life [5,6]. The US Preventative Services Task Force recently concluded that screening for GDM in all pregnant women cannot be justified [7], although two recent randomized controlled trials have demonstrated improved outcomes of neonates in GDM pregnancy whose mothers were treated with diet and/or insulin, compared with no treatment [8,9].

Therefore, the prevention of GDM is of paramount importance in order to reduce deleterious effects on women’s long-term health and their offspring as much as possible. For this purpose, several strategies have been proposed to prevent GDM over the years such as exercise, weight loss, oral hypoglycemic [10,11].

Considering the insulin-mimetic effect of inositol supplementation in several animal models of insulin resistance [12-14] and in women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) [15], it has been recently hypothesized and studied its positive on the GDM incidence [16-19].

The aim of this review is to discuss several options to prevent GDM occurrence, paying attention on the potential role of a dietary inositol supplement.

GDM-Related Perinatal Complications

Women with GDM have an increased incidence of hypertensive disorders during pregnancy; in particular the incidence for chronic hypertension varies between 2.5-5%, for pre-eclampsia between 5-15% and for gestational hypertension is approximately 6% [8,20,21]. These clinical conditions may partially explain the association between GDM and preterm delivery. Indeed, in the HAPO study approximately 1608 of the 23316 patients (6.9%) experienced preterm delivery although it had minimal association with fasting glucose levels [22]. Regarding the risk of shoulder dystocia, it increases with obesity and additionally with GDM. In HAPO study, it was one of the least common outcomes, with only 1.3% of the women affected [22]. The increased risk conferred by GDM is thought to be related to anthropometric abnormalities in GDM infants. The risk of stillbirth was also increased before the advent of GDM treatment. However, note that in more recent years and in industrialized nations, stillbirth is an uncommon outcome.

To reduce these complications related to GDM, Caesarean delivery has been successfully employed. In HAPO study, 16% of women with GDM underwent primary caesarean section (CS). Moreover, elevated fasting glucose, 1-hour glucose and 2-hour glucose were all associated with increased odds of primary CS [22]. GDM may also affect health newborn: maternal hyperglycemia is thought to lead to excess fetal glucose exposure and fetal hyperinsulinemia [23]. In turn, fetal hyperinsulinemia is thought to lead to hyperplasia of fat tissue, skeletal muscle, and subsequent neonatal hypoglycemia [23]. Another GDM effect on newborn health is hyperbilirubinemia. Maternal hyperglycemia and the subsequent induction of fetal hyperinsulinemia are hypothesized to lead to increased fetal oxygen uptake, fetal erythropoiesis, and subsequent hyperbilirubinemia [24].

GDM may also cause long-term complications for both mother and infant. There are several hypotheses postulating permanent changes in
fetal metabolism during the intrauterine exposure to hyperglycemia. During GDM pregnancy, the fetus may be imprinted or programmed, resulting in excess fetal growth, decreased insulin sensitivity, and impaired insulin secretion. These changes may be associated with impaired glucose tolerance during early youth and adolescence [25-27]. Moreover, GDM may cause a reduced pancreatic beta-cell reserve in the mother that can manifest in the decade after delivery with the onset of diabetes mellitus [28]. Even among women who have a normal postpartum glucose tolerance test, the risk of future diabetes may be up to seven-fold higher than in women without histories of GDM [29].

**Strategies for Prevention of GDM**

One important physiological change that occurs in normal pregnancies is a progressive increase in IR at the muscle level [30]. This normal IR reduces the maternal uptake of glucose concentrations to ensure an adequate glucose supply for fetal growth and development [30]. The deterioration of this physiological metabolic change causes the continuous pancreatic beta-cell insufficiency so that GDM may develop.

Interventions improving glucose tolerance by attenuating pregnancy-induced IR or by achieving glycemic control may help in preventing GDM.

**Physical activity**

Regular physical activity in non-pregnant individuals results in numerous health benefits, such as, improvements in glucose homeostasis and insulin sensitivity [31-33]. These beneficial effects of physical activity are due, in part, to an increased responsiveness of muscle uptake to insulin related to an increase in GLUT-4 expression [34,35].

Pregnancy-induced IR develops at the skeletal muscle level [30] and it is likely that the physiological and molecular mechanisms underlying the beneficial effects of physical activity in non-pregnant population may also be present in pregnant women. In this regard, different studies have been carried out over the years and recently published systematic reviews and meta-analyses showed that prenatal activity has no effect on the prevention of GDM [36-38].

However, the lack of consistent evidence regarding the benefits of exercise could be due to several factors, such as, the small sample size, the study design, the method to assess glucose tolerance/insulin sensitivity or the compliance of the women with the interventions. The latter has been found to be a major problem; factors such as concerns for the safety of the baby, physical limitations, and lack of energy, motivation or resources may contribute to the low compliance with the exercise recommendations. Therefore, some authors proposed supervised exercise sessions in order to favor patients’ compliance even though nonbeneficial results have been reported yet [39,40]. Lifestyle interventions combining physical activity and nutrition have been found to be more effective than physical activity interventions alone in limiting gestational weight gain [41].

However, it has not been demonstrated a direct link between limiting gestational weight gain and prevention of GDM; physical activity-based interventions may influence glucose and insulin metabolism without affecting gestational weight gain [42-44], supporting the independent effect of exercise on glucose and insulin metabolism regulation via its direct effect on skeletal muscle cell adaptations [34,35].

Taken together, the different characteristics of the studies make difficult their comparison. The limited evidence from physical activity-based interventions suggests that in order to be more successful in preventing the deterioration of glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity, pregnant women should engage in regular physical activity at least three times per week at moderate intensity for 30 minutes or more [42-47]. However, since glucose response to exercise is influenced by several factors, such as exercise modality, duration, and intensity [48-50], further research is needed.

**Dietary advice**

Glucose is the primary source of energy for fetal growth [51]. The aim of dietary advice is to prevent maternal hyperglycemia attenuating the IR of the pregnancy so as to prevent the onset of GDM. These dietary recommendations are based on a single benchmark representing by glycemic index (GI). It provides a measure of how quickly blood sugar levels rise after eating a particular type of food [52] since low glycemic index diets have shown to benefit those being treated for diabetes [53]. Several authors compared different food programs during pregnancy based on high or low GI. The dietary intervention generally began during the first trimester; thirty per cent of total energy intake was to be fat and 55% carbohydrate food.

These different dietary recommendations had a beneficial maternal and neonatal impact. Women with the low GI diet were to be at decreased risk of having large-for-gestational-age infants. Moreover, babies born to mothers on the low GI diet were significantly lighter and had significantly lower ponderal indexes than babies born to mothers on the high GI diet [54,55]. The impact of the low GI diet preventing GDM was highlighted by maternal fasting blood glucose that was significantly lower. Despite the different dietary regimens, patient’s compliance was unchanged, thus the authors reported no significant difference in acceptability and affordability of the low and high GI diets [56].

These results are promising; however need to say that the number of trial is limited. We have to highlight that the low GI diet has several beneficial effects on both fetus and mother but it is necessary a large sample size to demonstrate that the dietary recommendations may prevent GDM. The evidence of reduced fasting blood glucose in women with low GI diet is cheering; however another maternal outcome should be studied to reveal a decreased incidence of GDM.

**Metformin**

Metformin is a drug widely studied in women with PCOS, able to restore ovulation and reduce the incidence of pregnancy loss [57]. However, its insulin-sensitizing action seems not only to improve the female fertility but also to prevent the GDM. Several authors proposed that metformin protects pancreatic beta cell’s reserve reducing the secretory demands imposed by chronic IR and this could slow or stop progression of subsequent type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM2) [58,59]. This physiological mechanism has been proposed to explain the interesting results of several studies over the years [60-64]. The authors demonstrated the decreased incidence of GDM and a better glycemic control throughout pregnancy by the preconception metformin supplementation in PCOS patients. Nevertheless, remains to be verified the effect of metformin on perinatal complications by GDM such as preterm delivery and preeclampsia [65,66]. Moreover, the major doubt related to metformin use during pregnancy concerns the possible perinatal complications. Indeed, metformin easily crosses the placenta so the fetus is exposed to drug concentrations comparable with those of the mother [67]. Although recent studies with larger populations
support the safety of metformin during pregnancy [68,69], the lack of data on long-term perinatal complications requires some caution.

Inositol

Inositol is a cyclitol naturally present in animal and plant cells, either in its free form or as a bound-component of phospholipids or inositol derivatives. It plays an important role in various cellular processes as the structural basis for secondary messengers in eukaryotic cells [70,71].

It exists under nine stereoisomeric forms depending on the spatial orientation of its six hydroxy groups. Myo-inositol (MI) is the predominant isomeric form and is considered to belong to the vitamin B family. The conversion of MI in d-chiro-inositol (DCI) can occur in tissues expressing the specific epimerase. Pak et al. measured a conversion rate of MI to DCI of about 7.6% in rat blood and 8.8% in rat muscle and liver [72].

Inositol and insulin resistance

Abnormalities in MI and DCI metabolism have been involved in the development of several diseases and in particular in the development of IR and diabetic complications. Indeed, a depletion of MI intracellular is commonly observed in primary sites for development of diabetic microvascular complications (kidney, sciatric nerve, retina and lens) in diabetic animal models and human subjects [73,74]. Inhibition of cellular MI uptake, altered MI biosynthesis and increased MI degradation are putative mechanisms of MI depletion [75]. It could have a negative impact on the synthesis and availability of phosphatidylinositol (PI) in the cells. Indeed, altered PI metabolism associated to MI deficiency has been observed in the sciatric nerve of diabetic rat model [76].

The conversion of MI-DCI also seems to be altered in insulin-resistant patients. Larner et al. described a decreased urinary excretion of DCI and an increased urinary excretion of MI in human subjects and rhesus monkey with DM2 [73]. In monkeys the inositol excretion pattern became more marked with the progression of the diabetic disease and additional studies demonstrated that this altered inositol profile in urine is more directly related to the underlying IR so much so that the altered ratios of increased MI to decreased DCI in urine have been proposed as index of IR in human [12,77]. A defect in MI to DCI epimerization activity was demonstrated in vivo in rats and in vitro in fibroblasts to explain this inositol imbalance [72,78].

The consequence of this altered mechanism is that the excessive urinary MI excretion reduces MI plasma level and consequently emphasizes MI intracellular depletion. Decreased production of DCI from MI reduces the availability of intracellular DCI for its incorporation in inositol phosphoglycans (IPGs), putative second messenger of insulin. Therefore, the decreased DCI content in insulin target tissues could reduce insulin signal transduction and contribute to the IR [79]. Therefore, it seems reasonable to speculate on a possible effect of MI and/or DCI supplementation in insulin-resistant patients to prevent GDM.

Moreover, the importance of inositol metabolism has been recently reinforced by other Authors those founda higher amounts of MI in the urine of intrauterine growth restriction birth compared to control infants [80]. These findings require careful reflection on their meaning.

Inositol in human reproduction

The impact of inositol supplementation on the IR has been proved in vivo so that is one of the most used insulin-sensitizing agents to restore spontaneous ovarian activity in women with PCOS [15]. The beneficial effects of inositol have been also proved on metabolic and hormonal parameters in insulin-resistant women. Indeed, a significant improvement of typical hormonal parameters was observed in PCOS women after MI treatment: decreased LH, FSH, and testosterone circulation levels, and increased SHBG, estrogens and progesterone circulating levels [81-83]. Insulin peripheral sensitivity was improved (reduced HOMA-IR index and/or reduction of the AUC of glucose and insulin during an oral glucose tolerance test) as well as markers of cardiovascular risk with a decrement in systolic and diastolic blood pressure, a decrease in plasma triglycerides, an increased in HDL cholesterol, and a decrease in LDL and total cholesterol concentrations [84,85]. These results have incremented the research in the field of human reproduction so that MI supplementation was tested in patients undergoing multiple follicular stimulations for IVF [86,87].

Therefore, given the wide use of inositol in infertile patients at risk for GDM (women with PCOS), some authors have recently tested the effect of preconceptional inositol supplementation on the GDM onset. Inositol as a therapeutic option to prevent GDM

The good findings of MI supplementation on the IR have been confirmed also in the patients with GDM. In particular, Corrado et al. found a decreased fasting glucose and insulin in GDM patients treated by 4 g MI daily [16]. Afterwards, D’Anna et al. have published the first report about the effect of MI on GDM occurrence in PCOS infertile women [17].

The authors has retrospectively considered 98 anovulatory and hyperinsulinemic PCOS non diabetic patients achieving spontaneous pregnancy after treatment with MI plus folic acid (n=54) throughout the whole pregnancy, or with metformin (n. 44) until a positive pregnancy test occurred. The difference of GDM occurrence comparing the groups was highly significant (17% vs. 54%; p<0.001), showing a double risk of GDM in the control group (OR: 2.4; CI 95% 1.3-4.4) compared to the MI group. Despite the limited number of study population, the authors highlighted the significant trend in favor of the MI group even though they stated the need to confirm these results on a larger number of patients. Indeed, the same authors published subsequently the results of a prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled study [18]. They enrolled 220 pregnant outpatients with parent DM2 randomizing them in two groups: 110 patients treated from the end of the first trimester with 2 g MI plus 200 μg folic acid twice a day (treated group) and 110 patients only treated with 200 μg folic acid twice a day (control group) through the whole pregnancy. The prevalence of GDM was significantly reduced in the treated group (6 vs. 15%), and a reduction of 65% of the risk for GDM (OR 0.35; CI 95% 0.13-0.96) was registered. A significant difference was also highlighted in glycaemia at the OGTT either at basal values (p<0.001) or in the first hour (p<0.02). Furthermore, a statistically significant reduction of fetal macrosomia (p<0.007) in the treated group was also highlighted together with a significant reduction in mean fetal weight at delivery (p<0.01).

Also Matarrelli et al. found similar results conducting a prospective, randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled clinical trial [19]. They enrolled 84 non-obese singleton pregnant women with an elevated fasting glucose in the first or early second trimester randomizing them to receive either MI or placebo. The group of women allocated to receive MI had a significantly lower incidence of abnormal OGTT (71 vs. 6%; OR 0.12, CI 95% 0.03-0.5), Basal, 1 and 2 hour glycemic controls were significantly lower in the MI group (p<0.001, <0.04, 0.06, respectively).
Furthermore, after birth, neonatal hypoglycemia was significantly lower in MI group (0 vs. 26%, OR: 0.05, CI 95 0.003-0.849).

Despite these three studies are different for design and study population, they agreed in emphasizing the effect of MI to reduce the incidence of GDM. Although these studies are based on a small sample size, the positive trend in favor of MI supplementation is evident. It is also confirmed by secondary measured outcomes as neonatal hypoglycemia, fetal macrosomia or glycaemia at OGTT whose incidence was significantly lower in the treated groups.

Conclusions

The negative impact of the GDM on the maternal and fetal health is well known. This impact is closely related to gestational age at which the diagnosis of GDM is done. Therefore, the use of therapeutic options able to prevent or delay the GDM occurrence has a positive impact on maternal and neonatal outcomes.

Physical activity and dietary advice represent the first choice and they are the most studied as well. They represent a non-pharmacological option and have a positive impact on insulin resistance although they do not seem to prevent the GDM onset. Moreover, the insufficient compliance of the patient very often represents a limiting factor.

On the contrary, the role of hypoglycemic agent as metformin is well known in the management and treatment of GDM. The preconceptional use of metformin in PCOS women protects the pancreatic beta cell reserve preventing or delaying the occurrence of GDM. However, there are also doubts on the drug safety in pregnancy since it completely crosses the placenta. Several authors demonstrated the perinatal safety of the drug but larger studies are needed. From this point of view, the inositol could represent an excellent alternative. It is normally present in the human organism (so it could be used safely in pregnancy) and its depletion is closely related to the insulin resistance. Its role as insulin sensitizing agent is well documented on improving both the hormonal and reproductive parameters. However, the studies on its preconceptional use in preventing GDM are too limited. The first results are extremely encouraging showing lower incidence of GDM in the women treated with 4 g/die of MI. To confirm this positive trend, it would be interesting to study the impact of inositol on secondary outcomes as fetal macrosomia, gestational hypertension, pre-eclampsia, preterm birth. In this way, we could really confirm that the MI supplementation has a positive overall effect on the maternal health. Furthermore, confirming these data on a larger sample size could represent the first step in the discovery of an important and validated non-pharmacological option to prevent GDM.
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