

PROCOLE (Prognostic Colorectal Leakage): A New Prognostic Index to Predict the Risk of Anastomotic Leak in Colorectal Cancer Surgery

Domenech Pina E^{1*}, Romero Simo M¹, Rojas Machado SA¹, Arroyo Sebastian A² and Calpena R²

¹Servicio de Cirugía General y del Aparato Digestivo, Hospital General Universitario de Alicante (HGUA), Alicante, Spain

²Servicio de Cirugía General y del Aparato Digestivo, Hospital General Universitario de Elche, Elche, Spain

*Corresponding author: Domenech Pina E, Servicio de Cirugía General y del Aparato Digestivo, Hospital General Universitario de Alicante, Calle Pintor Baeza, nº12, 03010 Alicante, Spain, E-mail: edomenechpina@gmail.com

Received date: June 14, 2016; Accepted date: July 13, 2016; Published date: July 19, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Pina ED, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Objective: To develop a prognostic index to predict the risk of developing anastomotic leakage after colorectal cancer surgery, this has been named PROCOLE (prognostic colorectal leakage).

Methods: A systematic review of the literature of observational studies has been performed to identify risk factors and then, a meta-analysis of each factor has been done. The factors that are statistically significant are weighted according to the value of the effect size. The prognostic index has been validated by an observational, longitudinal, retrospective, case-control data collection.

Results: The predictive ability of the PROCOLE is made from the data obtained from the sample of cases and controls, resulting in an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.82 with a confidence interval of 95% of the AUC [0.75, 0.89].

Conclusions: The PROCOLE score predicts the risk of developing anastomotic leakage and may be useful to assist operative decision-making such as the implementation of a protective stoma.

Keywords: Anastomotic leakage; Colorectal surgery; Risk factors; Meta-analysis; Prognostic index

Introduction

Anastomotic leak is recognized as the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in colorectal cancer surgery and is associated with a significant increase in the length of hospital stay. The main difficulty in predicting the risk of anastomotic leakage is its aetiology which includes a large number of related risk factors, and it is reflected in the very poor predictive ability of surgeons to identify which patients may develop a leak [1]. An additional difficulty is that the anastomotic leakage lacks a universally accepted definition and objectives comparisons between different studies are impossible [2-3]. There is a need to obtain better prognostic criteria that can assist operative decision-making, [4], because there is a proposal for the application of a protective stoma, called colon leakage score (CLS) but it needs to be validated with different samples [5].

Methods

The methodological sequence [6] consists of the six following stages (Figure 1):

Basic search of the literature (Ovid-MEDLINE database) published in English between 2002 and 2012 and systematic review with identification of the potential risk factors for anastomotic leakage [7-56]. The publications are filtered, selecting those in which the abstract describes at least one risk factor. The bibliography used on these articles was also considered. Result: 151 publications.

Selection of the publications containing enough information for completing a contingency table with the occurrence of anastomotic leak and the risk factor. Result: 68 publications. Meta-analysis of each risk factor is done. The effect size is represented using the odds ratio (OR).

Only those risk factors in which the meta-analysis reached statistical significance for a confidence interval of 95% of the OR are included. The weight assigned to each factor corresponds to the natural logarithm of the estimated result of a corresponding OR meta-analysis. To the prognostic index, has been termed PROCOLE (Prognostic colorectal leakage).

The prognostic index has been validated by an observational, longitudinal, retrospective, case-control data collection. The sample consists of 41 cases (patients who developed an anastomotic leak) and 82 (patients who did not develop an anastomotic leak) controls randomly selected from the population of patients undergoing surgery for colorectal cancer in the Coloproctology Unit of the General Surgery Department of the University Hospital of Alicante in the time interval between May 1, 2003 and May 31, 2010.

This validation is performed by calculating the area under the curve (AUC) of the receiver operating characteristic (ROC).

The selection threshold is identified from the prognostic index. This enables de recommendation on whether to protect the stoma based on the sensitivity and specificity curves.

Results

A total of 54 potential risk factors were found from the initial basic search of the 68 publications that were useful. A meta-analysis was performed, taking into account 48 out of the 54 risk factors. Statistical significance was found in 27 of the 48 meta-analysed factors for a 95% confidence interval of the OR (Figure 2).

The preoperative risk factors are: drug abuse (alcohol and/or tobacco; OR=1.49, 95% confidence interval (CI) of the OR=1.14, 1.94), cardiovascular diseases (OR=1.30, 95% CI of the OR= 1.03, 1.64), diabetes mellitus (OR=1.60, 95% CI of the OR=1.12, 2.13), renal pathology (OR=1.56, 95% CI of the OR=1.23, 1.99), respiratory disease (OR=1.89, 95% CI of the OR=1.37, 2.61), hepatic pathology (OR=1.79, 95% CI of the OR=1.35, 2.38), simultaneous diseases (OR=2.54, 95% CI of the OR=1.25, 5.13), male gender (OR=1.51, 95% CI of the OR=1.63, 1.69), ASA higher to 3 (OR=1.76, 95% CI of the OR=1.39, 2.23), obesity (OR=1.68, 95% CI of the OR=1.33, 2.12), preoperative levels of albumin <3.5 g/dl (OR=2.70, 95% CI of the OR=2.01, 3.62), neoadjuvant therapy (OR=1.61, 95% CI of the OR=1.23, 2.09), steroid consumption (OR=2.02, 95% CI of the OR=1.21, 3.36). The operative risk factors are: emergency surgery (OR=1.96, 95% CI of the OR=1.49, 2.59), additional surgery (OR=1.74, 95% CI of the OR=1.09, 2.79), mechanical anastomosis (OR=1.21, 95% CI of the OR=1.04, 1.41), intraoperative blood transfusion (OR=2.26, 95% CI of the OR=1.65, 3.07), intraoperative adverse event (OR=2.96, 95% CI of the OR=2.00, 4.37).

Cardiovascular diseases	0.26
Respiratory diseases	0.64
Renal pathology	0.45
Hepatic pathology	0.58
Concurrency presented pathologies	0.93
Male sex	0.41
Obesity (IMC >30 kg/m ²)	0.52
Classification ASA ≥ 3	0.57
Steroids consumption	0.70
Neoadjuvant treatment	0.47
Preoperative albumin levels <3.5 g/dl	0.99
Emergency surgery	0.67
Additional surgery simultaneous to colorectal surgery	0.55
Mechanical anastomosis	0.19
Intraoperative blood transfusion	0.81
Intraoperative adverse event that the primary surgeon considered as such and reflects on the part of theater	1.08

Table 1: Weight of the factors for the calculation of the prognostic index of anastomotic leak in colorectal cancer surgery PROCOLE (PROgnostic COlorectal LEakage).

The variables considered in terms of historic results are the anastomotic leak incidence of the surgeon and the duration of the surgery. The appropriate score of the type of surgery is shown in Table 2.

Factor	Weight (β)
Intraperitoneal resection: high anterior resection (anastomosis placed more than 10 cm far from the anal verge), right hemicolectomy, high left segmentary colectomy, left hemicolectomy, transverse hemicolectomies, sigmoidectomy and subtotal colectomy	0
Low anterior resection (anastomosis placed less than 5 cm from the anal verge)	0.82
Ultralow anterior resection (anastomosis placed between 5 cm and 10 cm from the anal verge)	1.64

Table 2: Weight of the factor concerning the type of surgical intervention in colorectal cancer for the calculation of the prognostic index of anastomotic leak PROCOLE (PROgnostic COlorectal LEakage).

The prognostic index, denominated PROCOLE (prognostic colorectal leakage) is calculated in a specific patient as follows:

PROCOLE value=Total (summation) of the weights (scores) of the present factors in Table 1+type of intervention (surgery) score present in Table 2+duration score.




Figure 1: Methodologic flow chart.

The weight assigned (score) to each factor corresponds to the natural logarithm of the estimated result of a corresponding OR meta-analysis. The scores are shown in Table 1.

Factor	Weight (β)
Drugs consumption (alcohol and / or tobacco)	0.40
Diabetes mellitus	0.47




Figure 2: Systematic review flow chart and selection of publications included in the meta-analysis.

The assessment of the predictive ability of the prognostic index PROCOLE is made from the data obtained from the sample of cases and controls, resulting in an AUC of 0.82 with a standard error of 0.04 and a confidence interval of 95% AUC [0.75, 0.89]. As such, it can be considered a good prognostic indicator.

Discussion

The new prognostic index can be used to predict the risk of a certain individual developing anastomotic leakage after colorectal cancer surgery. PROCOLE establishes a discrimination value threshold of 4.83 for recommending the implementation of a protective stoma. It should also be noted that the studies that integrate the meta-analysis have not been limited with a superior evidence level finally demanded because the strategy followed have been to sacrifice quality to number, this is a limitation of this study.

A similar index called colon leakage score (CLS) already exists [5], but its predictive power is lower than that estimated for the PROCOLE index. When it's predictive capacity is tested using a cases and controls sample, the estimated area under the curve for the CLS is 0.65, with a confidence interval of 95% [0.55, 0.75].

This study could be furthered in three aspects. The first is the refinement of the PROCOLE index by extending the meta-analysis of each of the factors. However, it must be noted that since these factors are cumulative in nature, new factors may be identified in future studies. The second aspect is to carry out a prospective study on the clinical application of the protocols. Finally, the third is the refinement of the PROCOLE index in order to predict the occurrence of anastomotic leak, as well as to determine its severity (Grade A, B or C). Additionally, the index may also be exposed to critical examination by other researchers (Figure 3).




Figure 3: Sensitivity and specificity curves for the index PROCOLE.

References

1. Choi HK, Law WL, Ho JW (2006) Leakage after resection and intraperitoneal anastomosis for colorectal malignancy: analysis of risk factors. *Dis Colon Rectum* 49: 1719-1725.
2. Karliczek A, Harlaar NJ, Zeebregts CJ, Wiggers T, Baas PC, et al. (2009) Surgeons lack predictive accuracy for anastomotic leakage in gastrointestinal surgery. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 24: 569-576.
3. Soeters PB, de Zoete JP, Dejong CH, Williams NS, Baeten CG (2002) Colorectal surgery and anastomotic leakage. *Dig Surg* 19: 150-155.
4. Bruce J, Krukowski ZH, Al-Khairy G, Russell EM, Park KG (2001) Systematic review of the definition and measurement of anastomotic leak after gastrointestinal surgery. *Br J Surg* 88: 1157-1168.
5. Dekker JW, Liefers GJ, de Mol van Otterloo JC, Putter H, Tollenaar RA (2011) Predicting the risk of anastomotic leakage in left-sided colorectal surgery using a colon leakage score. *J Surg Res* 166: e27-34.
6. Rojas SA (2013) Predicción del riesgo de fuga anastomótica en la cirugía por cáncer colorrectal. Master's Thesis. Alicante: Universidad Miguel Hernández 972.

7. Kruschewski M, Rieger H, Pohlen U, Hotz HG, Buhr HJ (2007) Risk factors for clinical anastomotic leakage and postoperative mortality in elective surgery for rectal cancer. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 22: 919-927.
8. Iancu C, Mocan LC, Todea-Iancu D, Mocan T, Acalovschi I, et al. (2008) Host-related predictive factors for anastomotic leakage following large bowel resections for colorectal cancer. *J Gastrointest Liver Dis* 17: 299-303.
9. Martel G, Al-Suhaibani Y, Moloo H, Haggag F, Friedlich M, et al. (2008) Neoadjuvant therapy and anastomotic leak after tumor-specific mesorectal excision for rectal cancer. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 51: 1195-1201.
10. Eberl T, Jagoditsch M, Klingler A, Tschmelitsch J (2008) Risk factors for anastomotic leakage after resection for rectal cancer. *Am J Surg* 196: 592-598.
11. Kube R, Mroczkowski P, Steinert R, Sahn M, Schmidt U, et al. (2009) Anastomotic leakage following bowel resections for colon cancer: multivariate analysis of risk factors. *Chirurg* 80: 1153-1159.
12. Cong ZJ, Fu CG, Wang HT, Liu LJ, Zhang W, et al. (2009) Influencing factors of symptomatic anastomotic leakage after anterior resection of the rectum for cancer. *World J Surg* 33: 1292-1297.
13. Bertelsen CA, Andreassen AH, Jorgensen T, Harling H (2010) Danish Colorectal Cancer Group. Anastomotic leakage after anterior resection for rectal cancer: risk factors. *Colorectal Dis* 12: 37-43.
14. Vignali A, Fazio VW, Lavery IC, Milsom JW, Church JM, et al. (1997) Factors associated with the occurrence of leaks in stapled rectal anastomoses: a review of 1,014 patients. *J Am Coll Surg* 185: 105-113.
15. Veyrie N, Ata T, Muscari F, Couchard AC, Msika S, et al. (2007) French Associations for Surgical Research. Anastomotic leakage after elective right versus left colectomy for cancer: Prevalence and independent risk factors. *J Am Coll Surg* 205: 785-793.
16. Teoh CM, Gunasegaram T, Chan KY, Sukumar N, Sagap I (2005) Review of risk factors associated with the anastomosis leakage in anterior resection in Hospital Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia. *Med J Malaysia* 60: 275-280.
17. Rodríguez-Ramírez SE, Uribe A, Ruiz-García EB, Labastida S, Luna-Pérez P (2006) Risk factors for anastomotic leakage after preoperative chemoradiation therapy and low anterior resection with total mesorectal excision for locally advanced rectal cancer. *Rev Invest Clin* 58: 204-210.
18. Konishi T, Watanabe T, Kishimoto J, Nagawa H (2006) Risk factors for anastomotic leakage after surgery for colorectal cancer: results of prospective surveillance. *J Am Coll Surg* 202: 439-444.
19. Suding P, Jensen E, Abramson MA, Itani K, Wilson SE (2008) Definitive risk factors for anastomotic leaks in elective open colorectal resection. *Arch Surg* 143: 907-911.
20. Komen N, Dijk JW, Lalmahomed Z, Klop K, Hop W, et al. (2009) After-hours colorectal surgery: a risk factor for anastomotic leakage. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 24: 789-795.
21. Telem DA, Chin EH, Nguyen SQ, Divino CM (2010) Risk factors for anastomotic leak following colorectal surgery: a case-control study. *Arch Surg* 145: 371-376.
22. Akiyoshi T, Ueno M, Fukunaga Y, Nagayama S, Fujimoto Y et al. (2011) Incidence of and risk factors for anastomotic leakage after laparoscopic anterior resection with intracorporeal rectal transection and double-stapling technique anastomosis for rectal cancer. *Am J Surg* 202: 259-264.
23. Warschkow R, Steffen T, Thierbach J, Bruckner T, Lange J, et al. (2011) Risk factors for anastomotic leakage after rectal cancer resection and reconstruction with colectostomy. A retrospective study with bootstrap analysis. *Ann Surg Oncol* 18: 2772-2782.
24. Golub R, Golub RW, Cantu R Jr, Stein HD (1997) A multivariate analysis of factors contributing to leakage of intestinal anastomoses. *J Am Coll Surg* 184: 364-372.
25. Akasu T, Takawa M, Yamamoto S, Yamaguchi T, Fujita S, et al. (2010) Risk factors for anastomotic leakage following intersphincteric resection for very low rectal adenocarcinoma. *J Gastrointest Surg* 14: 104-111.
26. Biondo S, Pares D, Kreisler E, Rague JM, Fraccalvieri D, et al. (2005) Anastomotic dehiscence after resection and primary anastomosis in left-sided colonic emergencies. *Dis Colon Rectum* 48: 2272-2280.
27. Fielding LP, Stewart-Brown S, Blesovsky L, Kearney G (1980) Anastomotic integrity after operation for large-bowel cancer: a multicentre study. *Br Med J* 281: 411-414.
28. Jung SH, Yu CS, Choi PW, Kim DD, Park IJ, et al. (2008) Risk factors and oncologic impact of anastomotic leakage after rectal cancer surgery. *Dis Colon Rectum* 51: 902-908.
29. Lee WS, Yun SH, Roh YN, Yun HR, Lee WY, et al. (2008) Risk factors and clinical outcome for anastomotic leakage after total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer. *World J Surg* 32: 1124-1129.
30. Boccola MA, Buettner PG, Rozen WM, Siu SK, Stevenson AR, et al. (2011) Risk factors and outcomes for anastomotic leakage in colorectal surgery: a single-institution analysis of 1576 patients. *World J Surg* 35: 186-195.
31. Kumar A, Daga R, Vijayaragavan P, Prakash A, Singh RK, et al. (2011) Anterior resection for rectal carcinoma - risk factors for anastomotic leaks and strictures. *World J Gastroenterol* 17: 1475-1479.
32. Law WL, Chu KW (2004) Anterior resection for rectal cancer with mesorectal excision: a prospective evaluation of 622 patients. *Ann Surg* 240: 260-268.
33. Rullier E, Laurent C, Garrelon JL, Michel P, Saric J, et al. (1998) Risk factors for anastomotic leakage after resection of rectal cancer. *Br J Surg* 85: 355-358.
34. Matthiessen P, Hallböök O, Andersson M, Rutegard J, Sjødahl R (2004) Risk factors for anastomotic leakage after anterior resection of the rectum. *Colorectal Dis* 6: 462-469.
35. Peeters KC, Tollenaar RA, Marijnen CA, Klein Kranenburg E, Steup WH, et al. (2005) Risk factors for anastomotic failure after total mesorectal excision of rectal cancer. *Br J Surg* 92: 211-216.
36. Rudinskaite G, Tamelis A, Saladzinskas Z, Pavalkis D (2005) Risk factors for clinical anastomotic leakage following the resection of sigmoid and rectal cancer. *Medicina (Kaunas)* 41: 741-746.
37. Bannura G, Cumsille MA, Barrera A, Contreras J, Melo C, et al. (2006) Factores asociados a la dehiscencia clínica de una anastomosis intestinal grapada: análisis multivariado de 610 pacientes consecutivos. *Rev Chl Cir* 58: 341-346.
38. Jestin P, Pählman L, Gunnarsson U (2008) Risk factors for anastomotic leakage after rectal cancer surgery: a case-control study. *Colorectal Dis* 10: 715-721.
39. Buchs NC, Gervaz P, Secic M, Bucher P, Mugnier-Konrad B, et al. (2008) Incidence, consequences, and risk factors for anastomotic dehiscence after colorectal surgery: A prospective monocentric study. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 23: 265-270.
40. Fouda E, El Nakeeb A, Magdy A, Hammad EA, Othman G, et al. (2011) Early detection of anastomotic leakage after elective low anterior resection. *J Gastrointest Surg* 15: 137-144.
41. Alves A, Panis Y, Trancart D, Regimbeau JM, Pocard M, et al. (2002) Factors associated with clinically significant anastomotic leakage after large bowel resection: multivariate analysis of 707 patients. *World J Surg* 26: 499-502.
42. Buie WD, MacLean AR, Attard JA, Brasher PM, Chan AK (2005) Neoadjuvant chemoradiation increases the risk of pelvic sepsis after radical excision of rectal cancer. *Dis Colon Rectum* 48: 1868-1874.
43. Yeh CY, Changchien CR, Wang JY, Chen JS, Chen HH, et al. (2005) Pelvic drainage and other risk factors for leakage after elective anterior resection in rectal cancer patients: a prospective study of 978 patients. *Ann Surg* 241: 9-13.
44. Platell C, Barwood N, Dorfmann G, Makin G (2007) The incidence of anastomotic leaks in patients undergoing colorectal surgery. *Colorectal Dis* 9: 71-79.
45. Wang L, Gu J (2010) Risk factors for symptomatic anastomotic leakage after low anterior resection for rectal cancer with 30 Gy/10 f/2 w preoperative radiotherapy. *World J Surg* 34: 1080-1085.

-
46. Leichter SW, Mouawad NJ, Welch KB, Lampman RM, Cleary RK (2012) Risk factors for anastomotic leakage after colectomy. *Dis Colon Rectum* 55: 569-575.
 47. Hyman NH, Osler T, Cataldo P, Burns EH, Shackford SR (2009) Anastomotic leaks after bowel resection: what does peer review teach us about the relationship to postoperative mortality? *J Am Coll Surg* 208: 48-52.
 48. Ricciardi R, Roberts PL, Marcello PW, Hall JF, Read TE, et al. (2009) Anastomotic leak testing after colorectal resection: what are the data? *Arch Surg* 144: 407-411.
 49. Lago Oliver J, Arjona Medina I, MartínGarcía-Almenta E, Martín Gil J, Sanz Sánchez M, et al. (2012) Use of fibrin based biological adhesives in the prevention of anastomotic leaks in the high risk digestive tract: preliminary results of the multicentre, prospective, randomised, controlled, and simple blind phase iv clinical trial: Protissuocol001. *Cir Esp* 90: 647-655.
 50. Merad F, Hay JM, Fingerhut A, Flamant Y, Molkhov JM, et al. (1998) Omentoplasty in the prevention of anastomotic leakage after colonic or rectal resection: a prospective randomized study in 712 patients. *French Associations for Surgical Research. Ann Surg* 227: 179-186.
 51. Tocchi A, Mazzoni G, Lepre L, Costa G, Liotta G, et al. (2000) Prospective evaluation of omentoplasty in preventing leakage of colorectal anastomosis. *Dis Colon Rectum* 43: 951-955.
 52. Agnifili A, Schietroma M, Carloni A, Mattucci S, Caterino G, et al. (2004) The value of omentoplasty in protecting colorectal anastomosis from leakage. A prospective randomized study in 126 patients. *HepatoGastroenterology* 51: 1694-1697.
 53. Bannura G, Cumsille MA, Barrera A, Contreras P, Melo C, et al. (2006) Factoresasociados a la dehiscenciaclínica de unaanastomosis intestinal grapada: análisismultivariado de 610 pacientesconsecutivos. *Rev Chl Cir* 58: 341-346.
 54. Kim JS, Cho SY, Min BS, Kim NK (2009) Risk factors for anastomotic leakage after laparoscopic intracorporeal colorectal anastomosis with a double stapling technique. *J Am CollSurg* 209: 694-701.
 55. Chung RS (1987) Blood flow in colonic anastomoses. Effect of stapling and suturing. *Ann Surg* 206: 335-339.
 56. Koperna T (2003) Cost-effectiveness of defunctioning stomas in low anterior resections for rectal cancer: a call for benchmarking. *Arch Surg* 138: 1334-1338.