The Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System in Renal and Cardiovascular Disease and the Effects of its Pharmacological Blockade
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Abstract

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) and chronic kidney disease (CKD) have become leading health problems worldwide. In addition, both conditions have relevant interrelationships: cardiovascular disease may promote the development and progression of CKD, whereas CKD is a cardiovascular risk factor per se and potentiate the harmful effects of other cardiovascular risk factors. In addition, a critical common pathogenic and pathophysiologic factor in CVD and CKD is the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS). Moreover, from a therapeutic perspective, blockade of RAAS is a pivotal strategy in the treatment, control and prevention of CVD and CKD.
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Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) represents a leading health problem and a significant economic burden on society. It is the leading cause of death in the U.S., accounting for more than 35% of deaths [1]. Significant vascular risk factors for CVD include hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, tobacco use, microalbuminuria, glomerular filtration rate (GFR) less than 60 ml/m, a family history of CVD, physical inactivity, and obesity [2]. All of the risk factors mentioned contribute to a continuum of vascular disease, atherosclerosis, coronary artery disease, and left ventricular hypertrophy. The result is myocardial infarction with consequent remodeling of the myocardium, heart failure (HF), and arrhythmias, all contributing to premature death.

Together with the increasing importance of CVD, chronic kidney disease (CKD) has also been recognized as a major health problem in the industrialized world. In fact, a great prevalence of earlier stages of CKD has been inferred [3]. Based on data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, an awesome number of individuals in the US have significantly decreased kidney function. There are even more individuals with manifestations of kidney damage (particularly albuminuria) without a significant decrease in kidney function. It is evident that most patients with CKD do not progress to end-stage renal disease (ESRD), but likely succumb to CVD, which also is the leading cause of death for patients with ESRD on maintenance dialysis therapy. Micro- and macroalbuminuria are found in more than 9% of the adult population in the US and this percentage increase to 30% when evaluating people with hypertension and diabetes [4]. The concomitant presence of albuminuria, hypertension and diabetes confer a 2- to 8-fold increase in the risk of CV morbidity and mortality. Increased urinary albumin excretion rate has been recognized not only as an independent risk factor for CV but also for CKD [5]. At present there is consistent evidence that a significant delay in progression and even arrest of CKD is clinically achievable in many cases. Stated otherwise, patients with CKD should have their disease detected and treated well before the onset of kidney failure and the need for dialysis or transplantation.

The renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) is a well-known regulator of blood pressure (BP) and determinant of target-organ damage. It controls fluid and electrolyte balance through coordinated effects on the heart, blood vessels, and kidneys. Thus when overexpressed, RAAS has long been recognized as a significant contributor to CVD through increases in blood volume and arterial pressure, fibrosis, a prothrombotic state, and progression of vascular lesions. Overexpression of the RAAS leads to a variety of deleterious vascular effects [6]. Angiotensin II (AII) is the main effector of the RAAS due to its vasconstrictor effect. AII may also directly contribute to CV damage by sustaining cell growth, inflammation, and fibrosis [7]. AII induces endothelial dysfunction via activation of important transcription factors, especially nuclear factor-xb, thereby inducing pro-inflammatory phenotypes in vascular smooth muscle. AII also has a direct effect on smooth-muscle migration, vascular hypertrophy, and the synthesis and release of extracellular matrix composition, all of which contribute to vascular remodeling. Finally, AII receptor overexpression in adipose tissue induces inhibition of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma (PPAR-γ) activity, which may lead to insulin resistance [8]. Based on these data several experimental and clinical studies has suggest that blocking the system either with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) or ARB receptor blockers (ARBs) are preferred agents in patients with either a CDV or CKD, due to significant reductions in all-cause mortality, CV events and progression of renal disease [9]. Combined therapy with ACEI and ARB has also become more broadly adopted based primarily on biological plausibility that more intense blockade of the RAAS using multiple approaches are likely to be more effective [10].

The purpose of the present review is to present a general view of the multiple actions of RAAS and their more relevant components in the development and progression of CVD and CKD, together with an analysis of present treatments based on RAAS blockade including new drugs and their combinations as a fundamental strategies for reducing their impact on mortality and morbidity cause by these entities.
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An Overview of RAAS

The RAAS is directly involved in the regulation of BP, fluid volume, and vascular response to injury and inflammation [11]. The inappropriate activation of this system causes hypertension, fluid retention, and inflammatory, thrombotic, and atherogenic effects that may contribute to end-organ damage in the long term [12]. Although aldosterone (Aldo), renin, and several breakdown products of angiotensin I (AI) are also involved, most of the effects of the RAAS on target tissues are mediated by AII, which is generated both in the circulation and in the tissue (Figure 1).

In the classic pathway of the RAAS, renin is secreted from the juxtaglomerular apparatus of the kidney and acts on the circulating precursor angiotensinogen to generate AI [13]. Renin hydrolyzes the Leu10-Val11 bond of angiotensinogen, to generate the decapeptide AI. In the heart, kidneys, and brain, AI is also produced by non-ACE pathways involving chymases, cathepsin G, kallikrein-like enzymes and endopeptidases and seems to exert effects on target tissues that are even greater than the effects of centrally generated AII [14]. AII acts on the heart and the kidneys by binding to the G protein–coupled receptors type 1 (ATR1) and type 2 (ATR2). The ATR1 receptor mediates the more deleterious effects of AII - that is, vasoconstriction and cardiac and vessel hypertrophy. The ATR2 receptor regulates opposing effects. In addition to the conversion of AI to AII, ACE inactivates two vasodilator peptides, bradykinin and kallidin [13].

Recently, it has been discovered the ACE2, a homologue of ACE that is thought to counterbalance its actions. ACE2 cleaves AI and AII into the inactive angiotensin 1-9(AI-9), and the vasodilator and anti-proliferative angiotensin 1-7(AI-7), respectively [15]. Among all the active metabolites that are products of the RAAS, A(1-7) is the most important [16] and can also be produced by the action of tissue endopeptidases especially nephrilysin on AI [16]. A(1-7) opposes the endogenous actions of tissue AII, provides cardiorenal protection by binding to the Mas protooncogene receptor [17]. Apart from its anti-arrhythmogenic, antithrombotic and growth inhibitory effects, the most prominent effect of A(1-7) is the inhibition of the AII-induced vasoconstriction [18].

Renin and Prorenin: The Concept and Actions

Renin controls the first rate-limiting step of the system and cleaves angiotensinogen to the inactive decapptide AI. The active octapeptide AII is formed from AI by the ACE. AII acts via ATR1 to increase arterial tone, adrenal Aldo secretion, renal sodium reabsorption, sympathetic neurotransmission, and cellular growth [19]. The renin activity is not only observed in plasma but also locally in tissues forming the basis for tissue RAAS, which are of prime importance for organ damage in CVD and diabetes [20]. However, since renin is hardly expressed in tissues, the recent discovery of the (pro)renin receptor has provided some clues to this issue [21].

The (pro) renin receptor is a protein that binds prorenin and renin in tissues, leading to their activation and, at the same time, to the

![Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System: A 2011 overview](image-url)
Angiotensin II

All is an octapeptide with a crucial role either in renal as in CVD that has deserve an intensive amount of investigation [27]. All is considering the main effector of the RAAS, and as has been previously described is the product of a series of proteolytic reactions that starts with the cleavage of angiotensinogen by renin to form AI [13]. All has a number of rapid effects, including vasoconstriction (which reduces the capacity of the vascular tree), increased Aldo secretion (which leads to salt retention), increased thirst and release of antidiuretic hormone (which leads to water conservation), increased myocardial hypertrophy, and albuminuria without cardiac fibrosis or renal injury. These studies also discussed beneficial actions of (pro)renin, because in several experimental studies reported (pro)renin overexpression in transgenic mice that is associated with mild hypertension, myocardial hypertrophy, and albuminuria without cardiac fibrosis or renal injury. Also, several experimental studies reported (pro)renin in human diabetic patients that display 3 to 7-fold higher (pro)renin/renin ratios in the blood [26]. However high (pro)renin levels are also found during pregnancy in the maternal plasma (where they can rise by a factor of 10) in the absence of any obvious tissue damage [21]. Also, evidence that angiotensin receptors influence a range of processes independent from hemodynamic effects [35]. AII acts in many tissues including kidney, heart, blood vessels, brain, and lymphatic organs, through binding and activation of receptors, which belong to the large family of G-coupled, 7 trans-membrane spanning receptors. The angiotensin receptors can be separated pharmacologically into two distinct classes: ATR1 and ATR2, and these receptors have been cloned and sequenced from many species. The consensus from studies using pharmacological ATR1 and ATR2 antagonists and genetic studies in mice is that the dominant cardiovascular actions of the RAAS are mediated by the ATR1. The ATR1 predominates in most tissues, on the contrary, expression of the ATR2 is highest during fetal development, decreasing in most tissues to very low levels in adults. In general, the functions of the ATR2 tend to oppose actions of the ATR1 [35].

The ATR1: The classical actions of the RAAS, and in particular its CV effects, are elicited by activation of ATR1. It has long been recognized that AT1R plays a critical role in the All-mediated actions in the CV system (vasoconstriction, BP increase, water and sodium retention, growth promotion, fibrosis, inflammation) under physiological and pathological states. The efficacy of specific ARBs in treating hypertension, slowing progression of CKD, and reducing CV risk reflects the important role of this receptor in a variety of disorders.
One well recognized function mediated by ATR1 is to trigger intense vasoconstriction [36]. These actions are mediated by direct effects of ATR1 in VSMC along with indirect effects of ATR1 activation of pathways in the central nervous system linked to peripheral vasoconstriction. Recently, it has been identified a novel signaling pathway linking ATR1 receptor activation to VSMC contraction where Rho kinase signaling plays a key role in ATR1-mediated vasoconstriction [37]. Also, it has been described that AT1 receptors have a profound impact on inflammation and immune responses by modifying cytokine production and inflammatory cell migration [38].

The ATR2: On the contrary of ATR1, the functional significance of ATR2 is much less characterized, due to its low levels of expression together with the very robust actions of the ATR1. Few studies have investigated the expression and the function of ATR2 in humans, particularly in the CV system [38]. Initial studies suggested that the ATR2 receptor did not have a major role in normal physiological regulation [35]. Subsequent studies, however, indicated that expression of the ATR2 increases under certain pathological conditions such as myocardial infarction [39], although this receptor is expressed to much lower levels in the heart compared with other tissues [38]. In the kidney, ATR2 are expressed mainly in interlobular arteries where it has been proposed that inhibits renin biosynthesis and AII formation and regulates glomerular blood flow and pressure natriuresis [40]. Both ATR1 and ATR2 play a role in the regulation of VSMC and extracellular matrix (ECM) components. Whereas ATR1 is associated with growth, inflammation and vasoconstriction, ATR2 may, in part, counteract these effects. ATR2 activation may directly antagonize ATR1 mediated actions by forming heterodimers with the ATR1 subsequently producing anti-inflammatory, antiapoptotic and BP-lowering actions with beneficial consequences for CVD [41]. However, it has been shown recently that AII induced ATR1 signals may be mainly blocked by ATR2 signals through their negative cross-talk in the cytoplasm, rather than by the heterodimerization of both receptors on the cell surface [38].

One of the direct actions of ATR2 is that produce vasodilatation through several pathways [38]. ATR2 reduced the vascular tone of isolated resistance arteries from hypertensive diabetic patients chronically treated with an ARB, suggesting that unblocked AT2R stimulated by the increased AII in these individuals could participate in BP reduction induced by ARBs [42]. Along with the ATR2-mediated antigrowth and proapoptotic actions, the reduced vascular tone may be involved in the improvement of vascular remodeling observed in these high CV risk patients treated with ARBs [43]. Thus, ATR2 may participate in mechanisms whereby therapeutic use of ARBs induces CV protection. Although the exact role of ATR2 in human pathophysiology is still in need of elucidation, a growing body of evidence suggests that AT2R may be a potential therapeutic target in hypertension. Recently it has been developed a highly selective, nonpeptide ATR2 agonist (C21) [44]. In vivo studies has shown different actions including an improvement of cardiac function after myocardial infarction, amelioration of remodeling and reduced inflammatory responses [35].

Aldosterone: New Actions in CVD and CKD

Aldo is secreted by the zona glomerulosa under the influence of AII, potassium, and ACTH. The net effect is that Aldo functions to maintain normal sodium and potassium balance as well as BP and circulating blood volume. Aldo exerts its main effects on sodium and potassium balance by binding to the mineralocorticoid receptor (MCR) located in the distal convoluted tubule, connecting segment and cortical collecting duct in the kidney. However, at present the diverse actions of Aldo seems to be related to two different regulatory pathways, the genomic pathway which regulates the transport of sodium and potassium in epithelial cells; and the nongenomic pathway that acts increasing fibrosis, collagen deposition, inflammation, and remodeling of the heart and blood vessels, these affects are markedly increased in the presence of high sodium intake [45]. For its genomic effects in the kidney, Aldo binds to MCRs in the cytoplasm of the principal cells of the collecting duct with resultant stimulation of nuclear protein synthesis. This process involves a new protein synthesis, so it takes hours to generate the epithelial effect and can be blocked by an inhibitor of protein synthesis. In addition MCRs are also present in glomerular endothelial cells, mesangial cells and podocytes as well as the renal and systemic vascular endothelial tissues [46]. In recent years, nongenomic effects of Aldosterone have been demonstrated [47]. These effects can be observed within minutes and presumably involve plasma membrane MCRs that are present in many organs (heart, blood vessels, liver, β cells of the pancreas, and glomerular mesangial cells). The cell-signaling pathways of these nongenomic effects have not been completely defined. Essentially, increased sodium chloride intake enhances these effects and renal epithelial sodium transport does not seem to be necessary for its presence [45]. Nongenomic effects of Aldo also include inflammation, oxidative stress, apoptosis, and fibrosis which are related to the NF-κB transcription factor [48].

The aldosterone cardiovascular effects and the “escape” mechanism

Aldo is known as a sodium-retaining hormone that is very important in circumstances with losses of total body sodium (e.g., diarrhea, vomiting, hemorrhage, excessive sweating, glucosuria, diuretics). Paradoxically, however, administration of large exogenous amounts of Aldo to normal individuals does not cause edema. After the initial sodium-retaining effect of Aldo, urinary sodium excretion increases to balance intake before any edema formation (the so-called “aldosterone escape” mechanism). In normal conditions “escape” mechanism involves increased sodium delivery to the distal collecting duct site of mineralocorticoid site of action. However, in edematous patients with cardiac failure who have an increase not only in extracellular fluid but also in total plasma volume yet the kidney continues to retain sodium in this situation the cause seems to be the failure of the “escape” mechanism. In fact the Aldo escape does not occur in HF and cirrhosis because of the neurohumoral effects that decrease distal sodium delivery [45]. Of importance, blockade of the genomic effect of Aldo necessitates dosages of mineralocorticoid antagonists that are sufficient to block competitively the elevated endogenous Aldo concentrations in patients with HF [49].

Two important clinical studies have demonstrated potential nongenomic effects of aldosterone: the RALES (dosage-finding Randomized Aldosterone Evaluation Study) performed in patients with severe cardiac failure which demonstrated that low daily dosages of spironolactone (25 mg) did not increase urinary sodium excretion [50] and the EPHEBUS (Eplerenone post-AMI Heart Failure Efficacy and Survival Study) where the mineralocorticoid antagonist eplerenone (mean 43 mg/d) reduced mortality after acute myocardial infarction [51]. It seems reasonable to suggest that at least some of the results of the mortality of both studies are due to inhibition of nongenomic effects of aldosterone. Thus low-dosage mineralocorticoid antagonists are considering now standard of clinical care in advanced HF and acute myocardial infarction. One reason is the danger of hyperkalemia secondary to mineralocorticoid [52]. More recently the results of the
Blockade of the MCR is accompanied by lowering of systemic BP that in turn is well known to lower proteinuria in patients with CKD and uncontrolled hypertension. However there are some evidence that in patients with diabetic nephropathy that the antiproteinuric effect of mineralocorticoid receptor blockade (MRB) is at least in part mediated by a direct effect on the glomerular basement membrane and is not dependent solely on reduction in systemic BP, glomerular filtration or dietary factors [57]. What is less well established is the effect of spironolactone on slowing the loss of GFR. However, a recent study shows that the monthly rate of decrease in estimated GFR at one year was significantly less in the spironolactone-treated group than in the placebo group [58].

ACE 2 and A(1-7): New Insights in their Role in CVD and Renal Disease

The RAAS is composed of a number of different regulatory components and effectors molecules that facilitate the dynamic control of vascular function. Many of these components have opposing functions to accommodate a rapid but balanced response to specific triggers. Historically, ACE and Ali have been the key focus for interventions targeting the RAAS. However, recent studies have also demonstrated the importance of ACE2 and A(1-7) in maintaining the balance of the RAAS, in both health and disease [61].

ACE2 is a type 1 integral membrane glycoprotein that is found in most tissues, with the highest expression observed in the kidney, the endothelium and in the heart [62]. In the CV system ACE2 may be more important than ACE in regulating local levels of Ali and A(1-7) [61]. A(1-7) is an heptapeptide and is produced through hydrolysis of Ali. It has been describe two biochemical pathways that participate on its formation: the first entails the hydrolysis of Ali by the tissue endopeptidases; the second entails the cleavage of the Pro7-Phe8 bond of Ali by ACE2, an exopeptidase which has also been shown to cleave AI into A(1-9). Recently a third pathway leading to the ultimate degradation of Ali may result from the discovery of a circulating form of Ali, the dodecapeptide angiotensin(1-12) [63]. A(1-7) has a receptor, Mas, a G-protein coupled receptor originally linked to modulation of growth regulating pathways involved in oncogenic effects [63].

Ferrario et al. established the basis and initial mechanisms for the inclusion of the A(1-7)/ACE2/Mas axis as a critical counter balancing component of the pressor pathway composed by the ACE, Ali and its signaling action following binding to the AT1 receptor. Over the last decade, data continue to support the hypothesis that the pathological actions of Ali on CV regulatory activity may in part result from a diminished expression or activity of the components of the A(1-7)/ACE2/Mas-R axis [64]. Experimental and clinical studies demonstrate a role for the A(1-7)/ACE2/Mas axis in the evolution of hypertension, the regulation of renal function, and the progression of renal disease including diabetic nephropathy. Additional evidence suggests that a reduction in the expression and activity of this vasodepressor component may be a critical factor in mediating the progression of CVD [63].

In summary the discovery that A(1-7) opposes the pressor, proliferative, profibrotic, and prothrombotic actions mediated by Ali has contributed to the realization that the RAAS is composed of two opposing arms: the pressor arm constituted by the enzyme ACE, Ali and the AT1R and the second arm, composed of ACE2, A(1-7), and the Mas receptor as the protein conveying the vasodilator, antiproliferative, antifibrotic, and antithrombotic effects of A(1-7) [63].
ACE2 and A10-7 in CVD and hypertension

RAAS has a critical pathophysiologic role in cardiac function and, in particular, the progression of HF. Its activation in the heart leads to both accelerated atherosclerosis and direct cardiac injury that result from the activation of a complex range of pathogenic pathways. Also several studies showed that actions leading to RAAS blockade were able to attenuate or prevent cardiac damage, independent of BP lowering. In the heart, ACE2 is the primary pathway for the metabolism of AI, its deficiency results in early cardiac hypertrophy, and progressive cardiac fibrosis, leading to diastolic dysfunction with aging and/or cardiac pressure overload [61,65]. The expression of ACE2 in the failing human heart is generally increased, consistent with the finding of elevated levels of A1(1-7) in the same setting [66]. Recently, experimental studies in mice have shown beneficial cardiac effects with recombinant ACE2 (rACE2) [67]. The mechanism of rACE2 action results from an increase in systemic, not tissue, ACE2 activity and the lowering of plasma AII rather than the attendant increase in A1(1-7). So it seems that increasing ACE2 activity may provide a new therapeutic target in states of AII overactivity by enhancing its degradation.

As previously said, activation of the RAAS constitutes a key mediator of hypertension. The antihypertensive efficacy of those agents that blocks the system is mediated not only by their ability to reduce AII or its signaling, but also by the ability of both to increase circulating levels of A1(1-7) [61]. ACE2, which metabolizes AI and generates A1(1-7), influences not only the development of hypertension, but also potentially the response to its treatment. A number of studies have demonstrated the altered regulation of ACE2 in various experimental models of hypertension. Moreover, interventions to augment the expression or activity of ACE2 have been shown to significantly reduce BP levels [67]. In experimental models A1(1-7) has been related to the pathogenesis of human essential hypertension based on its counter-regulatory actions that causes an antihypertensive effect [68]. Clinical studies supporting these favorable actions of A1(1-7) show an increased excretion of A1(1-7) in the urine of essential hypertensive patients whose BP was controlled by a 6-month treatment with captopril [69]. Additional studies showed that administration of irbesartan was beneficial cardiac effects with recombinant ACE2 (rACE2) [67]. The mechanism of rACE2 action results from an increase in systemic, not tissue, ACE2 activity and the lowering of plasma AII rather than the attendant increase in A1(1-7). So it seems that increasing ACE2 activity may provide a new therapeutic target in states of AII overactivity by enhancing its degradation.

In summary all of these data suggest that the balance of ACE and ACE2 in the heart, and their action to counterbalance AI and A1(1-7) that appears to be the most important driving factor in progressive cardiac disease and hypertensive disease. The research done to-date continues to support the hypothesis that a decrease in the expression or activity of A1(1-7) renders the cardiovascular system more susceptible to the pathological actions of AI.

ACE2 and A1(1-7) in CKD

Multiple studies have documented that kidneys is a site at which an intrarenal RAAS participates in the regulation of glomerular–tubular balance in health and disease [71]. ACE2 is present in high levels in the adult kidney, predominantly expressed in the proximal tubule at the luminal brush border [61].

Experimental evidence has demonstrated several actions of ACE2 and A1(1-7) in the regulation of nephron function [72]. Also recent studies show that altered ACE2 expression or activity contributes to the progression of renal disease and diabetic nephropathy [61]. In most forms of CKD, including diabetes, ACE2 expression levels are reduced in tubules, but increased in glomeruli [73]. It is possible that this differential expression pattern of glomerular and tubular ACE2 is an important determinant for progressive renal disease. However there are some confounding effects of ACE2 related with some of the actions of A1(1-7) on the kidney. Although A1(1-7) is generally regarded as an antagonist of AI mediated injury and dysfunction [63] it has been recently observed that A1(1-7) is able to induce epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition of tubular cells, which potentially contributes to the renal accumulation of matrix proteins that is associated with progressive renal disease [61]. These data provide additional support for an important interaction among ACE2 and A1(1-7) in multiple disease states.

New Compounds of the RAAS

The angiotensins peptides AI and II are susceptible to digestion at several sites by angiotensinases peptides: aminopeptidases, carboxypeptidases, or endopeptidases. The resultant peptide fragments are found in the circulation, and have functions that might be distinct from those of AII [74]. Thus angiotensin III (the 2–8 peptide) and angiotensin IV (the 3–8 peptide) are also produced by cleavage of AII. The functional role of AIII and IV is relatively unclear. AIII has functions identical although less potent than AII whereas AIV can bind selectively to a novel receptor (ATR4) and stimulate release of PAl-1 [74] and playing a role in regulating local blood flow to the brain [75]. Also A1(1-7) is susceptible of being metabolized by ACE and aminopeptidases to inactive fragments A(1-5), A(1-4), A(2-7) and A(3-7) [76]. There is a relatively new addition to the family of RAAS effectors, A(1-12) [77] that is produced directly from angiotensinogen by a non-renin enzyme. It contains the 12 amino acids from the N-terminus of angiotensinogen and can act as a precursor for the generation of AII by chymase. The biological significance of these novel peptides is now being investigated in several laboratories.

Agents that Block the RAAS: Their Effects on CVD and Renal Disease

The RAAS has a pathogenic role in several edematous disorders, including cardiac disease, liver disease, drug-resistant hypertension, CKD, the metabolic syndrome, and diabetes mellitus. The finding that AI and Aldo are proinflammatory, profibrotic, and can cause oxidative injury, has led to the development of several agents that inhibit the RAAS. The pharmacological inhibition of the RAAS can be obtained through three different basic mechanisms: (1) inhibition of AI generation from AI, achieved through inhibition of ACE; (2) inhibition of the action of AI at the level of its receptor(s); and (3) inhibition of AI generation from angiotensinogen obtained by direct inhibition of renin. Thus drugs acting on the RAAS include the diuretics (DI), the ACEIs, the ARBs, the aldosterone-receptor antagonists (ARAs) and a new class of combined ACE and neutral endopeptidase (NEP) inhibitors, called the vasopeptidase inhibitors (VPIs) (Figure 2).

Several mechanisms contribute to the beneficial effects of RAAS blockers in CV and renal therapy: the hemodynamic consequences of AI neutralization and the suppression of the AI-dependent generation of growth-promoting cytokines, free oxygen radicals, and fibrosis mediators in tissues [6]. However, the various methods used to inhibit the RAAS differ in terms of their biochemical effects [78]. Although single-site RAAS inhibitors allow mainly for inhibition of both the circulating and tissue RAAS, depending on their primary target and the distribution of the drug, alternative mechanisms may be involved in their overall pharmacodynamic effect. AT1R antagonists block the effects of AI generated by pathways other than ACE, such
Inhibition of Renin-Angiotensin-System: A 2011 overview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmatic renin activity</th>
<th>↑</th>
<th>↑</th>
<th>↓</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plasma renin concentration</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin I</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin II</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin 1-7</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradykinin</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>↔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin receptor 1 (AT₁)</td>
<td>Not stimulated</td>
<td>Blocked</td>
<td>Not stimulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin receptor 2 (AT₂)</td>
<td>Not stimulated</td>
<td>Stimulated</td>
<td>Not stimulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(pro)Renin receptor</td>
<td>Stimulated</td>
<td>Stimulated</td>
<td>↓ catalytic activity ↓ gene expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracellular RAS</td>
<td>No Inhibido (?)</td>
<td>Not inhibited</td>
<td>Inhibited</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2:

as chymotrypsin-like angiotensin-generating enzyme or chymase. In some organs, such as the kidneys, heart and blood vessels AII continues to be produced in patients treated with ACEIs. Non-ACE pathways may be activated in some pathologic situations. ACEIs induce the accumulation of vasodilator and natriuretic peptides, such as BK and A(1-7). During chronic ACE inhibition, increases in A(1-7) levels may enhance the vasodilator activity of BK by stimulating NO release [63]. ARBs antagonize AT1R and block the effects of AII generated by pathways other than ACE, such as chymotrypsin-like angiotensin-generating enzyme or chymase. In some organs, such as the kidneys, heart and blood vessels AII continues to be produced in patients treated with ACEIs [79]. By blocking AT1R ARBs also stimulate potentially functional ATR2s, which then may trigger a vasodilator and natriuretic cascade involving bradikinin (BK), nitric oxide (NO), and cyclic guanosine monophosphate and other AII receptors, the functions of which remain unclear [38]. Little is known about the physiologic consequences of activating these receptors but if their activation should prove to be deleterious, an issue that remains seriously debated, then renin inhibitors would have clinical advantages over alternative RAAS inhibitors [80,81]. Also during chronic ACE inhibition, there is an increase in levels of the hemoregulatory peptide N-acetyl-Ser-Asp-Lys-Pro (AcSDKP) that may have beneficial cardiac and renal effects. AcSDKP has been shown to have an antiproliferative and antifibrotic effect on the heart and the kidney in vitro and in vivo [79].

Blockade of the RAAS with ACEIs, ARBs or a combination of these drugs has become one of the most successful therapeutic approaches in medicine [82]. The beneficial effects of BP-lowering treatments on the risks of major CV events are well established [83]. The interruption of the RAAS with the above mentioned agents or its combination has been shown to be an effective strategy for lowering BP. However the organ-protecting effects of RAAS inhibitors are thought to be independent of their effects on BP and physicians should keep in mind that the RAAS has a critically important role in maintaining homeostasis of body fluid volume and BP. Several trials with drugs operating on ACE and on AII receptors have shown their benefits beyond BP control, such as preventing progression of renal dysfunction [84] and decreasing CVD mortality and morbidity [85,86].

Most of the evidence pertaining to RAAS inhibition and CVr and kidney protection was derived from placebo-controlled renal clinical trials (RCTs) of ACEI or ARB with relatively few RCTs evaluating the comparative efficacy of ACEI versus ARB head to head [87,88]. Recently, a systematic review of the literature that directly compare the effects of taking ACEI versus ARB on patient-level CV and kidney outcomes in a large number of albuminuric patients showed that the two classes of RAAS inhibitors were comparable with respect to all CV and kidney outcomes evaluated [84]. These findings are in keeping with those of a previous meta-analysis, which reported that the magnitudes of reduction in proteinuria following ARBs and ACEIs therapy are similar [89]. Although monotherapy with ACEIs and ARBs resulted in significant improvements in both CV and kidney end points, these did not translate into a consistent reduction in all-cause mortality. Alternatively, the beneficial effects of ACEIs and ARBs on CV and kidney outcomes might have been counterbalanced by deleterious effects, such as hyperkalemia [90].

Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs)

Early studies of the mechanisms of conversion of AI to II, and of bradykinin hydrolysis, led to the isolation (from snake venom) and
synthesis of small peptide inhibitors of ACE (kininase II) [91,13]. The ACEIs are a heterogeneous group, both in terms of chemical structure and pharmacokinetics. They are unified only in their ability to associate competitively with the active binding site of ACE. They are categorized into three subgroups according to their mode of metabolism: active compounds that are metabolized to form active metabolites, (eg captopril); prodrugs that require hepatic metabolism to form an active compound, (eg enalapril maleate, fosinopril, perindopril, quinapril, ramsipril and trandolapril); and active compounds that are excreted unchanged, (eg lisinopril). However, ACEIs also differ within these groups in their bioavailability, protein binding, lipid solubility, affinity to the ACE binding site, duration of onset, half-life and potency [13].

While the onset and duration of action are important considerations in terms of dosing, there is no evidence to suggest that the other differing pharmacokinetic properties are of clinical significance. Perhaps the best evidence in support of this comes from experience with captopril. Despite being the least potent of the class and weakly lipophilic, when used at an appropriate dose it has been shown in the SAVE and ISIS-4 trials to be similar to other ACEIs in reducing mortality and morbidity in the HF and postinfarction settings [92,93]. ACEIs proved to be highly successful in the treatment of hypertension and related target-organ damage, including left ventricular hypertrophy, HF, and postmyocardial infarction left-ventricular remodeling, renal insufficiency, and diabetes with proteinuria. After the introduction of captopril for clinical use [91,13], several ACEIs have been developed, and many have been approved for the treatment of hypertension, HF, diabetic nephropathy, and/or left ventricular dysfunction. ACEIs differ in their ability to penetrate and bind tissue sites for prolonged periods [13].

There are a plethora of reported adverse reactions ascribed to ACEIs. Those most clinically important are hypotension, renal impairment, hyperkalaemia, cough and angioedema. ACEI induced hypotension is accentuated by concomitant hypovolemia, unstable cardiac failure or hyponatraemia. This is reflected in the literature, which indicates that, the incidence of ACEI-related hypotension in HF (14%) and acute MI (17%) patients [92,93] is far greater than that in the HOPE (Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation study) and EUROPA (EUropean trial on Reduction Of cardiac events with Perindopril in stable coronary Artery disease) populations (1.9 and 1.0 % respectively) and is even less in uncomplicated hypertension [94,95]. Patients with severe left ventricular outflow tract obstruction may become profoundly hypotensive following ACEI administration, and therefore severe aortic stenosis is an absolute contraindication. Significant renal impairment occurs predominantly in patients with renovascular disease and particularly in those with severe bilateral renal artery stenosis. This is due to a combination of the reduction in systemic BP and the inhibition of AII-mediated renal efferent arteriolar vasoconstriction. ACEIs can also affect the renal function of patients with significant renal parenchymal disease, although these drugs play an important role in the treatment of patients with impaired renal function [96]. Pre-existing renal impairment also increases the risk of hyperkalaemia. Cough is also a common reason for ACEI intolerance. In the HOPE study, 7% of patients withdrew due to ACE induced cough [94]. By contrast, angioedema is potentially fatal but rare and not always life threatening, with a reported incidence of approximately 0.4 %, although the true incidence is higher since ACEI intolerant patients was excluded prior to randomization [94]. ACEIs are teratogenic and therefore contraindicated in pregnancy. This is a major issue if their use is contemplated in young women.

ACEIs have several important interactions with other drugs. Co-administration with NSAIDs increases the likelihood of renal impairment and hyperkalaemia. Concomitant potassium supplements and potassium-sparing diuretics will also potentiate the risk of hyperkalaemia [90]. ACE inhibition is more effective in patients with high levels of renin activity, and it follows that hypotension is more likely in patients with pre-existing hypotension, hypovolemia or hyponatraemia. Thus, diuretics may intensify the BP lowering effects of ACEIs, as may the concomitant use of any negative inotropic agents (eg beta-blockers, calcium- channel blockers). In patients with severe impairment of left ventricular function the use of ACEIs may be precluded. Conversely, this interaction is helpful in the setting of hypertension. It is this rationale that has led to the development of combination ACEI/diuretic and ACEI/calcium-channel blocker formulations [97].

**Angiotensin type 1 receptor blockers (ARBs)**

ARBs are nonpeptide compounds that specifically block the binding of AII to the ATR1 [13]. They do not interact with ATR2s. The block of feedback inhibition of renin release activates the RAAS cascade and AII production. The AII generated may interact with ATR2s, an effect that may result in vasodilatation and further BP reduction and, according to some recent experimental evidence, may result in inhibition of angiotensin and revascularization [38]. The ARBs are highly effective and well-tolerated antihypertensive medications, and recent clinical trials have shown that these agents have renoprotective effects beyond lowering BP [98]. There are currently 7 ARBs available: candesartan, eprosartan, irbesartan, losartan, olmesartan, telmisartan, and valsartan. All share similar modes of action, including indirect arterial vasodilatation by blockade at the AT1R, vasodilatory contribution from AT2R stimulation, decreased sympathetic nervous system activity, possibly lowered Aldo concentrations, decrease in vasoconstrictor substances such as endothelin and/or improvement in endothelial dysfunction, and a mild natriuretic response [99]. Pharmacologic differences exist for the various ARBs; however, differences in antihypertensive potency and duration of action of the ARBs have been inconsistently reported [100]. In fact there is no data that clearly and convincingly separate one ARB from the other based on differing mechanisms of action and pharmacologic differences such as bioavailability, protein binding, and half-life [99]. Bioavailability has been touted as a way to distinguish ARBs from another. Three of the ARBs are administered in a produg form—losartan, candesartan cilextil, and olmesartan medoxomil—although, technically speaking, losartan is an active compound, albeit one ultimately converted to its more potent metabolite. Although there is a considerable degree of variance in bioavailability between individual ARBs, this pharmacologic parameter has a limited effect on drug response and cannot be viewed in isolation from the other core pharmacologic features of an ARB [101]. The protein binding of all ARBs is typically well in excess of 90%. Irbesartan is the exception to this in that it has a plasma-free fraction of approximately 10%. In general, none of the ARBs bind to partitions in red blood cells in a meaningful fashion. Furthermore, the extent of protein binding for the ARBs remains constant over a wide concentration range. Heavily protein-bound drugs generally have a small volume of distribution; however, protein binding is not always an accurate predictor of volume of distribution. While telmisartan and losartan have similar protein binding, telmisartan has a lesser affinity for the albumin receptor and therein a much larger volume of distribution [99]. The half-life of a compound is a pure pharmacokinetic variable, which often poorly correlates with a compound’s duration of effect. The discrepancy between the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic half-life of a compound comes from the fact that a component of
drug action derives from extravascular effects. Because of the inability to sample at these extravascular sites of action, the more meaningful tissue-based half-life cannot be determined. This is particularly the case for the ARBs, since AT1Rs are found in multiple extravascular locations and blocking these receptors, may, in an as-of-yet undefined fashion, influence the manner in which BP is reduced [101]. With the above in mind, the pharmacokinetic half-life of an ARB will roughly approximate its duration of effect as long as the plasma concentration of an ARB remains above the threshold for a BP-lowering effect. Several of the ARBs, such as candesartan, olmesartan, telmisartan, and irbesartan, are considered once-daily compounds in pharmacokinetic terms. The true impact of pharmacologic half-life for these compounds probably lies more so in the fact that the drug is available for a longer period and thereby binds to additional AT1Rs as they are formed during the latter portion of a dosing interval.

The indications for the several ARBs on the market are mostly BP driven. Differentiation of one ARB from the other requires that drugs be studied in the same patient type and therein variable responses sought. Without a homogeneous population, heterogeneity weights results toward more non-responders, which is both a phenotypically and genotypically difficult state to characterize. From a BP point of view, for either an ARB or an ACEI, a practical approach is to go to the package insert, which has a low-end and high-end dose for every drug. ARBs are fairly equivalent at their low-end and high-end doses, with the probable exception of losartan. If a patient is a responder to an ARB, it is evident even at low-end doses. If a patient is a non-responder to an ARB, they remain so even as the drug’s dose is increased and/or a within-class switch is made [102]. Thus, in those studies where BP is not the most important determinant of response, the results are then more so a matter of the specific dosing with a particular compound. A pertinent example of this is found in the Valsartan Heart Failure Trial (Val-HeFT) wherein valsartan was administered at a maximum dose of 160 mg twice daily [103]. When an ARB is administered twice daily for a non-BP outcome it becomes quite difficult to establish “equivalent” doses for other ARBs. The Losartan Heart Failure Survival Study (ELITE II) was conducted to compare the effects of losartan (50 mg once daily) and the ACEI captopril (50 mg 3 times daily) on survival in elderly patients with HF. The primary end point was all-cause mortality and the secondary end point was sudden death or resuscitated arrest. The study did not have a superior outcome with losartan; however, losartan was administered only once daily at a low-end dose and this was believed by many to be the basis for the comparable response between captopril and losartan [104]. These findings could be interpreted to represent an intraclass difference when in point-of-fact they represent inadequate dosing. This is another of the vagaries in attempting to unravel the issue of class effect for individual ARBs [101]. Recently, another possible beneficial effect of telmisartan on metabolic syndrome has been described. In fact, this ARB can function as a partial agonist of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor [105]. The clinical significance of these differences among members of the class remains to be determined in outcome trials.

The reported side effect profiles of ARBs are similar to placebo in hypertension and HF. ARBs can thus be characterized as having a wide therapeutic window, with virtually no dose-dependent side effects. This finding, taken together with some data of improved outcomes at higher doses, suggests higher doses might provide better target organ protection. A possible exception to the benefits of ARBs in HF is their potential lack of safety in combination with β blockers. In ELITE II, mortality was higher with the β blocker losartan combination than with β blocker-captopril [104] and in Val-HeFT, valsartan caused higher mortality than placebo in individuals receiving both ACE inhibitors and β blockers [103]. However, in those studies exploring the efficacy of such combinations in order to control BP in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus did not show any increased risk of mortality [106]. Furthermore potential mechanisms explaining the increased mortality of the β blocker-ARB combination are not known at this time, however they can be used together in patients with coronary artery disease or HF when outcome improvement is the primary objective [97].

Role of ACEIs and ARBs in renoprotection

It only has passed two decades since the initial laboratory experiments that showed the effect of RAAS blockade on glomerular permeability to the recent clinical studies where ACEIs and ARBs have shown their efficacy as therapeutic agents for slowing renal disease progression. Renoprotection with both drugs have invariably shown that their renoprotective benefit is mainly explained by their specific antiproteinuric effects. This is consistent with the view that proteins, once leaked through the glomerular barrier, act as mediators of ongoing renal fibrosis [107]. Thus in patients with renal disease reducing albuminuria remain an important strategy for renal and cardiovascular protection. The experimental demonstration that the blockade of AII with an ACEI slowed the progressive loss of renal in a number of animal models or renal diseases, including diabetic nephropathy, offered the opportunity, for the first time, to devise a treatment strategy that was not limited to passively accompany patients to their destiny of dialysis, but was aimed to preserve renal function as long as possible [108,109]. Based in these circumstances and several experimental and clinical studies emerged the concept of renoprotection [110]. The development of a new class of the drugs, the ARBs has offered another opportunity to further improve the renoprotection. In fact the near complete abolition of AII activity is instrumental to achieve full renal protection. Thus the combination of the two drugs, an ACEI and an ARB, in an experimental model of chronic nephropathies was associated with greater reduction of proteinuria and a trend toward less renal injury than with each drug alone [111]. Until now, it has considered as the main goal of current treatments the arrest of renal disease progression, however, the kidney has a great potential of regeneration after injury. Experimental evidence is accumulating that there is potential for regression of renal scarring, as shown in a paper by Fogo, in which the mechanisms of regeneration are reviewed [112]. Of note, the potential antifibrotic role of drugs that block the RAAS system is underlined [113]. Despite many uncertainties and as yet unknown factors, regression of human kidney disease now represents a realistic potential clinical target. A relevant body of evidence, based in experimental and clinical studies, indicates that, by mechanism yet to be define, the glomerular capillary network can sometimes undergo a process of both structural and functional regeneration [114]. In relation to the regression phenomena in chronic nephropathies, there are three main issues open for investigation. First, it is still a matter of controversy whether regression can be achieved in a consistent percentage of patients and to what extent [113,115]. Second, there are some disparities between the extent of the observed regression of structural changes and its translation into effective improvement of kidney function. At present, we know that controlling proteinuria seems to be a relevant factor for the progression of the disease [116]. The third refers to that the regression of renal lesions can be achieved in all progressive forms of glomerulopathies, or will only some types of renal diseases respond [117]).

Recent clinical data showed that for patients at low renal risk and with low levels of albuminuria, RAAS inhibition might not offer any renal benefit [118]. In these patients agents that blocks RAAS should be
used sparingly, doses should be titrated to individual needs and kidney function should be monitored closely. Although RAAS blockade is thought to have major nephroprotective properties in individuals with diabetes, attention should be devoted to the identification of patient subgroups that can profit most from this treatment regimen. In addition, results from the RASS study, performed in type 1 diabetes mellitus patient with normal BP and normoalbuminuria, strongly suggest that trials of RAAS blockade in patients with diabetes and a low CV burden require a much longer follow-up period than is usually assumed [119]. Such trials of longer duration are needed to establish whether such a treatment regimen offers clinical benefit in the primary prevention of both microvascular and CV complications, which remain the major cause of morbidity and mortality in patients with diabetes [120].

Role of ACEIs and ARBs in cardiovascular protection

As already mentioned there is evidence that RAAS activation is involved in the process of injury and remodeling of CV tissues. This suggests that treatments suppressing the RAAS might exert an additional specific cardioprotective effect compared to non-RAAS inhibiting antihypertensive medications. In the general population as well as in specific high-risk patient subgroups ACEIs are the antihypertensive agents with the best risk-benefit profile. They have been consistently reported to reduce CV mortality, myocardial infarction, stroke, renal impairment, and even diabetes in patients with arterial hypertension and/or other CV risk factors [121]. They also improve survival of patients with HF or left ventricular dysfunction, previous myocardial infarction, stroke, or transient ischemic attack or with peripheral vascular disease and diabetes [92,94,122]. A recent meta-analysis of 33,500 patients included in six randomized clinical trials [123] and a pooled analysis of the Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation (HOPE), the European Trial on Reduction of Cardiac Events with Perindopril in Stable Coronary Artery Disease (EUROPA), and the Prevention of Events with Angiotensin-Converting-Enzyme Inhibition (PEACE) trials [124] showed that ACEIs reduce mortality and CV events also in patients with coronary artery disease but preserved left ventricular function.

It is accepted that ACEIs are the cornerstone of HF therapy and improve survival in patients with HF and left ventricular dysfunction. Studies have shown the efficacy of ACEIs in all symptomatic classes of systolic HF patients including those patients with some degree of renal dysfunction. Thus, a meta-analysis of five randomized trials of ACEI therapy in patients with HF showed that although the proportion of patients who developed renal dysfunction was higher in the ACEI groups than in the placebo groups, drug discontinuation was required in only a small percentage of patients, and renal function returned to baseline in most patients even without dose adjustment [92]. A retrospective analysis of the studies of left ventricular dysfunction (SOLVD) has shown that the use of ACEIs was associated with a reduced risk of mortality, even at moderately and severely depressed levels of GFR, and did not have an adverse impact on kidney function [125]. Therefore, in patients with chronic HF (CHF), mild-to-moderate renal insufficiency should not be viewed as a contraindication to ACEI therapy, and a mild and nonprogressive worsening of renal function during initiation of therapy should not be considered an indication to discontinue treatment, as the drug may offer the dual benefit of reducing disease progression in both the heart and the kidney [126]. In patients with moderate or severe renal insufficiency, therapy with low doses of ACEIs should be initiated and the dose should be increased gradually with careful monitoring of renal function and serum electrolytes. When the initiation of ACEI therapy leads to an increase in serum creatinine levels of more than 30% above baseline, several strategies have been suggested [127]. First, ACEIs should be discontinued, and the patients should be evaluated for conditions causing renal hypoperfusion in which the use of ACEIs may result in acute renal failure, such as excessive depletion of circulating volume due to intensive diuretic treatment, concurrent administration of vasoconstrictor agents (e.g., nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs-NSAIDs) and severe bilateral renal artery stenosis. Unless renal vascular disease is present, therapy with an ACEI can be reinstituted after correction of the underlying cause of reduced renal perfusion [128]. The risk of hyperkalemia associated with the use of ACEIs in patients with HF and renal dysfunction is also a source of concern. Several measures may be used to minimize the risk of hyperkalemia in such patients, including discontinuation of drugs known to interfere with renal potassium excretion (e.g., NSAIDs, including cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors), administration of a low potassium diet, as well as sodium bicarbonate in patients with metabolic acidosis [129]. A potassium level of ≥ 5.5 mEq/L should prompt a reduction in the ACEI dose. Regarding cardioprotective effects of ARBs in HF, there is evidence that AII is produced in the myocardium through alternative pathways independent of ACE that involve enzymes such as chymase, which are not blocked by ACEI. An augmented activity of these local pathways may lead to increased production of AII in patients with HF, and AII is a major adverse influence of cardiac remodeling and dysfunction. The ARBs have been compared with ACEIs regarding their effect on survival and renal complications in HF patients. Although the ELITE (Evaluation of Losartan in the Elderly) trial [130] found a mortality benefit in favor of losartan compared with captopril, the larger ELITE-2 trial that followed did not confirm this finding; rather, it found no difference [104]. Unfortunately, patients who experience hyperkalemia or worsened renal function while taking ACEIs are likely to have the same complications with an ARB [131]. Therefore, at present there are two settings in which AII receptor blockers might be used in HF: as an alternative in patients intolerant of ACEIs due to cough, and in combination with ACEIs in patients who remain severely symptomatic on conventional therapy [132].

An important area of interest corresponds to the role of atrial fibrillation (AF) as a factor on CV risk. Patients with AF have a mortality risk that is twofold than those without [133]. Left ventricular hypertrophy and dilation are invariably associated with reduced diastolic left ventricular compliance and secondary increase in left atrial pressure and size. These changes contribute to structural and electrical remodeling of the atria that eventually lead to an increased risk of AF. It has been described that those patients with a higher prevalence of CV risk showed an increased atrial expression of ACE and the AT1R, in addition to activation of AII-dependent downstream signalling pathways involved in fibrogenesis, that have been found to affect electrophysiological properties of the myocardium and the pulmonary veins [134]. Recent data suggest that AT1Rs are located in close proximity to potassium channels within the membrane and that AII inhibits outward potassium currents that are involved in the pathophysiology of AF [135]. On the basis of the above findings, RAAS blockade might be a rational approach for the prevention and treatment of AF. The use of ACEIs was associated with less atrial fibrosis [136], and ARB therapy improved electrical remodeling [137]. A recent meta-analysis of 23 randomized controlled trials including 87,048 patients showed that RAAS inhibition is effective in the primary and secondary prevention of AF [138]. Moreover, in the context of primary prevention, patients with LVH and/or HF benefit most from RAAS
inhibition. In secondary prevention after cardiovascular of persistent AF or medical therapy for paroxysmal AF, RAAS inhibition is overall beneficial.

**Blockade of the RAAS with ACEIs and ARBs is only Partial**

As previously mentioned in patients with kidney and CVD, ACEIs have beneficial effects over and above their antihypertensive actions. Blocking the generation of AII also reduces the proinflammatory, profibrotic and oxidative effects of this peptide. However, because several alternative enzymes and pathways exist in the RAAS, the capability of ACEIs to suppress AII generation may be limited [13]. The fact that therapy with ACEIs alone may only achieve a partial blockade of AII production led to the development of agents that directly inhibit the effect of AII at its ATR1. Since there became available, ARBs have shown in prospective, randomized studies to attenuate the progression of renal disease in patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus and advanced diabetic nephropathy [139]. However, as with ACEIs, the capability of ARBs to inhibit the activity of the RAAS is limited by the complexity of this system. Due to a negative feedback mechanism AII suppresses renal renin activity, and during therapy with ACEIs or ARBs this mechanism is attenuated. Consequently, plasma renin activity (PRA) is substantially increased in patients taking these drugs. In patients receiving ARBs as monotherapy, not only does PRA increase, but AII levels may also rise since ACE activity remains intact. This phenomenon can be termed ‘angiotensin breakthrough’. The increased levels of AII that occur with ARB treatment could potentially overrideARB-mediated blockade of the ATR1. By contrast, activation of the ATR2 could have vasodilatory and antiproliferative effects. In the same way, the rise in PRA that accompanies therapy with ACEIs may attenuate inhibition of the RAAS. Moreover, AII is cleaved to A(1-7) by ACE2 and as a result of treatment with ACEIs and ARBs, the levels of A(1-7) increase and counteract the effects of ATR1 receptor activation by AII [63].

Given the limitations of monotherapy with either ACEIs or ARBs, combination therapy with drugs of both classes is frequently used to improve inhibition of the RAAS [140]. As an example, in patients with congestive HF who were treated with ACEIs, the addition of an ARB was beneficial despite being associated with an increased incidence of hypotension, diminished renal function and hyperkalemia [141]. However, the Ongoing Telmisartan Alone and in Combination with Ramipril Global End Point Trial (ONTARGET), showed that patients with diabetes or at a high risk of CV complications had a modest decrease in renal function while receiving combination therapy with an ACEI and an ARB [142]. Besides an increase of PRA, ACEI therapy also results in an initial decrease in plasma Aldo level. However, in some patients treated with ACEIs, plasma Aldo concentrations return to normal levels over a variable period of weeks or months [143]. This phenomenon referred as “Aldo breakthrough” has also been described in patients who report chronic use of ACEIs or ARBs and has been related with worse clinical outcomes. The “Aldo breakthrough” observed with ARB treatment may be related to increased AII levels. Whether the addition of a MCR antagonist would have beneficial effects in patients who experience this phenomenon remains unknown; however, large trials using non-natriuretic doses of MCR antagonists showed an improved survival in patients with either severe CHF or congestive HF secondary to acute myocardial infarction [51]. These data suggest that the downstream effects of Aldo-increased inflammation, fibrosis, apoptosis, and oxidative injury—could potentially be blocked by concurrent therapy with aldosterone-receptor antagonists (ARAs) [144].

**Vasopeptidase inhibitors (VPIs)**

VPIs are a new class of CV drugs that have the novel action of simultaneously inhibiting ACE and NEP, which is uniquely responsible for the degradation of natriuretic peptides or atriopeptins [145]. Atriopeptins are potent endogenous vasodilators whose actions are similar in many respects to BK; both hormones have short plasma half-lives and stimulate the NO-cyclic guanosine monophosphate vasodilator cascade in VSM [146]. There are three major atriopeptin isoforms synthesized in the heart: atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP), brain natriuretic peptide (BNP), and vascular natriuretic peptide. All are vasodilators that also cause natriuresis. ANP and BNP inhibit a variety of vasoconstrictors such as endothelin, cause inhibition of sympathetic nervous and RAAS activity, and blunt cell proliferation and hypertrophy. All three atriopeptins are removed from the circulation primarily as a result of enzymatic degradation by NEP and to a lesser extent through clearance by the natriuretic clearance receptor [147]. The natriuretic peptide system can be viewed as the endogenous inhibitor of the RAAS. Simultaneous inhibition of the RAAS and the NEP system by the VPIs results in vasodilation, natriuresis and diuresis, and decreases peripheral vascular resistance and BP to a greater extent than ACEI alone. Therefore, in theory, inhibition of the RAAS combined with potentiation of natriuretic peptides/kinins should lead to improved CV haemodynamics and sodium/water balance [148]. In experimental studies a new VPI, AVE7688, showed a superior action on renoprotection than enalapril in progressive renal injury, based in the correction of the altered balance between vasoconstrictor/vasodilator mediators in the kidney [149]. Moreover, the structural similarities in the active sites of both NEP and ACE have made the simultaneous inhibition of these two enzymes an attractive target for drug development. A number of such dual VPIs entered preclinical and clinical development in the 1990s. These agents, which vary in their inhibitory activity for ACE and NEP, include omapatrilat, SA 7060, MDL 100240, MDL 10017, fasidotril, sampatrilat, alatriopril, CGS 30440 and S 21402 [145]. Of the dual VPI, omapatrilat has been the most extensively studied in clinical trials. In early studies with this compound, it was shown to be superior to ACEIs as an antihypertensive agent and in the treatment of heart failure [150]. Although initially attributed to benefits beyond ACE inhibition, omapatrilat was shown to be a weak diuretic, with thiazide diuretics providing additional BP reduction. These findings led to the conclusion that the efficacy of omapatrilat in hypertension and HF may be attributable in large part to the potentiation of kinins. Despite the immense enthusiasm generated by initial studies, larger definitive studies were ultimately unsuccessful. Also, the high incidence of angioedema, when compared to enalapril reported in subjects treated with omapatrilat in the Omapatrilat Cardiovascular Treatment Assessment Versus Enalapril (OCTAVE) trial, might limit its use. Whether this adverse-effect profile is shared by other members of the VPI class that are under development remains to be determined [151]. In OCTAVE, omapatrilat use was associated with a significantly higher overall incidence of nonfatal angioedema compared to enalapril, a problem that was amplified in African Americans. The mechanisms for these differences remain unknown but are presumed to be related to abnormal bradykinin metabolism [152]. Why the ACE-NEP inhibitor combination is superior in potency to ACEIs alone is an interesting phenomenon given that “pure” NEP inhibitors cause no marked effects on BP, even in the setting of HF [153]. The endothelium and the neurohumoral system play a key role in modulating both vascular tone and structure by producing vasoactive substances, and in the modulation of blood cell adhesion. Although the neurohumoral systems are essential in vascular homeostasis,
they become maladaptive in conditions such as hypertension, diabetes and HF. The clinical success of RAAS blockade by ACEI and the sympathetic nerve system by beta-blockers demonstrates the importance of neurohumoral blockade. In this sense, VIPs represent a new and attractive therapeutic strategy for the treatment of CVD, although if this drugs add an incremental benefit over already proven therapy, with an acceptable side-effect profile is questionable [150]. However, in the complex equilibrium among RAAS, sympathetic nervous and natriuretic peptide systems, omapatrilat, would offer some theoretical advantages, by blocking a pro-fibrotic mechanism and stimulating an anti-fibrotic phenomenon [154]. Therefore, despite the immense theoretical appeal of blocking both ACE and NEP, the limited incremental benefit of this drug class along with the greater possibility of angioedema has limited its potential utility. However, the fact that newer VPI, such as M100240 (Sanofi Aventis, Paris, France) are currently entering early phase human trials suggests that this class of drug may still have a future in the clinic. Again, the global RAAS blockade perspective would include the combined inhibition of ACE and NEP as a new promising approach to treat patients with hypertension, atherosclerosis or HF.

**Direct renin inhibitors (DRIs)**

Renin long has been recognized as the preferred, logical target for RAAS blockade because it corresponds to the first, highly regulated and rate-limiting step of the system. Renin also has a remarkably high specificity for only one known substrate, angiotensinogen contrary to ACE. The clinical development of the first transition-state synthetic analogs capable of inhibiting renin has faced a number of technical problems. The oral administration of these renin inhibitors in human beings (ie., remikiren and zankiren) did not meet all the necessary criteria for these drugs to be considered clinically useful. Molecular modeling and determination of the structure of the active site of renin have led to the identification of new renin inhibitors (DRIs) [155]. The first representative of this class of nonpeptide drugs is aliskiren, a potent hydrophilic transition-state mimetic alkane carboxamide renin inhibitor with a very high binding affinity for renin, resulting in selectivity for this enzyme [156]. It is a potent competitive renin inhibitor that binds strongly to renin and is highly specific for human renin. As with ACEIs and ARBs, the administration of a DRI increases circulating and intrarenal enzyme (renin) levels by interrupting the AI–renin negative feedback loop. However, with a renin inhibitor, the catalytic activity of the renin molecules newly released from the kidney is inhibited completely throughout the day, resulting in very low circulating levels of AI, AI, and other AI–derived peptides, thereby rendering the RAAS quiescent. In contrast, the renin molecules newly released from the kidney remain enzymatically active after administration of an ARB or an ACEI, resulting in high circulating levels of AI, with variable levels of AII depending on the drug used. This counter-regulatory renin release could offset the pharmacologic inhibition of the RAAS at the end of each dosing interval, when the amount of competitive inhibitor or antagonist on the ACE or AT1R is decreasing [82]. Aliskiren does not affect cytochrome P450, so this agent has few drug interactions and its dose need not be adjusted even in patients with advanced CKD due that is eliminated by the hepatobiliary route without being metabolized. This agent has a long half-life, which might enable improved 24 h BP control, particularly in obese patients with diabetes mellitus and in individuals with CKD whose BP does not show a nocturnal dip. A study of diabetic patients on maximum ARB doses with well-controlled BP and estimated glomerular filtration rates less than 70 ml/min/1.73 m2 examined the effects of adding aliskiren to this treatment, versus adding placebo (AVOID study) [157]. This study showed that the combination of ARB and aliskiren substantially reduced the urinary albumin excretion and this effect seemed to be independent of BP control [158]. Also the incidence of hyperkalemia was similar between placebo and DRI groups. Alisikren treatment seems to have an AI-dependent vasodilatory effect on the efferent arteriole in the glomerulus that causes an increase in renal plasma flow and a drop in filtration fraction that exceeds the effect seen previously with ACEIs and ARBs [159]. In addition, aliskiren inhibits the intrarenal RAAS even several days after cessation of treatment [160]. Thus, it has been previously demonstrated a synergistic response to combination treatment with aliskiren and irbesartan, as measured by a 12-fold increase in plasma renin concentration, pointing to increased intrarenal RAAS blockade [161]. There are several trials analyzing the effects of DRIs on CVD or renal diseases. Aliskiren reduces PRA and neutralizes HCTZ -induced RAAS activation. The reduction of PRA is important because PRA is related and CHF [162]. Once-daily administration of the drug leads to greater than 24-h activity and its prolonged blocking effects on the kidneys are the basis for its reno-protectivity. Aliskiren has demonstrated favorable effects on vascular inflammation, remodeling, and neurohumoral mediators of various forms of cardiovascular complications, including CHF and proteinuria in diabetic patients. The neurohumoral effects of aliskiren in comparison to ramipril and placebo has been studied in a group of 27 patients (aged >50 years) with symptomatic CHF (NYHA class II–IV, ejection fraction [EF] < 35%) during a period of 5 weeks [163]. Aliskiren significantly suppressed the BNP values more than ramipril in short-term treatment. Also, a 4-week randomized double-blind study performed in 236 mild-to-moderate hypertensive patients showed that PRA was reduced from baseline values between 55% to 83 % with increased doses of aliskiren (contrasting with a 110% rise in PRA with losartan). In this study, the authors founded a comparable reduction (~60%) in PRA with aliskiren in patients with CHF (compared with a 165% increasing with ramipril). PRA was suppressed by aliskiren, but it did not change with placebo; even if it increased with ramipril as expected, this increase occurred either in the first week or in the fifth one [164]. The Aliskiren in Left Ventricular Hypertrophy (ALLAY) study showed that aliskiren was as effective as losartan in reducing left ventricular mass (LVM), in 465 overweight (BMI >25), hypertensive patients randomized to receive aliskiren 300 mg, losartan 100 mg, or their combination daily for 9 months [165]. Aliskiren was as effective as losartan in promoting LVM regression. Reduction in LVM with the combination of aliskiren plus losartan was not significantly different from that with losartan monotherapy, independent of BP. Besides other trials, ATMOSPHERE (Aliskiren Trial to Minimise OutcomeS in Patients with HEart FailureE), will examine the potential clinical benefit of aliskiren in addition to other RAAS blocking agents as well as it will compare aliskiren head-to-head to ACEI therapy. This study should answer key questions regarding the clinical utility of DRI in CHF settings and, depending on the mechanistic substudies that have to be performed, may also investigate how these agents exert any observed benefits. Further to this, aliskiren will also be investigated in the ASTRONAUT (Aliskiren Trial on Acute Heart Failure Outcomes), which will evaluate whether an early therapy with aliskiren delays cardiovascular death and CHF rehospitalization within 6 months and posthospitalization for episodes of acute decompensated CHF [166].

The ongoing Aliskiren Trial in Type 2 Diabetes Using Cardiorenal End Points (ALTITUDE) trial is expected to provide definitive outcomes data on the effects of aliskiren in patients with type 2 diabetes on renal and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [167]. This study will determine whether a dual RAAS blockade with aliskiren...
in combination with an ACEI or ARB will reduce major morbidity and mortality in a broad range of high-risk patients with type 2 diabetes. No published data have specifically examined the effect of adding a MCRs antagonist to DRI therapy. The Ailskiren Observation of Heart Failure Treatment (ALOFT) study investigated the effect of this agent in 302 patients with well-controlled hypertension and symptomatic congestive HF (class II–IV) who were on standard HF treatment (β-blocker, ACEI or ARB) with or without aldosterone antagonists [168]. Finally, two ongoing trials, Ailskiren in Visceral obesity AT risk patients Outcomes Research (AVIATOR) and Ailskiren Trial in Type 2 Diabetic nephropathy (ALTIITUDE), will assess cardiovascular events and diabetic complications with ailskiren; the results will be available between 2011 and 2013.

Selective aldosterone receptor antagonists (ARAs)

The hormone Aldo has been recognized as a major independent adverse hormone in the pathogenesis and progression of a number of major cardiovascular disease states, including essential hypertension [169]. Also plasma Aldo levels have been correlated with alterations in kidney function in CKD suggesting an association between kidney dysfunction and mineralocorticoid receptors (MR) activation [170]. Patients with a heavy proteinuria have increased renal expression of MR and increased plasma Aldo levels that were correlated with the severity of renal biopsy findings [171]. Thus Aldo has been a well-known target for therapeutic intervention for many decades. As previously mentioned, the importance of RAAS blockade for slowing the progression to ESRD is well recognized, however the effects of MR antagonists (MRAs) on the progression of CKD are less well described than the effects of ACEIs and ARBs. Spironolactone a selective ARAs has been approved in the treatment of mineralocorticoid hypertension, ascites associated with portal hypertension and CHF. It has been widely used for the treatment of essential hypertension. However, this agent has been limited by its non-specificity for MR, including anti-androgenic and pro-estrogenic actions. On this basis, a more highly selective agent for the MR was developed by replacing the 17-alpha-thoacetyl group with a carbethoxy group, eplerenone [172]. Several studies have clearly shown the beneficial impact on outcome of MRAs in HF as RALES [50], EPHEUS [173] and most recently, the EMPHASIS-HF [174]. Eplerenone has been studied in a large program exploring the potential benefits of the agent as monotherapy and add-on therapy in patients with essential hypertension, both unifieldiated and in those with low renin/high Aldo plasma levels [172]. To date, a number of double-blind, randomized clinical trials have contributed to the database for the efficacy and safety of eplerenone in systemic hypertension. The effects of eplerenone on LVH and renal function associated with mild to moderate hypertension were assessed in a 9-month study of patients who received either eplerenone, enalapril or the combination [175]. Although the BP effects were similar, mean reductions in left ventricular mass and in the urinary albumin to creatinine ratio were higher in the combination group. However, studies of the effects of MRAs on the progression of CKD are limited, without any outcome studies examining loss of kidney function, progression to ESRD, or CV death as the primary outcome [54]. The use of MRAs in CKD is suggested by Aldo ‘breakthrough’ and is associated with increased proteinuria [143] or a faster decline in kidney function [176].

A recent meta-analysis of 11 controlled and randomized trials compared the effect of a MRAs in patients with CKD, albuminuria, or proteinuria due to diabetic and non-diabetic nephropathy, showed that spironolactone significantly decreased proteinuria when added to ACEI and/or ARB treatment without any change in renal function, but with a decrease in systemic BP [177]. The use of MRAs in proteinuric patients with CKD was first proposed by Chrysostomou et al. [178]. Initial reports and more recent analysis describe decreased BP after MRAs [177]. Other studies showed reduction in proteinuria independent of effects on BP at the doses used [59]. Whether part of the beneficial effects of MR antagonism in CKD is independent of effects on BP is a point of continuing debate. In the case of patients with diabetes mellitus and persistent proteinuria the use of MRAs either alone or added on ACEIs treatment have shown an antiproteinuric effect [179]. The efficiency was correlated with the Aldo level before the initiation of the treatment, and after MRAs withdrawal, proteinuria reappeared [180]. Most of the studies performed in diabetic patients used spironolactone which decreased albuminuria, renal excretion of MCP-1, and activation of oxidative stress [181]. Only one study has been performed with eplerenone showing tolerability similar to spironolactone and an antiproteinuric effect after 3 months [182]. For non-diabetic patients with proteinuria the addition of MRAs on RAAS blockade has also demonstrated their effect in reducing proteinuria [183]. Spironolactone has also showed not only a decreased of proteinuria but a slowed the progression of CKD [58]. A large-scale prospective, placebo-controlled evaluation of eplerenone versus conventional agents in hypertensive patients with proteinuria is underway, and is referred to as the EVALUATE Trial [184]. The primary end point is reduction in urine albumin/creatinine ratio after 12 months of active drug treatment, with secondary outcomes that include reduction in BP, hyperkalemia, and slowing of progression of CKD.

The adverse effects in MRAs could be divided in ionic effects (hyperkalemia and salt depletion related to the diuretic effect) and anti-androgenic effects (gynecomastia, disorders of the menstrual cycle, and so on, related to the nonspecific androgen receptor blockade). The use of MRAs has not been recommended in CKD patients because of the concerns about hyperkalemia which often occurs when multiple RAAS blockers are used [185]. Hyperkalemia may limiting the beneficial effects of MRBs and RAAS blockade in patients with CKD due to its effect on aldosterone secretion and contribute to aldosterone breakthrough [186]. Potassium has long been recognized as an important stimulus for Aldo secretion [90]. Spironolactone and eplerenone seem to have different adverse event profiles, particularly the anti-androgenic effects. This difference is due to the lower selectivity for MR of spironolactone together to its effect as an antagonist for the androgenic receptor in contrast to eplerenone, which is relatively more MR specific [187].

Dual Blockade of the RAAS: To Whom, When and How?

RAAS blockade is a cornerstone of treatment in CKD and CVD [84]. In particular, ACEIs and ARBs have proven efficacy for intermediate parameters (systemic and glomerular hypertension, and proteinuria) as well as hard cardiovascular and renal endpoints.

The dual blockade strategy comes from the concept called “Aldo scape” [10] based on the finding that incomplete blockade of the RAAS with ACEI or ARB monotherapy can cause a lack of negative feedback from end-products of the RAAS producing a reactive increase in renin and consequent increases in AI and AII concentrations which overwhelm the pharmacological blockade. Dual blockade would therefore be useful based on the fact that it could provide more complete blockade of the RAAS by limiting the compensatory responses of AI, Aldo, renin or their effects, thus maximizing blockade of the cascade. This ‘maximization approach’, however, may induce adverse effects such as hyperkalemia, symptomatic hypotension, or hemodynamically
mediated deterioration of renal function [188]. Taking into account these effects a different approach to dual blockade could be to combine lower doses of the individual drugs to obtain a more favorable balance between increased efficacy and adverse effects. However, there is continuing uncertainty about the balance of benefits and harms of dual RAAS blockade, both in terms of cardiovascular risk and progression of kidney disease [189].

In terms of CV protection, dual RAAS blockade might have modest benefit in patients at a high risk of CV disease (e.g. those with known impaired left ventricular systolic dysfunction), but this would need to be balanced against the risk of hyperkalaemia and acute-on-chronic renal failure [189]. Whether the observed benefits of RAAS blockade are only mediated by their BP-lowering effect or whether other effects also play a role remains controversial; there is some evidence that interruption of the RAAS provides more benefit than would simply be expected by the degree of BP reduction alone [190].

Recently, a large meta-analysis by Kunz et al. [89] was conducted to determine if combination therapy is superior to monotherapy, and if ACEIs and ARBs are equivalent in efficacy with regard to proteinuria. After analyzing forty nine studies these authors found that reduction in proteinuria was more intense when these two drugs were use in combination. However they also highlight the fact about the uncertainty concerning adverse effects and outcomes that are important to patients could limit the applicability of these findings to clinical practice.

The decision about whether to initiate dual RAAS blockade for an individual patient requires careful assessment of their risks of renal progression, of CV events and of acute complications of dual RAAS therapy. Until further results are available, it would seem prudent to reserve dual RAAS blockade for patients who are at an increased risk of renal progression, such as those with significant proteinuria or with evidence of deteriorating renal function despite monotherapy [189]. Additionally, as the CV risk increases, so does the potential for CV benefit that may lower the threshold for the introduction of dual RAAS blockade. Further adequately sized trials in patients with progressive kidney disease with meaningful clinical endpoints are required to provide robust answers for clinicians and patients.

The Case of Hypertension and Congestive HF

There is convincing evidence that dual RAAS blockade with ACEI and ARB is valuable in reducing CV events in select populations. In patients with essential hypertension several studies has showed that dual blockade with ACEI/ARB is more effective than monotherapy, but also has more side effects, including hyperkalaemia. However in those studies where maximal doses or longer-acting ACEI were used, there was no additional reduction in BP with dual RAAS blockade [191]. Whether any of the dual RAAS blockade combinations has a beneficial effect on hard CV endpoints in uncomplicated hypertension has not been investigated so far. Hence, dual RAAS blockade is not preferred over other drug combinations in these patients [10]. Other strategies including Aldo antagonists as alternative therapy for a preferred over other drug combinations in these patients [10]. Other strategies including Aldo antagonists as alternative therapy for a better RAAS blockade has shown some benefits. Adding MRAs on top of ACEI or ARB resulted in a larger antihypertensive effect, at the expense, however, of hyperkalaemia and gynecomastia [192]. Also, in hypertensive patients dual blockade including the DRI, aliskiren, combined with ACEI or ARB was more effective than monotherapy with one of the three drugs [193]. Diarrhea, and not hyperkalaemia, appears to be the main side effect in uncomplicated hypertension.

In patients with HF, a meta-analysis of seven trials of dual RAAS blockade versus ACEI alone showed a decrease in hospital admissions for HF, but no effect on total mortality [194]. The CHARM study (Candesartan in Heart Failure Assessment of Morbidity and Mortality) [195] showed that the addition of candesartan to ACEI resulted in significant reductions in each of the primary outcomes, which included cardiovascular death and hospital admission for CHF. However, the rate of adverse events, such as symptomatic hypotension, hyperkalaemia, and renal function decline, has proven higher than anticipated, thus limiting clinical application of dual blockade with ACEI/ARB in HF [196]. Accordingly, combined ACEI/ARB treatment is not advocated in the CVD population [10]. Also, dual blockade with MRA/ACEI or MRA/ARB in patients with CVD has shown favorable outcomes. In HF, including postmyocardial infarction left-ventricular dysfunction, MRA on top of ACEI or ARB more effectively reduced mortality and hospitalizations for HF than ACEI or ARB monotherapy [173]. Altogether, these findings have led to the recommendation for dual blockade with low-dose MRAs in carefully selected patients with left-ventricular dysfunction post myocardial infarction or HF [197]. Data on dual blockade with DRI and ACEI or ARB in CVD are still sparse. Aliskiren added to ACEI or ARB in HF improved intermediate endpoints as circulating NT-proBNP and urinary aldosterone despite the absence of an effect on BP [168]. However, the effects of dual therapy with DRI on top of ACEI or ARB in patients with CVD disease remain to be verified in long-term large clinical trials.

The Case of CKD

The priorities in the management of CKD are to prevent cardiovascular events, retard progression, manage metabolic complications, and prepare patients for renal replacement therapy [189]. The current evidence suggests that dual RAAS blockade may be a treatment with significant benefits and risks. Therefore, understanding the baseline risk of the patient for CV and renal events is crucial.

In patients with CKD dual blockade with ACEI/ARB has been shown to reduce BP and proteinuria more effectively than either monotherapy, especially when baseline proteinuria was high [140,89]. However, evidence for a benefit of ACEI/ARB combination on hard endpoints in CKD is lacking. In general, it would be logical to assume that the potential benefits of dual blockade with ACEI/ARB depend on the specific risk profile of the population treated. The initiation of dual blockade is likely to cause an acute reduction in GFR due to reduction in intraglomerular pressure just as starting ACEI or ARB monotherapy does [126]. If the reduction in GFR is not progressive, however, it would be reasonable to continue such treatment, especially if it reduces BP or perhaps proteinuria. Close monitoring is also indicated if the patient is receiving other medications that may increase the risks of acute-on-chronic renal failure and/or hyperkalaemia (e.g. Aldo antagonists, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or beta-blockers). A subgroup analysis of the ONTARGET study, showed that dual blockade was harmful only in individuals with a low renal risk (i.e. without diabetes and hypertension, or without albuminuria), whereas in patients with albuminuria a trend towards a better renal outcome was observed [198]. Despite a beneficial effect on microalbuminuria, dual blockade was associated with a worse renal outcome, raising vigorous debate. Considering the patient selection in the ONTARGET study, it may be unwise for the moment to extrapolate these findings to CKD patients with overt proteinuria, when the benefit/risk ratio may be very different, considering the antiproteinuric potential of dual blockade. Recently it has been considered other strategies trying to evaluate the effects of greater blockade of the system. Thus triple blockade with MRA/ACEI/ARB have also been studied in proteinuric CKD patients. This scheme
of treatment reduced proteinuria to the same extent as MRA/ACEI, but to a greater extent than ACEI/ARB [59]. However, other studies have shown a superiority of MRB/ACEI/ARB over ACEI/ARB [199]. With triple blockade, more hyperkalemia and a larger renal function decline arose. Long-term effects on renal outcome, mortality, and safety, of different degrees of blockade with MRA in CKD patients remain to be determined. In relation to those strategies dual blockade with DRI on top of ACEI or ARB in patients with CKD there is very limited clinical evidence. In diabetic nephropathy, the combination of DRI/ARB reduced proteinuria more effectively than monotherapy ARB, independent of the effect on BP [157]. The incidence of hyperkalemia and adverse events were similar in both groups. Finally, we would like to propose that before considering dual or triple blockade involving different combinations more attention should be paid to gain optimal effect of single drug RAAS blockade. This implies individual dose titration and explicit correction of volume excess [200]. Whether combined ACEI/ARB results in better therapeutic effects than single RAAS blockade with volume correction measures is currently under study (DUAAAL study, Dutch Trial Register, NTR675) [10].

The Relation between Vitamin D and RAAS: A Step forward Global Blockade of the System

Vitamin D is typically viewed as a key player in the regulation of calcium and phosphate levels and the control of bone metabolism; however, growing evidence suggests that vitamin D deficiency may also have an important role in the progressive loss of renal function. Studies indicate that treatment with vitamin D analogues reduces proteinuria, suppresses the RAAS, and exerts anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory effects [201]. In experimental animals Li et al. demonstrated that vitamin D is a potent negative endocrine regulator of the RAAS and acts as a suppressor of renin biosynthesis [202]. Vitamin D suppresses renin expression independently of its effect on calcium metabolism as well as independently of its effect on the volume- and salt-sensing mechanisms and the AII feedback regulation [202]. More recently, these authors also demonstrated that the combination of ARBs plus paricalcitol (a vitamin D receptor agonist; VDRA) therapy abrogates progression of renal disease in streptozotocin-induced diabetes [203] and the mechanism of renal protection appears to be by protection of the podocyte and blockade of the TGF-β system in the glomerulus. Furthermore, VDRAs have also anti-inflammatory effects so these pleiotropic effects render vitamin D a potentially interesting treatment modality for renoprotection in patients with CKD although the renoprotective mechanisms in humans remain to be discovered. One possibility is that VDRAs block the RAAS in tissues without affecting the endocrine system [201]. In fact at present there are four published studies on the renoprotection by VDRAs among patients with CKD not on dialysis [204-207]. In all of them, those patients that received treatment with either paricalcitol or calcitriol showed a reduction on their proteinuria and some inflammatory parameters (high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, serum TGF-β level). More recently, the Selective Vitamin D Receptor Activator for Albuminuria Lowering (VITAL) study tests whether paricalcitol persistently reduces albuminuria in diabetic subjects already receiving inhibitors of the RAAS [208]. In this double-blind multicenter trial performed in type 2 diabetic nephropathy and albuminuria the addition of 2 μg/day paricalcitol to RAAS inhibition safely reduced residual albuminuria. At present vitamin D cells do not at least maintain adequate stores of vitamin D for bone health and perhaps cardiovascular, immunological, and renal health among patients with CKD. Although VDRAs reduce proteinuria, whether these agents can prolong the time to ESRD and should become the standard of care among patients with CKD remains to be answered by well-designed controlled clinical trials [209].

RAAS and Inflammation: Clinical and Therapeutical Implications

Vascular inflammation plays a key role in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis as well as of CV remodeling in hypertensive conditions. In recent years it is becoming increasingly accepted that those situations where CV complications are present as in CKD and diabetes, there is an state of chronic inflammation that is associated with oxidative stress, endothelial dysfunction, vascular calcification and wasting [210]. However, the reasons and mechanism for this inflammatory situation are complex and seems to be related to a combination of diverse factors that cause an altered immune balance [211].

As commented previously, the roles of AII go well beyond controlling circulatory homeostasis. Recently, a large number of experimental studies have shown that AII mediates several key events of the inflammatory processes [212]. Inflammation involves activation of the endothelium of blood vessels and expression of diverse endothelial cell selectins that dictate extravasation of specific leukocyte populations to the site of injury [213]. In the context of an inflammatory process, local activation of RAAS and AII synthesis both increased vascular permeability by promoting the expression and secretion of VEGF (vascular endothelial growth factor), and induced the expression of endothelial adhesion molecules including selectins (P- and L-selectin), vascular cell adhesion molecules-1 (VCAM-1), intercellular adhesion molecules-1 (ICAM-1) and their ligands, the integrins [214]. Moreover, AII also favours the recruitment of infiltrating inflammatory cells into tissues by stimulating the production of specific cytokine/chemokines. Thus in the aorta of spontaneously hypertensive rats, which bear elevated levels of AII, massive macrophage infiltration is accompanied by increased expression of monocyte chemoattractant MCP-1 and one of its receptors, the C–C chemokine receptor CCR2. Modulation of MCP-1/CCR2 via ATR1 blockade reduces vessel inflammation in these rats [215]. In models of progressive nephropathies, interstitial accumulation of macrophages is accompanied by increased renal expression of MCP-1, and renoprotection afforded by the ACEI lisinopril limits interstitial inflammation and reduces MCP-1 expression [216]. The pro-inflammatory activity of AII is also mediated by activation of dendritic cells (DCs), highly specialized antigen-presenting cells responsible for inflammation defense and immune response [214]. In rats with subtotal renal ablation, blockade of AII synthesis/biological activity reduces local DC accumulation and attenuates tubulointerstitial damage [217]. The beneficial effect of AII blockers on tissue inflammation also relies on their ability to block AII-mediated activation of Toll-like receptors (TLRs). In vivo studies have shown protection against myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury after ATR1 blockade through suppression of TLR-4 expression and reduction of cytokine release [218]. The pro-inflammatory effects of AII can also involve T cells. During inflammation, AII acts via its ATR1 to stimulate cytoskeletal rearrangements in T cells and to trigger the release of specific cytokines and chemokines that favour T cell recruitment to the sites of inflammation [219]. Among T cell subsets, IL-17-producing T cells are critical for the maintenance of AII-induced hypertension [220].

AT2R also participate as a major anti-inflammatory mediator in animal models of vascular injury [221] although its role of AT2R in the process of vascular inflammation is not fully understood. Thus, the sustained increase in BP in mice caused by C-reactive
In specific situations as CKD, where the presence of an uremic state could play an important role, there are strong associations between proinflammatory cytokines and vascular complications, so several types of anticytokine treatment strategies have been proposed [210]. In this sense, there are some data showing the anti-inflammatory properties of ACEI and some of the beneficial effects of the RAS blockade may be related to such activities. Thus, in an experimental study Anderson et al. reported an impaired cytokine-induced nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) translocation from the cytoplasm to the nucleus in captopril preincubated monocytes [225]. In a clinical study Steinkel et al. found low plasma levels of tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and C-reactive protein (CRP) in ESRD patients treated with ACEI [226]. Trying to give a more clinical perspective of these studies it has been postulated from the data of SOLVD trial that those patients treated with an ACEI reduced the risk of weight loss, supporting the hypothesis of relationships among wasting, the RAS and inflammation [227].

In the case of type 2 DM its pathogenesis is characterized by activation of complex molecular pathways, and emerging evidence now suggests that inflammatory pathways have a central role in the development of diabetic complications, such as diabetic nephropathy [228]. Type 2 DM is associated with a myriad of deviations from normal homeostasis, including hemodynamic abnormalities, metabolic derangements, increased synthesis of hormones such as AII, and apparent increased vulnerability to the adverse effects of external noxious or proinflammatory factors. All these factors are associated with renal injury and induce the activation of diverse signal transduction systems in virtually all types of kidney cells. One of the major elements implicated in this inflammatory reaction is NF-κB, a ubiquitous transcription factor that is activated by many stimuli relevant to diabetic nephropathy (including proinflammatory cytokines and mechanical forces). In turn, these signals trigger the synthesis and production of molecules involved in inflammatory pathways. NF-κB is, therefore, a key nexus in the regulation of many chemokines, cell adhesion proteins, inflammatory cytokines and other molecules relevant to the pathogenesis of diabetic nephropathy. Proteinuria, a critical factor in the progression of diabetic nephropathy, is intimately related to inflammation [229]. Current experimental and clinical experience indicates that the severity of proteinuria is not only a reflection of the underlying glomerular injury, but that it directly contributes to tubular and interstitial damage through abnormal filtration of proteins, as well as by activation of cellular responses to the renal injury itself [230]. Proteinuria induces activation of renal tubular cells, which lead to expression of numerous chemokines, adhesion molecules and proinflammatory cytokines. In turn, these responses result in interstitial infiltration by monocytes, neutrophils and lymphocytes, which then contribute to renal cell injury, tubulointerstitial damage and fibrosis. Kidney cells can synthesize proinflammatory cytokines, and the expression of chemoattractant cytokines and adhesion molecules is upregulated in kidney cells from patients and animals with diabetes [228]. These molecules are key mediators of renal injury by virtue of their ability to attract circulating white blood cells (monocytes, neutrophils and lymphocytes) and facilitate transmigration of these cells into renal tissue. These infiltrating cells are also a source of cytokines and other mediators that contribute to the development and progression of renal injury, as well as to amplification and perpetuation of the inflammatory reaction. Today, growing evidence indicates that cytokines are important in the pathogenesis of microvascular complications in patients with diabetes mellitus, including diabetic nephropathy [211]. The activities of proinflammatory cytokines are, however, very complex, and this complexity must be taken into account when considering the role that these molecules have in the pathophysiology of diabetic nephropathy [211]. In the past few years, based in the mentioned evidence of an inflammatory mechanism in diabetic nephropathy, several treatments in current use are associated with actions on inflammatory pathways. For instance, the renoprotective effects conferred by ARBs are postulated to be at least partly related to anti-inflammatory actions that occur via the inhibition of NFκB-dependent pathways [231]. In addition, Aldo receptor blockade in the kidneys of animals with experimental type 2 DM has a renoprotective effect associated with an anti-inflammatory mechanism related to inhibition of NFκB activation [232]. Finally in patients with diabetic nephropathy the combination of either ACEI [233] or ARB [234] with pentoxifylline, a xanthine derivative with modulating effects on TNF, has resulted in additive antiproteinuric effects. In one of these studies, a reduction in UAE was independently associated with a decrease in urinary TNF [234].

Polymorphisms of RAAS

Several polymorphisms of genes coding for components of the RAAS have been identified [235]. The exact mechanism by which the polymorphisms of RAAS could influence the progression of kidney disease is not clear. There are two main types of procedures tests used to detect which genes should be studied: association test, and transmission test for linkage disequilibrium, which is the more precise [236]. The ACE gene is a well-characterized locus that is associated with the pathogenesis and progression of CKD; polymorphisms of this gene affect response to treatment with ACEIs and ARBs [237]. Plasma and tissue levels of ACE are at least partially determined by a genetic polymorphism based on the presence (insertion [I]) or absence (deletion [D]) of a 287 base pair element in intron 16 [238]. In particular Asian subjects with the DD genotype (and increased ACE activity) have been reported to be at higher risk for cardiovascular disorders and nephropathy. Numerous studies evaluated the role of the ACE I/D polymorphism as well as other genetic variants of the RAAS in the context of RAAS inhibitor therapy. Among them polymorphism are I/D polymorphism, a variant of the angiotensinogen gene, the M235T polymorphism, and the variant A1166C polymorphism of the AII type-1 receptor gene [239]. Several studies have suggested a potential role for I/D polymorphism of the ACE gene in the progression of renal diseases and in the cardiovascular death complications rate of patients with CKD [240]. In relation to the variations of ACE serum levels, the presence of the genotype D/D is associated with higher levels of the enzyme, while lower values are related to the presence of the genotype I/I [240]. Compared with insertion/deletion (I/D) and (D/D) genotypes, the I/I genotype protects against the development and progression of nephropathy in patients with type 1 and type 2 DM, possibly because it is associated with reduced plasma levels of ACE and decreased glucose-induced glomerular hyperfiltration [241]. The I/I genotype is associated with the greatest benefit from ACEI therapy among patients with diabetes who have normoalbuminuria or microalbuminuria, and the D/D genotype is associated with the greatest benefit from ACEI therapy in
those with macroalbuminuria. Independent of involved mechanisms, evaluating the ACE I/D polymorphism may help in identifying patients at risk of development or progression of nephropathy and those who might derive the greatest benefit from RAAS inhibition [237]. In the case of the polymorphisms of angiotensinogen, it has been described an association of higher level of circulating angiotensinogen with the allele T [239]. Finally, the variant A1166C polymorphism of the AI1 type-1 receptor gene is associated with an increase of the intrarenal and peripheric activity of AI1 [242].

There are several studies trying to determine possible associations between RAAS polymorphisms and progression of kidney disease. In the REIN study, where a group of non-diabetic patients with chronic proteinuric nephropathies were studied, the ECA genotype did not disclose any effect neither on the decrease of glomerular filtration rate nor in the incidence of ESRD [243]. Also, when angiotensinogen and AT1R polymorphisms were analyzed most of the studies did not disclose any definitive relation with renal disease progression [239]. However, in hypertensive patients it has been suggested that the combination of unfavorable polymorphisms (DD type for ECA, TT for angiotensinogen and AC/CC for AI1-type-1 receptor gene) could contribute in a synergic way to the presence of target organ damages [244]. The relation between the degree of response to renoprotective therapies and polymorphisms types has been matter of concern, although the results are inconclusive. A subanalysis of REIN study showed that only men with the DD type had a favourable response to the treatment with ramipril [245].

In summary, to date results on RAAS polymorphisms as determinants of the prevalence of renal diseases and the response to renoprotective therapies are conflicting. Given the polygenic nature of renal and CVD and the growing number of candidates genes, large prospective and collaborative studies are required to assess the efficacy of RAAS blockade therapies in relation with these possible associations. In these sense pharmacogenetics and pharmacogenomics involve the search for genetic polymorphisms that influence response to drug therapy [246]. These disciplines offer the opportunity to provide individualized drug therapy on the basis of the genetic profile persons, thus increasing the likelihood of achieving treatment goals and limiting adverse effects from drug therapy.

Pharmacogenomics in RAAS Blockade

The concept of “pharmacogenomics” and “pharmacogenetics” that appears in the post-genome era, offers the ultimate personalized medicine, by which favorable effects of medications are maximized and adverse effects are minimized, compared with conventional “trial and error” prescribing. The RAAS is one of the most plausible candidates for the application of this approach in the area of cardiovascular medicine. However previous reports on this issue did not showed consistent conclusions [246,247] due to a severe limitations such as race, patient selection, salt intake, combining of different therapies, drug dose, administration term and drug selection, statistical power, combining of different alleles, and end points such as definition of responders [247]. Recently, Konoshita published a complete review of the current studies with a minimum sample size of 200 subjects in order to increase their statistical power [248]. This review showed relatively consistent results, concluding that the conventional genetic variants of the system-the ACE I/D, AGT M235T, AT1 A1166C, and AT2 variant-are not associated with the antihypertensive effects of RAAS blockade, at least by individual SNPs. In contrast, significant associations have been reported with AGT rs7079, AT1 haplotype, REN, and ACE2, each in one report. For these variants, further evaluations are expected. However, much more must be learned before pharmacogenetic factors can be routinely incorporated into therapeutic decisions.

The Economic Perspective on RAAS Blockade in Kidney Disease, Hypertension and Diabetes

The cost is a key factor to be considered in any therapeutic decision that hold the remarkable implications as RAAS blockade has. A number of strategies have been devised to increase the access to essential medicine where they are most needed [249]. The decision of which agents to use will have to be tailored carefully to the needs of the patient and careful consideration of both medical and economic factors. However, whichever type of agent is used, treatment costs are likely to be substantial. Based on these facts, in some countries there is an increasing necessity to include in every new drug an economic evaluation inform together with the drug pharmacological information, in order to permit its inclusion in health reimbursement policies [250].

The economic impact of even partial improvement of renal function would be enormous, as documented by findings that a 30% reduction in the rate of GFR decline would translate into savings of more than 60 billion dollars for providing renal replacement therapy to patients progressing to ESRD in the US by year 2010 [251]. The incidence of ESRD is increasing worldwide. These increases represent a significant burden to countries worldwide; not only due to the financial costs of providing ESRD care, but also because of lost productivity and significant morbidity and mortality for the affected patients. There is clearly a pressing need for the aggressive identification and early treatment of patients with nephropathy to prevent progression to ESRD [252]. As it has already been said, there are now evidence of effective treatment options that can slow the progression of chronic nephropathies in many individuals, and ongoing research has raised the tantalizing prospect of the reversal of renal disease progression [253].

A joint national committee at the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute of the US National Institutes of Health recommended in 2004 that thiazide diuretics should be used as initial treatment for most patients with hypertension [254]. However, the cost of ACEIs has declined steeply since 2004. The 2006 NICE guideline on hypertension includes a health economic model, its sensitivity analysis showed that the cost is a key factor to be considered in any therapeutic decision that hold the remarkable implications as RAAS blockade has. A number of strategies have been devised to increase the access to essential medicine where they are most needed [249]. The decision of which agents to use will have to be tailored carefully to the needs of the patient and careful consideration of both medical and economic factors. However, whichever type of agent is used, treatment costs are likely to be substantial. Based on these facts, in some countries there is an increasing necessity to include in every new drug an economic evaluation inform together with the drug pharmacological information, in order to permit its inclusion in health reimbursement policies [250].

The cost of ARBs is expected to fall noticeably as non-proprietary formulations are developed. Differences between individual ARBs are likely to be substantial. Based on these facts, in some countries there is an increasing necessity to include in every new drug an economic evaluation inform together with the drug pharmacological information, in order to permit its inclusion in health reimbursement policies [250].

The economic impact of even partial improvement of renal function would be enormous, as documented by findings that a 30% reduction in the rate of GFR decline would translate into savings of more than 60 billion dollars for providing renal replacement therapy to patients progressing to ESRD in the US by year 2010 [251]. The incidence of ESRD is increasing worldwide. These increases represent a significant burden to countries worldwide; not only due to the financial costs of providing ESRD care, but also because of lost productivity and significant morbidity and mortality for the affected patients. There is clearly a pressing need for the aggressive identification and early treatment of patients with nephropathy to prevent progression to ESRD [252]. As it has already been said, there are now evidence of effective treatment options that can slow the progression of chronic nephropathies in many individuals, and ongoing research has raised the tantalizing prospect of the reversal of renal disease progression [253].

A joint national committee at the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute of the US National Institutes of Health recommended in 2004 that thiazide diuretics should be used as initial treatment for most patients with hypertension [254]. However, the cost of ACEIs has declined steeply since 2004. The 2006 NICE guideline on hypertension includes a health economic model, its sensitivity analysis showed that the cost is a key factor to be considered in any therapeutic decision that hold the remarkable implications as RAAS blockade has. A number of strategies have been devised to increase the access to essential medicine where they are most needed [249]. The decision of which agents to use will have to be tailored carefully to the needs of the patient and careful consideration of both medical and economic factors. However, whichever type of agent is used, treatment costs are likely to be substantial. Based on these facts, in some countries there is an increasing necessity to include in every new drug an economic evaluation inform together with the drug pharmacological information, in order to permit its inclusion in health reimbursement policies [250].

The increasing prevalence of type 2 diabetes has drawn much concern in economic issues as the primary consideration in discussions for treatment of diabetic nephropathy. But in the end, the economics of treatment are driven by clinical issues, many of which have yet to be resolved. Regardless of the exact dollar figures, the increase in the rate of diabetic nephropathy will undoubtedly strain the economies of all countries. In addition to the macroeconomic impact, we must contend with the costs of drugs that are used to limit kidney damage caused by diabetes [256]. Among several possible funding strategies, a global fund for kidney diseases has been proposed. Drug companies, whose products such as ACEI and other antihypertensive drugs, have proved
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to be very profitable, and could support such a fund with a minimal percentage of the revenues from antihypertensive [257].

**Conclusions**

The RAAS is a coordinated hormonal cascade that regulates fluid and electrolyte balance and arterial pressure, and it plays a major role in cardiovascular, renal, and adrenal function. In the classic view of the RAAS, AII, the biologically active octapeptide, is generated by a 2-step enzymatic process in which its precursor, the inactive decapeptide AI, is cleaved from angiotensinogen by renin, a glycosylated aspartyl protease secreted by the renal juxtaglomerular granular epitheloid cells. The second step of AII synthesis is the removal of the C-terminal dipeptide of AI by ACE. This enzyme has multiple substrates, in vivo it cleaves and thus inactivates BK, a vasodilator and natriuretic peptide, substance P and also AcSDKP, a hemoregulatory peptide. AII binds to its receptors to activate intracellular signals, and it also very rapidly is cleaved by other enzymes, such as angiotensinas, into other shorter angiotensin-related peptides (AIII, A[1-7], and A[3-8]). Although A(1-7) and A(3-8) are biologically active, their functions in vivo have not been elucidated fully. The majority of the effects of AII—vascular smooth muscle cell contraction and pressor response, aldosterone secretion, inhibition of renin release, renal sodium reabsorption, vasopressin secretion, dipsogetic responses, generation of growth-promoting cytokines, free oxygen radicals, and fibrinoid mediators in tissues—are mediated by the AT1R. AII also binds the AT2R whose functions remain controversial. This view of the RAAS has been expanded by additional findings. First, numerous studies have proven the importance of a local tissue RAAS in the kidney, brain, heart, blood vessels, and adrenal glands in mediating diverse physiologic functions. Second, alternative non-ACE–dependent pathways of AII generation, such as chymase and chymotrypsin-like angiotensin-generating enzyme, and new enzymes such as ACE2, have been described. In vitro ACE2 hydrolyzes AII to A(1-9), AII to A(1-7), and BK to des-Arg9-BK and other substrates, but its functions in vivo remain to be elucidated. ACE2 counterbalances the functions of ACE and the balance between these 2 proteases may determine local and systemic levels of RAAS peptides such as AII and A(1-7). Third, other receptors (AT3R) and other signaling pathways have been characterized. The discovery of the prorenin/renin receptor has given renin and prorenin a direct biological role in stimulating intracellular pathways independently of AII. We must emphasize the important significance that has had the better knowledge and understanding of the RAAS in the treatment of CVD and renal diseases and their clinical implications. The synthesis of the first orally active ACEI, captopril fostered the development of new therapeutic paradigms and opened a new era of research. The efficacy of RAAS blockers in treating hypertension, HF and renal diseases has been gradually demonstrated in several clinical trials. Treatment of hypertension also benefited from the subsequent discovery of AII antagonists, selectively blocking AT1R activation without influencing the vasodilatory kinins. The beneficial effect of inhibition of RAAS activity by ACEI or ARB in patients with left ventricular dysfunction or systolic HF is well established. Treatment with ACEI or ARB decreases mortality rate and reduces the risk for adverse cardiovascular outcome in high-risk patients with coronary artery disease, independently of the BP control. Intensive treatment with ACEI of diabetic patients with microalbuminuria results in renoprotection and cardioprotection. In patients with non-diabetic chronic nephropathies, the integrated intervention including the use of ACEI, together with ARB stabilizes the glomerular filtration rate and induces remission of the disease. This approach has suggested that RAAS blockers, by preventing progressive kidney function loss, possibly contribute to kidney repair. At present the results of large clinical trials has opened the question about the most adequate indications for agents blocking the RAAS depending on their site of action and to what patient population will obtain the highest benefits in terms of cardiovascular protection with a proper balance between clinical results and the appearance of associated adverse events. Finally, while studying the beneficial effects of RAAS blockade on heart and kidney, emerging evidence showed additional activities of RAAS antagonists as anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory agents and paved the way to new potential applications of these drugs in autoimmune diseases. The challenge for the next years is to establish whether these may translate in new medical applications of these drugs.
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