Using HLA-A2.1 Transgenic Rabbit Model to Screen and Characterize New HLA-A2.1 Restricted Epitope DNA Vaccines
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Abstract

We have established an HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbit/cottontail rabbit papillomavirus (CRPV) infection model. Using this novel transgenic animal model, we reported earlier that a multivalent epotope DNA vaccine (CRPVE1ep1-5) containing five HLA-A2.1 restricted epitopes from CRPVE1 (42-50, 149-157, 161-169, 245-253 and 303-311) was successful in providing strong and specific protective and therapeutic immunity. Among these five epitopes, two (161-169 and 303-311) have been proven to stimulate strong immunity in both HLA-A2.1 transgenic mouse and rabbit models. In the current study, we further identified the remaining three epitopes (CRPVE1/42-50, 149-157, 245-253) in both animal models. CRPVE1/149-157 was able to induce specific CTL responses in HLA-A2.1 transgenic mice by DNA immunization but undetectable by peptide immunization. CRPVE1/42-50 and 245-253 failed to respond in HLA-A2.1 transgenic mice either by peptide or DNA immunization. All the three epitopes when administrated as DNA vaccines, however, were able to stimulate strong protective immunity in HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits in a dose dependent manner. Among the five epitopes, two (CRPVE1/303-311 and CRPVE1/149-157) DNA vaccines also showed specific therapeutic effects in CRPV-infected HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits. Taken together, the HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbit model recognized more epitopes than did the HLA-A2.1 transgenic mouse model. Our data demonstrate that the HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbit model can complement the HLA-A2.1 transgenic mouse model for the development and testing of new HLA-A2.1 restricted prophylactic and therapeutic T cell based DNA vaccines.
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Introduction

HLA-A2.1 is a prevalent human MHC-I molecule [1,2]. Many well characterized HLA-A2.1 restricted epitopes have been tested for their therapeutic effects for viral infection or tumor formation in the HLA-A2.1 transgenic mouse (HHD) model [3-6]. Mouse models, however, show limited susceptibility to certain human pathogens such as ocular HSV-1, HTLV-1, tuberculosis and syphilis for which rabbits are susceptible [7-9]. Our recently established HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbit thus provides an excellent host to test the immunogenicity of different epitope vaccines from these pathogens to compensate for certain limitations of the HHD mouse model [10, 11].

Human papillomaviruses (HPVs) are small DNA tumor viruses, some of which induce malignancy in genital, anal, head and neck and also skin tissues [12]. The viruses show high species specificity and thus no animal model is available to study HPV infection in vivo [13-15]. In addition, no laboratory rodent papillomavirus model has been reported to date. We and others have used the cottontail rabbit papillomavirus (CRPV) / rabbit model as a surrogate model for high-risk HPV infections in the human population [15-18].

In previous studies, we used online MHC-I epotope prediction software to identify and screen five HLA-A2.1 restricted epitopes from CRPVE1 and to generate a multivalent epotope DNA vaccine for in vivo testing [10]. This multivalent DNA vaccine provided complete protection and strong therapeutic effect against CRPV infection with a single booster immunization [19]. Our further studies also demonstrated that two (CRPVE1/161-169, 303-311) of these five epitopes could stimulate detectable specific immune responses in HHD mice upon peptide immunization and promoted strong protective and therapeutic immune responses in HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits [20].

In the current study, we further tested the remaining three epitopes (CRPVE1/42-50, 149-157, 245-253) using the two HLA-A2.1 transgenic models and summarized the studies from these five CRPVE1 epitopes for the immunogenicity and protective immunity following peptide or DNA vaccinations. Three (CRPVE1/161-169, 303-311 and 149-157) out of five epitopes were immunogenic when tested in HHD mice by peptide and DNA vaccination. However, all five epitope DNA vaccines provided strong and specific protective immunity in HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits. This latter finding indicates that some epitopes that showed specific protective immunity in rabbits would have been missed had we analyzed their responses using only the HHD mouse model for screening. Partial therapeutic immunity was also induced by CRPVE1/149-157 in addition to CRPVE1/303-311 epitope DNA vaccination in HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits which was impossible to test in the HHD mice [20]. Our data demonstrate that while a correlation between these two transgenic animal models was found, HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits are more advantageous for screening and testing new protective and therapeutic DNA vaccines in vivo in a natural papillomavirus/host model.
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Material and Methods

Animals

Rabbits expressing the HLA-A2.1 transgene were either bred with New Zealand White rabbits purchased commercially (outbred background) or EII/JC inbred rabbits (inbred background). The HLA-A2.1 transgenic (HHD) mice were a kind gift from Dr. Francois Lemmonier (the Institut Pasteur) [21] and bred in our animal core facility. All the animals were maintained in the animal facilities of the Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine. All animal care and handling procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine. Human genomic HLA-A2.1 DNA (a generous gift from Dr. Victor Engelhard) with the human promoter was randomly integrated into rabbit chromosomes by microinjection to generate transgenic rabbits as described previously [10]. The expression of HLA-A2.1 on rabbit cell surface was confirmed with immunohistochemistry or fluorescent flow cytometry analysis. The HLA-A2.1 gene has been stably passed on to EII/JC inbred offspring for ten generations without diminishing expression level [11].

Peptide and DNA immunization in HLA-A2.1 transgenic (HHD) mice

Five HLA-A2.1 restricted epitope peptides from CRPVE1 [42-50 (SLIDDDTD QV), 149-157(ILNATARYV), 161-169 (LLFQRQASHV), 245-253 (ALLSGLLGV) and 303-311 (MLIQKPFQL)], as well as HBV core T helper peptide (TPPAYRPPNAPIL) were synthesized in the core facility of Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine.

CRPVE1/42-50, 149-157, 161-169, 245-253 and 303-311, and HPV16E7/82-90 epitope DNA vaccines were synthesized by GenScript (NJ, USA) and subsequently cloned into an expression vector PCX as described previously [10]. The ubiquitin motif A76 cloned into PCX (identifed as Ub3) and HPV16E7/82-90 DNA vaccine were used as controls in some of the experiments [20].

For peptide immunization, the peptides were diluted into 1×PBS buffer (4 mg/ml containing 5% DMSO). HBV core T helper peptide was diluted into 1× PBS buffer (5.6 mg/ml containing 5% DMSO). Each peptide was mixed with HBV core T helper peptide and emulsified into 1×PBS buffer (4 mg/ml containing 5% DMSO). HBV core T helper peptide (TPPAYRPPNAPIL) were synthesized in the core facility of Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine.

For protective vaccination, groups of rabbits were immunized twice with 24 shots of test E1 epitopes or a control DNA vaccine (Ub3 or HPV16E7/82-90) respectively according to our previously published methods [10]. Rabbits immunized twice with 12 shots of DNA vaccines were identified as having half-dose immunization. The immunized animals were subsequently challenged with Hershey CRPV DNA (identified as wild type CRPV, wtCRPV) and an E6/ E7 codon-modified DNA (identified as coCRPV) at four left and four right back sites respectively (5μg construct/site) at one week after the booster immunization [19]. The rationale for the additional challenge with coCRPV genomes was that these genomes grow more rapidly and present a greater vaccine challenge for the immunized rabbits [19].

For therapeutic experiments, rabbits were challenged with wtCRPV DNA and a wtCRPV DNA with E8ATGko mutant at four left and four right back sites respectively (5μg construct/site). The rationale for including the CRPVE8ATGko mutant [24] in this study was that this latter genome produced slow-growing, small papillomas that could represent a smaller tumor burden for the therapeutic vaccine because skin tumors are very difficult to resolve. Four weeks following viral DNA challenge, the rabbits were immunized with 20μg of test E1 epitopes or control vaccine respectively for three times at three-week intervals.

Bulk CTL generation in vitro

Spleen cells were harvested from either peptide immunized HHD mice or DNA vaccinated HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits. The splenocytes were stimulated in vitro weekly with gamma irradiated either corresponding peptide pulsed dendritic cells or autologous spleen or fibroblast cells for two times respectively as described in previous studies [10, 22]. The bulk CTLs were then used for tetramer binding assay, intracellular cytokine release assay and chromium release assay.

Tetramer binding assay

Cultured bulk CTLs from both HHD mice and HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits were labeled with species-appropriate FITC conjugated anti-mouse CD8 (eBioscience) or FITC conjugated anti-rabbit CD8 (Fitzgerald Inc.) respectively and then reacted with specific PE conjugated tetramers (synthesized by the tetramer core facility of the National Institute of Health). Two-color flow cytometry analysis was used for detecting specific tetramer binding CD8 T cells on FSCAN II (BD) at the core facility of Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine [10].

Intracellular cytokine assay

Because anti-rabbit interferon gamma is not available commercially, this assay was conducted only on HHD mouse CTLs. Bulk mouse CTLs were cultured in triplicate wells of a 96-well plate with 1μM peptide (either test E1 peptides or a reference peptide HIVGagP17/77-85) and 1μM Brefeldin A (Sigma) at 37°C for 3-4 hours. The cells were then labeled with FITC conjugated anti-mouse CD8 (eBioscience) and PE conjugated anti-mouse interferon gamma (eBioscience) and analyzed by two-color flow cytometry at the core facility of Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine as described previously [10].
Results

CTL generation in HHD mice by peptide immunization

Our previous study demonstrated that a successful immune response could be stimulated by CRPVE1/161-169 and 303-311 peptide immunizations of HHD mice [20]. Using the same strategy, we immunized two mice with CRPVE1/245-253, 42-50 and 149-157 peptides twice with a two-week interval between immunizations respectively. Mice immunized with HPV16E7/82-90 peptide were used as positive control. The spleens were harvested one week after the booster immunization and cultured in vitro with gamma-irradiated peptide-pulsed mouse dendritic cells prepared as described [27]. After two in vitro stimulations, the cultured bulk CTLs from HLA-A2.1 transgenic mice were tested for the generation of specific CD8 T cells using synthesized tetramers. Consistent with previous studies, HPV16E7/82-90 peptide immunized mice generated specific tetramer positive CD8 T cells. However, all the test peptide immunized HHD mice failed to generate specific tetramer positive CD8 T cells (data not shown). Since we could not determine if the failure of tetramer binding was due to dysfunctional tetramers or unresponsive bulk CTLs for these two epitopes, we further conducted an IFNγ assay to examine these bulk CTLs.

The bulk CTLs were then examined for intracellular interferon gamma (IFNγ) levels [22]. Significantly more IFNγ secreting CD8 T cells were found in the mice immunized with HPV16E7/82-90 peptide. Very low but significantly more IFNγ secreting CD8 T cells were generated in CRPVE1/149-157 peptide immunized mice (Figure 1A, P<0.05, unpaired student t test). No significant IFNγ secreting CD8 T cells were found in the mice immunized with the remaining two peptides (Figure 1A, P>0.05, unpaired student t test).

CTL generation in HHD mice by DNA immunization

DNA vaccine delivered by gene-gun has been demonstrated to stimulate the most potent immune response in the mouse [28]. We therefore wanted to test whether DNA vaccination could augment the immune response of the three low or non-responsive epitopes in HHD mice. DNA vaccines for these epitopes were designed and

Figure 1: Peptide and epitope DNA vaccine immunized HHD mice generated specific tetramer binding CD8 T cells that secreted intracellular interferon gamma (IFNγ) after in vitro culture. The spleen cells harvested from CRPVE1/149-157, 42-50, 245-253 and HPV16E7/82-90 peptide (A) or epitope DNA vaccinated mice (B and C) were stimulated with corresponding peptide pulsed mouse dendritic cells weekly twice. These bulk CTLs were then tested for (A) Intracellular IFNγ labeling for peptide vaccination, (B) tetramer binding and (C) Intracellular IFNγ labeling for DNA vaccination. A) A very low but significant population of specific CD8 T cells secreting IFNγ in CRPVE1/149-157 peptide immunization was found (P<0.05, unpaired student t test) and no detectable IFNγ secreting CD8 T cells were found from either CRPVE1/142-50 or CRPVE1/245-253 peptide immunized HHD mice. B) Significantly more specific CD8 T cell binding to CRPVE1/149-157 and CRPVE1/142-50 tetramer was found in epitope DNA vaccinated HHD mice respectively (P<0.05, unpaired student t test). C) Significantly more IFNγ secreting CD8 T cells were also found in CRPVE1/149-157 epitope DNA vaccinated mice to corresponding peptide stimulation when compared with a reference peptide (P<0.05, unpaired student t test) but not in the other two epitope DNA vaccinated groups (N=2/ group).
synthesized as previously described [10]. Two immunizations with six shots for each HHD mouse were applied to abdomen skin sites and spleen cells were harvested one week after the booster immunization. The spleen cells were subsequently cultured as previously described [22]. After two in vitro stimulations, the splenocytes from HLA-A2.1 transgenic mice were tested for the generation of specific CD8 T cells using synthesized tetramers. Significantly more tetramer positive CD8 T cells specific to CRPVE1/149-157 and to CRPVE1/42-50 were found with much higher responses detected for CRPVE1/149-157; there was no response to CRPVE1/245-253 (Figure 1B, P<0.01, P<0.05 and P>0.05 respectively, unpaired student t test).

The bulk T cells were then examined for intracellular IFNγ levels. A significant population of IFNγ secreting CD8 T cells was found for the mice immunized with 149-157 epitope DNA when compared to control peptides (Figure 1C, P<0.01, unpaired student t test). No significant population of IFNγ secreting CD8 T cells was found in CRPVE1/42-50 and CRPVE1/245-253 epitope DNA immunized mice (Figure 1C, P>0.05, unpaired student t test). Therefore, DNA immunization stimulated a stronger immune response when compared with peptide immunization for CRPVE1/149-157 epitope but not for CRPVE1/42-50 and CRPVE1/245-253 epitopes.

CTL generation in the HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbit after DNA immunization

Next, we wanted to test whether any of the five epitopes could stimulate specific CTLs in HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits. Two HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits per epitope were immunized with CRPVE1/161-169, CRPVE1/303-311, CRPVE1/149-157, CRPVE1/42-50 or CRPVE1/245-253 epitope DNA vaccines twice with a three-week interval between the vaccinations [19]. The rabbit spleen cells and sera were harvested; and spleen cells were stimulated with autologous spleen or fibroblast cells pulsed with peptides. After two in vitro stimulations, the bulk CTLs were examined for tetramer binding. CTLs from CRPVE1/161-169 immunized HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits showed significant levels of specific tetramer binding while the others failed to show any responses (Data not shown). The specific killing by rabbit CTLs was conducted by 51 chromium release assay because anti-rabbit IFNγ antibody was not available commercially to conduct the intracellular cytokine release assay. At the same time, CTLs cultured from CRPVE1/161-169 and CRPVE1/303 peptide immunized HHD mice were used as positive controls. These mouse CTLs have been demonstrated to generate significantly higher levels of tetramer and IFNγ specific CD8 T cells in our previous study [20]. Consistent with those data, both mouse CTLs were able to kill corresponding peptide pulsed target cells by chromium release assay (Figure 2A and 2B, P<0.05, unpaired student t test). These two epitope DNA vaccinated HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits also showed comparative specific killing to their specific peptide labeled target cells as detected by the chromium release assay (Figure 2C and D, P<0.05, unpaired student t test). Rabbits vaccinated with the other three DNA epitope

Figure 2: CRPVE1/161-169 and CRPVE1/303-311 peptide vaccinated HHD mice (A and B) and DNA vaccinated HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits (C and D) generated specific cytotoxic T cells. 51Chromium release assay were conducted on the bulk CTLs of these two epitope peptide immunized HHD mice or DNA vaccinated HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits in vitro as shown in materials and methods. A) Significantly higher levels of specific killing were found in cultures of HHD mice cells against T2 cells pulsed with CRPVE1/161-169 (A) peptides vs. T2 cells pulsed with CRPVE1/303-311 (P<0.05, unpaired student t test) following vaccinated with CRPVE1/161-169 or vice versa (B). Similar results were found from rabbit cells against T2 cells pulsed with CRPVE1/161-169 peptides vs. T2 cells pulsed with CRPVE1/303-311 (P<0.05, unpaired student t test) following vaccination with CRPVE1/161-169 epitope DNA vaccine (C) and vice versa (D). The data were representative of three individual rabbits. CRPVE1/303-311 epitope vaccinated animals generated relatively higher levels of specific killing CTLs when compared with CRPVE1/161-169 epitope vaccinated animals. Other three epitopes (CRPVE1/245-253, 42-50, 149-157) were unresponsive for this test (data not shown).

Protective immunity by epitope DNA vaccination in outbred HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits.

The CRPV/rabbit model is an in vivo infection model that allows us to test the host immunity against the development of CRPV-induced papillomas. Our previous study has demonstrated strong protective immunity in both CRPVE1/303-311 and CRPVE1/161-169 DNA vaccinated HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits [20]. Our next goal was to test if any or all of the three remaining epitope DNA vaccines could stimulate specific protective immunity in HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits. HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits were divided into four groups and vaccinated with the three DNA vaccines and a control vaccine (HPV16E7/82-90) respectively (Table 1). After a single booster immunization, the rabbits were challenged with wtCRPV and a more vigorous mutant CRPV with E6/E7 codon modified CRPV (coCRPV) at left and right back sites respectively. Tumor development was monitored weekly until week 12. Three out of four rabbits from the CRPVE1/245-253 and CRPVE1/42-50 groups and, and three out of three rabbits from the CRPVE1/149-157 group were protected from both wt and coCRPV DNA challenge while no rabbits from the control group (HPV16E7/82-90) were protected (Table 1). Taken together, all three together with previous identified two epitope DNA vaccines provided partial to complete protective immunity in HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits (Figure 3, Table 1, P<0.05, Fisher’s exact test).

Because of the strong immunity generated by these DNA vaccines, we wanted to test if a reduced dose of the vaccines would still be effective. Four HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits were immunized with half doses (12 shots) of the five epitope DNA vaccines (CRPVE1/42-50,149-157,161-169, 245-253 and 303-311) or HPV16E7/82-90 epitope DNA vaccine respectively. Two out of four rabbits immunized with either CRPVE1/149-157 or CRPVE1/303-311 epitope DNA were protected from both wtCRPV and coCRPV DNA infection (Table 2). One out of four rabbits immunized with CRPVE1/42-50 was protected while no protection was found in the CRPVE1/245-253, CRPVE1/161-169 or the HPV16E7/82-90 epitope DNA vaccine control groups (Figure 4A and B, Table 2, P>0.05, Fisher’s exact test). These results show that the threshold of DNA vaccines needed to generate effective protective immunity is dose-dependent.

Cross reaction was found in normal (non HLA A 2.1) EIII/JC inbred rabbits vaccinated with 42-50 epitope DNA vaccines

In a previous study, we noticed a possible cross-reactivity of CRPVE1/303-311 but not CRPVE1/161-169 epitope vaccine when presented by EIII/JC inbred HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits [20]. To identify whether any of the three remaining epitopes would show similar cross-reactivity, 4 groups of normal EIII/JC inbred rabbits were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccine (rabbit numbers)</th>
<th>Papilloma sites</th>
<th>Protected sites</th>
<th>Protection rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRPVE1/161-169 (N=8)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24/32 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRPVE1/303-311 (N=5)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20/20 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRPVE1/245-253 (N=4)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12/12 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRPVE1/42-50 (N=4)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12/16 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPV16E7/82-90 (N=4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12/12 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.02 and *P<0.01 vs. HPV16E7/82-90 group, Fisher’s exact test

Table 1: Protective immunity induced by full-dose (24 shots) epitope DNA vaccination in outbred HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccine (rabbit numbers)</th>
<th>Papilloma sites</th>
<th>Protected sites</th>
<th>Protection rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRPVE1/161-169 (N=4)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/16 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRPVE1/303-311 (N=4)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11/16 (69%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRPVE1/245-253 (N=4)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/16 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRPVE1/42-50 (N=4)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4/16 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPV16E7/82-90 (N=4)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/16 (0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.01 and *P<0.02 vs. HPV16E7/82-90 group, Fisher’s exact test

Table 2: Protective immunity induced by half-dose (12 shots) epitope DNA vaccination in outbred HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits.

vaccines failed to generate detectable specific CTLs (data not shown). Therefore, two (CRPVE1/161-169 and CRPVE1/303-311) out of the five HLA-A2.1 restricted E1 epitope DNA immunized HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits were capable of inducing specific cytotoxic T cells after in vitro stimulations.

Table 3: Protective immunity induced by epitope DNA vaccination in non-transgenic (non-HLA-A2.1) EIII/JC inbred rabbits vaccinated with 42-50 epitope DNA vaccines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccine (rabbit numbers)</th>
<th>Papilloma sites</th>
<th>Protected sites</th>
<th>Protection rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRPVE1/161-169 (N=6)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/24 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRPVE1/42-50 (N=6)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4/24 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRPVE1/149-157 (N=6)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/24 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPV16E7/82-90 (N=6)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/16 (0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05 vs. HPV16E7/82-90 group, Fisher’s exact test

Table 3: Protective immunity induced by epitope DNA vaccination in normal (non-transgenic) EIII/JC inbred rabbits.
Figure 4: Papilloma outgrowth in HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits after half-dose of CRPVE1/161-169, 245-253, 42-50, 303-311, 149-157 or HPV16E7/82-90 epitope DNA vaccination. Four HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits immunized with half-dose (6 shots/ear instead of 12 shots for normal immunization) each of the five epitope or an HPV16E7/82-90 epitope DNA vaccine were challenged with wtCRPV and coCRPV at four left and right back sites respectively. Significantly smaller papillomas were found in CRPVE1/303-311, 149-157 and 42-50 epitope DNA vaccinated rabbits when compared with those in other three epitope DNA and HPV16E7/82-90 vaccinated rabbits challenged with both wtCRPV DNA (A) and coCRPV DNA (B) (P<0.01 vs. control group, unpaired student t test). No significant difference was found between these two CRPVE1/245-253, CRPVE1/161-169 and HPV16E7/82-90 epitope vaccinated rabbits (P>0.05, unpaired student t test).

Figure 5: Papilloma outgrowth in normal EIII/JC inbred rabbits after CRPVE1/161-169, 303-311, 245-253, 42-50, 149-157 or HPV16E7/82-90 epitope DNA vaccination. Four normal EIII/JC inbred rabbits immunized with each of the five epitope or an HPV16E7/82-90 epitope DNA vaccine were challenged with wtCRPV and coCRPV at four left and right back sites respectively. Significantly smaller papillomas were found in CRPVE1/149-157 and 245-253 epitope DNA vaccinated rabbits when compared with those in other three epitope DNA and HPV16E7/82-90 epitope vaccinated rabbits challenged with both wtCRPV DNA (A) and coCRPV DNA (B) (P<0.01 vs. control group, unpaired student t test). No significant difference was found between these two CRPVE1/149-157, 245-253, 161-169 and HPV16E7/82-90 epitope vaccinated rabbits (P>0.05, unpaired student t test).

immunized with CRPVE1/42-50, 149-157, 245-253 or HPV16E7/82-90 as described in Table 3. One week after the final immunization, the rabbits were challenged with wtCRPV and coCRPV at the left and right back sites respectively. The papilloma outgrowth was monitored weekly at 3 weeks following DNA challenge. More challenge sites were protected against viral DNA challenge in CRPVE1/42-50 immunized groups but the difference was not significant (Table 3, P>0.05, Fisher’s exact test). However, both wt and coCRPV DNA-induced papilloma size was significantly smaller when compared with those in the other groups (Figure 5A and B, P<0.05, unpaired student t test). Slightly reduced size was also noticed in CRPVE1/149-157 immunized rabbits before week 10 in both wt and coCRPV DNA induced papillomas and no significant difference was found between CRPVE1/149-157 vs. control group after this time point (Figure 5A, B, P>0.05, unpaired student t test). Therefore, a weak cross-reactivity was found in normal EIII/JC inbred rabbits to the CRPVE1/42-50 and 303-311 epitopes but not to CRPVE1/149-157, 161-169 and 245-253 epitopes.

Therapeutic immunity was induced by CRPVE1/149-157 DNA vaccination

As CRPVE1/149-157 stimulated comparable protective immunity in HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits to that of CRPVE1/303-311, we next tested if this DNA vaccine would have a similar therapeutic effect. Experiments were done according to the availability of the animals. Two outbred and two EIII/JC inbred HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits were challenged with wtCRPV DNA and less vigorous mutant CRPV with E8ATG knock out (CRPVE8ATGko) (Table 4) [24] at four left and four right back sites. The rationale for including the CRPVE8ATGko mutant in this study was that this latter genome produced slow-growing, small papillomas that could represent a smaller tumor burden for the therapeutic vaccine because skin tumors are very difficult to resolve. One month following DNA infection, the rabbits were immunized with CRPVE1/149-157 or HPV16E7/82-90 DNA vaccines and boosted
twice at 3-week intervals. The animals were monitored weekly and papilloma sizes were recorded.

No significant difference in wild type CRPV induced papillomas (pooled papillomas from all sixteen challenged sites) was found between CRPVE1/149-157 and HPV16E7/82-90 vaccinated outbred rabbits (Figure 6A, P>0.05, unpaired student t test) while no significant change in papilloma size was found in these rabbits after vaccination with HPV16E7/82-90 epitope DNA vaccine (Table 4, P>0.05, unpaired student t test). Therefore, CRPVE1/149-157 epitope DNA vaccine stimulated a strong and specific therapeutic immunity in HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits.

Discussion

In this report, we carried out studies using both HLA-A2.1 transgenic mouse and rabbit models to screen and characterize three remaining HLA-A2.1 restricted epitopes from our previous work (CRPVE1/149-157, 149-157, 149-157) predicted by online MHC epitope prediction programs for immunogenicity and protective work (CRPVE1/149-157, 149-157, 149-157). Our initial hypothesis was that the epitopes would behave consistently between these two transgenic animal models. Among the five tested epitopes in present and previous studies, CRPVE1/161-169, 303-311 and 149-157 showed consistency between

these two models whereas the other two (CRPVE1/245-253 and 42-50) did not. In the latter case, immunogenicity was not shown in transgenic mice whereas the epitopes were able to induce strong protective immunity in HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits. Therefore, the HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbit model shows potential for the screening of epitopes that might be missed by evaluation in the HLA-A2.1 transgenic mouse model (summarized in Table 5).

The HLA-A2.1 transgenic mouse model has the advantage of reduced cost and a large panel of reagents (such as antibodies for the cytokine release assay and reagents for in vitro CTL stimulations) to test the immunogenicity of potential epitopes [29]. However, the mouse model system does not provide an effective in vivo infection model for several human pathogens such as viruses [HTLV-1/2, EBV-like virus, ocular HSV] and other diseases such as tuberculosis and syphilis [9]. In contrast, rabbits can be used as a surrogate infection model for human papillomaviruses as well as the above pathogens [8, 9, 30]. Our recent work demonstrated that the CRPV genome has a high capacity for modification without compromising its ability to induce papillomas in animals [18]. By generating hybrid and mutant genomes that are functional in the rabbits, we can test specific immunity of predicted epitopes for additional pathogens [10]. This model also has the potential to test tumor associated antigens that can be embedded into the CRPV genome. We have demonstrated here that our transgenic rabbit model system shows general agreement with the mouse model. However, the transgenic rabbit model provides increased in vivo sensitivity thus making it possible to measure the responses to epitopes that were not detected in the mouse system.

Peptide immunization has been shown to be an effective method for screening CTL epitopes in the mouse model [22]. One study compared different immunization methods and showed that DNA vaccine delivered by gene-gun actually stimulated the strongest immune response in mice [28]. Consistent with that finding, we demonstrated that CRPVE1/149-157 failed to stimulate strong specific CTLs in HLA-A2.1 transgenic mice when administered by peptide immunization but was able to elicit an immune response following DNA vaccination. Our recent study demonstrated that peptide immunization by mucosal routes (intranasal and ocular) was able to prime strong immunity in our HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits [31]. Therefore, the immunogenicity of a certain epitope relies not only on its composition but also on the delivery method [32]. Using the gene-gun delivery system for DNA vaccination in rabbits, we have achieved consistent protective immunity from experiment to experiment [20, 24, 33, 34]. In this study, it is also interesting to note that dose of immunization played an important role in outcome. In our previous study, we demonstrated that one booster immunization with a full dose (24 shots) was necessary to generate strong immune response in animals [19]. In the current study, we also tested whether two half dose (12 shots) immunizations could provide the same level of protection. Two of the five epitopes (CRPVE1/303-311 and 149-157) that provided complete protection by full dose immunizations now generated only sufficient immunity to protect half of the challenge sites. One (CRPVE1/42-50) of the remaining three epitopes generated protective immunity to only one fourth of the challenge sites and no protection whatsoever was found in rabbits immunized with half dose CRPVE1/161-169 and 245-253. Therefore, different epitopes had different thresholds of protection based on their immunogenicity. Taken together, our results indicate that several factors need to be taken into consideration when determining the immunogenicity of a given epitope.

Despite limited reagents to optimize in vitro stimulation and assays of CTLs generated in DNA vaccinated rabbits, we were able to demonstrate that one (CRPVE1/161-169) out of the five epitopes was capable of generating tetramer positive CTLs that killed specific target cells. CTLs from CRPVE1/303-311 immunized rabbits did not show good tetramer binding but were able to kill specific targets. The other three epitopes failed to stimulate specific CD8 T cells in vitro although they induced strong protective immunity in vivo. Recent studies have suggested that, for CD8+ T cells, qualitative parameters such as the ability to proliferate upon antigen encounter, whether the cells are poly-functional, and how sensitive they are to the antigen played a more important role than did quantitative parameters in eliciting strong immunity [35]. Large quantities of CTLs could contribute to replicative senescence and even irreversible exhaustion which would then fail to provide any protection to the host [35]. Regardless of the population of in vitro stimulated CTLs, CRPVE1/303-311 and 149-157 epitope DNA vaccines not only provided complete and specific protection but also a strong therapeutic effect in HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits. These findings suggest that even small and sometimes undetectable levels of highly functional CTLs can provide effective protection in vivo and further suggest that the results from an in vitro stimulation assay may not necessarily reflect what happens in vivo.

To compare the performance of these five epitopes based on in vitro and in vivo data in HLA-A2.1 transgenic mouse and rabbit model systems, we ranked epitopes from 1 (the strongest responders) to 4 (weakest responders). Non-responders and not tested samples are marked as NR and NT respectively (Table 5). HLA-A2.1 transgenic mouse in vitro and rabbit in vivo data showed agreement on certain strong epitopes such as CRPVE1/303-311 but not on relatively weak epitopes such as CRPVE1/245-253. Despite their inability to stimulate CTLs in HLA-A2.1 transgenic mice, these weak epitopes were still able to promote strong protective immunity in HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits. The difference in the MHC class I constitution of HHD mice and HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits might play an important role in the difference in immunogenicity displayed by the same epitope in these animals. In HHD mice, a chimeric MHCI is formed of HLA-A2.1 alpha 1 and alpha 2 domains combined with H-2Db alpha 3 domain covalently attached to human beta-2 microglobulin [36]. In the transgenic rabbits, a chimeric MHCI is formed of the whole human HLA-A2.1 heavy chain in combination with rabbit beta-2 microglobulin. The compatibility of the peptide/MHCI complex from these two transgenic animals might have an impact on the display of immunogenicity by an HLA-A2.1 restricted epitope. In addition, rabbits show higher genetic homology to humans, therefore this outbred HLA-A2.1 transgenic model is more relevant to the human situation. Taken together, the HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits can provide a valuable additional model system to test the immunogenicity of new epitopes in vivo for protective and therapeutic immunity.

Skin papillomas are usually difficult to resolve and no effective therapeutic vaccine is available for clinical use to date [15, 37-39]. It is intriguing that epitopes CRPVE1/303-311 and 149-157 showed potential therapeutic effects in HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits. A stronger therapeutic effect was also found against CRPV/EATGko challenge which generated smaller papillomas when compared to those generated by wild type CRPV especially in HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits with EII/JC inbred background. This finding was true for both epitope DNA vaccinations and is consistent with our previous reports [19]. Our previous studies have demonstrated that EII/JC inbred rabbits have distinct MHCI composition and showed higher
regression rates after CRPV infection when compared with outbred rabbits [23, 40]. CRPV/303-311 showed a cross reaction to normal EII/Jc inbred rabbits and therefore HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbits with EII/Jc inbred background would display added immunity in CRPV/303-311 DNA immunized animals [20]. This is not true for epitope CRPV/1/149-157 that did not show cross reaction in normal EII/Jc inbred rabbits. This finding suggests specific immunity by these epitope DNA vaccinations contributed to the regression of CRPVE8ATGko mutant induced papillomas.

To date, papillomavirus E1 has not been considered a good therapeutic candidate for cancer patients because its level is undetectable in cancer samples where papillomavirus DNA is normally integrated into the host genome [14]. However, E1 immunization has been reported to induce strongly protective immunity and/or papilloma regression [41-44]. E1 has also been tested for therapeutic purposes in previous studies [33, 45-47]. Our study further confirmed that a therapeutic effect could be achieved by E1 targeted immunization for early stage papillomas in rabbits. Previous studies also demonstrated the advantage of immunizing rabbits with multiple early genes [41, 43, 47]. Therefore, vaccines containing multivalent epitopes from different early and late genes may be a desirable extension of current therapeutic vaccines.

In summary, we have presented here a unique HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbit model system to test the immunogenicity of HLA-A2.1 restricted epitopes in vitro and in vivo. Although moderate consistency of epitope responsiveness between these two models was noted, the HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbit model is more sensitive in its ability to identify specific targets for protective and therapeutic purposes when compared with the HLA-A2.1 transgenic mouse model in this study. We anticipate that the HLA-A2.1 transgenic rabbit model will be valuable for the development of therapeutic vaccines for HPV and other rabbit susceptible human pathogens [37, 38].
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