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Abstract

Key presentations of severe malnutrition or undernutrition caused by macronutrient deficiencies are marasmus, a disease causing stunting; wasting; underweight or kwashiorkor; or a combination of these. Micronutrient insufficiencies cause a variety of disorders, and correction of these deficiencies on an individual basis is cost-prohibitive. Therefore, food fortification is an accepted, practical and affordable solution to overcome this problem. The cost of adding vitamins and minerals to commonly consumed foods is very low; estimated to range between 0.5% and 2.0% of the cost of a typical staple food. For formulations that include Vitamin A and D, iron, zinc, and folic acid, the cost is approximately US $8 to $10 per metric ton. If an individual consumes 100 g/day (37 kg/year) of the final product, the cost of fortification is approximately US $0.40 per person/year; a cost that is affordable to industry and consumers. For more complex formulations, such as the World Food Program's (WFP) Corn Soy Blend, the cost increases to US $1.0 per person per year. The WFP estimates a minimum normal “food basket” cost of approximately US $0.25 per person/day or US $92 per year. Thus, the cost of adding micronutrients is approximately 0.6% of the food in the basic food basket or, at the higher end, about 1% of added cost. Nevertheless, the outcomes of alleviating micronutrient deficiencies, decreasing the disease burden, and the cost-effectiveness are exceptional. Especially the poor, who live in developing countries, would get the most benefit. In addition to enhancing the production of healthy food and assuring its wide availability and affordability, the food industry should constantly develop healthful, nutritious foods using innovative technologies and market these products to consumers at affordable prices. Cost-effective and practical micronutrient food-fortification programs should be designed to add micronutrients to common staple foods, such as flour or parboiled rice, depending on the cultural and food habits of individuals in a given country.
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Introduction

Nutrition deficiencies are common worldwide. Because of the increasing poverty and food insecurity, in fact, micronutrient deficiencies continue to increase. Macronutrient and micronutrient deficiency induces disorders that are most common in economically deprived, developing countries. However, underprivileged communities in affluent countries are not immune to these disorders. The term malnutrition includes both undernutrition (stunting and wasting) and over nutrition (obesity).

In general, presentations following macronutrient deficiencies are quite noticeable in patients with severe protein-calorie undernutrition. Nevertheless, they may present differently, depending on whether they suffer from (A) acute or chronic undernutrition, and (B) whether the under nutrition is predominantly due to protein or energy malnutrition. Different presentations of those with undernutrition are illustrated in the Table 1.

Micronutrient malnutrition has many adverse effects on human health, not all of which are clinically evident. Even moderate levels of deficiency (which can be detected only by biochemical measurements or clinical examination) can have detrimental effects on physiological functions and bodily systems. Thus, in addition to the obvious and direct health effects, the existence of micronutrient malnutrition has profound implications for economic and social development and human productivity, particularly in terms of public health costs and loss of productivity caused by human illnesses and absentism.

Micronutrients, including vitamins, minerals, trace elements, phytochemicals, and antioxidants, are essential for good health. Depending on what types of food one eats, the quantity and quality of these nutrients and the rate of the intestinal absorption could vary greatly. People with mild to moderate micronutrient deficiency may present with subtle abnormalities. Therefore, health care workers may miss the early signs and thus the diagnostic opportunities. Presentations of micronutrient deficiencies can range from mild lethargy, tiredness, skin and visual abnormalities, to serious organ or metabolic failures; the clinical presentation spectrum of these disorders is very large.

Processed foods have more macronutrients and higher concentrations of salt and preservatives than do natural foods, but at the expense of micronutrients. Food processing often removes or decreases micronutrients and nutritional food value. Levels of minerals, vitamins, and phytochemicals decrease during the processing of food; but processing increases the shelf life of food, taste, and make it easier to transport and store. Consequently, processed food; bread, cereal grains, chips, sweets, pastries, certain dairy products, and most of the fast foods provide concentrated calories but decreased micronutrients.

Fortification is the practice of deliberately adding one or more essential micronutrients (i.e., vitamins, minerals, and trace elements) to a particular food to improve the nutritional quality of that food. Fortification should provide public health benefits with minimal or no risks to human health. The term enrichment is used to describe a process
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that is somewhat similar to fortification but refers to the addition of micronutrients to a food irrespective of whether the nutrients were originally present in the food or not. The aim of both of these processes is to increase the intake of these micronutrients to correct or prevent deficiencies and to provide health benefits. Therefore, food fortification programs work synergistically with the overall nutrition improvement programs to prevent nutrient deficiencies.

Prevalence of micronutrient deficiency

Although advances in science and medicine continue exponentially, basic health services, particularly nutrition, fail to reach the majority of the global population. It has been estimated that micronutrient deficiencies account for about 7.3% of the global burden of disease, with iron and vitamin A deficiency ranking among the 15 leading causes of the global disease burden [1]. Recent reports indicate that more than a third of the population in developing countries are undernourished, as indicated by Body Mass Index (BMI) of less than 18.5, whereas another third have an unhealthful accumulation of excess visceral fat (abdominal obesity); both of these increase morbidity and mortality [2].

Malnutrition is common in socially and economically deprived societies, in certain tribes and castes, and in a large section of minority communities [3-5]. Those who live in wealthier countries have higher incomes, greater access, and ability to purchase a wider variety of micronutrient-rich, fortified foods, which leads to the lower risks and decreasing the prevalence of micronutrient deficiency. More, the micronutrient deficiencies negatively affect the fetal and infant growth and well-being, cognitive development, and decrease the resistance to infections. Most of the widespread and severe micronutrient deficiencies are present among the poor, food insecure and vulnerable people in developing countries.

Worldwide, the three most common forms of micronutrient malnutrition are iron, vitamin A, and iodine deficiencies. Together, these affect at least one-third of the world’s population; the majority of whom live in developing countries. Iron deficiency has multiple causes and is the most prevalent micronutrient deficiency in the world. The World Health Organization (WHO) reports that more than 2 billion people are anemic, just under 2 billion have inadequate iodine nutrition, and approximately 250 million preschool children are vitamin A deficient (Figure 1).

Why nutritional deficiencies are escalating globally?

Many in these undernourished groups live a day-to-day existence, and some rely fully on homegrown or locally produced food. They have less variety of food to rely upon. Fortification is often a more cost-effective and practical way to provide micronutrients to communities or countries in need, especially if the technology already exists and if an appropriate and equitable food distribution system is in place [6-8]. It is usually possible to add several micronutrients without substantially increasing the total cost of the food product at the point of manufacture.

However, despite good intentions, fortified foods often fail to reach the poorest segments of the population; people who are at the greatest risk of micronutrient deficiency. In part, this is because such groups have difficulty in accessing fortified foods and their low purchasing power. In addition, distribution channels to these populations are weak or even non-existing. Availability, access, consumption of adequate quantities, and a variety of micronutrient-rich foods such as animal products, fruits, and vegetables are limited and highly variable in global terms.

Unfortunately, only a relatively small portion of resources in the world is allocated for solving health problems of developing countries. Lower the economic capability of a given society or a country, lesser the amount it would spent on healthful food, distribution channels and research. Consequently, there is a major under-investment in health research particularly in nutrition, relevant to the needs of low-income countries. It has been estimated that approximately only 10% of the world’s total health and research expenditure is dedicated to the problems that are affecting 90% of the world’s population [9]. Therefore, the probability of solving important health and nutritional problems in the economically deprived countries are little.

Moreover, allocated funds are earmarked for high-profile or glamorous diseases, thus it further neglect macro- and micronutritional programs. Provision of life saving foods to desperately poor is not a long-term solution. In fact funds should be allocated and spend to make these communities develop their own food and become self-sufficient. In addition, the structural and social determinants are also affecting human health. These include availability of basic human needs, such as shelter/housing, clean water and safe sanitation, quality education, gender, social and racial equality. These fundamental human needs should be brought to stand equitable, sustainable, and meaningful, with tangible development in a given society or a country.

When one suffer from a dietary deficiency of any nutrient, it is more than likely that he or she is likely to suffer other nutrient deficiencies. Guidelines provide information about the benefits, limitations, design, implementation, monitoring, regulation, and evaluation of food-fortification programs and their cost-benefit ratios, particularly for developing countries [10]. Each country needs to develop its own food-data system with particular attention given to locally available, natural, and nutritious foods. Rather than using expensive supplements or importing food, it is logical to use a nutritious, locally produced food-based approach in the prevention of micronutrient deficiencies.

Poverty, lack of access to food varieties, lack of knowledge of appropriate dietary values and practices, and high incidence of communicable diseases are major factors leading to continuation of micronutrient deficiency. Micronutrient malnutrition and poor socioeconomic status contribute to a negative vicious cycle of health. These situations have long-ranging effects on health, learning ability, productivity and the absenteeism, and high social and public costs associated with reduced work capacity caused by high rates of illness and disability.
Micronutrients and human health

Vitamins and trace minerals are essential constituents of the human diet. Some vitamins such as vitamin D can be synthesized in the body but often in inadequate quantities. Only small quantities of these substances are needed for the essential biochemical reactions, including coenzymes and prosthetic groups in enzymatic reactions. Multiple or single nutrient deficiencies are rare but may occur in people who have gastro-enteropathies, are chronically ill or fed parenterally, or consume excessive amounts of alcohol. Laboratory assays can detect subclinical vitamin and trace mineral deficiencies, but the clinical significance of such is unknown. Abnormalities of micronutrient-related laboratory test results are particularly common in the elderly.

Because of different types of food availability and the heterogeneity in dietetic habits of people, it is difficult to study the effects and health benefits of an individual micronutrient in healthy people. Therefore, it is not surprising that varied or neutral results that have been reported in studies using micronutrients such as selenium, vitamins C and E, and folic acid [11,12]. As with any food, supplemental vitamins need to be consumed in the right amounts; sometimes less is better (“Let thy food be thy medicine and thy medicine thy food.”—Hippocrates). In fact, the majority of people, especially in the west, who routinely consume vitamins and mineral supplements, do not need them. Conversely, those who need them do not take them because either they have no access or cannot afford to take them, or lack of awareness. Fast food, frequent travel, busy schedules, little time to cook and eat at home, and the inability to eat together as a family, can make it harder to consume a balanced, healthful diet. Consequently, people who can afford them often rely on supplements. Thus, food supplements are a huge growing market in the United States (Figure 2).

Economically disadvantaged people (food insecure groups) mainly rely on energy-dense carbohydrate diets. They have a higher incidence of single or multiple micronutrient deficiencies and central obesity [13]. Moreover, high levels of phytates and polyphenols in rice- and wheat-based diets decrease the intestinal absorption of some vitamins and minerals [14]. These components bind to some micronutrients in the food, forming insoluble complexes, which prevent their absorption. Attempts have been made to enhance intestinal micronutrient absorption by the addition of chemical enhancers such as Na₂EDTA to food [15-18]. However, the long-term safety of these pharmacologic and perhaps unphysiological approaches has not been established.

It is also that adding chemicals to the diet will cause an imbalance of nutrient ratios and absorption leading to unintended consequences, including one or more nutrient deficiencies or even precipitation of a disease status. Although it seems efficacious, the addition of synthetic chemicals and preservatives to food also raises concerns about potential long-term safety [19,20]. On the other hand, some food-fortification programs, such as enriching parboiled rice and flour with micronutrients and vitamins, are not only practical, but also cost-effective, safe, and thus, worth scaling-up for distribution to the needy communities.

Examples of fortification to combat specific micronutrient deficiencies

Food-based fortification has been accomplished with wheat and maize flour, cereal-based foods, bread, dairy products, rice, soy and fish-source-based fortifications, salt (iodine), oil, butter, and margarine (for fat soluble vitamins). Examples of the desired outcome of such programs include averting clinical and subclinical vitamin A deficiency, anemia, goiter, iodine deficiency, and vitamin D deficiency, etc. However, the benefits of correcting micronutrient deficiency must be weighed against the potential safety issues. Nevertheless, in the vast majority of situations, benefits far outweigh the potential risks of fortification. Following are a few common examples of micronutrient deficiencies and different approaches that have been taken to overcome these.

Iodine deficiency: Iodine is an essential micronutrient for the synthesis of thyroid hormones [21]. In addition to the over hypothyroidism and forming goiters, in the early 1980s, it was recognized that iodine deficiency and consequent hypothyroidism was the most common cause of preventable brain damage and mental impairments in childhood [22,23]. In addition to defective cerebral development, iodine deficiency during pregnancy increases the risk of stillbirth and increase incidence of low-birth-weight infants [24,25].
Thyroid hormones are critical for the cerebro-neural development of fetuses and infants, so iodine deficiency during pregnancy can cause irreversible harm to infants [26]. The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists recommends that women who are currently pregnant, planning to become pregnant, or lactating to consume 150 µg of iodine daily.

The well-tested technology of salt iodization is a practice adapted worldwide to prevent iodine deficiency in humans. In the early 1920s salt iodization was introduced in the United States of America [27,28] and in Switzerland [29]. Over the years, most of the countries in the world have incorporated this valuable technology. In addition to the iodination of salt, the use of prenatal multivitamins containing iodine is the most cost-effective option to supplement iodine to pregnant mothers in developing countries [30,31]. Potassium iodide is the preferred iodine supplement [30,32].

Salt iodization is a highly cost-effective fortification program that can eliminate iodine deficiency and iodine-deficiency goiters. Consequently, most governments have incorporated this procedure into their national health and food programs. The efficiency of these programs has been reported in many countries. For example, a randomized, double-blind study conducted in Moroccan schoolchildren demonstrated that fortification of salt with iron and iodine improved both iron and iodine statuses [33]. In Michigan, United States, iodization of salt in Michigan reduced the goiter rates from about 40% to less than 10% [28,34]. Despite such convincing evidence in support of salt iodization, it was estimated that more than 50 countries still have inadequate iodine nutrition, as estimated by median urinary iodine of less than 100 µg/L [35].

**Vitamin A deficiency:** Vitamin A status is an important determinant of survival of children. Adequate vitamin A promotes and maintains healthy epithelia and thus prevents infections, diarrhea, and eye disorders, including keratomalacia, Bitot’s spots, and night blindness. Correction of childhood vitamin A deficiency lowers the risk of morbidity and mortality from communicable viral diseases, such as measles, as well as all-cause mortality [36-38]. Therefore, in developing countries where food fortification programs are sparse and food insecurity is high, it would be wise and cost-effective to take the measures to control vitamin A deficiency via food fortification.

**Iron deficiency:** Iron deficiency anemia exacerbates the negative outcomes of protein-calorie malnutrition. Severe anemia also increases the incidence of maternal and childhood mortality [39]. Supplementation with iron not only corrects iron-deficient anemia, but also improves general well-being, physical and mental activities, cognitive function, school performance, and work efficiency [40,41]. The provision of iron-fortified food to children substantially reduces iron-deficiency anemia.

Micronized ferric pyrophosphate added at a two-fold higher level (0.5 µm), to rice grains has been recommended. Iron fortification of infant formulas caused a decrease in the prevalence of anemia in preschool children in the United States [42,43]. Started in 1993 in Venezuela, wheat and maize flours were fortified with iron using a mixture of ferrous fumarate and elemental iron, vitamin A, and a number of B vitamins. This introduction of iron fortification of flour has led to a significant reduction in iron deficiency among children in Venezuela [44]. Similarly, fortification of milk with iron and ascorbic acid in Chile led to a rapid reduction of iron deficiency in infants and young children [45,46].

**Vitamin D deficiency:** Vitamin D deficiency is the most under-diagnosed and perhaps the most common medical condition in the world [47]. It is estimated that more than 2 billion people worldwide across all ethnic and age groups have vitamin D deficiency [48-50]. This is one of the few deficiencies that span, worldwide equally affecting the poor countries and the economically well-to do countries [47, 51]. Studies conducted in multiple European countries reported marked variations in vitamin D statuses [52-54]. Common factors leading to high prevalence of vitamin D include sun-avoidance, less exposure to sunlight, low dietary intake of vitamin D-rich foods, limited or no fortification of food with vitamin D, and differences and variability of biochemical assays used to measure vitamin D levels [55-57].

Vitamin D plays an important role in the proper functioning of virtually all tissues, including muscles, the nervous system, immune system, blood clotting, and skeletal homeostasis [58,59]. More than half of the world’s population lacks adequate exposure to sunshine and thus cannot maintain physiological levels of serum 25(OH) vitamin D [25(OH)D] [50,54]. However, vitamin D can also acquired through diet and affordable supplements. Although some foods, such as milk and orange juice, are fortified with vitamin D, studies have shown that approximately two billion people in the world still consume insufficient amounts of this nutrient [60-63]. Figure 3 illustrates the estimated worldwide prevalence of vitamin D deficiency.

The understanding of the role of vitamin D in overall health and awareness of its deficiency is increasing [64-66]. However, translation of this knowledge into clinical practice has lagged, as suggested by the declining average serum 25(OH)D levels seen during the past 25 years in the United States [67,68]. This is likely attributable to a number of reasons including sun-avoidance behavior, the epidemic of obesity and type 2 diabetes, and changing dietetic patterns including lower consumption of dairy products and milk. The consumption of large quantities of processed food that contain preservatives, the relative overuse of sunscreens, and clothing choices in certain populations are some additional risk factors contributing to this silent epidemic of vitamin D deficiency [51].

People with dark skin have significantly lower circulating 25(OH) D levels than those with lighter skin. This is due to the combination of less consumption of dietary vitamin D and supplements (i.e., food habits), and less dermal synthesis of vitamin D secondary to high melanin content in the skin that blocks UVB rays [56,57,69-72]. Lower
serum 25(OH)D levels and lower vitamin D intakes have been reported in Hispanic populations [62,68]. In the 2004 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) in the United States, data from 6,275 randomly selected children showed a prevalence of 9% of vitamin D deficiency [i.e., serum 25(OH)D levels <15 ng/mL] and 61% with vitamin D insufficiency (levels between 16 and 30 ng/mL).

The virtual elimination of childhood rickets in industrialized countries is attributable to vitamin D fortification of milk, which was first introduced in the United States in the mid-1930s and later adapted by Canada [52]. Nevertheless, there are some signs that rickets is re-emerging as a public health problem in these countries, especially among minorities and immigrant populations [51,73]. Among African American women, a low intake of milk is a predictor of a higher prevalence of vitamin D deficiency [74].

Vitamin D fortification of milk also reduces the risk of osteomalacia and osteoporosis in the elderly, especially in higher altitude regions where levels of incident ultraviolet light are lower during the winter months [75,76]. Fortification generally aims to supply micronutrients in amounts that approximate those provided by a good, well-balanced diet and/or to achieve physiological and optimum blood levels. Consequently, fortified staple foods thought to contain “natural” or near-natural levels of micronutrients, which may not necessarily be the case with supplements.

**Zinc deficiency:** Intervention trials have demonstrated that zinc supplementation improves the growth of stunted children with zinc deficiency [77], and improve wound healing. Zinc supplementation also lowers the rates of infectious diarrhea and pneumonia; two leading causes of childhood deaths [78,79]. There are other examples of micronutrients, such as selenium and fluoride (for the prevention of dental caries), used as supplements or in fortification.

**Economic Aspects and Practical Ways to Overcome Micronutrient Deficiencies**

Food fortification can take several forms. It is possible to fortify foods that are widely consumed by the general population (mass fortification) or targeting population subgroups (focused fortification). Example of the latter includes, complementary foods for preschool or younger children or for displaced populations (targeted fortification). Mass fortification is generally the best option when the majority of the population has an unacceptable levels of a specific micronutrient; high public health risk. Fortifications are also undertaken voluntarily by food manufacturers to fortify foods in the marketplace; a market-driven fortification. Sometimes this is done to replenish the lost micronutrients during food processing, but other times to overcome competition and/or increase the marketability.

Because of the widespread prevalence of nutrient deficiencies in certain ethnic groups even in economically advanced countries, the clinical identification and correction of these deficiencies on an individual basis is cost-prohibitive. However, the provision of micronutrients through general food fortification programs is a practical and affordable way to solve such problems. The cost of adding calculated amounts of vitamins and minerals to commonly consumed foods is very low. The cost of the ingredients for fortification is estimated to range between 0.5% and 2.0% of the cost of a typical staple food. Using more expensive formulation that includes vitamin A, iron, zinc and folic acid, the cost is approximately between US $8 to 10 per metric ton. If one assumes that an individual consumes 100 g/day (37 kg/year) of the final product, the cost of fortification is less than US $0.50 per person/year; a cost that is affordable to industries and the consumers.

The extra cost of enrichment programs depends on the range of vitamins and minerals added. However, for more complex formulations, such as the World Food Program’s (WFP) Corn Soy Blend (CSB Plus), the cost increases to approximately US $1 to 2 per person/year, in part because of the more expensive components, such as vitamin K. The WFP calculates a minimum normal “food basket” cost of approximately US $0.25 per person/day or US $92 per year. Thus, the cost of adding micronutrients is less than 0.5% of the food in the basic food basket, or at the higher end, an approximately 1% additional cost. Nevertheless, the outcomes for alleviating micronutrient deficiencies are exceptional, especially for the poor living in developing countries.

For the Malawi school feeding program by the WFP, the average cost was US $22 per child/year; the program provided 100 g/day of the CSB Plus with all vitamins and minerals, and 11.5 kg/month of maize provided for the girls [80]. In addition, to enhance the production of healthy food and assure its availability and affordability, the food industry should constantly develop healthful, nutritious food using innovative technologies and market the products to consumers at affordable prices. These cost-effective and practical micronutrient food-fortification programs should be designed to add micronutrients to staple foods, such as flour or parboiled rice, in individual developing countries. This approach will widen the availability virtually to all and increase the value of the food fortification program.

**Responsibilities of the food industry**

Fortification of food with micronutrients is a valid technology for food-based approach to overcome nutritional deficiency, especially when existing food supplies fail to provide adequate levels of the deficient nutrient. The Codex Alimentarius Commission is an intergovernmental body with over180 members established by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and the World Health Organization (WHO) [81]. Fortification is defined by this Commission as the addition of one or more essential nutrients to a food for preventing or correcting a nutritional deficiency in a given population [82,83].

Most industrialized countries have successful food-fortification programs to combat deficiencies of vitamins A and D; B vitamins such as thiamine, riboflavin, and niacin, iodine, and iron. Efforts should be made to provide education about and encourage appropriate intakes of vitamins, phytochemicals, antioxidants, and other micronutrient components, together with fruits and vegetables to alleviate nutritional deficiencies and achieve a healthful balanced diet. Special emphasis should be given to locally available foods that are culturally acceptable and affordable to local communities. Moreover, it is necessary to identify optimal methods for cooking foods in order to preserve nutrient values and enhance the bioavailability of micronutrients. Modify the existing methods of preserving foods without the addition of chemical preservatives and salt are worth exploring.

The gap between rich and poor within societies and between nations continues to widen. Similarly, inequities within countries, between urban and rural, men and women, and different ethnic groups are also widening (e.g., poverty, unsafe living and working conditions, racial and gender discrimination, and so forth) [84,85]. The reluctance by the food industry to embrace food-fortification programs is understandable, given the additional work such as changing labels, the fear of the unknown, or the perceived insufficient market demand.
for the newly fortified foods. Food-fortification programs have capital costs attached to them, mostly associated with the installation of new equipment, apparatus maintenance, training staff, legal issues/costs, new packaging, labeling, and quality control costs. In addition to the provision of general fortification information, CODEX STAN 1-1985 provides labeling for prepackaged foods and CODEX STAN 206-1999 provide the labeling guidance for dairy items [81].

Successful approaches in overcoming micronutrient deficiencies

Worldwide, most of the food fortifications programs are conducted on a volunteer basis. Objectives of the food fortification are, (A) cost-effectively maintain or improve the overall nutritional quality of foods, (B) to minimize nutrient imbalance in the food supply due to over or under fortification of food, (C) to eliminate misleading practices or advertisements that may mislead or harm the population, (D) to provide specific guidelines to food manufacturers who fortify foods, and (E) to provide balance nutrients in diets for the benefit of the public.

Fortification of food with micronutrients via a food-based approach is a valid and proven technology for reducing micronutrient malnutrition. On the micro level, for a given community, it is necessary to identify, (A) the best locally available food combinations, (B) appropriate serving sizes that are necessary for preventing micronutrient deficiencies, and (C) methods to promote these food combinations at the community level. On the macro level, one need to discourage the use of toxic pesticides, weedicides, and artificial chemical fertilizers that contaminate the water resources and the environment, while propagating natural, environmentally-friendly agricultural methods that enhance the yields and the biologic value of micronutrient-rich foods. That would further facilitate overcoming micro- and the macro nutrient deficiencies and people’s understanding of food, and allow them to make the right food choices.

It is important to develop environmentally friendly, sustainable agricultural, horticultural, and animal husbandry methods. This would not only improve the environment and water, but also improve human health. These should be complementary to support and implement a country-specific guidelines, and agricultural and sustainable water use policies. Such coordinated approach will not only save money, but also encourage food-based approaches to improve overcoming micronutrient deficiencies, and prevent diseases. However, one should take great care during the introduction of new fortification programs, assuring prevention of the possibility of overdose, considering consumer choices, and cultural and social acceptance. There are also other issues and concerns to consider when introducing a food-based micronutrient enrichment program. However, unless marketed at an affordable prices, economically deprived families may not have access to such food or may be unable to purchase the value-added foods; thus, there will be a very little impact on eliminating micronutrient deficiencies.

Fortification of margarine with vitamin A was first introduced in Denmark, and vitamin D fortification in milk was introduced in the United States to eliminate rickets. Since the 1940s, the fortification of cereal products with thiamine, riboflavin, and niacin has become common practice. Folic acid fortification of wheat has become widespread in the United States, Canada, and several other countries, especially to enhance maternal and infant health and to eliminate neural tube defects during pregnancy.

In recent years, fortification has become an increasingly attractive option for overcoming micronutrient deficiencies in the less industrialized countries. There are a examples of numerous successful programs, such as fortifying sugar with vitamin A in Central America; following this fortification, the prevalence of vitamin A deficiency was reduced considerably. Application of these modalities in culturally and nutritionally applicable forms should be considered in other countries. Several countries in sub-Saharan Africa have also adopted fortification of cane sugar. However, there are other countries that would also benefit from such food-fortification programs, based on locally available and affordable food [86].

Biofortification is another technique that has been explored as a means of enriching micronutrients in staple foods. Genetic modification of plants and animals to improve the nutrient content and/or absorption is an approach that has been explored widely. Genetic modifications and plant breeding, including enrichment with vitamins, anti-oxidants and flavinoids in fruits and vegetables, as well as increasing micronutrient content of legumes, tubers, and grains are continuing. Genetic enrichment of a variety of other vegetables and fruits are also being explored [87-89]. Although more research is needed to improve efficiency, concerns have been raised by various groups about the potential safety of genetically modified food, cost to the consumer, and the impact on the environment; these concerns need to be investigated and overcome with proper scientific approaches [90]. Other novel options for food fortification are discussed below.

Food sources as delivery methods to alleviate micronutrient deficiency

Food fortification is one of the most popular and effective nutritional interventions for improving the dietary nutrients in humans. If made affordable, fortified nutritious food can reach a greater proportion of the population at-risk than any other market interventions, and promote overall health [83]. A number of novel methods have been explored to evaluate the effectiveness of micronutrient fortification and delivery of micronutrients in foods and beverage, in various clinical settings. For example, wheat flour fortified with vitamin A and provided as buns to Filipino schoolchildren over a 30-week program period, halve the number of children with vitamin A deficiency [91].

A number of trials have evaluated the efficacy of specially formulated foods and beverages as the medium for multiple micronutrient fortification. For example, in South Africa the fortification of biscuits with micronutrients, iron, β-carotene, and iodine improved the status of these three nutrients in schoolchildren [92]. Tanzanian schoolchildren who were fed with fortified and flavored beverages with 10 different micronutrients led to increased serum retinol levels and reduced iron deficiency status, and growth rates of children improved [93]. Similarly, in Botswana, school-aged children provided with a beverage enriched with 12 micronutrients experienced increased weight gain and improved iron, folate, riboflavin, and zinc levels [94].

The introduction of fortification of wheat flour with folic acid in the United States in 1998 was accompanied by a significant reduction in the prevalence of neural tube defects [95] and decreased plasma level of homocysteine. A high level of the latter is a risk factor for cardiovascular disease [96,97]. In the mid-1940s, the introduction of mandatory fortification programs for certain micronutrients in the United States and in many European countries led to the virtual eradication of some of these deficiencies [98].
Guidance in micronutrient fortification of milk and milk products

**Vitamin D**: Vitamin A and D fortification of milk products is subjected to local regulations. In most countries in Asia, South America, and Middle East, vitamin A and D fortificants are allowed in milk powder, but it is a voluntary process. Whole milk fortification in the United States is also voluntary, but approximately 85% of whole milk in the market is fortified. The currently recommended minimum fortification is 400 IU/quart (946 mL), which is equivalent to 42.3 IU/100 mL, for whole milk and whole powdered milk. The recommended extra allowance is 50%; therefore, up to 600 IU/quart, equivalent to 63.4 IU/100 mL in milk can be fortified. In spite of these, based on a serving size of one cup (236 mL) per day, these levels are expected to provide only 15% of the current Daily-Recommended Value (DRV) of 800 IU/day.

Because vitamin D is fat soluble, low-fat powdered milk is “required” to be fortified. However, the current recommendation for vitamin D fortification is the same as for whole milk; therefore, fortification of fat-free milk and milk powders can be safely doubled. In fact, with the new DRV of 800 IU/day, the vitamin D fortification of milk should be doubled. The suggested fortification level is 800 IU/quart (80-85 IU/100 mL), with appropriate allowance for overage. This should provide approximately 25% of the new DRV for consumption levels of approximately 250 mL/day. At this level, there would not be any possibility of vitamin over-dosage at consumption of less than 5.0 L/day, as indicated in the Institute of Medicine (IOM) report and the Endocrine Society guidelines, which indicate safe upper limits of vitamin D is 4,000 IU per day [99,100].

**Vitamin A**: Fortification with vitamin A at the level of 2,000 IU/quart (equivalent to 211 IU/100 mL, as reconstituted) is mandatory for low-fat powdered milk in the United States but not for the whole-milk preparations. According to the UNICEF data, as many as one third of Southeast Asian schoolchildren are deficient in vitamin A. Therefore, it is logical for these countries to fortify milk products with both vitamin D and A, at 50% to 100% higher than the recommended levels in the United States.

Public-private partnerships to overcome nutritional deficiencies

Many countries have developed successful partnerships in the health care sector. These mechanisms are much relevant to economically poor countries [101]. Since the resources are limited, synergistic ways of utilizing material and human resources are essential. Public-private partnerships are one example. This can be achieved in areas in which both the public and private sector fall short when operating on their own. These partnerships should reflect the recognition by the public sector, the private sector, and communities at large. Moreover, the emerging health issues and outbreaks require complex executive mechanisms, varied skills, and prompt mobilization of resources and implementation of programs. Both for-profit and not-for-profit private sector establishments should collaborate with the public sector/government in various health sector areas including nutrition, particularly at the community-level.

Moreover, public-private partnerships offer innovative mechanisms for delivering health services including appropriate macro- and micro-nutrients at an affordable (or even subsidized) prices to the poor communities [102]. Nevertheless, addressing complex issues and managing diverse partners requires skills and novel ways that call for mutual understanding and flexibility, including organizational and working cultures of the public and private sectors.

From a disease prevention point of view, balanced nutrition, vaccines, and potable water; highly effective ways to prevent disease, are the most important healthful aspects for eradicating most commonly occurring diseases plaguing the globe. Nevertheless, micronutrient deficiency is also an important aspect contributing to ill health in humans. To overcome such deficiencies, countrywide and continent-wide complementary nutritional strategies should be considered, including coordinated international cross-border cooperation, integration of services, and agencies dedicated to health and well-being, and effective private-public partnerships. Overall, the public-private partnership is a highly cost-effective way to address these issues.

Discussion

In the European Union, fortified processed foods are a substantial source of micronutrients such as iron and vitamins A and D [103-106]. Close to three billion people in the world today have micronutrient deficiencies caused mostly by a dietary deficiency, parasitic or chronic disease burdens [10]. The best physiological way to prevent micronutrient malnutrition is to ensure consumption of a balanced diet that is adequate in every required nutrient. However, for multiple reasons, this is becoming difficult for many people, so micronutrient deficiencies are becoming commonplace worldwide. Therefore, countrywide or communitywide, food fortification programs are needed to overcome these widespread micronutrient deficiencies.

The level of food fortification is resolve as one that achieves sufficiency in the majority of recipients (i.e., more than 95%) while avoiding potential adverse effects. Cost-effectiveness is defined as the cost of achieving a specified outcome. However, food fortification alone cannot correct micronutrient deficiencies across a country because people living in poverty may not be able to afford, or depending on the geographic localities have little access to fortified food or supplements. Moreover, if the degree of micronutrient deficiency is severe or in the existence of high prevalence of chronic diseases including high parasitic infection loads that increase metabolic demand for micronutrients, additional interventions are necessary.

The goal of food fortification is to minimize or prevent the risk of micronutrient deficiency in a population. However, constraints including safety or technological issues, and economic considerations can prevent or slow the food-based fortification interventions. At time, mandatory fortification is necessary when a country faces a widespread and serious public health issue that should cost-effectively overcome by food fortification. The success of a fortification programs are measured through its public health impact and its sustainability.

There is considerable experience in the conditions under which food fortification could be recommended as a strategic option for controlling micronutrient malnutrition. Moreover, the limitations of such fortification and enrichment programs are well known. An understanding of the rationale for such programs, and using and disseminating the right information would improve overall health outcomes [107]. On the other hand, forced privatization of profitable state assets or natural resources and other detrimental policies that may forced by international lenders, negative effects of globalization, or economic liberalization, may further aggravate food insecurity and thus, increase the incidences of macronutrient and micronutrient deficiencies. At the other extreme, controlling wealth and resources via political, civil, or military means for the benefit of the elite would...
also threaten the democracy, welfare, and the nutrition of a nation’s inhabitants.

The best way to prevent micronutrient malnutrition is to ensure consumption of a balanced diet that is adequate in every nutrient. Meanwhile, the public health impact of food fortification depends on a number of parameters but predominantly the level of fortification, the bioavailability of the fortificants, the amount of fortified food consumed, and the cost. However, the more widely and regularly a fortified food is consumed, the greater the likelihood of the prices coming down and the proportion of the population likely to benefit from food fortification.

Market-driven fortification can also improve micronutrient deficiencies, such as certain minerals (e.g., iron and calcium) and selected vitamins (e.g., vitamins C and B2) that are otherwise difficult to add in sufficient amounts through the mass fortification of staple foods and condiments because of safety, technological, or cost constraints. In contrast to most developing countries, where fortifications are predominantly to overcome public health issues, in industrialized countries market-driven fortification is fairly common due to commercial reasons. However, because of mass media and urbanization, these boundaries are rapidly changing. In spite of these wide-ranging approaches, market-driven fortification programs could also play a positive role in alleviating public health issues by focusing on meeting the nutrient requirements, otherwise would not have been accomplished, and thereby reducing micronutrient deficiencies.

Although micronutrient malnutrition is more common and severe in the economically less advanced countries and among the disadvantaged populations, it also represents a public health problem in certain sectors in the affluent and industrialized countries. Nevertheless, causes of micronutrient deficiencies significantly vary among different countries and societies, so the understanding of causes of micronutrient deficiency in a given society is important, prior to implementing a plan to overcome it.

Underlying cause for micronutrient deficiencies vary from economic reasons, such as food affordability, food transportation, processing or cooking issues, or due to cultural or eating habits. Understanding of such would facilitate the stakeholders to design cost-effective solutions. The government and the department of health in a given country need to make nutrition and public health a priority through right policies, followed up with the allocation of appropriate resource. In addition, scaling up of sustainable resources, making nutritious food available and affordable through public-private partnerships, educating and encouraging healthful lifestyles and the importance of balance diet and good nutrition, would facilitate overcoming micronutrient deficiencies in every country.
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