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**Abstract**

Reliable and cost-effective early-life stage (ELS) bioassays incorporating practical experimentation without compromising scientific relevance are crucial in chemical risk assessment. This study investigated the use of 20 days-post-fertilization life stage (20dpZF) of zebrafish *Danio rerio* to screen environmental chemicals known to be estrogenic in adult fish. Firstly, studies with key genes in steroidogenesis were conducted; the brain isoform of aromatase gene (*cyp19a1b*) being the most prominently expressed biomarker. Regulation of mRNA levels of molecular biomarkers, vitellogenin 1 gene (vtg1) and *cyp19a1b* were selected to assess the endocrine modulation by xenoestrogens, 17α-ethinylestradiol (EE2), 4- and 4-octylphenol (NP), and bisphenol A (BPA). Groups of 20dpZF (*n=15*) as three replicates were exposed to chemicals over a five-day period in aerated static setups. Exposure of 20dpZF to sediment spiked with EE2 (nominal 3µg g⁻¹ dw) was also conducted to assess the sensitivity of this life-stage to sediment with estrogenic potency. Whole body homogenates of exposed juveniles showed the estrogenic potential of chemicals in the order: EE2 > OP > BPA > NP. Higher relative expression of *cyp19a1b* was noticed at lower ambient concentrations of EE2, although *vtg1* showed more pronounced expression to it. The 20dpZF responded in a dose-related way to sediment spiked with EE2, expanding its use as a general aquatic animal model. The suitability of 20dpZF as an *in vivo* model, along with stable expression of reference genes was established. In addition to consistent expression pattern of key target genes on xenoestrogenicity, it serves as a practical screening model for the risk assessment of environmental chemicals and samples with estrogenic potential.
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**Introduction**

Diverse groups of natural and synthetic compounds known to have an endocrine bioactive potential are constantly introduced into the environment. These endocrine disrupting compounds (EDCs) have been found to exhibit significant effects on reproduction by acting on endocrine functions [1,2]. Given the extent of organic pollutants released into aquatic environment, teleosts among vertebrate taxa qualify as candidates to investigate endocrine modulation [2-6]. The choice of zebrafish *Danio rerio* (Hamilton, 1822) as a toxicological model presents practical advantages, including cost-effective maintenance, asynchronous breeding, and rapid development of the embryo-larval stages. Moreover, the sexual differentiation in the brain determining the fate of the gonads in teleosts, contrasts with amphibians and mammals [7]. In general, signaling cues in the form of endogenous hormones and external factors stimulate the pituitary to release gonadotropins, which in turn stimulate the gonads to synthesize and release endogenous hormones, sex steroids. Under endocrine control, the hypothalamus-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis is further extended to the liver (HPGL), the site for synthesis of the precursor of yolk protein, vitellogenin (Vtg), common to oviparous vertebrates. The hepatic synthesis of these proteins is stimulated by the binding of physiological estrogens or xenoestrogens to estrogen receptors (ERs) in the liver (Figure 1). In zebrafish, seven isoforms of vtg have been identified, with dominant expression of vtg1 compared to vtg2-7 [8]. Males possessing the normally unexpressed vtg genes when exposed to exogenous estrogen or their mimics, up-regulate vitellogenesis [1]. Consequently, alteration of endocrine signaling along the HPGL axis and subsequent modulation of gametogenesis and other reproductive processes from teleost responses indicates mechanisms of EDC action [9].

Bio-chemical pathways have been used to link health and reproductive status in wildlife to environmental chemical exposures. Steroid biosynthetic enzymes catalyze the conversion of cholesterol into active sex hormones (estradiol and testosterone) mainly in brain, kidney and gonads [10]. Key enzymes in steroidogenesis include steroidogenic acute regulatory (Star) protein, cytochrome P450 side-chain cleavage (P450sc), and cytochrome P450 aromatase (P450arom) with estrogenic potency. Whole body homogenates of exposed juveniles showed the estrogenic potential of chemicals in the order: EE2 > OP > BPA > NP. Higher relative expression of *cyp19a1b* was noticed at lower ambient concentrations of EE2, although *vtg1* showed more pronounced expression to it. The 20dpZF responded in a dose-related way to sediment spiked with EE2, expanding its use as a general aquatic animal model. The suitability of 20dpZF as an *in vivo* model, along with stable expression of reference genes was established. In addition to consistent expression pattern of key target genes on xenoestrogenicity, it serves as a practical screening model for the risk assessment of environmental chemicals and samples with estrogenic potential.
Along with vtg1, gene expression of cyp19a1b, a central steroidogenic enzyme, present suitable molecular markers to detect and analyze the impact of estrogenic exposure, considering the short ovarian cycles and estrogen-responsive embryonic stages in zebrafish. The post-embryonic life-stage (20 days-post-fertilization zebrafish; 20dpfZF) was used for a five-day exposure to 17α-ethinylestradiol (EE2), a common benchmark of xenoestrogens. Although ranging in nano- and micro-molar concentrations in ambient water (0.2-40.0 ng L⁻¹; [25]) and sediment (< 0.5-22.8 µg kg⁻¹ dry mass; [26]) sources respectively, EE2 is a potent xenoestrogen known to have adverse effects on the endocrine function of aquatic organisms.

The objective of this study was to evaluate a life-stage incorporating practical and experimental advantages for screening environmental estrogens, assessed by gene expression analyses. Pilot exposures to EE2 were carried out to select the key steroidogenic enzyme, cyp19a1b to complement vtg1 as a panel of estrogen-responsive biomarkers for subsequent studies. Besides EE2, the sensitivity of this life-stage was evaluated with water-borne exposures of other xenoestrogens with varying potencies—bisphenol A (BPA), 4-n-nonylphenol (NP) and 4-tert-octylphenol (OP). The screening potential of 20dpfZF was also verified with exposure to sediment spiked with EE2, and is discussed in context to regulatory requirements with the use of adult animals in toxicological research.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals and exposure stock solution

2,2-bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)propane (BPA, purity 99%), 17α-ethyl,3,5(10)-estratriene-3, 17β-diol (EE2, minimum 98% by HPLC), and 4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol (OP, 97%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). 4-n-Nonylphenol (NP, 98+ %) was obtained from Alfa Aesar (Karlsruhe, Germany). Sililation reagent N, O-bis(trimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide (BSTFA) with 1% trimethylchlorosilane (TMCS) was purchased from Fluka Chemie (Buchs, Switzerland). Internal standards 17-α-ethynylestradiol-d₄ (EE2-d₄, 97-98%) and 2,2-bis(4-hydroxyphenyl) propane-d₁₆ (BPA-d₁₆, 98%) were purchased from LGC Standards AB (Borås, Sweden). The solvents, methanol (MeOH) and acetonitrile, supplied by Merck Chemicals (Darmstadt, Germany) were of HPLC grade. Separate chemicals were dissolved in methanol, and stocks were stored at -20°C until use, within two weeks.

Experimental animals

Adult male and female zebrafish (Danio rerio) (wild type; WT) were obtained from Institute of Medical Technology, University of Tampere (Tampere, Finland). Fish were acclimatized to temperatures (mean ± standard deviation, 26 ± 1°C) and photoperiod (14 h light/10 h dark) for at least two weeks prior to setup for breeding. Animal husbandry was carried out along established guidelines (Westerfield, 2007). Zebrafish adults were fed twice daily with frozen chironomid larvae (Ruto frozen fish food, Zevenhuizen, Holland). Following breeding between sexually mature adults (~8 month old), fertilized eggs (0.5-1.5 hours-post-fertilization; hpf) were collected and reared through early post-embryonic stages for 20 days (20dpfZF) in rearing water or embryo medium (Westerfield, 2007). Post-hatch zebrafish from 6 dpf through 25 dpf were fed with fry food (SDS diets, UK).
Chemical exposure and sampling

Chemical exposures were conducted in glass jars containing 250 mL of exposure medium, consisted of gently aerated embryo-rearing water (pH 7.2) for the duration of the experiment, to avoid change in water quality. Water-borne exposures (I and II) were carried out in a semi-static manner, replacing 100% of the medium daily. Water quality parameters were measured on days 1 and 4. The mean temperature in the exposure jars was in the range 24-26°C. The content of dissolved oxygen (DO, mg L⁻¹) was 7.6 ± 0.2 (mean DO ± sd) and pH 7.2 ± 0.2 (mean ± sd). Chemicals dissolved in the carrier solvent, methanol (MeOH), were administered to the exposure water, the solvent concentration being 0.02% or less. Preliminary exposures also included water controls (data not shown), i.e., without solvent to confirm similarity with that of the maximum solvent concentration (0.02%). The first set of exposures (Exposure I) were performed with groups of 3 fish (20dpfZF), replicated three times in parallel, exposed to 0.02% MeOH with 0, 5, 25 and 50 ng EE2 L⁻¹, to determine expression and selection of suitable of steroidogenic gene(s). The second set of exposures (Exposure II) were performed with groups of 25 fish (20dpfZF), replicated three times, exposed to 0.02% MeOH with 0, 5, 25, and 50 ng EE2 L⁻¹, 0, 100, 500, and 1000 µg BPA L⁻¹, 0, 10, 50, and 100 µg OP L⁻¹, and 0, 10, 50, and 100 µg OP L⁻¹. Although the results used in discussion represent measurement from three parallel replicates, the pattern of relative expression of target genes were also verified with two independent exposures conducted earlier. Fish were sampled at the end of five days of exposure. Each group of sampled animals (n = 15) was collectively weighed in nase-free micro centrifuge tubes (Starlab, Germany), and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until further analysis. Experiments were conducted according to and licensed by the Finnish authority for animal experiments (ESAVI-2010-07885/Ym-23).

Sediment exposure

The reference sediment (0-10 cm depth; dry weight, dw 5.2%; total organic content, TOC 4.7%) was sampled from Lake Palosjärvi (located in Central Finland) using an Eckman grab sampler. Chemical spiking of it was performed according to standard guidelines for whole-sediment toxicity testing [27,28]. Briefly, nominal concentration 3 µg g⁻¹ dw of EE2-spiked sediment was prepared by gradually administering EE2 (dissolved in 100% methanol) to sediment slurry with minimum amount of water mixed for ~7 h. Following the addition of EE2, the headspace of sample container was filled with an inert gas (N₂) and sealed for equilibration at 4°C for a minimum of 30 d before the assays. The exposure series (Exposure III) were prepared in 600 mL glass beakers in a ratio 1:4 (dw/v) of sediment and overlying water (3°dH or 5°dH), and aerated static systems (no water renewal), sampled at the end of 3 d for Sed 1 (3 d), and Sed 2 (5 d). The exposures of animals were conducted in aerated static systems (no water renewal), sampled at the end of 3 d for Sed-Ref, Water-EE2 (150 ng L⁻¹), Sed-1 Salt and Sed 1, and at the end of 5 d for Sed 2 (Figure 4). Two controls treatments, each accompanying the respective 3- and 5 d exposures were included to provide for relative quantification per sampling time point. To maintain the water quality, the fish were not fed during the experiment. To assess the possible role of the influence of electrolyte content of overlying water, another sediment exposure Sed1 + Salt included E3 salts (embryo medium; 6°dH or 107 mg CaCO₃ L⁻¹) at levels used for rearing of embryo-larval stages of zebrafish to 20dpfZF. For comparison of estrogenic effects, water-borne exposure of 20dpfZF to 150 ng EE2 L⁻¹ for 3d was also included as positive control. Experimental conditions and fish sampling (n=3 pools of at least 15 fish each) was the same as in water-borne exposures.

Solid-phase extraction (SPE) of water samples

Ethinylestradiol was extracted from water samples by a SPE method [29] modified for the analyses in the present study (see supplementary information). Similar extraction was employed with NP and OP samples (3-10 mL) using BPA-d16 as the internal standard.

GC-MS analysis of EE2

The gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) was performed with HP 6890 gas chromatograph (Hewlett-Packard, Waldbronn, Germany) equipped with HP 5973 mass selective detector (Hewlett-Packard, Palo Alto, CA, USA). The method included a derivatization [30] by silylation with BSTFA, and pyridine to increase the stability of derivatized products and improve extraction recoveries of the target compounds (see supplementary information). Concentration of EE2 was calculated using relative spectral peak-areas of analytes, internal standard, and the determined response factor. Specifically, the results were based on determination of di-TMS derivatives of EE2 and EE2-d4.

LC-MS /MS analysis of BPA, NP, and OP

The analysis was performed with Waters Alliance 2795 (MA, USA) LC and the determination of target compounds was performed in negative ion electro spray mode (ESI−) and a Quattro Micro triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer (MS/MS) (Waters, MA, USA) with electro spray interface was used as detector. The method described by Revilla-Ruiz et al. [31], with minor modifications, was conducted with extracted (NP and OP) and un-extracted (BPA) water samples. Data acquisition was performed with multiple reactions monitoring (MRM) mode and the corresponding parameters for the target compounds are presented in supplementary (Table S1).

Isolation of RNA and reverse transcription

Total RNA was extracted from homogenized pooled fish samples (15 animals with total weight ca. 16 mg) using the Tri Reagent (Molecular Research Center, Inc.) according to manufacturer’s instructions, and the quality and quantity were determined on a NanoDrop ND-1000 UV-visible spectrophotometer. Absorbance measurements including 260:280 ratios (1.8 to 2.0) and the additional 260:230 > 260:280 ratios indicated a secondary measure of nucleic acid purity [32]. The integrity of RNA was also verified using the Agilent RNA 6000 Nano Assay Protocol with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Waldborn, Germany). RNA integrity number (RIN, 1-10) generated for analyzed samples was checked within range 7-10 for all samples. The total RNA extracted was reverse transcribed into cDNA using iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad, USA), and the CDNA templates were diluted ten times for use in real-time PCR assays.

Real-time PCR

Real-time PCR was performed using iQ SYBR Green supermix (Bio-Rad, USA) and run on a Bio-Rad CFX96™ real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad, USA). Gene-specific primers (Table SII) for housekeeping genes (beta-actin (β-actin) and elongation factor 1 alpha (ef1a)) as well as target genes (star, cyp11a1a, hsd3b1, cyp19a1b) were designed using AmplifiX (v 1.5.4), and the given oligonucleotides (desalt purified) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (UK). The primer pair sequences were checked with the program Mfold (http://mfold.bioinfo.rpi.edu/).
performed using IBM SPSS (v 19). All analyses were post hoc test. Data from Exposure II was analyzed using was determined by a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed significance (P< 0.05) of log-transformed data from Exposures I and III

Data analysis

The expressions of target genes were normalized to the expression of two reference genes, (β-actin, ef1a). The choice of reference genes was based on their stable expression across samples of different treatment. The determined stability parameter (M value) for the reference genes were used to select suitable reference genes with M values < 0.5; the lower the M-value, that higher the stability [33]. The relative gene expression levels were calculated from the experimental amplification data (Cq values; quantification cycles) using the 2-ΔΔCT method [34]. Statistical significance (P< 0.05) of log-transformed data from Exposures I and III was determined by a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey HSD post hoc test. Data from Exposure II was analyzed using Welch’s test followed by Games-Howell post hoc test. All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS (v 19).

Results

Water quality and concentrations of xenoestrogens in experiments

No significant difference in water quality was found between exposure jars or treatments during the exposure period. Regarding to nominal concentration added in experimental waters, actual chemical concentrations (mean ± sd) are presented in Table SIII. However, all measured concentrations of NP were not detected. Since both NP and OP samples were extracted simultaneously with the same method, the undetected NP samples may have resulted from issues with the extraction method. For clarity, while the measured concentrations commonly ranged 20-50% below expected, revealing effects, the nominal values are used in further discussion.

Exposure I: Responses of steroidogenic enzyme mRNA levels

The mean M-values of reference genes, β-actin and ef1a across the corresponding concentration series of exposures (BPA, NP, OP, and EE2) were 0.004, 0.1, 0.3, 0.06; and 0.01, 0.04, 0.06, 0.09 respectively, showing stable expression of the respective housekeeping genes. For normalization of gene expression, the mean M-values of both reference genes combined and considered together for the chemical exposures were 0.01, 0.07, 0.06, and 0.07 respectively, suggesting the stable expression of this reference gene pair across chemical treatment at the studied life-stage.

Figure 2 shows the normalized gene expression levels of cyp11a1, cyp19a1b, hsd3b1, and star in 20dpZF exposed to EE2 for five days (20-25 dpf). Among the studied genes, only cyp19a1b showed pronounced expression levels compared with cyp11a1, hsd3b1, and star. (Tukey HSD, P< 0.001). Noticeable induction of cyp19a1b resulted formal EE2 exposures (5, 25 and 50 ng L⁻¹), averaging 13.5, 18.7 and 22.8-fold changes respectively, with gradual increase with increasing concentrations (5-50 ng L⁻¹). In preliminary studies with similar experimental conditions, cyp19a1b showed ~2-fold increase at even lower exposures (1 ng L⁻¹) (data not shown). There was no induction of star in exposure groups, with expression even in the highest EE2 exposure, 50 ng EE2 L⁻¹, being similar with that of control and 5 ng EE2 L⁻¹. Although 25 ng EE2 L⁻¹ revealed ~1.3-fold change, the altered star expression was not significantly different from either the control or respective expression levels of cyp11a1 and hsd3b1 (Tukey HSD, P > 0.05). Similarly, no statistically significant effects were observed with the mRNA levels of cyp11a1 and hsd3b1 that actually showed decreased average expression compared to solvent control. Overall, there was a statistically significant difference between expression of cyp19a1b and the other steroidogenic enzymes (star, cyp11a1 and hsd3b1) as determined by one-way ANOVA for EE2 exposures 5 ng L⁻¹ (F(3, 8) = 91.740, P< 0.001), 25 ng L⁻¹ (F(3, 8) = 61.130, P< 0.001) and 50 ng L⁻¹ (F(3, 8) = 133.082, P< 0.001). A Tukey HSD post hoc test revealed the significant up-regulation of only cyp19a1b expression among the studied steroidogenic enzymes (P< 0.001) compared to star-cyp11a1 (P = 0.848) and star-hsd3b1 (P = 0.982).

Exposure II: Changes in cyp19a1b and vtg1 mRNA levels to xenoestrogens in water

Figure 3a shows the relative expression of cyp19a1b and vtg1 in 20dpZF exposed to EE2 from 20 through 25 dpf. The mRNA levels of cyp19a1b exposed to 5, 25, and 50 ng EE2 L⁻¹ were induced to 13, 19, and 24-fold respectively, relative to control treatment. For the same concentrations, relative expression levels of vtg1 were 5, 143, and 1272-fold. Despite the larger extent of vtg1 expression across the exposure treatment, cyp19a1b showed more sensitive induction (13-fold; Games-Howell, P< 0.05) at the lowest range (5ng EE2 L⁻¹) compared to vtg1 (5-fold; Games-Howell, P< 0.05). As presumed, the pattern of expression of cyp19a1b at all concentrations of EE2 was similar to samples studied for steroidogenic enzyme mRNA levels (Figure 2d); with gradual increase from 5 to 50 ng EE2 L⁻¹. However, at higher treatments levels (25 and 50 ng EE2 L⁻¹), the relative induction of vtg1 (Games-Howell, P< 0.01, 0.001) was noticeably higher than cyp19a1b (Games-Howell, P< 0.05), albeit both the genes showing significant relative expression. Almost 15% mortality was observed in groups exposed to the highest nominal concentration of EE2 (Figure 3a).

Whole-body cyp19a1b showed increased expression levels at 100, 500 and 1000 µg BPA L⁻¹ compared to vtg1 (Figure 3b). Expression of vtg1 was down-regulated (in average -1.2-fold change) by lower concentrations of BPA (100 and 500 µg L⁻¹), but was significantly up-regulated at the highest concentration (2.7-fold change; Games-Howell, P< 0.05). The two higher concentrations of BPA L⁻¹ showed significant induction of cyp19a1b transcripts, 2.9 and 4.4-fold (Games-Howell, P< 0.05) respectively. Clearly, cyp19a1b was more sensitive compared to vtg1 across a range of BPA exposures, further revealing that BPA induces a weak estrogenic effect when compared to EE2.

In 20dpZF exposed to 10, 50 and 100 µg NP L⁻¹, the relative expression levels of both cyp19a1b and vtg1 showed no statistically significant change (Games-Howell, P> 0.05), showing a clear downregulation for both genes (Figure 3b). Compared to NP, the expression pattern was different with OP exposure; cyp19a1b showing an upregulatory pattern for all three concentrations compared to control (Figure 3b). The relative induction of vtg1 was significant only at 100
µg OP L⁻¹ (2.5-fold; Games-Howell, P< 0.05). For all the concentrations, cyp19a1b again showed higher sensitivity for the induction, i.e., 1.9, 1.7, and 2.5-fold. With OP, as in the case of EE2 and BPA, cyp19a1b was a more sensitive biomarker of estrogenicity than vtg1. Overall, it is noteworthy that NP compared to EE2, BPA, and OP had a much less estrogenic effect in the 20dpfZF model. There was statistically significant difference between exposure groups determined by Welch’s test for both cyp19a1b (F(11, 9.197) = 422.008, P< 0.001) and vtg1 (F(11, 9.355) = 666.860, P< 0.001). Both 50 and 100 µg OP L⁻¹ affected the survival of exposed fish to ~20 and 30% mortality (Figure S1).

**Exposure III: Changes in cyp19a1b and vtg1 mRNA levels to sediment spiked with EE2**

In relevant contexts of in vivo exposures, 20dpZF showed preferential use of water-column depending on exposure type (with and without sediment). While each group of 25 animals in the water-only units appeared to distribute randomly across the water column, the fish in units with sediment headed for the sediment-water interface, perhaps seeking food. Actually, by actively ingesting contaminated sediment, the possibility to study diverse exposure scenarios with 20dpZF (i.e. contaminants taken up by ingestion) was obvious (Figure 5b). We suggest that the same is not possible with sessile and non-feeding life stages.

One-way ANOVA analysis of normalized gene expression showed significant induction of both cyp19a1b (F(4, 10) = 1096.501, P< 0.001) and vtg1 (F(4, 10) = 2471.846, P< 0.001) of 20dpZF when exposed to sediment spiked with nominal 3 µg EE2 g⁻¹dw over three to five days (Figure 4a, 4b). Between the exposures (Sed1 and Sed2) conducted over three and five days, Tukey’s HSD post hoc test showed that fish exposed to sediments with EE2 exhibited higher induction of cyp19a1b than the water-borne exposure (nominal 150 ng EE2 L⁻¹) at 63- and 50-fold change, respectively (Figure 4a). Significant up-regulation of Sed 2 fish with 74-fold change, compared to EE2-water exposure, indicated the influence of the extended exposure duration. For the 3d exposures (Sed1+Salt, Sed1), which differed only in respect to the electrolyte content, showed higher induction (72-fold) compared to that of Sed1, although not significantly different (Tukey’s HSD, P = 0.473).

The expression of vtg1 showed very pronounced up-regulation patterns, increasing from EE2 in water (181-fold) to Sed 1 (500-fold) and Sed 2 (1152-fold) (Figure 4b). Unlike cyp19a1b, vtg1 showed significantly lower induction in Sed 1 + Salt (198-fold) when compared to Sed 1 (500-fold), possibly as an indication of the difference in the fraction of EE2 taken up by the fish (Tukey’s HSD, P< 0.001). These may be considered consistent with differences in the partitioning and bioavailability of EE2 between static water and sediment exposures systems, based on ambient characteristics.
information regarding the gene-level response determined here in life-stage as a suitable screening model for xenoestrogenicity. The although the main focus of this study was to select and evaluate the their dynamics of effects, and most importantly, extrapolations used in environmental risk assessment. Introduction of the clearly estrogenic and the other steroidogenic ones, is suggested to give a animal testing. The reliability of gene level responses [40,41], including organism system compliant with regulatory mandates of vertebrate model presents an integrated line with alternative animal testing requirements, ELS bioassays are disruption [3,4,36], and reproduction [37] among other effects. As adult organisms, the fish has been established as a reliable and sensitive in investigating biological responses in development [35], endocrine among other teleosts, e.g. in Atlantic salmon [46], mangrove killifish steroid biosynthetic pathway can be a susceptible target because of its key enzymes, each capable of setting off a cascade of events. For instance, in adult zebrafish exposed to water-soluble fraction of crude oil, Arukwe et al. [13] demonstrated a negative relationship between altered steroidogenic responses and xenobiotic biotransformation processes. The same study showed down-regulation of important steroidogenic enzymes including star and cyp11a1, and up-regulation of hsd3b1 along with increasing contaminant level. Levi et al. [14] showed hepatic expression of star in non-vitellogenic female zebrafish. In the present study we found no change of star mRNA levels except some tendency for down-regulation relative to the control group at exposure levels 5 and 25 ng EE2 L⁻¹ (Figure 2a). Filby et al. [11] also showed down-regulation of star mRNA in adult male fathead minnow exposed to 10 ng EE2 L⁻¹ but no significant changes in exposed females. In vitro cultures of zebrafish ovarian follicles at different maturation stages exposed to human chorionic gonadotropin revealed an increase in expression of star, cyp11a1 and hsd3b1 with mature stages, in contrast with a decreasing pattern without exposure [12]. In this study, the mRNA levels of cyp11a1 and hsd3b1 were relatively lower for all exposure concentrations with lowest relative expression for 25 ng EE2 L⁻¹ at 59% and 45% respectively (Figure 2b). Lower expression levels of star, cyp11a1, and hsd3b1 in fish exposed to EE2, a potent agonist for estrogenic effects, suggest effective regulatory processes in effect. In comparison to three other transcripts, cyp19a1b showed a clear dose-specific up-regulation pattern. Filby et al. [11] that showed up-regulation of only cyp19a1b but not cyp19a1b in male fathead minnow exposed to EE2, possibly owing to the pronounced expression of the brain isoform (cyp19a1b) in earlier life-stages. The use of cyp19a1b signal has also been employed in transgenic zebrafish specifically as estrogenic-sensitive screens [44,45]. Because cyp19a1b is a key player in steroid biosynthesis at stage of 20dpzf, involved in conversion of androgen to estrogen, it qualified as a compelling signature of xenoestrogen-induced effects.

**Discussion**

Among aquatic vertebrates, the zebrafish has been widely applied in investigating biological responses in development [35], endocrine disruption [3,4,36], and reproduction [37] among other effects. As adult organisms, the fish has been established as a reliable and sensitive organism in studies of comparative toxicology. On the other hand, in line with alternative animal testing requirements, ELS bioassays are aimed to substitute more advanced stages for toxicity testing [37-39]. The feasibility to expose ELS includes a large sample size, not possible with adult fish. Moreover, an early in vivo model presents an integrated organism system compliant with regulatory mandates of vertebrate animal testing. The reliability of gene level responses [40,41], including estrogenic and the other steroidogenic ones, is suggested to give a mechanistic understanding on impacts of environmental chemicals, their dynamics of effects, and most importantly, extrapolations used in environmental risk assessment. Introduction of the 20dpZF clearly satisfied several scientific, practical, and cost-effective requirements, although the main focus of this study was to select and evaluate the life-stage as a suitable screening model for xenoestrogenicity. The information regarding the gene-level response determined here in water-based exposures could be compared to a sediment exposure carrying a potent xenoestrogen with substantial trait of hydrophobicity, EE2 (log Kow, 4.15) [42].

Exposure of zebrafish (20-25 dpf) to EE2, BPA, NP, and OP was used to evaluate regulation of cyp19a1b and vtg1 transcript levels. The choice of cyp19a1b as a core endpoint for this life-stage was determined from concentration-specific transcript abundance compared to other steroidogenic enzymes (star, cyp11a1 and hsd3b1; Figure 1). The presence of ERE in the promoter region of cyp19a1b, but absent on cyp19a1a, has been shown to explain the estrogen-responsiveness of cyp19a1b [7,18,43].

**Exposure I: Selection of steroidogenic endpoint for xenoestrogenicity**

The steroid biosynthetic pathway can be a susceptible target because of its key enzymes, each capable of setting off a cascade of events. For instance, in adult zebrafish exposed to water-soluble fraction of crude oil, Arukwe et al. [13] demonstrated a negative relationship between altered steroidogenic responses and xenobiotic biotransformation processes. The same study showed down-regulation of important steroidogenic enzymes including star and cyp11a1, and up-regulation of hsd3b1 along with increasing contaminant level. Levi et al. [14] showed hepatic expression of star in non-vitellogenic female zebrafish. In the present study we found no change of star mRNA levels except some tendency for down-regulation relative to the control group at exposure levels 5 and 25 ng EE2 L⁻¹ (Figure 2a). Filby et al. [11] also showed down-regulation of star mRNA in adult male fathead minnow exposed to 10 ng EE2 L⁻¹ but no significant changes in exposed females. In vitro cultures of zebrafish ovarian follicles at different maturation stages exposed to human chorionic gonadotropin revealed an increase in expression of star, cyp11a1 and hsd3b1 with mature stages, in contrast with a decreasing pattern without exposure [12]. In this study, the mRNA levels of cyp11a1 and hsd3b1 were relatively lower for all exposure concentrations with lowest relative expression for 25 ng EE2 L⁻¹ at 59% and 45% respectively (Figure 2b). Lower expression levels of star, cyp11a1, and hsd3b1 in fish exposed to EE2, a potent agonist for estrogenic effects, suggest effective regulatory processes in effect. In comparison to three other transcripts, cyp19a1b showed a clear dose-specific up-regulation pattern. Filby et al. [11] that showed up-regulation of only cyp19a1b but not cyp19a1b in male fathead minnow exposed to EE2, possibly owing to the pronounced expression of the brain isoform (cyp19a1b) in earlier life-stages. The use of cyp19a1b signal has also been employed in transgenic zebrafish specifically as estrogenic-sensitive screens [44,45]. Because cyp19a1b is a key player in steroid biosynthesis at stage of 20dpzf, involved in conversion of androgen to estrogen, it qualified as a compelling signature of xenoestrogen-induced effects.

**Exposure II: cyp19a1b and vtg1 mRNA regulation by four potential xenoestrogens**

In the present study, modulation of cyp19a1b and vtg1 mRNA expression was used as biomarker for estrogenic effect in the identified responsive ELS of zebrafish (Figure 3). Earlier, in mature adults, estrogen-mediated expression of cyp19a1b has been described among other teleosts, e.g. in Atlantic salmon [46], mangrove killifish [47], medaka [48], and zebrafish [18-20]. Expression of cyp19a1b in developing zebrafish (3-4dpf) was shown to be a sensitive indicator of estrogen-induced effects even earlier than 20dpzf [49]. Giving further support to our research, Muncke and Eggert [50] described the induction of vtg1 mRNA as a reliable endpoint for 1.5 dpf zebrafish, presenting alternatives for adult animal testing.
In 20dpZF, cyp19a1b was up-regulated in a concentration-dependent manner above 500µg BPA L⁻¹ (P<0.05) and vtg1 at 1000µg BPA L⁻¹ (P<0.05). While in adult fish the induction of Vtg has been documented well [4], post-hatched and early juvenile zebrafish exposed to BPA for 5 d induced vtg1 at higher concentrations, 2280µg BPA L⁻¹ [41]. Our results showed sensitivity of 20dpZF exposed to 1000µg BPA L⁻¹, comparable with adult male zebrafish exposed for three weeks that showed lowest observed effect concentration (LOEC) for vitellogenin protein induction for similar exposure concentration [4]. Thereby, the estrogenic responses of 20dpZF present a cost-effective life-stage compared to the adult fish as well as further developed metabolic capacity when compared to embryonic stages.

Unlike BPA, NP showed clear down-regulation of estrogenic response in 20dpZF. This is in contrast to significant effects observed in adult [4,53] as well as larval [54] stages of zebrafish and other small-bodied fish models, Japanese medaka [55] and fat head minnow [56]. However, other studies this far with zebrafish embryos exposed to NP showed no significant induction of vtg1 mRNA [36,41], indicating low sensitivity to the chemical. Our results confirmed the low sensitivity of juvenile life-stages to NP as shown by Jin et al. [36]. It also contrasts with the significant induction of aromatase by juvenile zebrafish (17dpf) exposed to NP for 3d [52]. In our study, the mRNA of both cyp19a1b and vtg1 were down-regulated. In essence, the observed discrepancies can be related to the difference in composition of the selected chemical. Previous studies [4,54] with NP included a technical grade of NP (CAS 84852-15-3); a mixture of isomers. In our study, the linear form of 4-n-NP (CAS 104-40-5) that is devoid of branched alkyl side-chains present in most of the isomers, showed slightly reduced estrogenic potency (relative to E2), compared to the higher efficacy of fish models. This is in contrast to significant effects observed 20dpZF exposed to similar exposure concentration [4].

Among the three alkylphenols in the present study, only OP significantly induced vtg1 in 20dpZF. Earlier studies with adult zebrafish showed the weak estrogenic potential of OP at similar concentrations as used by us [4]. Thus, considering the differences in sensitivity between
life stages, the dose-dependent induction of both vtg1 and cyp19a1b observed in 20dpfZF is indicative of a suitable window to predict xenoestrogenicity as the key biomarker. It presents a viable and cost-effective life-stage to assess all related chemicals with weak estrogenic potential. In all, from the exposure series with 20dpZF, a clear ranking of the estrogenic potency was obtained, with EE2 being the most potent agonist, followed by OP, BPA, and 4-n-NP in that order.

**Exposure III: cyp19a1b and vtg1 mRNA regulation by sediment spiked with EE2**

Gene induction data from the sediment assay with spiked EE2 indicated applicability and distinct sensitivity of the 20dpZF in *in vivo* bioassays (Figure 4a and 4b). Due to its physicochemical properties (log \(K_{ow}=4.13\); log \(K_{oc}=3.8\); sorption constant, \(1/n = 0.83\); sorption coefficient, \(\log K_S = 1.72\)) [42], EE2 was understood to have sorbed to the sediment that consequently acts as a sink for the sediment-water interactions. While the vtg1 gene expression as shown in Exposure I (Figure 3) indicating the pronounced potency of EE2 even at 50 ng L\(^{-1}\) to produce nearly 1400-fold change, a reduced effect was seen in the water-borne exposure to 150 ng EE2 L\(^{-1}\), the positive control (Figure 4b). This can be explained by the static nature of the water-only exposure without renewal of media. Thereby the exposure via water in the static system would result in decline of available EE2 in water phase. Thus the exposure scenario was probably different. In the sediment acting as a sink, thereby partitioning EE2 to water phase to maintain the concentrations towards the sediment-water equilibrium of EE2, was taken up by the fish. Of the two biomarkers, vtg1 was more sensitive than cyp19a1b to sedimental EE2 and indicative even of some influence of electrolyte content in overlying water on the availability of EE2 (Figure 4a and 4b). Mechanistically, as EE2 in Sed 1+ Salt exposure was sorbed to the sediment matrix to a greater extent than in Sed 1, the lesser EE2 content in the aqueous phase consequently elicited a lower induction of vtg1 (Figure 4b). Considering the same sediment characteristics (TOC %, particle size, spiking, sample preparation) for both samples with the sole exception of overlying water quality (Sed 1+Salt; 0.3% NaCl, 107 mg CaCO\(_3\) L\(^{-1}\)), this explanation is consistent with studies on the effect of salinity on the higher or lower sorption of EE2 to sediment as shown by Lai et al. [42]. Although, the role of electrolyte content on bioavailability of EE2 can be empirically verified with wide ranges of salinity and hardness levels, this was outside the scope of our study. Moreover, the difference in overlying water was included in our study only to determine variation in biomarker response because E3 salts are generally added in ELS rearing media. While the current trials to assay sediment with 20dpZFW were first ones of its kind, we did not analyze the dynamics of EE2 content in either compartment. Anyways, in presenting expected responses, the relevancy of 20dpZF in sediment bioassay does exist. For further goals, data generated with 20dpZF can be employed to study various joint effects of multi-component xenoestrogens.

**Choice of suitable developmental stage of zebrafish for evaluation of estrogenicity**

Maturity is not the only important life-stage for reproductive success and population maintenance. In support of the relevance of ELS in manifestation of toxicity, age-specific developmental factors, including chorion-permeability and the nutrition by yolk associated with embryonic life as well as post-hatched eleuthero embryos, define important ontogenic stages. There is a common notion that newly post-hatched embryos are the most or equally sensitive life-stage of oviparous fishes to environmental chemicals [19,37,59]. This said, the rule includes several minor exceptions, which in part directed our study to the early post-yolk stages of zebrafish. While several range-finding exposures with various ages of zebrafish to xenoestrogens were examined at large sub-lethal range, a decision was made to focus on 20dpZF based on the survivorship as well as consistency of response levels of genomic regulation associated with the life stage. Furthermore, the combined advantages of an ELS that is both free-swimming and free-feeding (Figure 5b), but also cost-effective in terms of husbandry efforts compared to later or adult stages. The life stage was found to be practical and responsive to different media, including here the exposure to EE2-spiked sediment as a contact assay (Figures 5b and 5c).

Regarding xenoestrogenicity of chemicals, the time frame for ontogenic determination of the phenotype in juvenile life can be considered significant. In zebrafish, an undifferentiated gonochorist, ovary-type gonad in the juvenile hermaphrodite stage (21 dpf) is transformed into distinct sex-specific mature gonads (42 dpf), leading to phenotypic sex differentiation [60]. At 20-25 dpf, the fish are developmentally more advanced than embryonic stages. However, the possibility of detecting signals from presumptive females from a pool of samples fish is addressed with normalized gene expression quantified relative to control treatments. With as large a sample size (15-25 fish) as in this study, statistically significant difference of estrogenic gene expression between exposures highlights the reliable detection of a genomic signal. Advancement in existing estrogen-sensitive screening has employed the induction of green fluorescent protein expression in transgenic zebrafish, cyp19a1b-GFP [44,45] and ere-zvtg1:GFP [61], pointing to non-lethal alternatives of animal testing. Chen et al. [61] showed GFP induction in transgenic zebrafish represented by less than 25% of the exposed fish. Sex differentiation in fish, known to be controlled by various environmental as well as of endogenous (stereoidogenic) factors, is supported by the role of sex-steroids on differentiation and phenotypic outcome [62]. More specifically, the modulation of aromatase and vitellogenin, both key players in the onset of endogenous estrogen action, can be directly linked to gonadal sex differentiation from endocrine disruptors [63,64]. The choice of a labile life-stage taking into consideration such biological events, directs to the 20dpZF as a suitable ELS model for investigating endocrine modulation.

In conclusion, we documented that the post-embryonic (20dpZF) zebrafish was a potent life-stage using transcripts of cyp19a1b and vtg1 as endpoints to assess xenoestrogenicity of chemicals. The RT-PCR presented analytical tools to evaluate xenoestrogenic modulation in a relatively quick approach. The 20dpZF life-stage provided for cost-effective maintenance and experimentation (compared to adult fish), and responded to four model estrogens with varying potencies characterized by corresponding induction patterns. The results of this study recommend the selection of 20dpZF as a representative life-stage for use in ongoing and further studies, including exposures to water-sediment interactions and mixtures of environmental chemicals.
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1. Chemical analysis of water samples

1.1 Solid-phase extraction (SPE) of water samples
Briefly, Oasis SPE cartridges (Oasis HLB, 3cc, 60 mg) were conditioned with 3 mL methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE), subsequently rinsed with 3 mL methanol and 3 mL ultrapure water. Water samples (1000 mL), containing internal standard (d4-EE2), were acidified to pH 3 before loading onto the cartridges. The extraction was managed as a drop-wise outflow, where after the cartridge was re-equilibrated with 3 mL ultrapure water. The elution solvent, 10% MeOH in MTBE (3×2 mL), was added and allowed to react with the analytes on the sorbents and ultimately eluted into collection tubes. The contents were evaporated to dryness while tubes were immersed in a water bath (ca. 50 °C) under a gentle nitrogen flow. Samples were reconstituted in 200 μL 50:50 (v/v) acetonitrile: 2.5 mM ammonium acetate in water (pH 3).

1.2 GC-MS analysis of EE2
The dried residues were treated (silylated) with 50 μL BSTFA (+1%TMCS) and 50 μL pyridine, incubated at 70 °C for 30 min, where after cooled and evaporated to dryness with nitrogen stream. Finally, hexane (100 μL) was added, followed by transferring the contents to auto sampler vials for the GC–MS, performed with HP 6890 gas chromatograph (Hewlett-Packard, Walbronn, Germany) equipped with HP 5973 mass selective detector (Hewlett-Packard, Palo Alto, CA, USA). A HP-5 capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μm) was used with helium as the carrier gas by a rate of 0.9 mL min–1. The column temperature was programmed to increase from 120 °C to 190 °C at the rate of 8 °C min–1, 190 °C to 240 °C at 2 °C min–1, 240 °C to 280 °C at 10 °C min–1 (maintained for 8 min), and 280 °C to 290 °C at 10 °C min–1 (maintained for 20 min). The MS was operated in selective ion monitoring mode, and identification was made based on retention time and mass spectra of pure standards.

1.3 LC-MS/MS analysis of BPA, NP and OP
Separation with a reverse phase C18 column (Waters XBridge 3.5 μm, 2.1×100 mm with 3.5 μm,2.1×10 mm guard column) was performed with Waters Alliance 2795 (MA, USA) LC consisting of tertiary pump, vacuum degasser, auto sampler and column oven. The column temperature was set to 30 °C and that of auto sampler to 20 °C. The injection volume was 20 μL. The determination of target compounds was performed in negative ion electrospray mode (ESI-) and a Quattro Micro triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (MS/MS) (Waters, MA, USA) with
electrospray interface was used as detector. The mobile phases consisted of MeOH (A) and distilled water (B) with a flow of 0.2 mL min\(^{-1}\). The gradient of A was raised to 100% over 10 min, phase proportion maintained for 8 min (10-18 min), and lowered back to 60 % over 2 min. The column was equilibrated for three min (20-23 min) prior to the next injection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Fw</th>
<th>Precursor ion m/z</th>
<th>Product ions m/z</th>
<th>Cone voltage (V)</th>
<th>Collision energy (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BPA</td>
<td>228.3</td>
<td>227.1</td>
<td>133.1, 212.0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d16-BPA(IS)</td>
<td>244.06</td>
<td>241.1</td>
<td>142.1, 223.1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>220.4</td>
<td>219.3</td>
<td>106.0, 118.7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP</td>
<td>206.3</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S1**: Acquisition parameters of mass spectrometry for target compounds and corresponding internal standard (IS; d16-BPA) used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer sequences (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Size (bp)</th>
<th>Genbank accession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β-actin</td>
<td>Forward-AAGAGCTATGAGCTGCCTGA</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>AF057040.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse-ACCGCAAGATTCATACCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyp9alb</td>
<td>Forward-TGGAGCAGCTGATAGACAG</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>AF226619.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse-CACAACGCAATGGCTGGAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>efla</td>
<td>Forward-AAACATGGGCTGGTCAAGG</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>AY422992.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse-ATGGCATCAAGGGCATCAAG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyplal</td>
<td>Forward-GCCTGGAAGACTGATAGATGA</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>AF527755.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse-GTTGACACGAGCCACAAAGT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>star</td>
<td>Forward-TGTAAGGGCTGAGAGATGG</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>NM_131663.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse-TACTCGGTGATGACAGTT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vtgl</td>
<td>Forward-GCTTTGCATTATCCACATTC</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>NM_001044897.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse-GCTCTGCTGTAACGCTAACT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsd3bl</td>
<td>Forward-AGCCCATTTCTGCCCATCTTT</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>AY279108.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse-CCATGGTCTAGCTGGCTATT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S2**: Primer pair sequences, amplicon sizes, and accession numbers for genes investigated in this study (β-actin, beta-actin; efla, elongation factor 1a; vtgl, vitellogenin isoform 1; cyp19a1b, brain aromatase; star, steroidogenic acute regulatory protein; cyp11a1, P450 side chain cleavage; hsd3b1, 3-beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase). Note the primer design for VTG1 was custom ordered from Sigma-Aldrich.
Table S3: Nominal and measured concentrations of EE2, BPA and OP in exposure series I and II. Measured concentrations (mean ± sd) were determined for exposure samples collected at two time points (days 1 and 4) along the five-day exposure period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Nominal</th>
<th>Measured</th>
<th>% Nominal</th>
<th>Nominal</th>
<th>Measured</th>
<th>% Nominal</th>
<th>Nominal</th>
<th>Measured</th>
<th>% Nominal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EE2 (ng L⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BPA (μg L⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OP (μg L⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>92 ± 11</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>10.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>410 ± 40</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20.2 ± 8.0</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>28.3 ± 6.4</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>808 ± 307</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>45.2 ± 8.7</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure S1: Survival plot of 20dpfZF exposed to xenoestrogens in Exposure I. The data represent mean of three replicates per experimental and control groups each containing n = 25 fish. Note experimental groups exposed to OP (50 and 100 μg L⁻¹) and EE2 (50 ng L⁻¹) showed mortality (%) at 18, 32 and 15 respectively.